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# THE ASSOCIATED TENSOR NORM TO $(q, p)$-ABSOLUTELY SUMMING OPERATORS ON $C(K)$-SPACES 

J. A. López Molina and E. A. SÁnchez Pérez, Valencia ${ }^{1}$

(Received January 10, 1995)

Abstract. We give an explicit description of a tensor norm equivalent on $\mathscr{C}(K) \otimes F$ to the associated tensor norm $\nu_{q p}$ to the ideal of $(q, p)$-absolutely summing operators. As a consequence, we describe a tensor norm on the class of Banach spaces which is equivalent to the left projective tensor norm associated to $\nu_{q p}$.

As far as we know there is no explicit description for the tensor norm $\nu_{q p}$ associated to the ideal $\mathcal{P}_{(q, p)}$ of ( $q, p$ )-absolutely summing operators. The purpose of this note is to define explicitly a norm equivalent to this one in the case of tensor products of type $\mathscr{C}(K) \otimes F$. As a consequence, we shall be able to give an easy and direct definition of a tensor norm equivalent to the left projective tensor norm $\backslash \nu_{q p}$. The key of our results is the connection on $\mathscr{C}(K)$ spaces of $\mathcal{P}_{(q, p)}$ with the ideal $\mathcal{P}_{p, \sigma}$ of $(p, \sigma)$-absolutely continuous operators defined by Matter in [4] and the knowledge of the tensor norm associated to $\mathcal{P}_{p, \sigma}$, which was obtained by the authors in [3].

Throughout this note we use standard Banach space notation. The class of all Banach spaces will be denoted by BAN. If $E \in \mathrm{BAN}, B_{E}$ will be the unit ball of $E$ and $J_{E}$ will denote the canonical inclusion of $E$ into $E^{\prime \prime}$. $K$ will be always a compact Hausdorff topological space and $\mathscr{C}(K)$ the Banach space of all scalar continuous functions on $K$. If $E \in \mathrm{BAN}, B_{E^{\prime}}$ will be considered as a compact space with the topology $\sigma\left(E^{\prime}, E\right)$. We define $I_{E}: E \rightarrow \mathscr{C}\left(B_{E^{\prime}}\right)$ to be the canonical isometric embedding. We refer the reader to [1] and [7] for all definitions concerning tensor norms and operator ideals respectively. If $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty, p^{\prime}$ is the extended real number satisfying $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1$. $\left(\mathcal{P}_{p}, \Pi_{p}\right)$ will be the normed ideal of $p$-absolutely summing

[^0]operators on BAN. For every $E \in \operatorname{BAN},\left(x_{i}\right) \in E^{\mathbb{N}}, p \in[1, \infty]$ and $\sigma \in[0,1[$ we define (changing $\Sigma$ by sup when $p=\infty$ )
$$
\pi_{p}\left(\left(x_{i}\right)\right):=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left\|x_{i}\right\|^{p}\right)^{1 / p}
$$
and
$$
\delta_{p \sigma}\left(\left(x_{i}\right)\right):=\sup _{x^{\prime} \in B_{E^{\prime}}}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(\left|\left\langle x_{i}, x^{\prime}\right\rangle\right|^{1-\sigma}\left\|x_{i}\right\|^{\sigma}\right)^{\frac{p}{1-\sigma}}\right)^{\frac{1-\sigma}{p}}
$$

1. Definition. (Matter [4]): Let $0 \leqslant \sigma<1$ and $E, F \in$ BAN. We say that $T \in \mathscr{L}(E, F)$ is a $(p, \sigma)$-absolutely continutous operator if there exist $G \in \mathrm{BAN}$ and an operator $S \in \mathcal{P}_{p}(E, G)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T x\| \leqslant\|x\|^{\sigma}\|S x\|^{1-\sigma} \quad \forall x \in E \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In such case, we put $\Pi_{p, \sigma}(T)=\inf \Pi_{p}(S)^{1-\sigma}$, taking the infimum over all $G$ and $S \in \mathcal{P}_{p}(E, G)$ such that (1) holds. We denote by $\left(\mathcal{P}_{p, \sigma}, \Pi_{p, \sigma}\right)$ the normed ideal of ( $p, \sigma$ )-absolutely continuous operators in BAN.

We have the following characterization of $\mathcal{P}_{p, \sigma}(\mathscr{C}(K), F)$ :
2. Proposition. Let $F \in \mathrm{BAN}$ and let $T \in \mathscr{L}(\mathscr{C}(K), F)$. Then

$$
T \in \mathcal{P}_{p, \sigma}(\mathscr{C}(K), F)
$$

iff there are $C>0$ and a Radon probability measure $\lambda$ on $K$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|T x\| \leqslant C\|x\|^{\sigma}\left\|I_{K}(x)\right\|^{1-\sigma} \quad \forall x \in \mathscr{C}(K) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{K}$ is the canonical map $I_{K}: \mathscr{C}(K) \rightarrow L_{p}(K, \lambda)$. In addition, $\pi_{p, \sigma}(T)$ is the infimum of numbers $C$ for which (2) holds.

Proof. Let $T \in \mathcal{P}_{p, \sigma}(\mathscr{C}(K), F)$. Then there is $G \in \operatorname{BAN}$ and $\left.S \in \mathcal{P}_{p}(\mathscr{C}(K)), G\right)$ such that (1) holds. By Pietsch's factorization theorem (see [7], 17.3.5), there is a probability measure $\lambda$ on $K$ and $R \in \mathscr{L}\left(L_{p}(K, \lambda), G\right)$ such that $S=R I_{K}$ and $\Pi_{p}(S)=\inf \|R\|$ over all $R$ and $\lambda$. Then (2) holds and $\inf C \leqslant \inf \|R\|^{1-\sigma}=$ $\Pi_{p}(S)^{1-\sigma}$. Taking the infimum over all $S$ in (1), we have $\inf C \leqslant \Pi_{p, \sigma}(T)$. Conversely, if (2) holds, the map $S=C^{1 /(1-\sigma)} I_{K} \in \mathcal{P}_{p}\left(\mathscr{C}(K), L_{p}(K, \lambda)\right)$ verifies (1) and hence $T \in \mathcal{P}_{p, \sigma}(\mathscr{C}(K), F)$ and $\Pi_{p, \sigma}(T) \leqslant \Pi_{p}(S)^{1-\sigma}=C$. Then the conclusion follows.

The following result is due essentially to Pisier.
3. Proposition. For all $F \in \mathrm{BAN}, 1 \leqslant p<\infty$ and $0 \leqslant \sigma<1$ we have

$$
\mathcal{P}_{p, \sigma}(\mathscr{C}(K), F)=\mathcal{P}_{\left(\frac{p}{1-\sigma}, p\right)}(\mathscr{C}(K), F)
$$

Moreover,

$$
\Pi_{\left(\frac{p}{1-\sigma}, p\right)}(T) \leqslant \Pi_{p, \sigma}(T) \leqslant\left(\frac{p}{1-\sigma}\right)^{(1-\sigma) / p} \Pi_{\left(\frac{p}{1-\sigma}, p\right)}(T) .
$$

Proof. The inclusion $\mathcal{P}_{p, \sigma} \subset \mathcal{P}_{\left(\frac{p}{1-\sigma}, p\right)}$ and the first inequality are immediate from theorem 4.1 of Matter in [4]. On the other hand, by theorem 2.4 of Pisier in [8], every $T \in \mathcal{P}_{\left(\frac{p}{1-\sigma}, p\right)}(\mathscr{C}(K), F)$ verifies our proposition 2 and the second inequality.

It is well known that $\mathcal{P}_{(q, p)}(\mathscr{C}(K), F)$ does not depend on the parameter $p$ (see [6] and [8]). From proposition 3 we get
4. Corollary. Let $F \in \mathrm{BAN}, 1 \leqslant p<\infty, 0<\sigma<1$ and $q=\frac{p}{1-\sigma}$. Then for every $1 \leqslant s<\infty$ and $0<\tau<1$ such that $\frac{s}{1-\tau}=q, \mathcal{P}_{p, \sigma}(\mathscr{C}(K), F)=\mathcal{P}_{s, \tau}(\mathscr{C}(K), F)$. Moreover, if $\tau \geqslant \sigma$ there is a $C \geqslant 1$ such that $\Pi_{s, \tau}(T) \leqslant \Pi_{p, \sigma}(T) \leqslant C \Pi_{s, \tau}(T)$ for every $T \in \mathcal{P}_{p, \sigma}(C(K), F)$.

Proof. It follows from theorem 4.1 in [4], the fact that $g(\sigma)=a^{1-\sigma} b^{\sigma}$ is an increasing function on $[0,1[$ for $0 \leqslant a \leqslant b<\infty$ and the open mapping theorem.

We have defined in [3] a family $\alpha_{q, \nu, q, \sigma}$ of tensor norms on BAN which generalizes the known tensor norms $\alpha_{p q}$ of Lapresté (see [2] and [1]). In particular, choosing $\nu=0$ and $q=1$ we get the following:
5. Definition. Let $1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$ and $0 \leqslant \sigma<1$. The tensor norm $d_{p, \sigma}$ on BAN is defined by

$$
d_{p, \sigma}(z ; E \otimes F):=\inf \left\{\left.\delta_{p^{\prime} \sigma}\left(\left(x_{i}\right)\right) \pi_{\left(\frac{p^{\prime}}{1-\sigma}\right)^{\prime}}\left(\left(y_{i}\right)\right) \right\rvert\, z=\sum_{i-1}^{n} x_{i} \otimes y_{i}\right\} \quad \forall z \in E \otimes F .
$$

It is proved in [3] that $d_{p, \sigma}^{\prime}$ is the associated tensor norm to the deal $\mathcal{P}_{p^{\prime}, \sigma}$, i.e. $\left(E \hat{\otimes}_{d_{p, \sigma}} F\right)^{\prime}=\mathcal{P}_{p^{\prime}, \sigma}\left(E, F^{\prime}\right)$. Hence
6. Corollary. If $F \in B A N, 1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty, 0 \leqslant \sigma<1$ and $q=\frac{p}{1-\sigma}$, then $\left(\mathscr{C}(K) \otimes_{d_{p^{\prime}, \sigma}} F\right)^{\prime}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}_{(q, p)}\left(\mathscr{C}(K), F^{\prime}\right)$, i.e. on $\mathscr{C}(K) \otimes F$, the associated tensor norm to $\mathcal{P}_{(q, p)}$ is equivalent to $d_{p^{\prime}, \sigma}^{\prime}$.
7. Definition. Let $(\mathscr{U}, U)$ be a normed operator ideal in BAN and $E, F \in$ $B A N$. We say that $T \in \mathscr{U}(E, F)$ has the extension property if there is $\bar{T} \in$ $\mathscr{U}\left(\mathscr{C}\left(B_{E^{\prime}}\right), F^{\prime \prime}\right)$ such that $J_{F} T=\bar{T} I_{E}$.

Note that this definition is not coincident with the given one by Matter in [4] section 5 . We denote by $\mathscr{U}^{\text {ext }}(E, F)$ the set of all operators $T \in \mathscr{U}(E, F)$ with the extension property. It is easy to see that

$$
U^{\operatorname{ext}}(T)=\inf \left\{U(\bar{T})|\bar{T}|_{E}=T \text { and } \bar{T} \in \mathscr{U}\left(\mathscr{C}\left(B_{E^{\prime}}\right), F^{\prime \prime}\right)\right\}
$$

is a norm in $\mathscr{U}^{\text {ext }}(E, F)$.
When $\mathscr{U}$ is a maximal operator ideal with associated tensor norm $\alpha$, we denote by $\backslash \mathscr{U}$ the maximal operator ideal associated to the left projective tensor norm $\backslash \alpha$. The following characterization shows, in particular, that ( $\mathscr{U}^{\text {ext }}, U^{\text {ext }}$ ) is a normed operator ideal in BAN and gives us an easy description of the ideal $\backslash \mathscr{U}$ :
8. Proposition. The following are equivalent:

1) $T \in \mathscr{U}^{\text {ext }}(E, F)$
2) $T \in \backslash \mathscr{U}(E, F)$
3) There are a compact space $K$, a Radon measure $\mu$ on $K$ and operators $R \in$ $\mathscr{L}\left(E, L_{\infty}(K, \mu)\right)$ and $\bar{T} \in \mathscr{U}\left(L_{\infty}(K, \mu), F^{\prime \prime}\right)$ such that $J_{F} T=\bar{T} R$.
Moreover $U^{\text {ext }}(T)=\backslash U(T)=\inf \|R\| U(\bar{T})$, taking the infimum over all factorizations as in 3).

Proof. 1) $\Rightarrow 2$ ). This implication and the inequality $\backslash U(T) \leqslant U^{\text {ext }}(T)$ follow from proposition 20.12 in [1].
$2) \Rightarrow 3$ ). Use again proposition 20.12 in [1].
$3) \Rightarrow 1)$. Suppose that $J_{F} T$ admits a factorization as in 3$)$. Since $L_{\infty}(K, \mu)$ is isometric to some $\mathscr{C}(W)$ where $W$ is a compact Stonean space (see for instance the section 3.10 of [1]), $R$ has a norm preserving extension $H \in \mathscr{L}\left(\mathscr{C}\left(B_{E^{\prime}}\right), L^{\infty}(\mu)\right)$. Thus $U^{\mathrm{ext}}(T) \leqslant U(\bar{T} H) \leqslant\|H\| U(\bar{T}) \leqslant\|R\| U(\bar{T})$ and $U^{\mathrm{ext}}(T) \leqslant \backslash U(T)$.
9. Corollary. Let $q \geqslant p$ and $\sigma \in\left[0,1\left[\right.\right.$ such that $q=\frac{p}{1-\sigma}$. For all $E, F \in \mathrm{BAN}$, $\mathcal{P}_{p, \sigma}^{\text {ext }}(E, F)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{P}_{q, p}^{\text {ext }}(E, F)$.

When $\mathscr{U}=\mathcal{P}_{p, \sigma}$ we can determine explicitly the tensor norm associated with $\mathscr{U}^{\text {ext }}=\backslash \mathscr{U}$. Given $E, F \in \mathrm{BAN}$, let $\alpha_{p, \sigma}$ be the norm on $E \otimes F$

$$
\left.\alpha_{p, \sigma}(z ; E \otimes F)=d_{p, \sigma}\left(\left(I_{E} \otimes \operatorname{Id}_{F}\right)\right)(z) ; \mathscr{C}\left(B_{E^{\prime}}\right) \otimes F\right)
$$

$\alpha_{p, \sigma}$ is a tensor norm in BAN as consequence of the following theorem:
10. Theorem. Given $q \geqslant p$, let $\sigma \in\left[0,1\left[\right.\right.$ be such that $q=\frac{p}{1-\sigma}$. Then

$$
\left(E \otimes_{\alpha_{p^{\prime}, \sigma}} F\right)^{\prime}=\mathcal{P}_{(q, p)}^{\mathrm{ext}}\left(E, F^{\prime}\right)
$$

i.e. $\alpha_{p^{\prime}, \sigma}^{\prime}$ is equivalent to the tensor norm associated to $\mathcal{P}_{(q, p)}^{\mathrm{ext}}$.

Proof. $E \otimes_{\alpha_{p^{\prime}, \sigma}} F$ is a topological subspace of $\mathscr{C}\left(B_{E^{\prime}}\right) \otimes_{d_{p^{\prime}, \sigma}} F$. Then

$$
\left(E \otimes_{\alpha_{p^{\prime}, \sigma}} F\right)^{\prime}=\left(\mathscr{C}\left(B_{E^{\prime}}\right) \otimes_{d_{p^{\prime}, \sigma}} F\right)^{\prime} /(E \otimes F)^{\perp}=\mathcal{P}_{p, \sigma}\left(\mathscr{C}\left(B_{E^{\prime}}\right), F^{\prime}\right) /(E \otimes F)^{\perp}
$$

where $(E \otimes F)^{\perp}$ is the orthogonal to $E \otimes F$ in $\mathcal{P}_{p, \sigma}\left(\mathscr{C}\left(B_{E^{\prime}}\right), F^{\prime}\right)$. Let $\|\cdot\|_{0}$ be the norm on $\mathcal{P}_{p, \sigma}\left(\mathscr{C}\left(B_{E^{\prime}}\right), F^{\prime}\right) /(E \otimes F)^{\perp}$. It is clear that every element $\widehat{I}$ of this quotient ( $\sim$ denotes the classes in the quotient) defines an unique operator $T$ in $\mathcal{P}_{p, \sigma}^{\text {ext }}\left(E, F^{\prime}\right)$ such that

$$
\Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\mathrm{ext}}(T) \leqslant \inf \left\{\Pi_{p, \sigma}(S) \mid S \in \widehat{T}\right\}=\|\widehat{T}\|_{0}
$$

Conversely, since there is a projection $P$ from $F^{\prime \prime \prime}$ onto $F^{\prime}$ of norm 1, every $T \in$ $\mathcal{P}_{p, \sigma}^{\text {ext }}\left(E, F^{\prime}\right)$ has an extension $S \in \mathcal{P}_{p, \sigma}\left(\mathscr{C}\left(B_{E^{\prime}}\right), F^{\prime \prime \prime}\right)$. If $T_{0}=P S$, then $\widehat{T}_{0} \in$ $\mathcal{P}_{p, \sigma}\left(\mathscr{C}\left(B_{E^{\prime}}\right), F^{\prime}\right) /(E \otimes F)^{\perp}$ and $\left\|\widehat{T}_{0}\right\|_{0} \leqslant \Pi_{p, \sigma}(P S) \leqslant \Pi_{p, \sigma}(S)$. Hence $\left.\left\|\widehat{T}_{0}\right\|_{0}\right) \leqslant$ $\Pi_{p, \sigma}^{\text {ext }}(T)$ and $\mathcal{P}_{p, \sigma}\left(\mathscr{C}\left(B_{E^{\prime}}\right), F^{\prime}\right) /(E \otimes F)^{\perp}$ is isometric with $\mathcal{P}_{p, \sigma}^{\text {ext }}\left(E, F^{\prime}\right)$. Corollary 9 gives the conclusion.
11. Corollary. If $q \geqslant p \in\left[1, \infty\left[\right.\right.$, and $\sigma \in\left[0,1\left[\right.\right.$ is such that $q=\frac{p}{1-\sigma}$, then $\alpha_{p^{\prime}, \sigma}^{\prime}$ is equivalent to $\backslash \nu_{q p}$.
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