Anton Kotzig Cycles in a Complete Graph Oriented in Equilibrium

Matematicko-fyzikálny časopis, Vol. 16 (1966), No. 2, 175--182

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/126906

Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1966

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

CYCLES IN A COMPLETE GRAPH ORIENTED IN EQUILIBRIUM

ANTON KOTZIG, Bratis ava-

Throughout this paper we shall call a complete graph with m vertices, oriented in equilibrium, a $\varrho(m)$ -graph. (According to [1] a graph is oriented in equilibrium if for each of its vertices the following holds: the number of edges outgoing from the vertex v is equal to the number of edges incoming at the vertex v.) If we use the terminology introduced by Berge in [2], a $\varrho(m)$ -graph is a complete antisymmetric graph wherein each vertex has an equal inward demi-degree and outward demidegree. Since according to definition a $\varrho(m)$ -graph is complete and oriented in equilibrium, it must be a regular graph of an even degree and thus we have $m = 1 \pmod{2}$.

Remark I. It would seem that with n given, all $\varrho(2n + 1)$ -graphs are isomorphic. This is the case only with n = 1 and n = 2. Fig. 1 represents



three different kinds of $\varrho(7)$ -graphs. We can easily prove that any $\varrho(7)$ -graph is isomorphic with exactly one of these three graphs. The answer to the following problem is not known to the author of the present paper: How many different mutually non-isomorphic $\varrho(2n + 1)$ -graphs do there exist for each given $n \ge 3$?

Let x be any vertex of a q(2n + 1)-graph G. We shall use the symbol P(x)(or Q(x)) for denoting the sets of those vertices from G from which in the graph G the edge is incoming at the vertex x (or outgoing from it, respectively): by P(x) or [Q(x)] resp. we shall denote the number of its elements. It follows directly from the definition of a $\rho(2n+1)$ -graph and the sets P(x), Q(x) that for any vertex x we have: |P(x)| = |Q(x)| = n.

Theorem 1. Let G be any $\rho(2n + 1)$ -graph and h any of its edges. In the graph there exists at least one 3-cycle containing the edge h.

Proof. Let the edge h in G be oriented from its vertex u into its vertex r. Let W be the set of all vertices of G not belonging into $\{u, v\}$. We obviously have P(u) < W; Q(v) < W and since |W| = 2n - 1, |P(u)| = n, Q(v) = n, then necessarily $P(u) \cap Q(v) \neq 0$.

Then, however, there is at least one vertex $w \in W$ belonging both to P(u)and Q(v). The vertices u, v, w together with the edges joining these vertices form the 3-cycle of G containing h. This proves the theorem.

Theorem 2. Let v be any vertex of a $\varrho(2n + 1)$ -graph G. The number of different 3-cycles of graph G. containing v, is exactly $\binom{n+1}{2}$.

Proof. Let us denote by P (or Q resp.) the complete subgraph of the graph Gcontaining all vertices and only vertices of the set P(v) (or the set Q(r), resp.) and all the edges joining these vertices. Let w be any vertex of the graph X (where $X \in \{G, P, Q\}$). Let us denote by $\sigma_X(\to w)$ the number of edges in X incoming at w and by $\sigma_X(w \rightarrow)$ the number of edges in X outgoing from w. Since |P(v)| = |Q(r)| = n, we have: the number of edges of both P and Q is $\binom{n}{2}$.

Whence it follows:

$$\sum_{x\in P} \sigma_P(x\to) = \sum_{x\in P} \sigma_P(\to x) = \sum_{x\in Q} \sigma_Q(x\to) = \sum_{x\in Q} \sigma_Q(\to x) = \binom{n}{2}.$$

Besides we have: $\sigma_G(x \rightarrow) = \sigma_G(\rightarrow x) = \theta$ for any vertex $x \in G$. Thus it follows that:

$$\sum_{x\in P}\sigma_G(\neg x) = n^2$$

and since there is no edge oriented from the vertex v into a vertex of P(v), we necessarily have: the number of edges of G oriented from some vertex of Q(v) at a vertex of P(v), is $n^2 - \binom{n}{2} \equiv \binom{n+1}{2}$. Each of these edges and only such an edge together with v and the two edges incident at it form a 3-cycle containing v. This proves the theorem.

The subsequent corollary follows directly from Theorem 2:

Corollary 1. In any $\rho(2n + 1)$ -graph the number of different 3-cycles is $\frac{1}{6}(2n+1)(n+1)n.$

176

Remark 2. We obtain the result $\frac{1}{4}(2n+1)(n+1)n$ so that the number

of the 3-cycles containing the chosen vertex, i.e. the number $\binom{n+1}{2}$ is multiplied by the number of vertices and divided by three. Berge in [2], p. 145. Theorem 3 gives a more general formula for computing the number of 3-cycles no orientation in equilibrium is required. In the special case of the $\varrho(2n+1)$ -graph its formula acquires the form given in Corollary 1.

Remark 3. While the number of 3-cycles in an $\varrho(2n + 1)$ -graph is not dependent — with *n* given — on the choice of the $\varrho(2n + 1)$ -graph, this does not hold for 4-cycles. Thus in the graphs G_1, G_2, G_3 given in Fig. 1 the number of 4-cycles is 25, 28, 21, though each of these three graphs is a $\varrho(7)$ -graph.

Let C be any cycle of the $\varrho(2n + 1)$ -graph G. By the symbol S(C) denote the set of vertices defined as follows: the vertex $x \in G$ belongs to S(C) if anf only if it does not belong to C and when in the graph G there exist two such edges that one of them is oriented from a vertex of C into x and the other from x into a vertex of C. By the symbol P(C) (or Q(C), resp.), denote the set of the vertices from G that do not belong to C and have the property: any edge from G joining a vertex from P(C) (or a vertex from Q(C), resp.) with the vertex of C.

Lemma 1. Let C be any r-cycle of a $\varrho(2n + 1)$ -graph G where r < 2n + 1and let w be any vertex from S(C). In the graph G there is at least one (r + 1)cycle C' containing both the vertex w and all vertices from C.

Proof. According to the definition of S(C) there is in G an edge (denote it by h) oriented from a vertex v_1 of C into w. Denote the other vertices of C

by r_2, r_3, \ldots, r_r in the order in which we pass through them by proceeding along the cycle *C* in the direction of the orientation of its edges, starting from v_1 . From the definition of S(C) it also follows that among the vertices v_2, v_3, \ldots, v_r there exists such a vertex that the edge joining it with *w* is outgoing from *w*. Let v_s be the one from among such vertices that has with the given notation the smallest index. Then we necessarily have: there exists an edge of *G*



Fig. 2.

oriented from $v_{s,1}$ into w and an edge g of G oriented from w into r_s . If in C we replace the edge oriented from $v_{s,1}$ into v_s by the edges f, g and by the vertex w, we get a (r + 1)-cycle C' of G having the required properties (see Fig. 2 – the edges from C' are accentuated).

Definition. We shall say that the cycle C' from Lemma 1 arose by a 2-extension of the cycle C through the vertex w.

Lemma 2. Let C be any r-cycle of a $\varrho(2n - 1)$ -graph where r < 2n and let v_r be any vertex from C: let w be any vertex from the set $P(C) \cup Q(C)$. In G there is at least one (r + 2)-cycle C^{*} containing w and all vertices from C and in G there exists a $(r \pm 1)$ -cycle C^{*} containing w and all vertices from C except the vertex v_r .

Proof. Denote the vertices of the cycle C_{-i} others than the vertex $v_{r} \to by$ the symbols v_i , where $i \in \{1, 2, ..., r - 1\}$ so that we proceed along the cycle Cin the direction of the orientation of its edges through its vertices in the following order: $v_1, v_2, ..., v_{r,1}, v_r$. Let h_i be the edge from G joining the vertices w and v_i . According to Theorem 1 there is in G at least one 3-cycle containing the edge h_i . Let x_i be the third vertex of such a cycle, hence let x_i be the vertex for which the following holds: $w \neq x_i \neq v_i$.

According to the assumption w belongs to $P(C) \cup Q(C)$. All edges h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_r therefore are incoming at the vertex w or they are outgoing from the vertex w. Hence for all $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, r\}$ we have: x_i does not belong to C. If w belongs to P(C) then the sequence $w, v_r, v_1, \ldots, v_{r-1}, x_{r-1}$ gives the order in which we pass through the vertices of a (r + 2)-cycle C'' if we proceed along it in the direction of the orientation of its edges. The sequence $w, v_1, \ldots, v_{r-1}, x_{r-1}$ determines in the given way a (r + 1)-cycle C^* . The cycles C'', C^* obviously have the required properties. If w belongs to Q(C) then the required cycle C'' is given by the sequence w, x_1, v_1, \ldots, v_r and the cycle C^* by the sequence w, x_1, v_1, \ldots, v_r (see Fig. 3). Hence the cycles C'' and C^* with the required properties exist, Q.E.D.



Fig. 3.

Definition. We say that the cycle C'' from Lemma 2 arose from the cycle C by a μ -extension through the vertex w, and we say that the cycle C^* from the same lemma arose from C through a ν -extension through the vertex w with a simultaneous replacement of the vertex v_r .

Theorem 3. Let x, y be any two vertices of a $\varrho(2n \pm 1)$ -graph G and let k be any number from the set $\{3, 4, ..., 2n \pm 1\}$. In G there is at least one k-cycle containing both vertices x and y.

Proof. According to Theorem 1 there is in G a 3-cycle containing an edge joining the vertices x, y. Hence for k = 3 the theorem holds. Let us prove the following: If the theorem holds for k = r (where r is a natural number. З $r \leq 2n$, then it holds also for k = r + 1. Suppose that in G there is an *r*-cycle C containing the vertices x, y. If $\mathcal{S}(C)$ is a non-empty set, then, according to Lemma 1 we shall obtain by a λ -extension of the cycle C through any its vertex an (r + 1)-cycle containing the vertices x, y. Let $S(C) = \emptyset$ and w be any vertex of the set $P(C) \cup Q(C)$. Since r > 2, we have in C a vertex (denote it by r_r) for which $x \neq v_r \neq y$. According to Lemma 2 we get by a *v*-extension of the cycle C through the vertex w with a replacement of the vertex v_r an $(x \in 1)$ -cycle C^* containing the vertices x, y. Hence if the theorem holds for r, it holds also for $k = r + 1 \leq 2n + 1$. Thus the theorem holds for k-3. hence it also holds for all $k \in \{3, 4, \dots, 2n + 1\}$. k -

The following corollary is a direct consequence of Theorem 2:

Corollary 2. Each $\varrho(2n + 1)$ -graph with any natural n contains a Hamiltonian cycle.

Lemma 3. Let r, n, s be natural numbers, where 2 < s < r < 2n and let v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_s be mutually different vertices of a $\varrho(2n + 1)$ -graph G. If there is in G a r-cycle containing all vertices of the set $V = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_s\}$ then for each $k = r + 1, r + 2, \ldots, 2n + 1$, there is in G also a k-cycle containing all vertices from V.

Proof. Let there be in graph G a p-cycle C_0 containing all vertices of the set V. The cycle C_0 may be successively extended by λ -extensions and r-extensions through suitably chosen vertices into the cycles $C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_{2n+1-p}$, where C_i is the (p + i)-cycle containing all vertices from V. This can be done so that in case of $S(C_i) = 0$ at the r-extension of cycle C_i into cycle C_{i+1} through a certain vertex with the replacement of the vertex v_r from C_i , we must chose for v_r where (r = p + i) always such a vertex from C_i that does not belong to V. Since such a cycle always exists with r + i > s, the lemma evidently holds.

Remark 4. In Fig. 4 we have a $\varrho(9)$ -graph with the following property: In the graph there does not exist a 4-cycle containing the vertices u, v, w though there is in the same graph a 3-cycle with such vertices. Whence it follows that the condition s < r must not be omitted from Lemma 3.





Lemma 4. Let n, p be natural numbers and let C be the 2p-cycle of the $\varrho(2n + 1)$ graph C containing all vertices of a set V, then for any k = 2p - 1, 2p - 2, ..., 2n + 1 there is in G a k-cycle containing all vertices of the set V.

Proof. The cycle C contains according to the assumption an even number of vertices, therefore necessarily $S(C) \neq \emptyset$ (in the reverse case we would have $|P(C)| = |Q(C)| = \frac{1}{2}(2n + 1 - 2p)$, which is impossible as |P(C)| must be an integer). But then it is possible to extend the cycle C by a λ -extension through a vertex from S(C) into a (2p + 1)-cycle containing all vertices from V. If we put r = 2p + 1, s = |V|, then s < r and the validity of Lemma 5 follows from Lemma 3.

Remark 5. The difference between Lemma 3 and Lemma 4 is that in the case of an even s we may have r = s, hence in the case of an even $\{V\}$, V may be the set of all vertices of the cycle C.

Lemma 5. Let C be any (2p + 1)-cycle of a $\varrho(2n + 1)$ -graph G (p < n) and let V be the set of all vertices of the cycle C. Let k be any number from the set $\{2p \mid 3, 2p + 4, \dots, 2n + 1\}$, then there exists in graph G such a k-cycle that contains all vertices from V.

Proof. If S(C) is a non-empty set, then the cycle C may be extended by a λ -extension through a vertex of S(C) into a (2p + 2)-cycle C' which, apart from all vertices of the set V contains only one other vertex from S(C). From the existence of the cycle C' there follows according to Lemma 3 the existence of a k-cycle containing all vertices of the set V also for all $k \in \{2p + 3, 2p + 4, \dots, 2n + 1\}$.

If S(C) = C then there is in G at least one vertex w belonging to $P(C) \cap Q(C)$ and we get by a μ -extension of the cycle C through the vertex w according to Lemma 2 a (2p + 3)-cycle C'' containing all vertices from V.

The validity of Lemma 5 then is evident from Lemma 3.

Lemma 6. Let G be a $\varrho(2n + 1)$ -graph and let V be the set of certain of its r vertices, where 2 < r < 2n + 1. Let p be any natural number for which we have $1 . If there is in G such a cycle C that contains apart from certain p vertices from V at least one vertex not belonging to V, then there is in G also a cycle <math>\overline{C}$ containing at least p + 1 vertices from V and besides at least one vertex not belonging to V.

Proof. Let C be a cycle containing p vertices from V and at most one vertex not belonging to V. We shall consider the following three possible cases:

V ∩ S(C) ≠ C.
V ∩ S(C) = C, C containing only vertices from V.
V ∩ S(C) = 0, C containing one vertex -- denote it by v_{p+1} -- not belonging to V.

In the first case we get a λ -extension of the cycle C through any vertex from $\Gamma \cap S(C)$ a cycle with the required properties; in the second case we get such a cycle by a μ -extension of the cycle C through any vertex from the set $M = \Gamma \cap (P(C) \cap Q(C))$ and in the third case by a r-extension of the cycle C through a vertex from M with the replacement of the vertex v_{p+1} . This proves the lemma.

Theorem 4. Let G be any $\varrho(2n + 1)$ -graph and let V be the set of certain r vertices of G ($2 \le r \le 2n + 1$). If there is not in G an r-cycle containing all vertices from V, then there exists in G an (r + 1)-cycle containing all vertices from V.

Proof. Let there not be in G an r-cycle containing all vertices from V and let $x \neq y$ be any vertices from V. According to Theorem 1 there is in G a 3-cycle C containing the vertices x, y. Hence there is in G a cycle C which, with the exception of certain p vertices from $V(p \in \{2, 3\})$ contains at most one vertex

not belonging to V. But then, according to Lemma 6, in case when $p \perp r$, there is in G a cycle \overline{C} containing at least p + 1 vertices from V and at most one vertex not belonging to V. According to Lemma 6 the cycle C can be successively extended through the vertices from V so that the number of vertices of the cycle not belonging to V never exceeds one. After a finite number of steps we shall find such a cycle that contains all vertices from Vand besides at most one vertex not belonging to V. Such cycle according to the assumption must be an (r + 1)-cycle. The Lemma follows.

The following corollary is a direct consequence of Lemma 4.

Corollary 3. Let G be any $\varrho(2n + 1)$ -graph and let V be the set of certain r vertices from G where $2 \le r \le 2n$. If there is not in G an (r + 1)-cycle containing all vertices from V then there is in G an r-cycle containing all vertices from V.

Theorem 5. Let n, r be natural numbers $2 \le r \le 2n$, $n \ge 1$ and let G be any $\varrho(2n + 1)$ -graph. Let $R = \{r, r + 1, ..., 2n + 1\}$ and let V be any set of r vertices from G. In G there is a cycle containing all vertices from V either for all $k \in R$, all for all $k \in R$ with the exception of $k \le r$, or for $k \in R$ with the exception of k = r + 1.

Proof. If in G there are both an r-cycle and an (r + 1)-cycle containing all vertices from V, then there is, according to Lemma 3 in G a k-cycle containing all vertices from V for every $k \in R$.

If there is in G no (r + 1)-cycle containing all vertices from V then (see Corollary 3) there is in G an r-cycle containing all vertices from U and according to Lemmas 4 and 5 there exists such a k-cycle also for every k > r + 1, $k \leq 2n + 1$.

Finally: If there is not in G an r-cycle containing all vertices from V, then, according to the theorem, there is in G an (r - 1)-cycle containing all vertices from V. According to Lemma 3 such a cycle exists for all $k \in R$ with one exception only: $k \neq r$. This proves the theorem.

REFERENCES

- [1] Kotzig A., O rovnovážne orientovaných konečných grafoch, Časop. pěstov. mat. 84 (1959), 31 - 45.
- [2] Berge C., The theory of graphs and its applications, London New York 1962.

Received March 6, 1965.

Katedra numerickej matematiky a matematickej štatistiky Prírodoredeckej fakulty Univerzity Komenského, Bratislava