Robert Šulka On the Nilpotency in Semigroups

Matematický časopis, Vol. 18 (1968), No. 2, 148--157

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/126764

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1968

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

ON THE NILPOTENCY IN SEMIGROUPS

ROBERT ŠULKA, Bratislava

This paper is an extension of the results of papers [3] and [5]. The first three theorems of this paper are extensions of the first three theorems of the paper [3] and the fourth theorem of this paper is an extension of the theorem of paper [5]. We introduce three kinds of nilpotency and consider instead of ideals (which are as a rule used to define various kinds of radicals) more generally subsets or subsemigroups of a given semigroup S.

Throughout the paper the empty subset \emptyset is considered as a subsemigroup of the semigroup S. By an ideal we mean a two-sided ideal. If we consider a left ideal or a right ideal we shall indicate it explicitly. The \cap -semilattice of all left (right) [two-sided] ideals of the semigroup S contains always the empty set \emptyset .

We now introduce three kinds of nilpotency and shall study the relations among them.

Definition 1. Let S be a semigroup and M a subset of S.

a) Let x be such an element of S for which there exists a positive integer N(x) such that for each positive integer $n \ge N(x)$ (for almost all n) $x^n \in M$ holds. Then x will be called strongly nilpotent with respect to M.

b) Let x be an element of S such that for infinitely many positive integers n, $x^n \in M$ holds. Then x will be called weakly nilpotent with respect to M.

c) Let x be an element of S such that at least one power x^n is in M. Then x will be called almost nilpotent with respect to M.

The set of all strongly nilpotent elements with respect to M will be denoted by $N_1(M)$, the set of all weakly nilpotent elements with respect to M will be denoted by $N_2(M)$ and the set of all almost nilpotent elements with respect to M will be denoted by $N_3(M)$.

Remark 1. From Definition 1 it is clear that every strongly nilpotent element with respect to M is weakly nilpotent with respect to M and every weakly nilpotent element with respect to M is almost nilpotent with respect to M. Therefore we have $N_1(M) \subseteq N_2(M) \subseteq N_3(M)$.

The following example shows that $N_1(M) \neq N_2(M)$ and $N_2(M) \neq N_3(M)$ can take place even if S is a commutative semigroup.

Example 1. Let $S = \langle 0, 1 \rangle$ with the ordinary multiplication as an operation in S. The element $x = \frac{1}{2}$ is almost nilpotent with respect to $M = \{\frac{1}{4}\}$ but it is not weakly nilpotent with respect to M.

In the same semigroup let us take $M = \left\{ \frac{1}{2^{2k}} | \ k = 1, 2, \ldots \right\}$. M is a sub-

semigroup of S, the element $x = \frac{1}{2}$ is weakly nilpotent with respect to M, but it is not strongly nilpotent with respect to M.

The following lemmas are evident.

Lemma 1. Let S be a semigroup and M a subsemigroup of S. Then every almost nilpotent element with respect to M is weakly nilpotent with respect to M, i. e. if M is a subsemigroup then $N_3(M) = N_2(M)$.

Lemma 2. Let S be a semigroup and M a left (right) [two-sided] ideal of S. Then every weakly nilpotent element with respect to M is also strongly nilpotent with respect to M. Thus we have $N_3(M) = N_2(M) = N_1(M)$.

Lemma 3. Let S be a semigroup and let M_1 and M_2 be subsets of S. Then $N_1(M_1 \cap M_2) = N_1(M_1) \cap N_1(M_2)$.

Proof. a) If $A \subseteq B$, then $N_1(A) \subseteq N_1(B)$. Hence $N_1(M_1 \cap M_2) \subseteq N_1(M_1) \cap N_1(M_2)$.

b) Let $x \in N_1(M_1) \cap N_1(M_2)$. Then almost all powers of the element x are in M_1 and almost all powers of the element x are in M_2 , i. e. almost all powers of the element x are in $M_1 \cap M_2$. Therefore $x \in N_1(M_1 \cap M_2)$ and $N_1(M_1) \cap$ $\cap N_1(M_2) \subseteq N_1(M_1 \cap M_2)$. Together with a) we have $N_1(M_1 \cap M_2) =$ $= N_1(M_1) \cap N_1(M_2)$.

Lemma 4. Let S be a semigroup and let M_1 and M_2 be subsemigroups of S. Then $N_3(M_1 \cap M_2) = N_3(M_1) \cap N_3(M_2)$ [hence $N_2(M_1 \cap M_2) = N_2(M_1) \cap N_2(M_2)$].

Proof. a) The relation $N_3(M_1 \cap M_2) \subseteq N_3(M_1) \cap N_3(M_2)$ can be proved in the same manner as in lemma 3.

b) If $x \in N_3(M_1) \cap N_3(M_2)$, then at least one power x^{n_1} is in M_1 and at least one power x^{n_2} is in M_2 . But then $x^{n_1n_2}$ is in $M_1 \cap M_2$ and $x \in N_3(M_1 \cap M_2)$. Thus $N_3(M_1) \cap N_3(M_2) \subseteq N_3(M_1 \cap M_2)$ and this together with a) implies $N_3(M_1 \cap M_2) = N_3(M_1) \cap N_3(M_2)$.

If M_1 , M_2 and $M_1 \cap M_2$ are merely subsets of S (not subsemigroups), then neither $N_2(M_1 \cap M_2) = N_2(M_1) \cap N_2(M_2)$ nor $N_3(M_1 \cap M_2) = N_3(M_1) \cap N_3(M_2)$ necessarily holds. This will be shown on the following examples.

Example 2. Let
$$S = \left\{ \frac{1}{2^k} | k = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \right\}$$
 with the ordinary multiplica-

tion as operation. Let $M_1 = \{1, \frac{1}{2}\}$ and $M_2 = \{1, \frac{1}{4}\}$. Then $N_3(M_1) = \{1, \frac{1}{2}\}$, $N_3(M_2) = \{1, \frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{4}\}$ and $N_3(M_1) \cap N_3(M_2) = \{1, \frac{1}{2}\} \neq \{1\} = M_1 \cap M_2 =$ $= N_3(M_1 \cap M_2).$

Example 3. Let S be the semigroup from Example 2. Let $M_1 = \{1\} \cup$ $\cup \left\{ \frac{1}{2^{2k}} | \ k = 1, \ 2, \ \ldots \right\}$ and $M_2 = \{1\} \cup \left\{ \frac{1}{2^{k-1}} | \ k = 1, \ 2, \ \ldots \right\}$. Then $N_2(M_1) =$ $= S, N_2(M_2) = M_2, \text{ but } N_2(M_1) \cap N_2(M_2) = M_2 = \{1\} \cup \left\{ \frac{1}{2^{2k-1}} | k = 1, 2, \ldots \right\},$

 $M_1 \cap M_2 = \{1\}$ and $N_2(M_1 \cap M_2) = \{1\} \neq N_2(M_1) \cap N_2(M_2).$

Lemma 5. Let S be a semigroup and $M_{\varkappa}, \varkappa \in K$, subsets of S. Then $\bigcup_{\varkappa \in K} N_3(M_{\varkappa}) =$

- $= N_3(\bigcup M_\varkappa).$ ×∈K **Proof.** a) For every $\varkappa \in K$ we have $M_{\varkappa} \subseteq \bigcup M_{\varkappa}$ and therefore $\bigcup N_3(M_{\varkappa}) \subseteq$
- $\subseteq N_3(\bigcup M_\varkappa).$ ĸ∈K

b) Let $x \in N_3(\bigcup M_x)$. Then at least one power x^n is in $\bigcup M_x$. Thus there exists a $\varkappa_0 \in K$ such that $x^n \in M_{\varkappa_0}$, i. e. $x \in N_3(M_{\varkappa_0}) \subseteq \bigcup N_3(M_{\varkappa})$. Therefore we have $N_3(\bigcup_{x \in K} M_x) \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in K} N_3(M_x)$ and this together with a) implies $\bigcup_{x \in K} N_3(M_x) =$ $= N_3(\bigcup M_\varkappa).$ ×∈K

Lemma 6. Let S be a semigroup and M_1 and M_2 subsets of S. Then $N_2(M_1) \cup$ $\cup N_2(M_2) = N_2(M_1 \cup M_2).$

Proof. a) The relation $N_2(M_1) \cup N_2(M_2) \subseteq N_2(M_1 \cup M_2)$ is evident.

b) Let $x \in N_2(M_1 \cup M_2)$. Then infinitely many powers x^n are in $M_1 \cup M_2$. Thus infinitely many powers x^n are either in M_1 or in M_2 . Therefore x is either in $N_2(M_1)$ or in $N_2(M_2)$ and $N_2(M_1 \cup M_2) \subseteq N_2(M_1) \cup N_2(M_2)$. This together with a) implies $N_2(M_1) \cup N_2(M_2) = N_2(M_1 \cup M_2)$.

Lemma 6 cannot be extended to the case of infinitely many subsets $M_{\varkappa}, \varkappa \in$ $\in K$. This is clear from the following example.

Example 4. Let S be the set of all positive integers with ordinary addition

as operation. Let $M_n = \{2n + 1\}$, where $n = 1, 2, 3, \ldots$. Then $\bigcup M_n =$ $= \{2n + 1 | n = 1, 2, 3, ... \}$ and $1 \in N_2(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n)$. On the other hand $N_2(M_n) =$ $= \emptyset$ for n = 1, 2, 3, ... and therefore also $\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} N_2(M_n) = \emptyset$. This implies that $N_2(\bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} M_n) \neq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} N_2(M_n)$. The next example shows that $N_1(M_1 \cup M_2) = N_1(M_1) \cup N_1(M_2)$ need not hold.

Example 5. Let S be the set of all positive integers with the ordinary addition as operation. Let $M_1 = \{2k | k = 1, 2, ...\}$ and $M_2 = \{2k + 1 | k = 1, 2, ...\}$. Then $1 \in N_1(M_1 \cup M_2)$ but $1 \notin N_1(M_1) \cup N_1(M_2)$.

Lemma 7. Let S be a semigroup and M_1 and M_2 subsemigroups of S. Then $N_1(M_1 \cup M_2) = N_1(M_1) \cup N_1(M_2)$.

Proof. a) It follows from $M_1 \subseteq M_1 \cup M_2$ and $M_2 \subseteq M_1 \cup M_2$ that $N_1(M_1) \cup N_1(M_2) \subseteq N_1(M_1 \cup M_2)$.

b) Let $x \in N_1(M_1 \cup M_2)$. Then there exists a positive integer N such that for every integer $n \ge N$ we have $x^n \in M_1 \cup M_2$. Let $X = \{x^n | n \ge N\}$. Note that $X \cap M_1, X \cap M_2$ are semigroups and $(X \cap M_1) \cup (X \cap M_2) = X$.

We now show that at least one of the semigroups M_1 and M_2 contains two consecutive powers of the element x. If it were not so, then one of the semigroups M_1 and M_2 would contain all even and the other all odd powers x^n of the element x for $n \ge N$. If for example $X \cap M_1$ were the set of all even powers x^n , $n \ge N$, then $X \cap M_2$ would be the set of all odd powers x^n , $n \ge N$. This contradicts the fact that $X \cap M_2$ is a semigroup.

Suppose that M_1 contains two consecutive powers of the element x. Then it can be easily verified that M_1 contains all powers x^n for $n \ge N_0 \ge N$. Therefore $x \in N_1(M_1)$ and hence $x \in N_1(M_1) \cup N_1(M_2)$.

We proved that $N_1(M_1 \cup M_2) \subseteq N_1(M_1) \cup N_1(M_2)$. This together with a) implies $N_1(M_1 \cup M_2) = N_1(M_1) \cup N_1(M_2)$.

The results we obtained can be arranged into two tables (see Table 1 and 2)

Table	1
-------	---

	M_1 and M_2 are:		
\cap	subsets	subsemigroups	left (right) [two-sided] ideals
$\overline{N_1(M_1 \cap M_2) = N_1(M_1) \cap N_1(M_2)}$	+ (L3)	+	+
$\boxed{N_2(M_1 \cap M_2) = N_2(M_1) \cap N_2(M_2)}$	— (E3)	+ (L4)	
$N_{3}(M_{1} \cap M_{2}) = N_{3}(M_{1}) \cap N_{3}(M_{2})$	(E2)		

Table	2
-------	---

	M_1 and M_2 are:		
U	subsets	subsemigroups	left (right) [two-sided] ideals
$\boxed{N_1(M_1 \cup M_2) = N_1(M_1) \cup N_1(M_2)}$	— (E4)	+ (L7)	+
$\boxed{N_2(M_1 \cup M_2) = N_2(M_1) \cup N_2(M_2)}$	+ (L6)	+	
$\boxed{N_{3}(M_{1} \cup M_{2}) = N_{3}(M_{1}) \cup N_{3}(M_{2})}$	+ (L5)		

in which the signs + and - have an apparent meaning. In parentheses a reference to the corresponding Lemma or Example is given.

The above results imply:

Theorem 1. Let S be a semigroup. Then the mapping $M \to N_1(M)$ is:

a) a homomorphism of the lattice of all left (right) [two-sided] ideals of S into the lattice of all subsets of S,

b) a homomorphism of the \cap -semilattice of all subsemigroups of S into the \cap -semilattice of all subsets of S,

c) an endomorphism of the \cap -semilattice of all subsets of S.

The mapping $M \to N_2(M)$ is:

a) a homomorphism of the \cap -semilattice of all subsemigroups of S into the \cap -semilattice of all subsets of S,

b) an endomorphism of the \cup -semilattice of all subsets of S.

The mapping $M \to N_3(M)$ is an endomorphism of the \cup -semilattice of all subsets of S.

We now introduce some further notions which are generalizations of the notions of Clifford's, Schwarz's and Ševrin's radicals from the papers [3] and [5].

Definition 2. Let S be a semigroup and M a subset of S. An ideal I, each element of which is strongly nilpotent with respect to M, is called a strong nilideal with respect to M.

An ideal I, each element of which is weakly nilpotent with respect to M, is called a weak nilideal with respect to M.

The union of all strong nilideals with respect to M will be denoted by $R_1^*(M)$. The union of all weak nilideals with respect to M will be denoted by $R_2^*(M)$.

Definition 3. Let S be a semigroup and M a subset of S. An ideal (a subsemigroup) I, for which there exists a positive integer N such that for all integers $n \ge N$ (for almost all n) $I^n \subseteq M$ holds, will be called a nilpotent ideal (a nilpotent subsemigroup) with respect to M.

The union of all nilpotent ideals with respect to M will be denoted by R(M).

Definition 4. Let S be a semigroup and M a subset of S. An ideal I, every subsemigroup of which generated by a finite number of elements is nilpotent with respect to M, will be called a locally nilpotent ideal with respect to M.

The union of all locally nilpotent ideals with respect to M will be denoted by L(M).

Lemma 8. An ideal I is a weak nilideal with respect to M if and only if every element $x \in I$ is almost nilpotent with respect to M.

Proof. a) If the ideal I is a weak nilideal with respect to M, then clearly

each element $x \in I$ is an almost nilpotent element with respect to M.

b) Let every element x of the ideal I be an almost nilpotent element with respect to M. Then $x \in I$ implies that $\{x, x^2, x^3, \ldots, x^n, \ldots\} \subseteq I$. In addition to this for some power x^{n_1} we have $x^{n_1} \in M$. But since $x^{n_1} \in I$, there exists again a positive integer $n_2 > n_1$ for which $x^{n_2} \in M$. Thus there exists a sequence $x^{n_1}, x^{n_2}, \ldots, x^{n_k}, \ldots, n_1 < n_2 < n_3 < \ldots < n_k < \ldots$ of powers of the element x, the members of which are in M. This means that x is a weakly nilpotent element with respect to M. Since x is any element of I, I is a weak nilideal with respect to M.

The following example shows that $R_1^*(M)$ and $R_2^*(M)$ may be distinct even if M is a subsemigroup of S.

Example 6. Let S be the set of all positive integers with the ordinary addition as operation. Let M be the subsemigroup of all even integers. Every odd positive integer is weakly nilpotent with respect to M but it is not strongly nilpotent with respect to M. Every even positive integer is strongly nilpotent with respect to M. Note that every ideal contains together with each integer a > 0 all integers $\ge a$. Hence $R_1^*(M) = \emptyset \neq S = R_2^*(M)$.

Lemma 9. Let S be a semigroup, M a subset and A a subsemigroup of S. Then the following there statements are equivalent:

a) The subsemigroup A is nilpotent with respect to M.

b) There exist infinitely many positive integers n such that $A^n \subseteq M$.

c) There exists a positive integer n such that $A^n \subseteq M$.

Proof. It is clear from definition 3 that a) implies b) and b) implies c). It remains only to prove that c) implies a). Let n be a positive integer such that $A^n \subseteq M$. Since A is a subsemigroup we have $A^{n+1} \subseteq A^n \subseteq M$, $A^{n+2} \subseteq \subseteq A^n \subseteq M$, ... and therefore A is a nilpotent subsemigroup with respect to M.

Remark 2. Lemma 9 evidently holds also in the case where A is a left (right) [two-sided] ideal.

Lemma 10. Let S be a semigroup and let M_1 and M_2 be subsets of S. Then $R_1^*(M_1 \cap M_2) = R_1^*(M_1) \cap R_1^*(M_2)$.

Proof. a) Evidently $R_1^*(M_1 \cap M_2) \subseteq R_1^*(M_1) \cap R_1^*(M_2)$.

b) Let $x \in R_1^*(M_1) \cap R_1^*(M_2)$. Then $x \in R_1^*(M_1)$ and $x \in R_1^*(M_2)$, i. e. $x \in I_1$ and $x \in I_2$, where I_1 is a strong nilideal with respect to M_1 and I_2 is a strong nilideal with respect to M_2 . We show that $I_1 \cap I_2$ is a strong nilideal with respect to $M_1 \cap M_2$. Let $y \in I_1 \cap I_2$. Then $y \in I_1$, $y \in I_2$, i. e. there exists a positive integer N such that for every integer $n \ge N$ we have $y^n \in M_1$ and $y^n \in M_2$. Hence for all integers $n \ge N$ we have $y^n \in M_1 \cap M_2$. This means that $I_1 \cap I_2$ is a strong nilideal with respect to $M_1 \cap M_2$.

Since $I_1 \cap I_2$ is a strong nilideal with respect to $M_1 \cap M_2$ and $x \in I_1 \cap I_2$,

we have $x \in R_1^*(M_1 \cap M_2)$. Thus $R_1^*(M_1) \cap R_1^*(M_2) \subseteq R_1^*(M_1 \cap M_2)$ and this together with a) proves $R_1^*(M_1 \cap M_2) = R_1^*(M_1) \cap R_1^*(M_2)$.

Lemma 11. Let S be a semigroup and let M_1 and M_2 be subsets of S. Then $R(M_1 \cap M_2) = R(M_1) \cap R(M_2)$.

Proof. a) Evidently $R(M_1 \cap M_2) \subseteq R(M_1) \cap R(M_2)$.

b) Let $x \in R(M_1) \cap R(M_2)$. Then $x \in R(M_1)$ and $x \in R(M_2)$, i. e. $x \in I_1$ and $x \in I_2$, where I_1 is a nilpotent ideal with respect to M_1 and I_2 is a nilpotent ideal with respect to M_2 . We show that $I_1 \cap I_2$ is a nilpotent ideal with respect to $M_1 \cap M_2$. As a matter of fact for almost all n we have $I_1^n \subseteq M_1$ and $I_2^n \subseteq M_2$, thus $(I_1 \cap I_2)^n \subseteq M_1 \cap M_2$. Since $x \in I_1 \cap I_2$, we obtain $R(M_1) \cap R(M_2) \subseteq$ $\subseteq R(M_1 \cap M_2)$ and this together with a) proves $R(M_1) \cap R(M_2) = R(M_1 \cap M_2)$.

Lemma 12. Let S be a semigroup and let M_1 and M_2 be subsets of S. Then $L(M_1 \cap M_2) = L(M_1) \cap L(M_2)$.

Proof. a) Evidently $L(M_1 \cap M_2) \subseteq L(M_1) \cap L(M_2)$.

b) Let $x \in L(M_1) \cap L(M_2)$. Then $x \in L(M_1)$ and $x \in L(M_2)$, i. e. $x \in I_1$, where I_1 is a locally nilpotent ideal with respect to M_1 and $x \in I_2$, where I_2 is a locally nilpotent ideal with respect to M_2 . We show that $I_1 \cap I_2$ is a locally nilpotent ideal with respect to $M_1 \cap M_2$.

Let A be a subsemigroup generated by a finite number of elements of $I_1 \cap I_2$. Since $A \subseteq I_1$ and $A \subseteq I_2$ for almost all positive integers $n, A^n \subseteq M_1$ and $A^n \subseteq M_2$ holds. Thus $A^n \subseteq M_1 \cap M_2$ and $I_1 \cap I_2$ is a locally nilpotent ideal with respect to $M_1 \cap M_2$.

As $x \in I_1 \cap I_2$, we obtain $x \in L(M_1 \cap M_2)$. Hence $L(M_1) \cap L(M_2) \subseteq L(M_1 \cap M_2)$ and this together with a) gives $L(M_1) \cap L(M_2) = L(M_1 \cap M_2)$.

Lemma 13. Let S be a semigroup and M_1 and M_2 subsemigroups of S. Then $R_2^*(M_1 \cap M_2) = R_2^*(M_1) \cap R_2^*(M_2)$.

Proof. a) Evidently $R_2^*(M_1 \cap M_2) \subseteq R_2^*(M_1) \cap R_2^*(M_2)$.

b) Let $x \in R_2^*(M_1) \cap R_2^*(M_2)$. Then $x \in R_2^*(M_1)$ and $x \in R_2^*(M_2)$, i. e. $x \in I_1$, where I_1 is a weak nilideal with respect to M_1 and $x \in I_2$ where I_2 is a weak nilideal with respect to M_2 . Therefore $x \in I_1 \cap I_2$.

We now show that every element $y \in I_1 \cap I_2$ is weakly nilpotent with respect to $M_1 \cap M_2$, i. e. that $I_1 \cap I_2$ is a weak nilideal with respect to $M_1 \cap M_2$. Since $y \in I_1 \cap I_2$, there exist positive integers n_1 and n_2 such that $y^{n_1} \in M_1$ and $y^{n_2} \in M_2$. As M_1 and M_2 are subsemigroups of S we have for the cyclic semigroups generated by the elements y^{n_1} and y^{n_2} : $\{y^{n_1}, y^{2n_1}, \ldots\} \subseteq M_1$ and $\{y^{n_2}, y^{2n_2}, \ldots\} \subseteq M_2$. But then for the cyclic semigroup generated by the element $y^{n_1n_2}$ we have $\{y^{n_1n_2}, y^{2n_1n_2}, \ldots\} \subseteq M_1 \cap M_2$. Hence y is a weakly nilpotent element with respect to $M_1 \cap M_2$, thus $I_1 \cap I_2$ is a weak nilideal with respect to $M_1 \cap M_2$.

Since $x \in I_1 \cap I_2$ we have $x \in R_2^*(M_1 \cap M_2)$. We proved that $R_2^*(M_1) \cap R_2^*(M_2) \subseteq R_2^*(M_1 \cap M_2)$ and this together with a) gives $R_2^*(M_1 \cap M_2) = R_2^*(M_1) \cap R_2^*(M_2)$.

The following example shows that $R_2^*(M_1) \cap R_2^*(M_2) = R_2^*(M_1 \cap M_2)$ need not hold.

Example 7. Let S be the set of all positive integers with the ordinary addition as operation. Let M_1 contain the number 1 and those integers n > 1whose factorization into primes has either an even number of factors equal to the number 2 or it has no factor equal to 2. Let M_2 contain the number 1 and those integers n > 1 whose factorization into primes has an odd number of factors equal to 2. Clearly $M_1 \cap M_2 = \{1\}$ and $R_2^*(M_1 \cap M_2) = \emptyset$. Further $R_2^*(M_1) = S$ and $R_2^*(M_2) = S$ and therefore $R_2^*(M_1) \cap R_2^*(M_2) = S \neq \emptyset =$ $= R_2^*(M_1 \cap M_2).$

The results we obtained are arranged into tables. (See Tables 3, 4 and 5.

Table 3

	M_1 and M_2 are:		
\cap	subsets	subsemigroups	left (right) [two-sided] ideals
$\mathbf{R_1^*}(M_1 \cap M_2) = R_1^*(M_1) \cap R_1^*(M_2)$	+ (L10)	+	+
$R_{2}^{*}(M_{1} \cap M_{2}) = R_{2}^{*}(M_{1}) \cap R_{2}^{*}(M_{2})$	(E6)	+ (L13)	

Table	4
-------	---

	M_1 and M_2 are:		
\cap	subsets	subsemigroups	left (right) [two-sided] ideals
$R(M_1 \cap M_2) = R(M_1) \cap R(M_2)$	+ (L11)	+	+

Table 5

	M_1 and M_2 are:		
<u>_</u>	subsets	subsemigroups	left (right) [two-sided] ideals
$L(M_1 \cap M_2) = L(M_1) \cap L(M_2)$	+ (L12)	+	+

Remark 3. For the unions the relations $R_1^*(M_1 \cup M_2) = R_1^*(M_1) \cup \cup R_1^*(M_2), R_2^*(M_1 \cup M_2) = R_2^*(M_1) \cup R_2^*(M_2), R(M_1 \cup M_2) = R(M_1) \cup R(M_2)$ and $L(M_1 \cup M_2) = L(M_1) \cup L(M_2)$ need not hold. This follows from an example in paper [3], p. 213, even if M_1 and M_2 are two-sided ideals. (See also [5].) Remark 4. Lemmas 3, 4, 6, 7, 10, 11, 12 and 13 can be extended by induction from two subsets M_1 and M_2 to any finite number of subsets M_{\varkappa} , $\varkappa \in K$. But the following example shows that Lemmas 3, 4, 10, 11, 12 and 13 cannot be extended to an infinite number of subsets.

Example 8. The closed interval $S = \langle 0, \frac{2}{3} \rangle$ with the ordinary multiplication as operation is a semigroup. The closed intervals $J_n = \left\langle 0, \frac{1}{n} \right\rangle$, $n = 2, 3, \ldots$ are ideals of S. $N_1(J_n) = S$ for $n = 2, 3, \ldots$ and therefore $\bigcap_{n=2}^{\infty} N_1(J_n) = S$. But $\bigcap_{n=2}^{\infty} J_n = \{0\}$ and $N_1(\bigcap_{n=2}^{n-2} J_n) = \{0\} \neq S$. Since S is a commutative semigroup and J_n , $n = 2, 3, \ldots$ are ideals of S,

Since S is a commutative semigroup and J_n , n = 2, 3, ... are ideals of S, the foregoing sets of strongly nilpotent elements are at the same time sets of weakly nilpotent elements and also sets of almost nilpotent elements. By [3] and [1] they are clearly radicals with respect to these ideals.

The above lemmas imply the following theorems:

Theorem 2. Let S be a semigroup. Then the mapping $M \to R_1^*(M)$ is: a) a homomorphism of the \cap -semilattice of all subsets of S into the \cap -semilattice of all (two-sided) ideals of S,

b) a homomorphism of the \cap -semilattice of all subsemigroups of S into the \cap -semilattice of all (two-sided) ideals of S,

c) a homomorphism of the \cap -semilattice of all left (right) [two-sided] ideals of S into the \cap -semilattice of all (two-sided) ideals of S.

The mapping $M \to R_2^*(M)$ is a homomorphism of the \cap -semilattice of all subsemigroups of S into the \cap -semilattice of all (two-sided) ideals of S.

Theorem 3. Let S be a semigroup. Then the mapping $M \to R(M)$ is:

a) a homomorphism of the \cap -semilattice of all subsets of S into the \cap -semilattice of all (two-sided) ideals of S,

b) a homomorphism of the \cap -semilattice of all subsemigroups of S into the \cap -semilattice of all (two-sided) ideals of S,

c) a homomorphism of the \cap -semilattice of all left (right) [two-sided] ideals of S into the \cap -semilattice of all (two-sided) ideals of S.

Theorem 4. Let S be a semigroup. Then the mapping $M \to L(M)$ is:

a) a homomorphism of the \cap -semilattice of all subsets of S into the \cap -semilattice of all (two-sided) ideals of S,

b) a homomorphism of the \cap -semilattice of all subsemigroups of S into the \cap -semilattice of all (two-sided) ideals of S,

c) a homomorphism of the \cap -semilattice of all left (right) [two-sided] ideals of S into the \cap -semilattice of all (two-sided) ideals of S.

REFERENCES

[1] Bosák J., On radicals of semigroups, Mat. časop. 18 (1968), to appear.

[2] Шеврин Л. Н., К общей теории полугрупп, Матем. сб. 59 (95), (1961), 367-386.

- [3] Шулка Р., О нильпотентных элементах, идеалах и радикалах полугруппы, Mat.fyz. časop. 13 (1963), 209-222.
- [4] Шулка Р., Радикалы и топология в полугруппах, Mat.-fyz. časop. 15 (1965), 3-15.

[5] Šulka R., Note on the Ševrin radical in semigroups, Mat. časop. 18 (1968), 57-58.

Received August 1, 1966.

٨

Katedra matematiky a deskriptívnej geometrie Elektrotechnickej fakulty Slovenskej vysokej školy technickej, Bratislava