# Dilip Kumar Ganguly; S. Ray; M. Majumdar Some results on sets of positive measure in a metric space

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 49 (1999), No. 4, 701-706

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127522

### Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1999

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

## SOME RESULTS ON SETS OF POSITIVE MEASURE IN A METRIC SPACE

D. K. GANGULY, S. RAY and M. MAJUMDAR, Calcutta

(Received May 3, 1996)

#### INTRODUCTION

H. Steinhaus [7] proved that if A is a measurable subset of the real line R with positive Lebesgue measure, then the distance set of A, i.e.  $D(A) = \{|x-y|: x, y \in A\}$  contains an interval of the form (o, h) for a certain value of h. Many papers are devoted to the study of the set D(A) for various A.

If  $(E, \varrho)$  is a metric space with a linear measure  $\mu$  defined on E, then the following property is referred to as the Steinhaus property for distance sets:

If A is a measurable subset of E with a positive measure, then  $D(A) = \{\varrho(x, y) : x, y \in A\}$  contains an interval with the origin as its end point.

A simple curve  $C \subset E$  is the image of a continuous injective mapping  $f: [0,1] \to E$ and if  $\mu(C)$  is finite, then C is called a simple rectifiable curve. Also, since [0,1] is compact and  $(C, \varrho)$  is Hausdorff, the surjective restriction of  $f: [0,1] \to C$  is a homeomorphism.

Besicovitch and Taylor [1] showed that the Steinhaus property does not hold, in general, for all simple rectifiable curves. E. Boardman [2] proved that under certain conditions on the metric space  $(E, \varrho)$  all simple rectifiable curves in E have the Steinhaus property for distance sets.

M.S. Ruziewicz [6] proved the following theorem:

**Theorem.** Let  $A \subset R$  be a set of positive Lebesgue measure. For any set of m positive numbers  $k_1, k_2, \ldots, k_m$  there exist a positive number d and (m + 1) points  $x_1 < x_2 < \ldots < x_{m+1}$  of the set A such that  $x_{i+1} - x_i = k_i d$   $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, m)$ .

In this note we prove a result similar to that of Ruziewicz for a subset with positive linear measure of a simple rectifiable curve in a metric space and also some other results related to sets of positive measure in a metric space.

#### Preliminaries

Let  $(E, \rho)$  be a metric space. For a set  $A \subset E$ , let

$$\Lambda^*(A) = \sup_{\delta > 0} \left[ \inf \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} d(A_i) \colon A_i \subset E, d(A_i) < \delta \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} A_i \supset A \right\} \right],$$

where  $d(A_i)$  stands for the diameter of  $A_i$ . Then  $\Lambda^*$  is a metric outer measure and the restriction of  $\Lambda^*$  to the measurable sets is known as the linear measure  $\Lambda$ . With respect to the outer measure all Borel sets are measurable.

The mapping f induces a linear ordering on the curve C such that for  $x, y \in C$ , x < y if and only if  $f^{-1}(x) < f^{-1}(y)$ .

If  $a, b \in C$  and a < b, the subarc  $\langle a, b \rangle$  of C is defined by  $\langle a, b \rangle = \{c \in C : a \leq c \leq b\}$ . For a subarc  $\langle a, b \rangle$  of C, we see that  $\Lambda(\langle a, b \rangle) = l(\langle a, b \rangle)$  where  $l(\langle a, b \rangle)$  denotes the length of the arc  $\langle a, b \rangle$  defined by  $l(\langle a, b \rangle) = \sup \left\{ \sum_{r=1}^{n} \varrho(x_{r-1}, x_r) \right\}$  where the supremum is taken over all finite subdivisions  $\{x_0, x_1, \ldots, x_n\}$  of  $\langle a, b \rangle$  with  $a = x_0 < x_1 < \ldots < x_n = b$ .

It may be verified that  $\Lambda$  is continuous in the sense that if  $b \in C$ ,  $a_n \in C$ ,  $a_n < a_{n+1} < b$  and  $\lim \rho(a_n, b) = 0$ , then

(1) 
$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \Lambda(\langle a_n, b \rangle) = 0.$$

Now we present some definitions which may be readily seen in Boardman [2] and Lahiri [3].

**Definition 1.** Let  $B \subset C$  and r > 0. Then  $B(r) = \{z \in C : \exists u \in B \text{ such that } u < z \text{ and } \varrho(u, z) = r\}$  and  $B(-r) = \{z \in C : \exists u \in B \text{ such that } z < u \text{ and } \varrho(u, z) = r\}.$ 

**Definition 2.** A simple rectifiable curve C is said to satisfy the condition (A), if there exist real numbers c > 0 and  $d_0 > 0$  such that for each subset  $B \subset C$ ,  $0 < r < d_0$  implies  $d(B) \ge c[d(B(-r))]$ .

**Definition 3.** Let G be a family of all linearly measurable subsets of C and let  $A_r \in G, r = 1, 2, ...$  If there exists a set  $A \in G$  such that  $\Lambda[A_r \Delta A] \to 0$  as  $r \to \infty$ , then the sequence of sets  $\{A_r\}$  is said to converge to the set A in G where the symbol  $\Delta$  stands for the symmetric difference (Lahiri, 1981).

**Theorem 1.1.** Let C be a simple rectifiable curve in a metric space  $(E, \varrho)$  satisfying the condition (A). If S is a linearly measurable subset of C with  $\Lambda(S) > 0$  and  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_m$  is any set of m positive real numbers then there exists an open

interval  $(0, \delta)$  such that to any  $x \in (0, \delta)$  there correspond (m + 1) points  $a_0(x) < a_1(x) < \ldots < a_m(x)$  of the set S for which  $\rho(a_{i-1}(x), a_i(x)) = \alpha_i x$   $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, m)$ .

Proof. Let C be determined by  $f: [0,1] \to C \subset E$  and let  $\Lambda(C) < \infty$ . Then by (1) we assume that dist (f(1), S) > 0. Since S is measurable and C is rectifiable there exists a compact set K and an open set G such that  $K \subset S \subset G \subset C$ ,  $f(1) \in C \setminus G$ and  $\Lambda(K) > \frac{1}{c}\Lambda(G \setminus K)$ , which may be deduced from Theorem 13.5 of Munroe [4] as indicated by Boardman [2]. Let  $\delta'$  be such that  $\Lambda(K) - \delta' > \frac{1}{c}\Lambda(G \setminus K)$ . By the definition of  $\Lambda(K)$  there exists  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that for all  $\varepsilon'$  with  $0 < \varepsilon' < \varepsilon_0$ , all covers  $\{A_i\}_{i=1}^{\infty}$  of K with  $d(A_i) < \varepsilon'$  have the property

(2) 
$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} d(A_i) \ge \Lambda(K) - \delta' > \frac{1}{c} \Lambda(G \setminus K).$$

Since the curve C satisfies condition (A), hence by Definition 2 there are positive real numbers c,  $d_0$  such that for each subset  $B \subset C$ ,  $0 < r < d_0 \Rightarrow d(B) \ge c[d(B(-r))]$ . Let  $\alpha = \max(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_m)$  and  $d_1 = \operatorname{dist}(K, C \setminus G)$  so that  $d_1 > 0$ . Also let  $\eta_1 = \min\{d_0, d_1, \varepsilon_0/3\}$ . If we put  $\delta_1 = \eta_{1/\alpha}$ , then for any  $x \in (0, \delta_1)$  we have  $0 < \alpha x < \eta_1$ . Then we have  $0 < h_1 < \eta_1 \le d_1$  where  $h_1 = \alpha_1 x$ . So,

$$(3) K(h_1) \subset G.$$

First we show that  $S \cap S(h_1) \neq \emptyset$ .

Let  $\varepsilon$  be any number satisfying  $0 < \varepsilon < \varepsilon_{0/3}$  and let  $B'_i \subset C$  (i = 1, 2, ...) be such that  $d(B'_i) < \varepsilon$  and

(4) 
$$K(h_1) \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B'_i$$

As  $f(1) \in C \setminus G$  and  $h_1 < d_1$ , so if  $u_1 \in K$ , then  $\varrho(f(1), u_1) > h_1$ . Also, since  $\langle u_1, f(1) \rangle$  is connected there exists  $z_1 \in C$  such that  $u_1 < z_1$  and  $\varrho(u_1, z_1) = h_1$ . This implies that  $u_1 \in B'_m(-h_1)$  for some m. Hence

(5) 
$$K \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} B'_i(-h_1).$$

If s(x),  $t(x) \in B'_i(-h_1)$ , then there exist  $p, q \in B'_i$  such that  $\varrho(p, s(x)) = h_1$ and  $\varrho(q, t(x)) = h_1$ . Therefore  $\varrho(s(x), t(x)) \leq 2h_1 + d(B'_i) < \frac{2\varepsilon_0}{3} + \frac{\varepsilon_0}{3} = \varepsilon_0$ . Thus  $d(B'_i(-h_1)) < \varepsilon_0$  and by (2),

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} d(B'_i(-h_1)) \ge \Lambda(K) - \delta' > \frac{1}{c} \Lambda(G \setminus K).$$

703

Since  $h_1 < d_0$ , we have by the property (A)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} d(B'_i) \ge c \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} d(B'_i(-h_1)) \ge c(\Lambda(K) - \delta') > \Lambda(G \setminus K).$$

It follows from (4) that

(6) 
$$\Lambda(K(h_1)) \ge c(\Lambda(K) - \delta') > \Lambda(G \setminus K).$$

If  $X = K \cap K(h_1)$ , then  $X = G \setminus [(G \setminus K) \cup (G \setminus K(h_1))]$ . So

$$\Lambda(X) \ge \Lambda(G) - \left[\Lambda(G \setminus K) + \Lambda(G \setminus K(h_1))\right]$$
  
>  $\Lambda(G) - \left[\Lambda(K(h_1)) + \Lambda(G) - \Lambda(K(h_1))\right] = 0.$ 

Therefore  $\Lambda[K \cap K(h_1)] > 0$ . Since  $K \subset S$ ,  $K(h_1) \subset S(h_1)$  for  $h_1 \in (0, \eta_1)$ , hence  $\Lambda(S \cap S(h_1)) > 0$  and so  $S \cap S(h_1)$  is non-empty.

Let  $S \cap S(h_1) = S_1$ . Since  $S_1$  is a set of positive measure and  $S_1 \subset S$ , we can show in a similar manner that there exist an  $\eta_2$  and a  $\delta_2 = \eta_{2/\alpha}$  such that for any  $x \in (0, \delta_2)$  we can select a positive number  $h_2(=\alpha_2 x) \in (0, \eta_2)$  with the property that  $\Lambda[S_1 \cap S_1(h_2)] > 0$ , i.e.  $S_1 \cap S_1(h_2) \neq \emptyset$ .

Proceeding in this way, after a finite number of steps we obtain an  $\eta_m > 0$  and a set  $S_{m-1} \subset S$  such that for any  $x \in (0, \delta_m)(\delta_m = \eta_{m/\alpha})$  we can select a positive number  $h_m(=\alpha_m x) \in (0, \eta_m)$  such that  $\Lambda[S_{m-1} \cap S_{m-1}(h_m)] > 0$ , i.e.  $S_{m-1} \cap S_{m-1}(h_m) \neq \emptyset$ . Therefore, in general,  $S_{i-1} \cap S_{i-1}(h_i) \neq \emptyset$  (i = 1, 2, ..., m) where  $S_0 = S$ .

Let  $\delta = \min(\delta_1, \delta_2, \dots, \delta_m)$ . Then for every  $x \in (0, \delta)$  there exists  $a_i(x) \in S_{i-1} \cap S_{i-1}(h_i)$ . Then there exists  $a_{i-1}(x) \in S_{i-1}$  such that  $a_{i-1}(x) < a_i(x)$  for which  $\varrho(a_i(x), a_{i-1}(x)) = h_i = \alpha_i x \ (i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$ .

Thus for every  $x \in (0, \delta)$  there exist m + 1 points  $a_0(x) < a_1(x) < \ldots < a_m(x)$  of the set S such that  $\varrho(a_{i-1}(x), a_i(x)) = \alpha_i x$   $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, m)$ .

**Corollary.** Let S be a measurable subset of positive measure of a simple rectifiable curve satisfying the property (A) in a metric space  $(E, \varrho)$  and let  $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_m$  be any system of m positive real numbers. Then there exists an open interval  $(0, \delta)$  such that for any  $x \in (0, \delta)$  there are points  $a_0(x), a_1(x), \ldots, a_m(x)$  in S with the property

$$x = \frac{\varrho(a_1(x), a_0(x))}{\alpha_1} = \frac{\varrho(a_2(x), a_0(x))}{\alpha_2} = \dots = \frac{\varrho(a_m(x), a_0(x))}{\alpha_m}$$

704

Proof. We have established that

$$S_1 \cap S_1(h_2) = S \cap S(h_1) \cap S_1(h_2) \subset S \cap S(h_1) \cap S(h_2).$$

Proceeding in this way, after a finite number of steps we obtain a positive number  $h_m = (\alpha_m x)$  and a set  $S_{m-1}(\subset S)$  such that  $\Lambda(S_{m-1} \cap S_{m-1}(h_m)) > 0$  and also

$$S_{m-1} \cap S_{m-1}(h_m) = S \cap S(h_1) \cap S_1(h_2) \cap \ldots \cap S_{m-1}(h_m)$$
$$\subset S \cap S(h_1) \cap S(h_2) \cap \ldots \cap S(h_m).$$

Hence the set  $S \cap S(h_1) \cap S(h_2) \cap \ldots \cap S(h_m)$  is of positive measure. Thus for every  $x \in (0, \delta)$  there exist  $a_0(x) \in S$  and  $a_i(x) \in S$   $(i = 1, 2, \ldots, m)$  such that  $\varrho(a_i(x), a_0(x)) = h_i = \alpha_i x$ , i.e.

$$x = \frac{\varrho(a_1(x), a_0(x))}{\alpha_1} = \frac{\varrho(a_2(x), a_0(x))}{\alpha_2} = \dots = \frac{\varrho(a_m(x), a_0(x))}{\alpha_m}.$$

**Theorem 1.2.** Let C be a simple rectifiable curve in a metric space  $(E, \varrho)$  satisfying the condition (A) and let S be a linearly measurable subset of C with  $\Lambda(S) > 0$ . If  $\{r_n\}$  is a sequence of positive real numbers converging to zero, then the set of points belonging to S for which for infinitely many n there exists  $u_n \in S$  such that  $\varrho(x, u_n) = r_n$ , is a set of positive measure.

Proof. When proving Theorem 1.1 we have shown that there exists  $\eta(>0)$  such that for any  $r(0 < r < \eta)$ ,  $\Lambda(S \cap S(r)) > 0$ . Let  $C_n = S \cap S(r_n)$ . Since  $r_n \to 0$ , there exists a positive integer  $N_0$  such that  $0 < r_n < \eta$  for  $n \ge N_0$  and hence  $\Lambda(C_n) > 0$  whenever  $n \ge N_0$ . Let B be the set of all those points x in S for which there exist infinitely many  $u_n \in S$  such that  $\varrho(x, u_n) = r_n$ . Then  $B = \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} \bigcup_{n=N}^{\infty} C_n = \bigcap_{N=1}^{\infty} D_N$  where  $D_N = \bigcup_{n=N}^{\infty} C_n$ . Here  $\Lambda(D_N) \ge \Lambda(C_N) > 0$  for  $N \ge N_0$ , while each set of the decreasing sequence  $\{D_N\}$  is a subset of the set S. As the Hausdorff measure is regular,  $\Lambda(B) = \lim_{N \to \infty} \Lambda(D_N)$  [5]. It follows that  $\Lambda(B) > 0$ .

**Theorem 1.3.** Let C be a simple rectifiable curve in a metric space  $(E, \varrho)$  satisfying the condition (A) for c > 1. Suppose K is a compact subset of C with  $\Lambda(K) > 0$ . If  $\{r_n\}$  is a sequence of positive real numbers converging to zero, then  $K(r_n) \to K$  in G.

In order to prove the theorem we require a lemma.

**Lemma.** If  $A_r \to A$  as  $r \to \infty$ , where  $A_r$ ,  $A \in G$ , then  $\Lambda(A_r) \to \Lambda(A)$  in G. The proof of the lemma is easy and omitted.

705

Proof of the theorem. Since K is a compact subset of C, then given an  $\varepsilon$  (> 0), it is possible to find an open set G containing K such that  $\Lambda(G \setminus K) < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$ . Let  $d = \operatorname{dist}(K, C \setminus G)$ . Then d > 0. Since  $r_n \to 0$ , it is possible to find a positive integer  $N_1$  such that  $0 < r_n < d$  for  $n \ge N_1$ . Consequently,  $K(r_n) \subset G$  for  $n \ge N_1$ . Let  $X_n = K \cap K(r_n)$ . Then  $X_n = G \setminus [(G \setminus K) \cup (G \setminus K(r_n))]$ . So, for  $n \ge N_1$ ,  $\Lambda(X_n) \ge \Lambda(G) - [\Lambda(G \setminus K) + \Lambda(G \setminus K(r_n))]$ .

Let  $\eta = \min \{d_0, d, \frac{\varepsilon}{3}\}$ . Then we can find positive integer  $N_2$  such that  $0 < r_n < \eta$ for  $n \ge N_2$ . Then, proceeding in the same manner as in Theorem 1.1, we have  $\Lambda(K(r_n)) > \Lambda(K) - \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$  for  $n \ge N_2$ . Let  $N = \max(N_1, N_2)$ . Then  $\Lambda(X_n) > \Lambda(K) - 2\frac{\varepsilon}{3} > \Lambda(G) - \varepsilon$  for  $n \ge N$ . Consequently,  $\Lambda(K(r_n)\Delta K) < \varepsilon$  for  $n \ge N$ . Hence  $K(r_n) \to K$  in G.

**Corollary.** For any measurable set B in C satisfying the condition (A) with c > 1,  $K(r_n) \cap B \to K \cap B$  in G.

Proof. We have

$$\begin{split} \Lambda\Big[\big(K(r)\cap B\big)\Delta(K\cap B)\Big] &\leqslant \Lambda\big[K(r)\setminus K\big] + \Lambda\big[K\setminus K(r)\big] \\ &= \Lambda\big[K(r)\Delta K\big] \to 0 \text{ as } r \to \infty. \end{split}$$

Acknowledgement. We are grateful to the referee for his precious comments which led to an improvement of the paper.

 $\square$ 

#### References

- A. S. Besicovitch, S. J. Taylor: On the set of distances between points of a general metric space. Proc. Camb. Phi. Soc. 48 (1952), 209–214.
- [2] E. Boardman: On extensions of the Steinhaus theorem for distance sets and difference sets. Jour. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) 5 (1972), 729–739.
- [3] B. K. Lahiri: On transformations of sets of positive linear measure. Riv. Univ. Parma 4 (1981), 7, 43.
- [4] M. E. Munroe: Introduction to Measure Theory and Integration. Addison-Wesley, 1959.
- [5] C. A. Rogers: Hausdorff Measure. Cambridge University Press, 1970.
- [6] M. S. Ruziewicz: Contribution à l'etude des ensembles de distances de points. Fund. Math. 7 (1925), 141–143.
- [7] H. Steinhaus: Sur les distances des points des ensembles de measure positive. Fund. Math. 1 (1920), 93-104.

Authors' address: Department of Pure Mathematics, University of Calcutta, 35, Ballygunge Circular Road, Calcutta – 700 019, India.