Danica Jakubíková-Studenovská DR-irreducibility of connected monounary algebras

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 50 (2000), No. 4, 705-720

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127606

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2000

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

DR-IRREDUCIBILITY OF CONNECTED MONOUNARY ALGEBRAS

DANICA JAKUBÍKOVÁ-STUDENOVSKÁ, Košice

(Received November 14, 1997)

This paper is a continuation of [6], where irreducibility in the sense of Duffus and Rival (DR-irreducibility) of monounary algebras was defined. The definition is analogous to that introduced by Duffus and Rival [1] for the case of posets. In [6] we found all connected monounary algebras A possessing a cycle and such that A is DR-irreducible.

The main result of the present paper is Thm. 4.1 which describes all connected monounary algebras A without a cycle and such that A is DR-irreducible.

Other types of irreducibility of monounary algebras defined by means of the notion of a retract were studied in [2]-[5].

0. Preliminaries

Let A = (A, f) be a monounary algebra. A nonempty subset M of A is said to be a retract of A if there is a mapping h of A onto M such that h is an endomorphism of A and h(x) = x for each $x \in M$. The mapping h is then called a retraction endomorphism corresponding to the retract M. Further, we denote by R(A) the system of all monounary algebras B such that B is isomorphic to (M, f) for some retract M of A.

A monounary algebra A is said to be irreducible in the sense of Duffus and Rival (DR-irreducible), if, whenever $A \in R\left(\prod_{i \in I} B_i\right)$ and $B_i \in R(A)$ for each $i \in I$, then there is $j \in I$ such that $A \in R(B_j)$.

We will use the notion of the degree of an element $x \in B$, where (B, f) is a monounary algebra; for this notion cf. e.g. [8], [7] and [2]. The degree of x is an ordinal or the symbol ∞ and is denoted by $s_f(x)$.

The following theorem proved in [2] is essentially applied in several proofs below:

Supported by grant VEGA 1/4379/97.

(Thm) Let (A, f) be a monounary algebra and let (M, f) be a subalgebra of (A, f). Then M is a retract of (A, f) if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:

- (a) If $y \in f^{-1}(M)$, then there is $z \in M$ such that f(y) = f(z) and $s_f(y) \leq s_f(z)$.
- (b) For any connected component K of (A, f) with $K \cap M = \emptyset$, the following conditions are satisfied.
 - (b1) If K contains a cycle with d elements, then there is a connected component K' of (A, f) with $K' \cap M \neq \emptyset$ and there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that n|d and K' has a cycle with n elements.
 - (b2) If K contains no cycle and x_0 is a fixed element of K, then there is $y_0 \in M$ such that $s_f(f^k(x_0)) \leq s_f(f^k(y_0))$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$.

1. Some DR-irreducible algebras

1.1. Notation. Let $\mathbb{N} = (\mathbb{N}, f)$ be a monounary algebra such that f(n) = n + 1 for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and let $\mathbb{Z} = (\mathbb{Z}, f)$ be a monounary algebra such that f(n) = n + 1 for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

1.2. Lemma. The algebras \mathbb{N} and \mathbb{Z} are DR-irreducible.

Proof. The assertion follows from the fact that \mathbb{N} and \mathbb{Z} have no nontrivial retracts.

1.3. Notation. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let n' = (n, 1). Further, denote $\mathbb{N}' = \{n' \colon n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, $E = \mathbb{Z} \cup \mathbb{N}'$. For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ put f(k) = k + 1 and for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ let

$$f(n') = \begin{cases} (n-1)' \text{ if } n > 1, \\ 0 & \text{ if } n = 1. \end{cases}$$

Then E = (E, f) is a connected monounary algebra and $s_f(x) = \infty$ for each $x \in E$.

1.4. Notation. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ put k' = (k, 1) and k'' = (k, 2). Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Denote $E'_n = \{1', 2', \ldots, n'\}, E''_n = \{1'', 2'', \ldots, n''\}, E_n = E'_n \cup E''_n \cup \mathbb{N}$. Further, define a unary operation f on E_n as follows: $f(1') = f(1'') = 1, f(2') = 1', \ldots, f(n') = (n-1)', f(2'') = 1'', \ldots, f(n'') = (n-1)''$ and f(j) = j + 1 for each $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

1.5. Lemma. (a) The algebra E is DR-irreducible. (b) If $n \in \mathbb{N}$, then the algebra E_n is DR-irreducible.

Proof. Let A = E or $A = E_n$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and suppose that A is DRreducible. Then there exist monounary algebras $B_i \in R(A)$ for $i \in I$ such that

(1) $A \in R\left(\prod_{i \in I} B_i\right),$ (2) $A \notin R(B_i)$ for each $i \in I.$ The relation (2) implies that if $i \in I$, then $A \ncong B_i$, and since $B_i \in R(A)$, we get

if $x \in B_i$, then card $f^{-1}(x) \leq 1$.

This implies

if $b \in \prod_{i \in I} B_i$, then card $f^{-1}(b) \leq 1$.

Hence A is not isomorphic to any subalgebra of $\prod_{i \in I} B_i$, which is a contradiction to (1).

1.6. Notation. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $m_1, \ldots, m_k, p_1, \ldots, p_k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m_1 < p_1 < m_2 < p_2 < \ldots < m_k < p_k$. If $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, let

$$Y_i = \{(i, j): j \in \{0, \dots, m_i - 1\}\}.$$

The symbol $Y(m_1, p_1; m_2, p_2; ...; m_k, p_k)$ will denote the monounary algebra defined on the set

$$\mathbb{N} \cup \bigcup_{i \in \{1, \dots, k\}} Y_i$$

such that if $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $i \in \{1, \ldots, j\}$, then

$$f(n) = n + 1,$$

$$f((i,j)) = \begin{cases} (i,j+1) & \text{if } j \in \{0,\dots,m_i-2\}, \\ (i+1,p_i) & \text{if } i \neq k, j = m_i - 1, \\ p_k & \text{if } i = k, j = m_i - 1. \end{cases}$$

(For the case Y(2, 4; 6, 8) cf. Fig. 1.)



Fig. 1

1.7. Notation. Let $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $m_1, \ldots, m_k, p_1, \ldots, p_{k-1} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $m_1 < p_1 < m_2 < p_2 < \ldots < p_{k-1} < m_k$. If $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, let Y_i be as in 1.6. The symbol $Y(m_1, p_1; m_2, p_2; \ldots; m_k)$ will denote the monounary algebra defined on the set

$$\mathbb{Z} \cup \bigcup_{i \in \{1, \dots, k\}} Y_i$$

such that if $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $i \in \{1, \ldots, k\}$, then

$$f(n) = n + 1,$$

$$f((i,j)) = \begin{cases} (i,j+1) & \text{if } j \in \{0,\dots,m_i-2\}, \\ (i+1,p_i) & \text{if } i \neq k, j = m_i - 1, \\ 0 & \text{if } i = k, j = m_i - 1. \end{cases}$$



1.8. Notation. Let $m_1 < p_1 < m_2 < p_2 < \ldots < m_i < p_i < \ldots$ be positive integers. For $i \in \mathbb{N}$ let Y_i be as in 1.6. The symbol $Y(m_1, p_1; m_2, p_2; \ldots)$ will denote the monounary algebra defined on the set

$$\bigcup_{i\in\mathbb{N}}Y_i$$

such that

$$f((i,j)) = \begin{cases} (i,j+1) & \text{if } j \in \{0,\dots,m_i-2\}\\ (i+1,p_i) & \text{if } j = m_i - 1. \end{cases}$$

1.9. Definition. We will say that A is of type $(\alpha 1)$ $((\alpha 2), (\alpha 3),$ respectively), if A is isomorphic to some algebra defined in 1.6 (1.7, 1.8). If A is of a type of $(\alpha 1)$, $(\alpha 2)$, $(\alpha 3)$, then A is said to be of type (α) .

1.10. Lemma. Let A be one of the algebras defined in 1.6–1.8. If M is a retract of A and $(1,0) \in M$, then M = A.

Proof. Let the assumption hold and suppose that M is a retract of A such that $(1,0) \in M$. Further, let φ be the corresponding retraction endomorphism. Then

 $(1) \qquad \varphi((1,0)) = (1,0).$

Since φ is a homomorphism, the relation (1) implies

 $\varphi(x) = x$ for each $x \in A$.

Therefore M = A.

1.11. Lemma. If A is of type (α) , then A is DR-irreducible.

Proof. Let A be of type (α) and suppose that A is DR-reducible. Without loss of generality, A is one of the algebras defined in 1.6–1.8. Then there exist monounary algebras $B_i \in R(A)$ for $i \in I$ such that

(1) $A \in R\left(\prod_{i \in I} B_i\right),$

(2)
$$A \notin R(B_i)$$
 for each $i \in I$.

Hence there is a retract T of $\prod_{i \in I} B_i$ such that

(3) $T \cong A$.

Let t be the element of T corresponding to the element (1, 0) (in the isomorphism (3)). In A the relation

(4)
$$f^{-(m_1+1)}(f^{m_1}((1,0))) \neq \emptyset$$

is valid, thus (3) yields

(4') $f^{-(m_1+1)}(f^{m_1}(t)) \neq \emptyset.$

We have $f^{-1}((1,0)) = \emptyset$, hence there is $i \in I$ with $f^{-1}(t(i)) = \emptyset$. Without loss of generality we can suppose that B_i is a subalgebra of A. The relation $f^{-1}(t(i)) = \emptyset$ implies

$$t(i) = (l, 0)$$

for some $l \in \mathbb{N}$. If l = 1, then 1.10 yields that $B_i = A$, a contradiction to (2). Thus l > 1. In A, hence also in B_i , we have

$$f^{-(m_1+1)}(f^{m_1}((l,0))) = \emptyset,$$

i.e.,

$$f^{-(m_1+1)}(f^{m_1}(t(i))) = \emptyset,$$

which implies

$$f^{-(m_1+1)}(f^{m_1}(t)) = \emptyset$$

a contradiction to (4').

2. Infinite degrees

In this section we suppose that A is a connected monounary algebra such that $A \ncong \mathbb{Z}$, $A \ncong E$, A possesses no cycle and $s_f(x) = \infty$ for each $x \in A$.

2.1. Construction. Let $\lambda = \operatorname{card} A$. Further, let I_j for $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ be disjoint sets of indices such that $\operatorname{card} I_j = \lambda$ for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}$ and $I = \bigcup_{j \in \mathbb{Z}} I_j$. For $i \in I$ put $B_i = E$,

$$B = \prod_{i \in I} B_i.$$

Denote by K the connected component of B such that K contains the element $q \in B$ with q(i) = k for each $i \in I_k, k \in \mathbb{Z}$.

2.2. Lemma. (a) $s_f(x) = \infty$ for each $x \in B$.

(b) card $f^{-1}(x) \ge \lambda$ for each $x \in K$.

Proof. (a) If $x \in B$, $i \in I$, then $s_f(x(i)) = \infty$ by 2.1. Then $s_f(x) = \infty$ as well.

(b) Let $x \in K$. Then x and q belong to the same connected component, thus there are $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f^m(x) = f^n(q)$. Let $i \in I_{m-n}$. We obtain

$$f^{m}(x(i)) = f^{n}(q(i)) = f^{n}(m-n) = m-n+n = m,$$

i.e.,

$$x(i) \in f^{-m}(m) = \{0\},\$$

thus

(1)
$$f^{-1}(x(i)) = \{-1, 1'\}$$
 for each $i \in I_{m-n}$.

Further, we have

(2) $f^{-1}(x(j)) \neq \emptyset$ for each $j \in I$.

The relation card $I_{m-n} = \lambda$ together with (1) and (2) then yields

card
$$f^{-1}(x) \ge 2^{\lambda}$$
,

therefore (b) is valid.

2.3. Lemma. A is DR-reducible.

Proof. Let B and K be as in 2.1. According to 2.2(b), there is a subalgebra T of K with

(1) $A \cong T$.

Then $s_f(x) = \infty$ for each $x \in T$. According to (Thm), this and the fact that no connected component of B contains a cycle imply that T is a retract of B, thus

(2)
$$A \in R(B)$$
.

Further, $A \ncong E$ and $A \ncong \mathbb{Z}$, thus A is not isomorphic to any retract of B_i (for $i \in I$), hence

(3) $A \notin R(B_i)$ for each $i \in I$.

Obviously,

(4) $B_i \in R(A)$ for each $i \in I$.

Hence (1)–(4) yield that A is DR-reducible.

3. AUXILIARY RESULTS

Suppose that A is a connected monounary algebra possessing no cycle, $A \not\cong \mathbb{N}$ and that there is $c \in A$ with $s_f(c) \neq \infty$.

Then the set

$$S_0 = \{ x \in A \colon f^{-1}(x) = \emptyset \}$$

is nonempty. For $x \in S_0$ there exists the least positive integer $n_1(x)$ such that

card
$$f^{-1}(f^{n_1(x)}(x)) > 1$$
 and card $f^{-n_1(x)}(f^{n_1(x)}(x)) > 1$.

For $x \in S_0$ we denote

$$P(x) = \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}} f^{-m}(f^{n_1(x)-1}(x)).$$

Obviously, if $y \in P(x)$, then $f^{-n_1(x)}(y) = \emptyset$.

Let $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Put

$$J^{(n)} = \{ x \in S_0 \colon n_1(x) = n \},\$$

$$V^{(n)} = \{ f^n(x) \colon x \in J^{(n)} \}.$$

For each $v \in V^{(n)}$ with the property

$$f^{-n}(v) \subseteq J^{(n)}$$

we choose a fixed element of the set $f^{-n}(v)$ and denote it by v'. Then we define

$$I^{(n)} = \{ x \in J^{(n)} : \ f^{-n}(f^n(x)) \not\subseteq J^{(n)} \} \cup \\ \cup \{ x \in J^{(n)} : \ f^{-n}(f^n(x)) \subseteq J^{(n)}, x \neq (f^n(x))' \}.$$

If $x \in I^{(n)}$, then there exists an endomorphism φ_x of A such that $\varphi_x(y) = y$ for each $y \in A - P(x)$ and if $y \in P(x)$, then $\varphi_x(y) \in A - \bigcup_{z \in I^{(n)}} P(z)$.

3.1. Lemma. Suppose that there is $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that card $I^{(n)} \ge 2$. Then A is DR-reducible.

Proof. We shall now write I instead of $I^{(n)}$. Denote

$$\begin{split} A_0 &= A - \bigcup_{i \in I} P(i), \\ B_i &= A_0 \cup P(i) \text{ for each } i \in I, \\ B &= \prod_{i \in I} B_i. \end{split}$$

The definition of B_i implies

(1) $B_i \in R(A)$ for each $i \in I$.

Further, A is not isomorphic to any subalgebra of B_i for $i \in I$, thus

(2) $A \notin R(B_i)$ for each $i \in I$.

If $a \in A_0$, let $\overline{a} \in B$ be such that $\overline{a}(i) = a$ for each $i \in I$. Put

$$T_0 = \{\overline{a} \colon a \in A_0\},\$$

and if $i \in I$, let

$$T_i = \{b \in B \colon (\exists y \in P(i))(b(i) = y, b(j) = \varphi_i(y) \text{ for each } j \in I - \{i\}\}$$

Then

(3) $T = \bigcup_{i \in I \cup \{0\}} T_i \cong A.$

Take any fixed $k \in I$. We are going to prove that T is a retract of B. Let $b \in f^{-1}(T)$.

(a) Suppose that $f(b) = \overline{a}$, $a \in A_0$. Then f(b(k)) = a. We have either

(4.1)
$$b(k) \in A_0$$

or

$$(4.2) \ b(k) \in P(k).$$

Put

(5.1) d = b(k) if (4.1) is valid, (5.2) $d = \varphi_k(b(k))$ if (4.2) is valid and denote

(6) $z = \overline{d}$.

Then $z \in T_0 \subseteq T$ and for each $j \in I$ we have

(7.1)
$$f(z(j)) = f(b(k)) = a = f(b(j)),$$

or

(7.2) $f(z(j)) = f(\varphi_k(b(k))) = \varphi_k(f(b(k))) = \varphi_k(a) = a = f(b(j)),$ hence

(8)
$$f(z) = f(b)$$
.

Further,

$$s_f(b) \leqslant s_f(b(k)) \leqslant s_f(\varphi_k(b(k)),$$

$$s_f(z) = \begin{cases} s_f(b(k)) & \text{if (5.1) holds,} \\ s_f(\varphi_k(b(k))) & \text{if (5.2) holds,} \end{cases}$$

which yields

(9) $s_f(b) \leq s_f(z)$.

(b) Suppose that (a) is not valid. Then there is $i \in I$ with $f(b) \in T_i$, i.e., there is $y \in P(i)$ such that

$$(f(b))(j) = \begin{cases} y & \text{if } j = i, \\ \varphi_i(y) & \text{if } j \in I - \{i\}. \end{cases}$$

Take $z \in T_i$ such that

$$z(j) = \begin{cases} b(i) & \text{if } j = i, \\ \varphi_i(b(i)) & \text{if } j \in I - \{i\}. \end{cases}$$

This implies

$$f(z(j)) = \begin{cases} f(b(i)) & \text{if } j = i, \\ f(\varphi_i(b(i))) = \varphi_i(f(b(i))) = \varphi_i(y) = f(b(j)) & \text{if } j \in I - \{i\}, \end{cases}$$

hence

$$f(z) = f(b).$$

Further,

$$s_f(b) \leqslant \min \{s_f(b(i)), s_f(\varphi_i(b(i)))\} = s_f(z).$$

We have proved

(10) for each $b \in f^{-1}(T)$ there exists $z \in T$ with $f(b) = f(z), s_f(b) \leq s_f(z)$.

Let K be a connected component of B with $K \cap T = \emptyset$, $n \in K$. Then either (11.1) $u(k) \in A_0$ or (11.2) $u(k) \in P(k)$; denote either (12.1) $w = \overline{u(k)}$ or (12.2) $w = \overline{\varphi_k(u(k))}$ if (11.1) or (11.2) is valid, respectively. Then $w \in T_0$. The mapping $\psi \colon u \to w$ is a homomorphism, since either (11.1) holds, thus $f(u(k)) \in A_0$ and (13.1) $\psi(f(u)) = \overline{(f(u))(k)} = f(\overline{u(k)}) = f(\psi(u))$, or (11.2) is valid and

(13.2) if $f(u(k)) \in A_0$, then

$$\psi(f(u)) = \overline{(f(u))(k)} = \overline{\varphi_k(f(u(k)))} = \overline{f(\varphi_k(u(k)))} = f(\overline{\varphi_k(u(k))}) = f(\psi(u)),$$

(13.3) if $f(u(k)) \in P(k)$, then

$$\psi(f(u)) = \overline{\varphi_k(f(u(k)))} = \overline{f(\varphi_k(u(k)))} = f(\overline{\varphi_k(u(k))}) = f(\psi(u)).$$

This and (10) imply (in view of (Thm)) that T is a retract of B. According to (1)–(3) we obtain that A is DR-reducible. \Box

3.2. Lemma. Suppose that there are $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$, m < n and $x \in J^{(m)}$, $y \in J^{(n)}$ with $x \notin P(y)$. Then A is DR-reducible.

Proof. In view of 2.1, we can assume that card $I^{(n)} \leq 1$, card $I^{(m)} \leq 1$. Denote

$$B_1 = A - P(y),$$

$$B_2 = A - P(x).$$

It is obvious that

(1) $B_1 \in R(A), B_2 \in R(A).$

Denote by $I^{(n)}(B_1)$ the set of elements of B_1 described analogously as $I^{(n)}$ for A. Then we get

$$I^{(n)}(B_1) = \emptyset.$$

Similarly,

$$I^{(m)}(B_2) = \emptyset.$$

Then A is not isomorphic to any subalgebra of B_1 and A is not isomorphic to any subalgebra of B_2 , thus

(2) $A \notin R(B_1), A \notin R(B_2).$

Let $B = B_1 \times B_2$. Denote

$$T = \{(a, a): a \in A - (P(x) \cup P(y))\} \cup \\ \cup \{(v, \varphi_x(v)): v \in P(x)\} \cup \{(\varphi_y(u), u): u \in P(y)\}.$$

Then

(3) $A \cong T$.

Let us show that T is a retract of B. Let $b \in f^{-1}(T)$.

(a) If $f(b) = (a, a), a \in A - (P(x) \cup P(y))$, then there is $d \in f^{-1}(a) - (P(x) \cup P(y))$; we put z = (d, d). This yields

(4) $f(z) = f(b), s_f(b) \leq s_f(z).$

(b) If $f(b) = (v, \varphi_x(v)), v \in P(x)$, then put $z = (b(1), \varphi_x(b(1)))$; we obtain that (4) is valid, too.

(c) The case when $f(b) = (\varphi_y(u), u), u \in P(y)$, is analogous; we put $z = (\varphi_y(b(2)), b(2))$.

Let K be a connected component of B with $K \cap T = \emptyset$, $t \in K$. If $t(1) \in A - P(x)$, then denote w = (t(1), t(1)). If $t(1) \in P(x)$, then put $w = (\varphi_x(t(1)), \varphi_x(t(1)))$. It can be easily shown that the mapping $t \to w$ is a homomorphism of K into T. Hence (Thm) yields that T is a retract of B. According to (1)–(4) we conclude that A is DR-reducible.

3.3. Lemma. Let *m* be the smallest positive integer such that $J^{(m)} \neq \emptyset$. Further, let $A \ncong E_m$. If $I^{(m)} \neq J^{(m)}$, then *A* is DR-reducible.

Proof. Suppose that A is DR-irreducible. By 3.1 there is $x \in A$ with

$$I^{(m)} = \{x\}.$$

Let $I^{(m)} \neq J^{(m)}$. Then there is $y \in A - \{x\}$ such that $J^{(m)} = \{x, y\}$. Since $A \ncong E_m$,

$$A \neq \{x, f(x), \dots, f^{m-1}(x)\} \cup \{y, f(y), \dots\}.$$

One of the following cases occurs:

a) $S_0 \neq \{x, y\}$. Then there is the least positive integer n > m such that $I^{(n)} \neq \emptyset$. According to 3.1,

 $I^{(n)} = \{z\}$ for some $z \in A$

and, in view of 3.2,

$$\{x, y\} \subseteq P(z).$$

There is $p \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$f^{m+p-1}(x) \notin \{f^j(z) \colon j \in \mathbb{N}\}$$
 and $f^{m+p}(x) \in \{f^j(z) \colon j \in \mathbb{N}\}.$

Denote

(1)
$$u_0 = f^{m+p}(x)$$
.

Then there are $u_1, u_2, ..., u_{m+p} \in A - \{x, f(x), ..., f^{m+p-1}(x)\}$ with

(2) $f^{-1}(u_0) \stackrel{\supset}{\neq} \{u_1\}, f^{-1}(u_1) = \{u_2\}, f^{-1}(u_2) = \{u_3\}, \dots, f^{-1}(u_{m+p+1}) = u_{m+p}.$

b) $S_0 = \{x, y\}$. Then there are $p \in \mathbb{N}$, $u_0 \in A$ and $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{m+p} \in A - \{x, f(x), \ldots, f^{m+p-1}(x)\}$ such that (1) and (2) are valid. Denote

$$B_1 = B_2 = A - \{y, f(y), \dots, f^{m-1}(y)\}.$$

Obviously,

(3) $B_1 \in R(A), B_2 \in R(A).$

Further, let l be the least positive integer such that $J^{(l)}(B_1) \neq \emptyset$. Then l is greater than m, hence A is not isomorphic to any subalgebra of B_1 and

(4) $A \notin R(B_1), A \notin R(B_2).$

Let $\nu: A \to B_1 \times B_2$ be the mapping defined as follows: If $a = f^k(y), k \in \{0, \ldots, m-1\}$, then put $\nu(a) = (f^k(x), u_{m-k})$. If $a \in B_1$, then put $\nu(a) = (a, f^p(a))$. Obviously, ν is injective. Denote

$$T = \nu(A).$$

Let $a \in A$. If $\{a, f(a)\} \subseteq A - B_1$ or $\{a, f(a)\} \subseteq B_1$, then $\nu(f(a)) = f(\nu(a)).$

Suppose that $a \in A - B_1$, $f(a) \in B_1$. Then $a = f^{m-1}(y)$ and we obtain

$$f(\nu(a)) = f((f^{m-1}(x), u_1)) = (f^m(x), u_0) = (f^m(y), f^{m+p}(x)) = = (f^m(y), f^{m+p}(y)) = \nu(f^m(y)) = \nu(f(a)),$$

hence

(5) ν is an isomorphism of A onto T.

We want to prove that T is a retract of $B_1 \times B_2$. If K is a connected component of $B_1 \times B_2$, $K \cap T = \emptyset$, then the mapping $\varphi \colon K \to T$ defined by the formula

$$\varphi(b) = \nu(b(1))$$

is a homomorphism. Suppose that $v \in f^{-1}(t), t \in T$. First let $t = \nu(f^k(y)), k \in \{0, \ldots, m-1\}$. Then

$$t = (f^k(x), u_{m-k});$$

moreover, k > 0 and

$$f^{-1}(t) = \{(f^{k-1}(x), u_{m-k+1})\} \in T,$$

which yields that $v \in T$. Now let $t = (a, f^p(a))$, where $a \in B_1$. If $v(1) \in B_1$, then put d = v(1). If $v_1 \in A - B_1$, then there is $d \in f^{-1}(a) \cap B_1$ such that $s_f(d) > s_f(v(1))$. Denote $r = \nu(d)$. We obtain that $r \in T$. Further,

$$s_f(r) = \min \{s_f(r(1)), s_f(r(2))\} = \min \{s_f(d), s_f(f^p(d))\} = s_f(d), \\ s_f(v) = s_f(v(1)) \leqslant s_f(d).$$

Obviously, f(r) = f(v), hence

(6) if $v \in f^{-1}(T)$, then there is $r \in T$ with f(r) = f(v) and $s_f(r) \ge s_f(v)$.

In view of (Thm), T is a retract of $B_1 \times B_2$, therefore with respect to (3), (4) and (5), A is DR-reducible, which is a contradiction.

4. Main result

The aim of this section is to prove

4.1. Theorem. A connected monounary algebra A possessing no cycle is DRirreducible if and only if either A is of type (α) or A is isomorphic to \mathbb{N} , \mathbb{Z} , E or E_n for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. The sufficient condition for DR-irreducibility is valid in view of 1.2, 1.5 and 1.11.

Now suppose that A is DR-irreducible, A is not of type (α) and that A is not isomorphic to $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, E$ or E_n for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In view of Section 2, there is $x \in A$ with $s_f(x) \neq \infty$. Let us proceed like in Section 3. There exists the smallest positive

integer m_1 such that $J^{(m_1)} \neq \emptyset$. By 3.3, $I^{(m_1)} = J^{(m_1)}$. Then 3.1 implies that there is $x_1 \in A$ such that

$$I^{(m_1)} = J^{(m_1)} = \{x_1\}.$$

If $J^{(k)} = \emptyset$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k > m_1$, then (4.1) is valid; this case will be investigated later.

Suppose that there is the smallest positive integer $m_2 \in \mathbb{N}$, $m_2 > m_1$ such that $J^{(m_2)} \neq \emptyset$. As above, 3.3 and 3.1 yield that there is $x_2 \in A$ with

$$I^{(m_2)} = J^{(m_2)} = \{x_2\}.$$

Further,

 $x_1 \in P(x_2),$

in virtue of 3.2.

If $J^{(k)} = \emptyset$ for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $k > m_2$, then (4.1) is valid. If not, then there is the smallest $m_3 \in \mathbb{N}$, $m_3 > m_2$ and there is $x_3 \in A$ with

$$I^{(m_3)} = J^{(m_3)} = \{x_3\}, x_2 \in P(x_3).$$

Now there are two possibilities:

I. After finitely many steps we finish this process and come to (4.1);

II. We get $x_1, x_2, \ldots \in A$, $m_1 < m_2 < \ldots$ such that if $k \in \mathbb{N}$, then $I^{(m_k)} = J^{(m_k)} = \{x_k\}$ and $x_k \in P(x_{k+1})$. Since A is not of type (α 3), this yields that there exists $z \in A$ with $s_f(z) = \infty$. The algebra A is connected, thus there are $j, l \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $f^j(x_1) = f^l(z)$. Further,

$$x_1 \in P(x_2) \subsetneqq P(x_3) \subsetneqq P(x_4) \dots,$$

thus $f^j(x_1) \in P(x_i)$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $z \in P(x_i)$ for some $i \in \mathbb{N}$, and the relation $s_f(z) = \infty$ contradicts the relation $f^{-n_1(x_i)}(z) = \emptyset$.

Therefore we have

(4.1) there exist $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $m_1, \ldots, m_k \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_1, \ldots, x_k \in A$ such that $J^{(i)} = \emptyset$ for each $i > m_k$,

$$m_1 < m_2 < \dots < m_k,$$

$$I^{(m_1)} = J^{(m_1)} = \{x_1\}, \dots, I^{(m_k)} = J^{(m_k)} = \{x_k\},$$

$$x_1 \in P(x_2), \dots, x_{k-1} \in P(x_k).$$

The algebra A is not of type ($\alpha 1$), thus there is $z \in A$ with $s_f(z) = \infty$. Then

$$s_f(f^{m_k}(x_k)) = \infty$$

and there are distinct elements y_i for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $y_0 = f^{m_k}(x_k)$ and $f(y_i) = y_{i+1}$ for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Further, A is not of type ($\alpha 2$), hence there are $a, b \in A, a \neq b$ such that f(a) = f(b) and $s_f(a) = s_f(b) = \infty$. Denote

$$B_1 = P(x_k) \cup \{y_i \colon i \in \mathbb{Z}\},\$$

$$B_2 = A - P(x_k).$$

Obviously, B_1 and B_2 are subalgebras of A. Notice that $s_f(x) = \infty$ for each $x \in B_2$, thus A is not isomorphic to any subalgebra of B_2 , hence $A \notin R(B_2)$. The existence of $a, b \in A$ implies that A is not isomorphic to any subalgebra of B_1 , thus $A \notin R(B_1)$. Further, by the definition of a retract we get

$$B_1 \in R(A), B_2 \in R(A).$$

There exists a retract homomorphism $\psi \colon A \to \{y_i \colon i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Let us define a mapping $\nu \colon A \to B_1 \times B_2$ as follows:

$$\nu(t) = \begin{cases} (t, \psi(t)) \text{ if } t \in P(x_k), \\ (\psi(t), t) \text{ otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Denote

$$T = \{\nu(t) \colon t \in A\}.$$

The mapping ν is injective, since if $t \in P(x_k)$, $r \in A - P(x_k)$, $\nu(t) = \nu(r)$, then $t = \psi(r)$, $r = \psi(t)$, thus $\{r, t\} \subseteq \{y_i : i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, hence $\psi(r) = r$, $\psi(t) = t$ and r = t. Let us show that ν is a homomorphism. If $\{t, f(t)\} \subseteq P(x_k)$ or $\{t, f(t)\} \subseteq A - P(x_k)$, then obviously $\nu(f(t)) = f(\nu(t))$. Suppose that $t \in P(x_k)$, $f(t) \in A - P(x_k)$. Then $f(t) = y_0$, $\psi(y_0) = y_0$ and we have

$$\nu(f(t)) = \nu(y_0) = (\psi(y_0), y_0) = (y_0, y_0) = (y_0, \psi(y_0)) =$$
$$= (f(t), f(\psi(t))) = f(t, \psi(t)) = f(\nu(t)).$$

Hence T is a subalgebra of $B_1 \times B_2$ such that

$$T \cong A$$
.

No connected component of $B_1 \times B_2$ contains a cycle and there is $q \in T$ with $s_f(q) = \infty$, thus (Thm) implies that for proving that T is a retract of $B_1 \times B_2$ it suffices to verify that for each $d \in f^{-1}(T)$ there is $v \in T$ with f(d) = f(v) and $s_f(d) \leq s_f(v)$. Thus let $d \in f^{-1}(T)$. Then either

(1)
$$f(d) = (t, \psi(t)), t \in P(x_k),$$

or

(2)
$$f(d) = (\psi(t), t), t \in A - P(x_k).$$

There is $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\psi(t) = y_i$. If (1) is valid, then $d(1) \in f^{-1}(t)$, $t \in P(x_k)$, hence $d(1) \in P(x_k)$; take $v = (b(1), y_{i-1})$. This implies

$$f(v) = (f(d(1)), f(y_{i-1})) = (t, y_i) = (t, \psi(t)) = f(d),$$

$$s_f(d) = \min \{s_f(d(1)), s_f(d(2))\} = \min \{s_f(d(1)), \infty\} =$$

$$= s_f(d(1), y_{i-1}) = s_f(v).$$

Let (2) hold. Then f(d(2)) = t, $d(2) \in f^{-1}(t) \subseteq B_2$, $f(d(1)) = y_i$. Put $v = (\psi(d(2)), d(2))$. We get

$$f(v) = (f(\psi(d(2))), f(d(2))) = (\psi(f(d(2)), t) = (\psi(t), t) = f(d).$$

Since $b(2) \in B_2$, we get $s_f(d(2)) = \infty$, thus $s_f(\psi(d(2))) = \infty$ (ψ is a homomorphism), hence $s_f(v) = s_f(\psi(d(2)), d(2)) = \infty \ge s_f(d)$.

We have proved that A is DR-reducible, which is a contradiction, and this completes the proof. $\hfill \Box$

References

- D. Duffus and I. Rival: A structure theory for ordered sets. Discrete Math. 35 (1981), 53–118.
- [2] D. Jakubíková-Studenovská: Retract irreducibility of connected monounary algebras I. Czechoslovak Math. J. 46 (121) (1996), 291–308.
- [3] D. Jakubíková-Studenovská: Retract irreducibility of connected monounary algebras II. Czechoslovak Math. J. 47 (122) (1997), 113–126.
- [4] D. Jakubiková-Studenovská: Two types of retract irreducibility of connected monounary algebras. Math. Bohem. 121 (1996), 143–150.
- [5] D. Jakubíková-Studenovská: Retract irreducibility of monounary algebras. Czechoslovak Math. J. 49 (124) (1999), 363–390.
- [6] D. Jakubíková-Studenovská: DR-irreducibility of connected monounary algebras with a cycle. Czechoslovak Math. J. 50 (125) (2000), 681–698.
- [7] O. Kopeček, M. Novotný: On some invariants of unary algebras. Czechoslovak Math. J. 24 (1974), 219–246.
- [8] M. Novotný: Über Abbildungen von Mengen. Pacif. J. Math. 13 (1963), 1359–1369.

Author's address: Prírodovedecká fakulta UPJŠ, Jesenná 5, 04154 Košice, Slovakia.