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# ON SOME PROPERTIES OF THE EQUATION 

$y^{\prime \prime}(x)+f(x) y^{\alpha}(x)=\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{0}<\alpha<\mathbf{1}$
ŠTEFAN BELOHOREC, Bratislava

The above equation, denote it by ( r ), has been studied with $f(x)>0$ [4], [5]. In this paper two theorems will be proved when $f(x)$ is a continuous not necessarily nonnegative function and some theorems in the case of $f(x)>0$.

A solution $y(x)$ of equation ( r$)$ will be called oscillatory if it has at least one zero in the interval $(x, \infty)$ for an arbitrary $x$. If for every $x>x_{1} y(x) \neq 0$, then the solution will be called nonoscillatory. The number $\alpha$ is assumed to be from the interval $0<\alpha<1$ and ,,odd", i. e. of the form $\alpha=p / q, p$ and $q$ are odd integers.

Theorem 1. Let the function $f(x)$ be continuous in the interval $\left\langle x_{0}, \infty\right)$. Then every solution of equation ( r ) can be extended to the whole interval $\left\langle x_{0}, \infty\right)$.

Proof. Let $y(x)$ be a solution of (r) defined in an interval $\left\langle x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\left(x_{1} \geqq x_{0}\right)$, such that $y\left(x_{1}\right)=y_{0}, y^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)=y_{1}$. Then from (r) for $x \in\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ we obtain

$$
y(x)=y_{0}+y_{1}\left(x-x_{1}\right)-\int_{x_{1}}^{x}(x-t) f(t) y^{\alpha}(t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

Wherefrom we have for $x-x_{1} \geqq 1$

$$
\begin{equation*}
|y(x)| \leqq\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left(\left|y_{0}\right|+\left|y_{1}\right|+\left.\int_{x_{1}}^{x}|f(t)|!y(t)\right|^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} t\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case $x-x_{1}<1$ we have the following estimate

$$
|y(x)| \leqq\left|y_{0}\right|+\left|y_{1}\right|+\int_{x_{1}}^{x}|f(t)||y(t)|^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} t
$$

and we proceed in the same way. From the inequality (1) we have

$$
\frac{|f(x)||y(x)|^{\alpha}}{\left(\left|y_{0}\right|+\left|y_{1}\right|+\int_{x_{1}}^{x}|f(t)||y(t)|^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} t\right)^{\alpha}} \leqq\left(x-x_{1}\right)^{\alpha}|f(x)|
$$

Hence by integration in the interval $\left\langle x_{1}, x\right\rangle$ we bave

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\left|y_{0}\right|+\left|y_{1}\right|+\int_{x_{1}}^{x}|f(t)||y(t)|^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} t\right)^{1-\alpha} \leqq(1-\alpha) \int_{x_{1}}^{x}\left(t-x_{1}\right)^{\alpha}|f(t)| \mathrm{d} t+ \\
+\left(\left|y_{0}\right|+\left|y_{1}\right|\right)^{1-\alpha}
\end{gathered}
$$

and finally we receive an inequality
(2) $|y(x)| \leqq\left(x-x_{1}\right)\left\{(1-\alpha) \int_{x_{1}}^{x}\left(t-x_{1}\right)^{\alpha}|f(t)| \mathrm{d} t+\left(\left|y_{0}\right|+\left|y_{1}\right|\right)^{1-\alpha}\right\}^{1 /(1-\alpha)}$.

Because the right-hand side of the last inequality is defined and continuous for every $x \geqq x_{1}$, then the solution $y(x)$ increases more slowly than the function on the right-hand side. Since the inequality

$$
\left|y^{\prime}(x)\right| \leqq\left|y_{1}\right|+\int_{x_{1}}^{x}|f(t)||y(t)|^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} t
$$

is also valid, hence $y^{\prime}(x)$ is bounded for every $x$ and thus the solution $y(x)$ can be extended to the whole interval $\left\langle x_{1}, \infty\right)$. A similar consideration is possible also in the interval $\left(x_{2}, x_{1}\right\rangle$. The theorem is thus proved.

Remark. From the inequality (2) the following estimate follows: Provided that $\int^{\infty} x^{\alpha}|f(x)| \mathrm{d} x<\infty$, then for every solution $y(x)$ of the equation (r) there exists a constant $K$, so that for every $x \geqq x_{1} y(x)<K x$ is valid.

Theorem 2. Let the function $f(x)$ be continuous in the interval $\left\langle x_{0}, \infty\right)$ and let

$$
\int^{\infty} x^{\alpha}|f(x)| \mathrm{d} x<\infty
$$

Then for every solution $y(x)$ of equation ( $\mathbf{r}$ ) there exists $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} y^{\prime}(x)=c$. Initial conditions can be chosen such that $c \neq 0$. If in addition

$$
\int^{\infty} x^{\alpha+1}|f(x)| \mathrm{d} x<\infty
$$

then any solution of the equation ( $r$ ) is of the form

$$
y(x)=c_{2} x+c_{1}+o(1)
$$

where $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$ are suitable constants.

Proof. From (r) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(x)=y^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)-\int_{x_{1}}^{x} f(t) y^{\alpha}(t) \mathrm{d} t . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the above remark $|y(x)|<K x$, therefore

$$
\left|\int_{x_{1}}^{x} f(t) y^{\alpha}(t) \mathrm{d} t\right| \leqq K^{\alpha} \int_{x_{1}}^{\infty}|f(x)| x^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} x<\infty .
$$

It follows from this that the integral $\int_{x_{1}}^{\infty} f(t) y^{\alpha}(t) \mathrm{d} t$ exists and by (3) the $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} y^{\prime}(x)$ exists. Further we prove that the initial conditions can be chosen such that $c>0$. But this follows from the next inequality

$$
y^{\prime}(x)=y^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)-\int_{x_{1}}^{x} f(t) y^{\alpha}(t) \mathrm{d} t \geqq y^{\prime}\left(x_{1}\right)-K^{\alpha} \int_{x_{1}}^{\infty}|f(x)| x^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} x>0
$$

which is true for a sufficient large $x_{1}$. The first part of this theorem is thus proved.
To prove the second part, let us suppose that $\int^{\infty} x^{\alpha+1}|f(x)| \mathrm{d} x<\infty$. Then according to the first part for $x \rightarrow \infty, y^{\prime}(x)$ has a limit. Denote it by $c_{2}$. Integrating ( r ) in the interval $\langle x, \infty$ ) we get

$$
y^{\prime}(x)=c_{2}+\int_{x}^{\infty} f(t) y^{\alpha}(t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

From this we have by integration in the interval $\left\langle x_{1}, x\right\rangle$

$$
y(x)=c_{2} x+y\left(x_{1}\right)-c_{2} x_{1}+\int_{x_{1}}^{\infty}\left(t-x_{1}\right) f(t) y^{\alpha}(t) \mathrm{d} t+\int_{x}^{\infty}(x-t) f(t) y^{\alpha}(t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

As

$$
\left|\int_{x_{1}}^{x}\left(t-x_{1}\right) f(t) y^{\alpha}(t) \mathrm{d} t\right| \leqq K^{\alpha} \int_{x_{1}}^{\infty} x^{\alpha+1}|f(x)| \mathrm{d} x<\infty
$$

is valid, then the integral $\int_{x_{1}}^{\infty}\left(t-x_{1}\right) f(t) y^{\alpha}(t) \mathrm{d} t$ exists and

$$
\int_{x}^{\infty}(x-t) f(t) y^{\alpha}(t) \mathrm{d} t=o(1)
$$

is obvious.

If we denote

$$
y\left(x_{1}\right)-c_{2} x_{1}+\int_{x_{1}}^{\infty}\left(t-x_{1}\right) f(t) y^{\alpha}(t) \mathrm{d} t=c_{1}
$$

then we can write

$$
y(x)=c_{2} x+c_{1}+o(1)
$$

Thus the second part is proved.
In paper [4] it has been proved that provided $\int^{\infty} x f(x) \mathrm{d} x<\infty$, the equation $(\mathrm{r})$ has two types of nonoscillatory solutions. Bounded solutions and solutions of the type $y(x) \sim c x$. The following theorem asserts that the equation (r) has no other nonoscillatory solutions.

Theorem 3. Let the function $f(x)$ be nonnegative, continuous in the interval $\left\langle x_{0}, \infty\right)$ and such that

$$
\int^{\infty} x f(x) \mathrm{d} x<\infty
$$

Then any nonoscillatory solution of equation ( $\mathbf{r}$ ) is either bounded or of the form $y(x) \sim c x(c \neq 0)$.

Proof. Let $y(x)$ be a nonoscillatory solution of ( r ), then for a sufficiently large $x$ we have $y(x) \neq 0$. Let $y(x)$ be positive for $x>a$ (likewise for $y(x)<$ $<0$ ). From (r) for $b>a$ we have

$$
y(x)=y(b)+(x-b) y^{\prime}(x)+\int_{b}^{x}(t-b) f(t) y^{\alpha}(t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

Let us suppose that $y(x)$ is not a bounded solution of $(\mathrm{r})$, then for a sufficiently large $b$ we have $y(x)>1$ and

$$
y(x) \leqq y(b)+x y^{\prime}(x)+y(x) \int_{b}^{x} t f(t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

From it we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
1 \leqq \frac{y(b)}{y(x)}+\frac{x y^{\prime}(x)}{y(x)}+\int_{b}^{\infty} x f(x) \mathrm{d} x \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\varepsilon$ be an arbitrary positive number, then it is possible to choose a number $b_{1} \geqq b$ so that $\int_{b_{1}}^{\infty} x f(x) \mathrm{d} x,<\varepsilon$. Thus from (4) we get

$$
1-\varepsilon \leqq \frac{y(b)}{y(x)}+\frac{x y^{\prime}(x)}{y(x)}
$$

from this it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{x \rightarrow \infty} \frac{x y^{\prime}(x)}{y(x)} \geqq 1-\varepsilon . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us choose $\varepsilon<1 / 3$, a number $b_{2} \geqq b_{1}$ such that in the interval $\left\langle b_{2}, \infty\right)$ the inequality $x y^{\prime}(x) \geqq(1-2 \varepsilon) y(x)$ is fulfilled. With regard to the inequality (5) such a choice is possible. $\mathrm{Az} y^{\prime}(x)$ is a decreasing function we have from (r)

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (1-2 \varepsilon)\left(y^{\prime}\left(b_{2}\right)-y^{\prime}(x)\right)=(1-2 \varepsilon) \int_{b_{1}}^{x} f(t) y^{\alpha}(t) \mathrm{d} t \leqq \\
& \leqq \int_{b_{2}}^{\infty}(1-2 \varepsilon) y(t) f(t) \mathrm{d} t \leqq y^{\prime}\left(b_{2}\right) \int_{b_{3}}^{\infty} x f(x) \mathrm{d} x<\varepsilon y^{\prime}\left(b_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the last inequality if follows that

$$
0<\frac{1-3 \varepsilon}{1-2 \varepsilon} y^{\prime}\left(b_{2}\right)<y^{\prime}(x)
$$

and by this the $\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} y^{\prime}(x)=c>0$, i. e. $y(x) \sim c x$.
Theorem 4. Let there exist a number $\beta, 0<\beta \leqq 1$ such that

$$
\lim _{x \rightarrow \infty} \inf x^{\beta} \int_{x}^{\infty} f(t) \mathrm{d} t=k>0
$$

and let the function $f(x)$ be nonnegative and continuous in the interval $\left\langle x_{0}, \infty\right)$. Then for any solution $y(x)$ of (r) $y(x) \neq 0$ in the interval $\langle a, \infty)\left(a \geqq x_{0}\right)$ there exists $a$ constant $c$ such that for any $x \geqq a$ the following inequality holds

$$
|y(x)| \geqq c(x-a)^{(1-\beta) /(1-\alpha)} .
$$

Proof. We can consider only such a solution $y(x)$ of $(\mathrm{r})$ that is positive for $x \geqq a$. By integrating ( r ) in the interval $\langle x, b\rangle$ and then in $\langle a, x\rangle(b\rangle a)$ we have

$$
y(x)=y(a)+(x-a) y^{\prime}(b)+\int_{a}^{x}(t-a) f(t) y^{\alpha}(t) \mathrm{d} t+(x-a) \int_{x}^{b} f(t) y^{\alpha}(t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

Because the function $f(x)$ is nonnegative and for any $b>a$ there is $y^{\prime}(b) \geqq 0$, we get the following inequality

$$
y(x) \geqq(x-a) \int_{x}^{b} f(t) y^{\alpha}(t) \mathrm{d} t \geqq(x-a) y^{\alpha}(x) \int_{x}^{b} f(t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

And thus we have for any $b>a$

$$
(y(x))^{1-\alpha} /(x-a)^{1-\beta} \geqq(x-a)^{\beta} \int_{x}^{b} f(t) \mathrm{d} t
$$

Since the function on the left-hand side of the last inequality is bounded from below by a positive constant on every finite interval $\left\langle a, a_{1}\right\rangle$, then it follows from this and from the assumption of the theorem that there exists a constant $c>0$ so that

$$
y(x) \geqq c(x-a)^{(1-\beta) /(1-\alpha)} .
$$

Thus the theorem is proved.
In the following two theorems we shall state conditions under which equation ( $r$ ) has nontrivial oscillatory solutions together with nonoscillatory solutions and conditions under which all solutions of ( r ) are nonoscillatory.

Theorem 5. Let the function $f(x)$ be positive and continuous in the interval $\left\langle x_{0}, \infty\right)\left(x_{0}>0\right)$. Besides let the function

$$
f(x) x^{(3+\alpha) / 2}
$$

be nonincreasing and bounded from below with a positive constant $k$.Then equation
(r) has both nontrivial oscillatory nad nonoscillatory solutions.

Proof. l. We shall prove the existence of an oscillatory solution of (r). The change of variables $\lg x=t, y=x^{1 / 2} u$ transforms ( r ) into

$$
\begin{gather*}
\ddot{u}(t)-u(t) / 4+f(x) x^{(3+\alpha) / 2} u^{\alpha}(t)=0  \tag{6}\\
\left(\dot{u}=\frac{\mathrm{d} u}{\mathrm{~d} t}, \lg x=t\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

Let us find a solution of equation (6) with the initial conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(a)=0,0<\dot{u}^{2}(a)<(4 k)^{(1+\alpha) /(1-\alpha)} k(1-\alpha) /(1+\alpha) . \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall show that this solution is oscillatory. Multiply (6) by $2 \dot{u}(t)$ and integrate it in the interval $\langle a, t\rangle$, we have

$$
\dot{u}^{2}(t)-u^{2}(t) / 4+2 \int_{a}^{t} f\left(\mathrm{e}^{\nu}\right) \mathrm{e}^{(3+\alpha) v / 2} u^{\alpha}(v) \dot{u}(v) \mathrm{d} v=\dot{u}^{2}(a) .
$$

If we use the second mean value theorem for integrals after an arrangement we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}^{2}(t)-u^{2}(t) / 4+2 /(\alpha+1) f\left(\mathrm{e}^{t}\right) \mathrm{e}^{(3+\alpha) t / 2} u^{\alpha+1}(t) \leqq \dot{u}^{2}(a) . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution with initial conditions (7) remains for $t \geqq a$ in the region

$$
\begin{equation*}
-(4 k)^{1 /(1-\alpha)}<u(t)<(4 k)^{1 /(1-\alpha)} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the opposite case the point $t_{0}>a$ would exist such that $u\left(t_{0}\right)=(4 k)^{1 /(1-\alpha)}$. Then from (8) it follows

$$
\begin{gathered}
\dot{u}^{2}\left(t_{0}\right) \leqq \dot{u}^{2}(a)+(4 k)^{(1+\alpha) /(1-\alpha)}\left\{k-2 /(1+\alpha) f\left(\mathrm{e}^{t_{0}}\right) \mathrm{e}^{(3+\alpha) t_{0} / 2}\right\} \leqq \dot{u}^{2}(a)- \\
-(4 k)^{(1+\alpha) /(1-\alpha)} k(1-\alpha) /(1+\alpha)<0,
\end{gathered}
$$

but this is a contradiction. If $u(t)$ is a solution of (6), then $-u(t)$ is also a solution of (6) and thus we can consider only $u(t) \geqq 0$. Hence inequality (9) it true.

We shall show further that this solution $u(t)$ has no last zero. Let the poin$\bar{t}>a$ be the last zero of $u(t)$ and for $t>\bar{t} \quad u(t)>0$. From (6) it is evident thas for any $t>\bar{t} \quad \ddot{u}(t)<0$. In the opposite case a num bert $t_{1}>\bar{t}$ would exist such that $\ddot{u}\left(t_{1}\right) \geqq 0$, j. e.

$$
\ddot{u}\left(t_{1}\right)=u^{\alpha}\left(t_{1}\right)\left\{u^{1-\alpha}\left(t_{1}\right) / 4-2 /(\alpha+1) f\left(\mathrm{e}^{t_{1}}\right) \mathrm{e}^{(3+\alpha) t_{1} / 2}\right\} \geqq 0 .
$$

Hence we have

$$
u^{1-\alpha}\left(t_{1}\right) / 4 \geqq 2 /(\alpha+1) f\left(\mathrm{e}^{t_{1}}\right) \mathrm{e}^{(3+\alpha) t_{1} / 2}>k
$$

and finally $u\left(t_{1}\right) \geqq(4 k)^{1 /(1-\alpha)}$, which contradicts (9).
Thus $u(t)$ is concave in the interval $\langle\bar{t}, \infty)$ and since it is a positive function, there exists the $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t)=c>0$. From (6) we obtain for $t \rightarrow \infty$

$$
0=-c / 4+\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{f}\left(\mathrm{e}^{t}\right) \mathrm{e}^{(3+\alpha) t / 2} c^{\alpha} \geqq c^{\alpha}\left(-c^{1-\alpha} / 4+k\right)
$$

Hence we have $c \geqq(4 k)^{1 /(1-\alpha)}$. The case $c>(4 k)^{1 /(1-\alpha)}$ contradicts (9). If $c=(4 k)^{1 /(1-\alpha)}$, then from (8)we obtain

$$
-(1 / 4)(4 k)^{2 /(1-\alpha)}+2 /(\alpha+1) k(4 k)^{(1+\alpha) /(1-\alpha)} \leqq \dot{u}^{2}(a)
$$

and hence after an arrangement

$$
(4 k)^{(1+\alpha) /(1-\alpha)} k(1-\alpha) /(1+\alpha) \leqq \dot{u}^{2}(\alpha) .
$$

But this contradits (7). Thus the solution $u(t)$ has no last zero and by the above transformation $y(x)$ is oscillatory.
2. We shall prove the existence of a nonoscillatory solution. Since the function $f(x) x^{(3+\alpha) / 2}$ is nonincreasing, there exists a constant $K$ such that for any $x \in\left\langle x_{0}, \infty\right)$ the following inequality holds $f(x) x^{\alpha}<K x^{(\alpha-3) / 2}$. From this it follows that for an arbitrary positive number $\varepsilon<1$, we can find a number $a>x_{0}$ such that $\int_{a}^{\infty} f(x) x^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} x<\varepsilon<1$. Consider the initial value problem of
(r) $y(a)=0, y^{\prime}(a) \geqq 1$. This solution $y(x)$ has no zero in the interval ( $a, \infty$ ). In the opposite case a number $b>a$ would exist such that $y(b)=0$ and $y(x)>0$ in the interval $(a, b)$. The solution $y(x)$ satisfies the following inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(x) \leqq y^{\prime}(a)(x-a) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $x$ in the interval $(a, b)$. Integrating (r) and by (10) we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
y^{\prime}(a) \leqq y^{\prime}(x)+\int_{a}^{x} f(t) y^{\prime \alpha}(a)(t-a)^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} t \leqq y^{\prime}(x)+y^{\prime}(a) \int_{a}^{\infty} f(t) t^{\alpha} \mathrm{d} t \leqq \\
\leqq y^{\prime}(x)+y^{\prime}(a) \varepsilon
\end{gathered}
$$

Finally we have the inequality

$$
0<y^{\prime}(a)(1-\varepsilon) \leqslant y^{\prime}(x)
$$

We see that $y(x)$ is an increasing function in the interval $(a, b)$ and thus we have a contradiction. Hence the solution has no zero in the interval ( $a, \infty$ ) and thus is nonoscillatory.

It is obvious that in both cases there exists an infinite number of such solutions and this proves the theorem.

Theorem 6. Let the function $f(x)$ be positive and continuous in the interval $\left\langle x_{0}, \infty\right)\left(x_{0}>0\right)$. Let there exist a number $\beta, 0<\beta<(1-\alpha) / 2$ such that the function

$$
f(x) x^{(3+\alpha) / 2+\beta}
$$

is nondecreasing and bounded from above by a positive constant $k$. Then all solutions of (r), besides the trivial one, are nonoscillatory.

Proof. Let there be $\delta=1 / 2+\beta /(\alpha-1)$. The change of variables $\lg x=$ $t, y=x^{\delta} u$ transforms (r) into

$$
\begin{gather*}
\ddot{u}(t)+(2 \delta-1) \dot{u}(t)+\delta(\delta-1) u(t)+f(x) x^{(\alpha+3) / 2+\beta} u^{\alpha}(t)=0  \tag{11}\\
\left(\dot{u}=\frac{\mathrm{d} u}{\mathrm{~d} t}, \lg x=t\right),
\end{gather*}
$$

where $2 \delta-1<0, \delta(\delta-1)<0$.
If we multiply (11) by $2 \dot{u}(t)$, integrate in the interval $\left\langle t_{0}, t\right\rangle$ and use the second mean value theorem for integrals we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}^{2}(t)+2(2 \delta-1) \int_{t_{0}}^{t} \dot{u}^{2}(v) \mathrm{d} v+\delta(\delta-1) u^{2}(t)+ \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

$+2 f\left(\mathrm{e}^{t}\right) \mathrm{e}^{((3+\alpha) / 2+\beta) t} \int_{\xi}^{t} u^{\alpha}(v) \dot{u}(v) \mathrm{d} v=\dot{u}^{2}\left(t_{0}\right)+\delta(\delta-\mathrm{J}) u^{2}\left(t_{0}\right) \quad\left(t_{0} \leqq \xi \leqq t\right)$.

Since $f(x) x^{(3+\alpha) / 2+\beta}<k$ for all $x$ of the interval $\left\langle x_{0}, \infty\right)$, then after an arrangement from (12) it follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{u}^{2}(t)+\delta(\delta-1) u^{2}(t)+2 /(\alpha+1) k u^{\alpha+1}(t)>\dot{u}^{2}\left(t_{0}\right)+\delta(\delta-1) u^{2}\left(t_{0}\right) . \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us suppose that the equation (11) has an oscillatory solution $u(t) \quad \vDash 0$. If we denote by $\left\{t_{n}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ the sequence of zeros of the solution $u(t)$ and by $\left\{t_{n}^{\prime}\right\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ the sequence of zeros of $\dot{u}(t)$, then from (13) we can see that $\left\{\left|\dot{u}\left(t_{n}\right)\right|\right\}_{n}^{\infty} 0_{0}$ increase. Besides, $u(t)$ satisfies the inequality

$$
-\{2 k /((\alpha+1) \delta(1-\delta))\}^{1 /(1-\alpha)} \leqq u(t) \leqq\{2 k /((\alpha+1) \delta(1-\delta))\}^{1 /(1-\alpha)}
$$

since in the opposite case the point $t_{k}^{\prime}$ would exist such that the following holds

$$
u\left(t_{k}^{\prime}\right)>\{2 k /((\alpha+1) \delta(1-\delta))\}^{1 /(1-\alpha)}, \quad u\left(t_{k}^{\prime}\right)=0 .
$$

Hence

$$
\delta(\delta-1) u^{1-\alpha}\left(t_{k}^{\prime}\right)<-2 k /(1+\alpha)<-k<-f\left(\mathrm{e}^{t_{k}^{\prime}}\right) \mathrm{e}^{((3+\alpha) / 2+\beta) t_{i}} .
$$

Then from (11) we obtain

$$
\ddot{u}\left(t_{k}^{\prime}\right)=-u^{\alpha}\left(t_{k}^{\prime}\right)\left\{\delta(\delta-1) u^{1-\alpha}\left(t_{k}^{\prime}\right)+f\left(\mathrm{e}^{t_{k}}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{e}(\alpha+3) / 2+\beta) t_{k}}\right\}>0,
$$

i. e. the solution $u(t)$ at a neighbourhood of the point $t_{k}^{\prime}$ is a convex function, but this is a contradiction.

As $\left\{\left|\dot{u}\left(t_{n}\right)\right|\right\}$ increases, then either $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\dot{u}\left(t_{n}\right)\right|=\infty$, or $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|\dot{u}\left(t_{n}\right)\right|=L>$ $>\left|\dot{u}\left(t_{1}\right)\right|>0$. If in the first case we put $t_{0}=t_{k}$ and $t=t_{k}^{\prime}$ so that $\mid \dot{u}\left(t_{k}\right)$ is sufficiently large we obtain from (13)

$$
\delta(\delta-1) u^{2}\left(t_{k}^{\prime}\right)+2 /(\alpha+1) k u^{\alpha+1}\left(t_{k}^{\prime}\right)>\dot{u}^{2}\left(t_{k}\right)
$$

But this contradicts the boundedness of $u(t)$. In the second case $\ddot{u}(t)$ is bounded. This follows from (11) since $u(t)$ and $\dot{u}(t)$ are bounded for every oscillatory solution. Besides, it is evident from (12) that $\int_{t_{0}}^{\infty} \dot{u}^{2}(v) \mathrm{d} v<\infty$. Hence it follows that $\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \dot{u}(t)=0$ (cf. [3] p. 185), but this is a contradiction. We have thus proved that the solution $u(t) \equiv \equiv 0$ of the equation (11) cannot be oscillatory. Thus any nontrivial solution of $(\mathrm{r})$ is nonoscillatory. This proves the theorem.
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