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# A MINIMAXIMIN FORMULA AND ITS APPLICATION TO DOUBLY STOCHASTIC MATRICES 

## MIROSLAV FIEDLER

1. Introduction. In this note, we intend to prove the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{\substack{0 \neq z=\left(z_{i}\right) \in R_{n} \\ i \in N}} \max _{\substack{M \subset N \\ i \in c_{i}=0}} \min _{\substack{i \in M \\ j \in M}} \frac{z_{i}-z_{k}}{\sum_{j \neq M} z_{j}}=2\left(1-\cos \frac{\pi}{n}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R_{\boldsymbol{n}}$ denotes the real $n$-dimensional space of column vectors and $N=$ $=\{1, \ldots, n\}$.

Then we shall show that an inequality for eigenvalues of doubly stochastic matrices proved in [1] by another method follows easily from (1).
2. Proof of (1). Define

$$
X_{0}=\left\{\boldsymbol{z}=\left(z_{i}\right) \in R_{n} \mid \boldsymbol{z} \neq \boldsymbol{0}, \sum_{i \in \boldsymbol{N}} z_{i}=0\right\}
$$

and for $\boldsymbol{z}=\left(z_{i}\right) \in X_{0}$ let

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(\boldsymbol{z})=\max _{\substack{M \subset N \\ \sum_{j \in M} z_{j} \neq 0}} \min _{\substack{i \in M \\ k \notin M}} \frac{z_{i}-z_{k}}{\sum_{j \in M} z_{j}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.1. Let $\boldsymbol{z}=\left(z_{i}\right) \in X_{0}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{1} \geqq z_{2} \geqq \ldots \geqq z_{n} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{s} z_{j}>0 \quad \text { for } \quad s=1, \ldots, n-1
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(\boldsymbol{z})=\max _{s=1, \ldots, n-1} \frac{z_{s}-z_{s+1}}{\sum_{j=1}^{s} z_{j}} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Denote, for $s=1, \ldots, n-1, M_{s}=\{1,2, \ldots, s\}$. Let $z=\left(z_{i}\right) \in X_{0}$ satisfy (3). Then clearly

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{1}>0, \quad z_{n}<0 \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Assume first that for some $t \in M_{n-1}$,

$$
\sum_{j \in M_{t}} z_{j} \leqq 0
$$

Then $z_{t}<0$ and $\sum_{j=t+1}^{n} z_{j}<0$ so that

$$
0=\sum_{i \in N} z_{i}=\sum_{j \in M_{t}} z_{j}+\sum_{j=t+1}^{n} z_{j}<0
$$

a contradiction.
Let now $s \in M_{n-1}$. Then clearly

$$
\min _{\substack{i \in M_{s} \\ k \notin M_{s}}} \frac{z_{i}-z_{k}}{\sum_{j \in M_{s}} z_{j}}=\frac{z_{s}-z_{s+1}}{\sum_{j \in M_{s}} z_{j}}
$$

Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(z) \geqq \max _{s \in M_{n-1}} \frac{z_{s}-z_{s+1}}{\sum_{j \in M_{s}} z_{j}} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and also

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(\boldsymbol{z})>0, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

since the coordinates $z_{i}$ are not all equal.
Let now $M_{0}$ be that (non-void proper) subset of $N$ for which the maximum in (2) is attained:

$$
m(\boldsymbol{z})=\min _{\substack{i \in M_{0} \\ k \neq M_{0}}} \frac{z_{i}-z_{k}}{\sum_{j \in M_{0}} z_{j}} .
$$

Since $\bar{M}_{0}=N \backslash M_{0}$ also satisfies

$$
m(\boldsymbol{z})=\min _{\substack{i \in \bar{M}_{0} \\ k \notin \bar{M}_{0}}} \frac{z_{i}-z_{k}}{\sum_{j \in \bar{M}_{0}} z_{j}}
$$

we can assume that $1 \in M_{0}$. Let us show that $M_{0}=M_{p-1}$, where $p$ is the least index in $\bar{M}_{0}$.

By (7),

$$
0<m(z) \leqq \frac{z_{1}-z_{p}}{\sum_{j \in M_{0}} z_{j}}
$$

so that

$$
\sum_{j \in M_{0}} z_{j}>0
$$

Suppose that there is an element $q \in M_{0}$ such that $q>p$. Then

$$
0<m(\boldsymbol{z}) \leqq \frac{z_{q}-z_{p}}{\sum_{j \in M_{0}} z_{j}}
$$

so that

$$
z_{q}>z_{p}
$$

a contradiction with (3). Thus $M_{0}=M_{p-1}$ and the proof is complete.

Lemma 2.2. The $n \times n$ matrix

$$
\boldsymbol{A}_{n}=\left\{\begin{array}{rrrrrrr}
1 & -1 & & & & & \\
-1 & 2 & -1 & & & & \\
& -1 & 2 & . & & & \\
& & \cdot & . & . & & \\
& & & \cdot & . & . & \\
& & & & -1 & 2 & -1 \\
& & & & & -1 & 1
\end{array}\right\}
$$

has eigenvalues $2(1-\cos (k \pi / n)), k=0,1, \ldots, n-1$, corresponding to the eigenvectors $u_{k}=(\cos (k \pi / 2 n), \cos (3 k \pi / 2 n), \ldots, \cos ((2 n-1) k \pi / 2 n))^{T}, k=$ $=0, \ldots, n-1$.

Proof. It follows by direct computation that

$$
\boldsymbol{A}_{n} \boldsymbol{u}_{k}=2(1-\cos (k \pi / n)) \boldsymbol{u}_{k}
$$

We are now able to prove the main result (1), which can be written as

$$
\min _{z \in X_{0}} m(z)=2\left(1-\cos \frac{\pi}{n}\right) .
$$

Let $\boldsymbol{z} \in X_{0}$. Since for any permutation matrix $\boldsymbol{P}$

$$
m(\boldsymbol{P} \boldsymbol{z})=m(\boldsymbol{z}),
$$

we can assume that $\boldsymbol{z}=\left(z_{j}\right)$ satisfies (3). According to Lemma 2.1,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& z_{1}-z_{2} \leqq m(\boldsymbol{z}) z_{1}, \\
& z_{2}-z_{3} \leqq m(\boldsymbol{z})\left(z_{1}+z_{2}\right), \\
& \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \cdots \\
& z_{n-1}-z_{n} \leqq m(\boldsymbol{z})\left(z_{1}+\ldots+z_{n-1}\right), \\
& 0=m(\boldsymbol{z})\left(z_{1}+\ldots+z_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us multiply the first inequality by $z_{1}-z_{2}$, the second by $z_{2}-z_{3}$ etc., the last by $z_{n}$ and add. By Abel's summation formula,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{n-1}\left(z_{i}-z_{i+1}\right)^{2} \leqq m(\boldsymbol{z}) \sum_{i=1}^{n} z_{1}^{2} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denoting by $(\boldsymbol{y}, \boldsymbol{z})$ the inner product $\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} z_{i}$ of the vectors $\boldsymbol{y}=\left(y_{i}\right), \boldsymbol{z}=\left(z_{i}\right)$, (8) can be written as

$$
m(\boldsymbol{z}) \geqq \frac{\left(\boldsymbol{A}_{n} \boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{z}\right)}{(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{z})}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{A}_{n}$ is the matrix from Lemma 2, 2. Thus,

$$
\min _{\boldsymbol{z} \in X_{0}} m(\boldsymbol{z}) \geqq \min _{z \in X_{0}} \frac{\left(\boldsymbol{A}_{n} \boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{z}\right)}{(\boldsymbol{z}, \boldsymbol{z})}
$$

Since $\boldsymbol{e}=(1, \ldots, 1)^{T}$ is the eigenvector $\boldsymbol{u}_{0}$ of $\boldsymbol{A}_{n}$ corresponding to the smallest eigenvalue, the right-hand side is, according to the well-known CourantFischer principle, equal to the second smallest eigenvalue of the matrix $\boldsymbol{A}_{\boldsymbol{n}}$, which is by Lemma 2,2 equal to $2(1-\cos (\pi / n))$. Thus,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\min _{z \in X_{0}} m(\boldsymbol{z}) \geqq 2\left(1-\cos \frac{\pi}{n}\right) \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

An easy computation shows that for the vector $\boldsymbol{u}_{1}$ from Lemma 2,2,

$$
m\left(\boldsymbol{u}_{1}\right)=2\left(1-\cos \frac{\pi}{n}\right)
$$

and, since $\boldsymbol{u}_{1} \in X_{0}$, equality in (9) holds. The proof is complete.
3. An application. Let us recall that an $n \times n$ matrix $\boldsymbol{A}=\left(a_{i k}\right)$ is doubly stochastic iff $\boldsymbol{A} \geqq \mathbf{0}$ and $\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{i k}=\sum_{k=1}^{n} a_{k i}=1$ for all $i \in N$. For such a matrix $\boldsymbol{A}$, the so called measure of irreducibility $\mu(\boldsymbol{A})$ was defined in [1] by

$$
\mu(\boldsymbol{A})=\min _{\varnothing \neq \boldsymbol{M} \neq \boldsymbol{N}} \sum_{\substack{i \in M \\ k \neq M}} a_{i k}
$$

It was proved in [l] that if $\boldsymbol{A}$ is symmetric, doubly stochastic with eigenvalues $1=\lambda_{1} \geqq \lambda_{2} \geqq \ldots \geqq \lambda_{n}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2} \geqq 2(1-\cos (\pi / n)) \mu(\boldsymbol{A}) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, as an easy consequence, that if $\boldsymbol{A}$ is doubly stochastic, then any eigenvalue $\lambda \neq 1$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
|1-\lambda| \geqq 2(1-\cos (\pi / n)) \mu(A) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall show that (10), and thus (11), follows easily from the formula (1). The same idea was used in [2] for obtaining similar results for general nonnegative matrices.

Let $\boldsymbol{A}$ be a symmetric doubly stochastic matrix with eigenvalues $1=\lambda_{1} \geqq$ $\geqq \lambda_{2} \geqq \ldots \geqq \lambda_{n}$.

Then $\boldsymbol{e}=(1, \ldots, 1)^{T}$ is an eigenvector of $\boldsymbol{A}$ corresponding to the eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}=1$. Let $\boldsymbol{z}=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)^{T}$ be an eigenvector corresponding to $\lambda_{2}$. If $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}$, we choose $\boldsymbol{z}$ orthogonal to $\boldsymbol{e}$. The well-known orthogonality property ensures then $\boldsymbol{z} \in X_{0}$. Let $M_{0}$ be that subset of $N$ for which the maximum in (2) is attained:

$$
m(\boldsymbol{z})=\min _{\substack{i \in M_{0} \\ k \notin M_{0}}} \frac{z_{i}-z_{k}}{\sum_{j \in M_{0}} z_{j}}
$$

(thus $\left.\sum_{j \in M_{0}} z_{j} \neq 0\right)$.
Without loss of generality, we can assume that $M_{0}=\{1, \ldots, m\}$, where $\mathrm{l} \leqq m \leqq n-\mathrm{l}$.

Let $\boldsymbol{z}_{1}=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{m}\right)^{T}, \boldsymbol{z}_{2}=\left(z_{m+1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)^{T}$. We can write, in the partitioned form,

$$
\boldsymbol{e}=\binom{\boldsymbol{e}_{1}}{\boldsymbol{e}_{2}}, \quad \boldsymbol{z}=\binom{\boldsymbol{z}_{1}}{\boldsymbol{z}_{2}}, \quad \boldsymbol{A}=\binom{\boldsymbol{A}_{11}, \boldsymbol{A}_{12}}{\boldsymbol{A}_{12}^{T}, \boldsymbol{A}_{22}},
$$

where $\boldsymbol{e}_{1}$ has $m$ rows and $\boldsymbol{A}_{11}$ is $m \times m$. Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{e}=\boldsymbol{e} \\
& \boldsymbol{A} \boldsymbol{z}=\lambda_{2} \boldsymbol{z}
\end{aligned}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \boldsymbol{A}_{11} \boldsymbol{e}_{1}+\boldsymbol{A}_{12} \boldsymbol{e}_{2}=\boldsymbol{e}_{1} \\
& \boldsymbol{A}_{11} \boldsymbol{z}_{1}+\boldsymbol{A}_{12} \boldsymbol{z}_{2}=\lambda_{1} \boldsymbol{z}_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

If we multiply the first equality from the left by $\boldsymbol{z}_{1}^{T}$, the second by $\boldsymbol{e}_{1}^{T}$ and subtract, we have, by symmetry of $\boldsymbol{A}_{11}$,

$$
\boldsymbol{z}_{1}^{T} \boldsymbol{A}_{12} \boldsymbol{e}_{2}-\boldsymbol{e}_{1}^{T} \boldsymbol{A}_{12} \boldsymbol{z}_{2}=\left(1-\lambda_{2}\right) \boldsymbol{e}_{1}^{T} \boldsymbol{z}_{1}
$$

This can be written in the form

$$
\sum_{\substack{i \in M_{0} \\ k \notin M_{0}}} a_{i k}\left(z_{i}-z_{k}\right)=\left(1-\lambda_{2}\right) \sum_{j \in M_{0}} z_{j}
$$

Thus, by (1) and the definition of $\mu(\boldsymbol{A})$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}=1-\lambda_{2}=\sum_{\substack{i \in M_{0} \\
k \notin M_{0}}} a_{i k} \frac{z_{i}-z_{k}}{\sum_{j \in M_{0}} z_{j}} \geqq\left(\sum_{\substack{i \in M_{0} \\
k \notin M_{0}}} a_{i k}\right) m(\boldsymbol{z}) \geqq \\
& \geqq 2\left(1-\cos \frac{\pi}{n}\right) \sum_{\substack{i \in M_{0} \\
k \notin M_{0}}} a_{i k} \geqq 2\left(1-\cos \frac{\pi}{n}\right) \mu(\boldsymbol{A}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The proof of (10) is complete.
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