## Matematický časopis

## Marta Gerová

## A Sufficient Disconjugacy Condition for the Third Order Differential Equation

Matematický časopis, Vol. 24 (1974), No. 3, 253--258
Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/126965

## Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1974

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these Terms of use.


This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library http://project.dml.cz

# A SUFFICIENT DISCONJUGACY CONDITION FOR THE THIRD ORDER DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION 

marta geroví, Bratislava

A linear differential equation of the $n$-th order is said to be disconjugate on an interval $J$ if every nontrivial solution of this equation has at most $\mathrm{n}-\mathrm{l}$ zeros (including multiplicity) on $J$.

In this paper a sufficient condition for the disconjugacy of the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
L x=x^{\prime \prime \prime}+p(t) x^{\prime \prime}+q(t) x^{\prime}+r(t) x=0 \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

on $J$ is established. This condition generalizes results of [1] and [2] dealing with the above - mentioned problem.

Throughout the present paper the interval $J$ denotes any interval at the number axis with the terminal points $a$ and $b$, where $-\infty<a<b<+\infty$.

The coefficients $p(t), q(t), r(t)$ are assumed to be locally integrable functions on the interval $J$. Furthermore the functions $p(t), r(t)$ are bounded on the interval $J$ and $q(t)$ is bounded from above on $J$. (All inequalities are to be understood to hold almost everywhere on $J$.)

Let

1. $h=b-a$;
2. $|p(t)| \leqq P, \quad q(t) \leqq Q, \quad|r(t)| \leqq R \quad$ for $\quad t \in J$,
where $P, Q, R$ are real numbers;
3. $E_{0}(t)=e^{t}-e^{t}-\frac{t}{2}, \quad E(t)=t e^{t}-e^{t}-\frac{t^{2}}{2}+1, \quad F(t)=e^{t}-t-1 ;$
4. $C_{*}^{m}(J)$ means the set of functions with the absolutely continuous $m$-th derivative on $J$.
R. M. Mathsen [1] has proved the following

Theorem A. Let $J=[a, b]$. Let $p(t), q(t), r(t)$ be continuous functions on the interval $J, q(t) \leqq 0$ for $t \in J$ and $P>0$,

$$
\frac{R}{P^{2}}(h+1) E_{0}(h P) \leqq 1
$$

Then the differential equation (1) is disconjugate on the interval $J$.
L. K. Jackson [2] has generalized this theorem in the following sense:

Theorem B. Let $J=[a, b]$ and $p(t), q(t), r(t)$ be continuous functions in the interval $J$ and $q(t) \leqq 0$ for $t \in J$. If $P>0$ and

$$
\frac{R}{P^{2}} h E_{0}(h P) \leqq 1
$$

then the differential equation (1) is disconjugate on the interval $J$.
The following quoted lemma will be used to prove an assertion generalizing Theorem B.

Lemma 1. Let $J=[a, b]$ and let there be functions $w_{1}(t), w_{2}(t)$ belonging to $C_{*}^{\dot{*}}(J)$ with the properties:

$$
\begin{gathered}
w_{1}(t)>0 \quad \text { for } \quad t \in(a, b), \quad w_{2}(t)>0, \quad\left|\begin{array}{l}
w_{1}(t), w_{2}(t) \\
w_{1}^{\prime}(t), w_{2}^{\prime}(t)
\end{array}\right|>0, \\
L w_{1} \geqq 0, \quad L w_{2} \leqq 0 \quad \text { for } \quad t \in(a, b] .
\end{gathered}
$$

Then the differential equation $L x=0$ is disconjugate in the interval $J$ ([3], pp. 77, 80).

Theorem 1. Let $P>0, \quad Q \geqq 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{R}{P^{3}} E(h P)+\frac{Q}{P^{2}} F(h P) \leqq 1 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the differential equation (1) is disconjugate in the interval $J$.
Proof. Let $j=[\alpha, \beta]$ be an arbitrary, fixed and compact subinterval of the interval $J$. Define the following functions

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{1}(t)=\frac{1}{P^{2}}\left[e^{P(b-t)}-e^{P(b-a)}\right]+\frac{1}{P}(t-a) \\
& s_{2}(t)=\frac{1}{P^{2}}\left[e^{P(b-a)}-e^{P(t-a)}\right]+\frac{1}{P}(t-b)
\end{aligned}
$$

in the interval $J$.
It is obvious that $s_{1}(a)=s_{2}(b)=0, s_{1}(t)<0, s_{2}^{\prime}(t)<0$ if $t \in(a, b]$ and $s_{2}(t)>0, s_{1}^{\prime}(t)<0$ for $t \in[a, b)$.

Put

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{1}(t)=\int_{b}^{t} s_{1}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau, \quad w_{2}(t)=\int_{a}^{t} s_{2}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau \quad(t \in J) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
w_{1}(t)>0 \quad \text { in }[a, b), w_{2}(t)>0 \quad \text { in } \quad(a, b] ; \\
w_{1}^{\prime}(t)=s_{1}(t), \quad w_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t)=\frac{1}{P}\left[1-e^{P(b-t)}\right] \leqq 0, \quad w_{2}^{\prime}(t)=s_{2}(t), \\
w_{2}^{\prime \prime}(t)=-\frac{1}{P}\left[e^{P(t-a)}-1\right] \leqq 0 ; \\
w_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)=e^{P(b-t)}=1-P w_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t), \quad w_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)=-e^{P(t-a)}=P w_{2}^{\prime \prime}(t)-1
\end{gathered}
$$

for $t \in J$.
Hence

$$
w_{1}^{\prime}(t) \geqq s_{1}(b)=-\frac{1}{P^{2}} F(h P), \quad w_{2}^{\prime}(t) \leqq s_{2}(a)=\frac{1}{P^{2}} F(h P)
$$

and

$$
w_{1}(t) \leqq w_{1}(a)=\int_{b}^{a} s_{1}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau, \quad w_{2}(t) \leqq w_{2}(b)=\int_{i}^{b} s_{2}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau
$$

for $t \in J$.
Since

$$
\int_{b}^{a} s_{1}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau=\int_{a}^{b} s_{2}(\tau) \mathrm{d} \tau=P^{-3} E(h P)
$$

we get

$$
w_{1}(t) \leqq P^{-3} E(h P), \quad w_{2}(t) \leqq P^{-3} E(h P) \quad(t \in J)
$$

From these relations and by the inequality (2), the estimates

$$
\begin{gathered}
w_{1}^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)=1-P w_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t) \geqq R P^{-3} E(h P)+Q P^{-2} F(h P)-p(t) w_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t) \geqq \\
\geqq R w_{1}(t)-Q w_{1}^{\prime}(t)-p(t) w_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t) \geqq-r(t) w_{1}(t)-q(t) w_{1}^{\prime}(t)-p(t) w_{1}^{\prime \prime}(t), \\
w_{2}^{\prime \prime \prime}(t)=-1+P w_{2}^{\prime \prime}(t) \leqq-R P^{-3} E(h P)-Q P^{-2} F(h P)-p(t) w_{2}^{\prime \prime}(t) \leqq \\
\leqq-R w_{2}(t)-Q w_{2}^{\prime}(t)-p(t) w_{2}^{\prime \prime}(t) \leqq-r(t) w_{2}(t)-q(t) w_{2}^{\prime}(t)-p(t) w_{2}^{\prime \prime}(t)
\end{gathered}
$$

hold on $J$, i.e. $L w_{1} \geqq 0, L w_{2} \leqq 0$ for $t \in J$. Further, the properties of functions $w_{1}(t), w_{2}(t)$ imply

$$
w_{12}(t)=\left|\begin{array}{c}
w_{1}(t), w_{2}(t) \\
w_{1}^{\prime}(t), w_{2}^{\prime}(t)
\end{array}\right|=w_{1}(t) w_{2}^{\prime}(t)-w_{1}^{\prime}(t) w_{2}(t)=w_{1}(t) s_{2}(t)-s_{1}(t) w_{2}(t)>0
$$

in $[a, b]\left(w_{12}(a)=w_{1}(a) \dot{s}_{2}(a)>0, w_{12}(b)=-s_{1}(b) w_{2}(b)>0\right)$.
We see that the functions $w_{1}(t), w_{2}(t)$ satisfy the assumptions of Lemma 1 on the interval $j$. Then the differential equation $L x=0$ is disconjugate on $j$. Since the interval $j$ is an arbitrary compact subinterval of $J$, the differential equation $L x=0$ is disconjugate on $J$.

Corollary. Let $q(t) \leqq 0$ for $t \in J$ and $P>0$ and

$$
\frac{R}{P^{3}} E(h P) \leqq 1 .
$$

Then the differential equation (1) is disconjugate in the interval $J$.
In view of $\tau^{-1} E(\tau)<E_{0}(\tau)$ for $\tau>0$, this corollary implies Theorem B .
Theorem 1'. Let $Q \geqq 0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
R \frac{h^{3}}{3}+Q \frac{h^{2}}{2}+P h \leqq 1 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the differential equation (1) is disconjugate on the interval $J$.
Proof of this theorem is analogous to the proof of Theorem 1, however, instead of the functions $w_{1}(t), w_{2}(t)$ defined in (3) we have to take the functions $\frac{1}{2}(b-t)\left[(b-a)^{2}-\frac{(b-t)^{2}}{3}\right], \quad \frac{1}{2}(t-a)\left[(b-a)^{2}-\frac{(t-a)^{2}}{3}\right] \quad(t \in J)$, respectively.

Corollary. Let $q(t) \leqq 0$ for $t \in J$ and

$$
R \frac{h^{3}}{3} \leqq 1
$$

Then the differential equation

$$
x^{\prime \prime \prime}+q(t) x^{\prime}+r(t) x=0
$$

is disconjugate on the interval $J$.
Remark l. Let $Q \geqq 0, P>0$ and let the inequality (2) hold, then the number $h$ satisfies the inequality

$$
\frac{R}{P^{3}} \sum_{i=3}^{n} \frac{(P h)^{i}}{i(i-2)!}+\frac{Q}{P^{2}} \sum_{i=2}^{m} \frac{(P h)^{i}}{i!}<1
$$

where $n$ and $m$ are arbitrary integers such that $n \geqq 3, m \geqq 2$.
Especially, if $n=3$ and $m=2$

$$
R \frac{h^{3}}{3}+Q \frac{h^{2}}{2}<1
$$

Remark 2. Let $Q \geqq 0, P>0$ and let the inequality (4) hold, then (2) is true. Hence Theorem $l^{\prime}$ for $P>0$ is a special case of Theorem 1.

Further, we shall show that the condition (2) (with $R=0$ ) secures the disconjugacy of the differential equation of the second order

$$
l x \equiv x^{\prime \prime}+p(t) x^{\prime}+q(t) x=0
$$

on the interval $J$.
Lemma 2 [4]. Let there be a function $w(t) \in C_{*}^{1}(\cdot J)$ such that $w(t)>0, l w \leqq 0$ for $t \in J-\{a\}$ or $t \in J-\{b\}$. Then the differential equation of the second order $l x=0$ is disconjugate on the interval $J$ (see [3], too).

Theorem 2. Let

$$
q(t) \leqq Q, \quad p(t) \leqq P \quad(p(t) \geqq-P) \quad \text { for } \quad t \in J^{(1)}
$$

where $P, Q$ are real numbers and let $P>0$,

$$
\frac{Q}{P^{2}} F(h P) \leqq 1
$$

Then the differential equation of the second order $l x=0$ is disconjugate on the interval $J$.

Proof. The following two cases are possible: $Q>0$, or $Q \leqq 0$, respectively.

Consider the first case $Q>0$.
Put

$$
\begin{aligned}
w(t) & =\frac{1}{P^{2}}\left[e^{P(b-a)}-e^{P(b-t)}\right]-\frac{1}{P}(t-a) \\
(w(t) & \left.=\frac{1}{P^{2}}\left[e^{P(b-a)}-e^{P(t-a)}\right]+\frac{1}{P}(t-b)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for $t \in J, p(t) \leqq P(p(t) \geqq-P)$ in $J$.
Hence we easily see that $w(t)>0$ on $J-\{a\}(w(t)>0$ on $J-\{b\})$ and $l w \leqq 0$ for $t \in J$.

If $Q \leqq 0$, put

$$
w(t)=1, \quad t \in J
$$

Then $l l=q(t) \leqq 0$ in $J$.
(1) In this Theorem the assumption of the boundedness from above (or from below) of $p(t)$ on $J$ is sufficient.

In both cases there is a function $w(t)>0$ in $J-\{a\}$, or $J-\{b\}$, respectively such that $l w \leqq 0$ for $t \in J$. Consequently, by means of Lemma 2 the differential equation $l x=0$ is disconjugate in the interval $J$.

Corrollary. If $p(t) \leqq 0(\geqq 0), q(t) \leqq Q$ for $t \in J$, where $Q$ is a real number and

$$
Q \frac{h^{2}}{2} \leqq 1
$$

then the differential equation of the second order $l x=0$ is disconjugate in the interval J.

Remark 3. If the hypotheses of Theorem 1 hold, then the hypotheses of Theorem 2 are satisfied too. Hence Theorem 1 may give a positive result only if the differential equation of the second order $l x=0$ is disconjugate on the interval $J$ and

$$
\frac{Q}{P^{2}} F(h P) \leqq 1 .
$$
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