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#### Abstract

We prove two versions of the Monotone Convergence Theorem for the vector integral of Kurzweil, $\int_{R} \mathrm{~d} \alpha(t) f(t)$, where $R$ is a compact interval of $\mathbb{R}^{n}, \alpha$ and $f$ are functions with values on $L(Z, W)$ and $Z$ respectively, and $Z$ and $W$ are monotone ordered normed spaces. Analogous results can be obtained for the Kurzweil vector integral, $\int_{R} \alpha(t) \mathrm{d} f(t)$, as well as to unbounded intervals $R$.
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## 1. ORDERED NORMED SPACES

As basic references on ordered normed spaces we mention [2] and also [6].
Definition 1. An ordered normed space is a pair $(Z, \leqslant)$ where $Z$ is a normed vector space and $\leqslant$ is an ordering relation in $Z$ such that
i) $z \leqslant w$ implies $z+x \leqslant w+x$ for $z, w, x \in Z$;
ii) $z \leqslant w$ implies $\lambda z \leqslant \lambda w$ for $z, w \in Z$ and $\lambda$ real and non-negative;
iii) the "positive cone" $C=\{z \in Z ; z \geqslant 0\}$ is closed in $Z$.

Remark 1. Let $z, w \in Z$, where $(Z, \leqslant)$ is an ordered normed space. Then
i) $z \leqslant w$ is equivalent to $w-z \geqslant 0$;
ii) $z \leqslant 0$ if and only if $0 \leqslant-z$;
iii) the cone $C$ is convex, $C+C \subset C$ and $C \cap(-C)=\{0\}$;
iv) every subspace of an ordered normed space is also an ordered normed space with the induced order;
v) every closed subspace of an ordered Banach space is also an ordered Banach space with the induced order;
vi) if $(Z, \leqslant Z)$ and $(W, \leqslant W)$ are ordered normed spaces, then $Z \times W$ with the ordering " $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \leqslant Z \times W\left(w_{1}, w_{2}\right)$ if and only if $z_{1} \leqslant Z \times W w_{1}$ and $z_{2} \leqslant Z \times W$ w ${ }_{2}$ " is an ordered normed space.

Example 2. If $(\Omega, \mu)$ is a measure space and $X$ is an ordered Banach space, then $L_{p}(\Omega, X), 1 \leqslant p \leqslant \infty$, with the ordering " $f \leqslant g$ if and only if $f(t) \leqslant g(t) \mu$-almost everywhere" is an ordered Banach space. If $K$ is a complete metric space and $X$ is an ordered Banach space, then the space of all continuous functions from $K$ to $X$, $C(K, X)$, is an ordered Banach space with the ordering " $m$-almost everywhere", $m$ standing for the Lebesgue measure.

Definition 3. An ordered normed space $(Z, \leqslant)$ is called monotone if and only if

$$
0<z \leqslant w \text { implies }\|z\| \leqslant\|w\| .
$$

Example 4. Let $X$ be a Banach space and $[a, b]$ a compact interval of $\mathbb{R}$. By $B V_{a}([a, b], X)$ we mean the space of all functions $f:[a, b] \rightarrow X$ of bounded variation (i.e., $V(f)<\infty)$ such that $f(a)=0$. Then $B V_{a}([a, b], X)$ is a Banach space when equipped with the norm $\|f\|=V(f)$. It is possible to have $f, g \in B V_{a}([a, b], X)$ such that $f \leqslant g m$-almost everywhere, but $V(g)<V(f)$ and therefore $\|g\|<\|f\|$. Hence, the ordering of $B V_{a}([a, b], X)$ is not monotone.

Definition 5. Let $(Z, \leqslant z)$ and $(W, \leqslant W)$ be ordered normed spaces and consider a mapping $T: Z \rightarrow W$. Then $T$ is called increasing if and only if $z_{1} \leqslant_{Z} z_{2}$ implies $T\left(z_{1}\right) \leqslant_{W} T\left(z_{2}\right)$ and $T$ is called positive if and only if $z \geqslant 0$ implies $T(z) \geqslant 0$.

Remark 2. In Definition 5, if $T$ is linear, then $T$ is increasing if and only if $T$ is positive.

Definition 6. Let $(Z, \leqslant)$ be a monotone ordered normed space and $\left(z_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ a non-decreasing sequence in $Z$. We write $z_{n} \uparrow$ in $Z$. Then
i) $0 \leqslant z_{n} \uparrow$ in $Z$ is bounded if and only if $\sup \left\|z_{n}\right\|<\infty$;
ii) $0 \leqslant z_{n} \uparrow$ in $Z$ is upper bounded if and only if there exists $z \in Z$ such that $z \geqslant z_{n}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$;
iii) $z=\lim _{n} z_{n}$ if and only if $\left\|z-z_{n}\right\| \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$;
iv) $z=\sup _{n} z_{n}$ if and only if $z \geqslant z_{n}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $w \in Z$ with $w \geqslant z_{n}$ for every ${ }_{n}^{n} \in \mathbb{N}$, implies $w \geqslant z$.

Let $(Z, \leqslant)$ be a monotone ordered normed space. If $z_{n} \uparrow$ in $Z$, then $z=\lim _{n} z_{n}$ $\operatorname{implies} z=\sup _{n} z_{n}$. Consider, however, the following properties which the space $(Z, \leqslant)$ may or may not possess:
(A) if $z_{n} \uparrow$ in $Z$ and there is $z=\sup _{n} z_{n}$, then $z=\lim _{n} z_{n}$;
(B) if $z_{n} \uparrow$ in $Z$ is a Cauchy sequence, then it is convergent;
(C) if $z_{n} \uparrow$ in $Z$ is bounded from above, then there is $z=\sup _{n} z_{n}$;
(D) if $z_{n} \uparrow$ in $Z$ is bounded from above, then there is $z=\lim _{n} z_{n}$;
(E) if $z_{n} \uparrow$ in $Z$ is bounded, then there is $z=\lim _{n} z_{n}=\sup _{n} z_{n}$.

Then (E) implies (B) and (D); (D) holds if and only if both (A) and (C) hold.

## 2. Kurzweil and Henstock vector integrals

Let $X$ and $Y$ be normed spaces and $L(X, Y)$ the normed space of all linear continuous functions from $X$ to $Y$. Throughout this section, we consider functions $\alpha: R \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ and $f: R \rightarrow X$, where $R$ is a compact interval of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with sides parallel to the coordinate axes. However, for simplicity of notation and proofs, we work on the two-dimensional case. Thus, when $t=\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)$ and $s=\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)$ are points of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ such that $t \leqslant s$ (i.e., $t_{i} \leqslant s_{i}, i=1,2$ ), we denote by $[t, s]$ the corresponding closed interval and we write $|[t, s]|=m([t, s])$, where $m$ denotes the Lebesgue measure.

Definition 7. Let $\mathcal{I}(R)$ be the set of all closed intervals contained in $R$. A division $d$ of $R$ is a finite set of nonoverlapping closed intervals of $R$ whose union is $R$ and we write $d=\left(J_{i}\right)$ or simply $\left(J_{i}\right)$, where $J_{i} \in \mathcal{I}(R)$. By nonoverlapping intervals we mean that their interiors are pairwise disjoint. We say that $d=\left(\xi_{i}, J_{i}\right)$ is a tagged division of $R$ if $\left(J_{i}\right)$ is a division of $R$ and $\xi_{i} \in J_{i}$ for every $i$. We denote by $\mathrm{TD}_{R}$ the set of all tagged divisions of $R$. A tagged partial division of $R$ is any subset of a tagged division of $R$ and, in this case, we write $d \in \mathrm{TPD}_{R}$. A gauge of a subset $S$ of $R$ is any function $\delta: S \rightarrow] 0, \infty[$. Given a gauge $\delta$ of $R$, we say that $d=\left(\xi_{i}, J_{i}\right) \in \mathrm{TPD}_{R}$ is $\delta$-fine if $J_{i} \subset\left\{t \in R ;\left|t-\xi_{i}\right|<\delta\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right\}$ for every $i$. Let $c \in \mathbb{R}$, $0<c \leqslant 1$, and $J \in \mathcal{I}(R)$ with sides of the lengths $h$ and $k$ such that $h \leqslant k$. Then $J$ is called $c$-regular if and only if $h / k \geqslant c ; d=\left(\xi_{i}, J_{i}\right) \in \mathrm{TPD}_{R}$ is called $c$-regular if and only if $J_{i}$ is $c$-regular for every $i$.

Let $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(R), X)$ be the set of all functions $F: \mathcal{I}(R) \rightarrow X$ which are additive on intervals, that is, $F(J)=F\left(J_{1}\right)+F\left(J_{2}\right)$ for every $J, J_{1}, J_{2} \in \mathcal{I}(R)$ such that $J=J_{1} \cup J_{2}$ and $J_{1}$ and $J_{2}$ are nonoverlapping.

Definition 8. Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(R), L(X, Y))$. Then $f: R \rightarrow X$ is regularly $\alpha$-Kurzweil integrable (we write $f \in{ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{K}^{\alpha}(R, X)$ ) with vector integral $I \in Y$ (we write $\left.I={ }^{\mathrm{rK}} \int_{R} \mathrm{~d} \alpha f={ }^{\mathrm{rK}} \int_{R} \mathrm{~d} \alpha(t) f(t)\right)$ if for every $\varepsilon>0$ and every $0<c<1$ there exists a gauge $\delta$ of $R$ such that

$$
\left\|\sum_{i} \alpha\left(J_{i}\right) f\left(\xi_{i}\right)-\int_{R}^{\mathrm{rK}} \mathrm{~d} \alpha f\right\|<\varepsilon
$$

for every $c$-regular $\delta$-fine $d=\left(\xi_{i}, J_{i}\right) \in \mathrm{TD}_{R}$.
We say that $f: R \rightarrow X$ is regularly $\alpha$-Henstock integrable (we write $f \in$ ${ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{H}^{\alpha}(R, X)$ ) if there exists $F^{\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(R), Y)$ (called the associated function of $f$ ) such that given $\varepsilon>0$ and $0<c<1$, there exists a gauge $\delta$ of $R$ such that

$$
\sum_{i}\left\|\alpha\left(J_{i}\right) f\left(\xi_{i}\right)-F^{\alpha}\left(J_{i}\right)\right\|<\varepsilon
$$

for every $c$-regular $\delta$-fine $d=\left(\xi_{i}, J_{i}\right) \in \mathrm{TD}_{R}$.
In what follows we put $R=[a, b]=\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right] \times\left[a_{2}, b_{2}\right]$, where $a=\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$ and $b=\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)$ belong to $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

Remark 3. Given $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(R), L(X, Y))$, let ${ }^{\mathrm{r}} I^{\alpha}(R, X)$ denote one of the spaces ${ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{K}^{\alpha}(R, X)$ or ${ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{H}^{\alpha}(R, X)$.
i) If $f \in{ }^{\mathrm{r}} I^{\alpha}(R, X)$ and $J \in \mathcal{I}(R)$, then $f \in{ }^{\mathrm{r}} I^{\alpha}(J, X)$; we define the indefinite integral $F^{\alpha}: \mathcal{I}(R) \rightarrow Y$ of $f \in{ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{K}^{\alpha}(R, X)$ by $F^{\alpha}(J)={ }^{\mathrm{rK}} \int_{J} \mathrm{~d} \alpha f$, for every $J \in \mathcal{I}(R)$.
ii) ${ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{H}^{\alpha}(R, X) \subset{ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{K}^{\alpha}(R, X)$ and if $f \in{ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{H}^{\alpha}(R, X)$, then the associated function $F^{\alpha}$ is its indefinite integral.
iii) If $J_{1}, J_{2} \in \mathcal{I}(R)$ are such that $J_{1}$ and $J_{2}$ are nonoverlapping and $J=J_{1} \cup J_{2}$ is an interval, then $f \in{ }^{\mathrm{r}} I^{\alpha}(J, X)$ whenever $f \in{ }^{\mathrm{r}} I^{\alpha}\left(J_{i}, X\right)$ for $i=1,2$.

Remark 4. Given $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(R), L(X, Y))$ and $f \in{ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{K}^{\alpha}(R, X)$, we define a function $\tilde{f}^{\alpha}: R \rightarrow Y$ by $\tilde{f}^{\alpha}(t)={ }^{\mathrm{rK}} \int_{[a, t]} \mathrm{d} \alpha f$ for every $t \in R=[a, b]$. We may associate $\tilde{f}^{\alpha}$ with a function of intervals which we still denote by $\tilde{f}: \mathcal{I}(R) \rightarrow Y$. In this case,

$$
\tilde{f}([t, s])=\tilde{f}(s)-\tilde{f}\left(t_{1}, s_{2}\right)-\tilde{f}\left(s_{1}, t_{2}\right)+\tilde{f}(t)
$$

where $[t, s]=\left[t_{1}, s_{1}\right] \times\left[t_{2}, s_{2}\right]$. Reciprocally, it is possible to associate a function $F^{\alpha} \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(R), X)$ with a function from $R$ to $X$ by the relation

$$
F^{\alpha}(t)=F^{\alpha}([a, t])-F^{\alpha}\left(\left[a,\left(a_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right]\right)-F^{\alpha}\left(\left[a,\left(t_{1}, a_{2}\right)\right]\right)+F^{\alpha}([a, a])
$$

When $\alpha(t)=t$, we write ${ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{K}(R, X),{ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{H}(R, X)$ and $\tilde{f}$ instead of ${ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{K}^{\alpha}(R, X),{ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{H}^{\alpha}(R, X)$ and $\tilde{f}^{\alpha}$, respectively. If moreover $R=[a, b] \subset \mathbb{R}$, then $\alpha(J)=m(J)=|J|$ for $J \in \mathcal{I}(R)$, and the regularity does not play any role. In this case, we denote by $K([a, b], X)$ and by $\mathrm{H}([a, b], X)$ respectively the spaces of Kurzweil and of Henstock integrable functions.

Definition 9. Given $\alpha: R \rightarrow L(X, Y)$, let ${ }^{\mathrm{r}} I^{\alpha}(R, X)$ denote one of the spaces ${ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{K}^{\alpha}(R, X)$ or ${ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{H}^{\alpha}(R, X)$. Two functions $g, f \in{ }^{\mathrm{r}} I^{\alpha}(R, X)$ are equivalent if $\tilde{g}^{\alpha}=\tilde{f}^{\alpha}$. Then the space of all equivalence classes of functions of ${ }^{\mathrm{r}} I^{\alpha}(R, X)$ endowed with the Alexiewicz norm

$$
f \in{ }^{\mathrm{r}} I^{\alpha}(R, X) \mapsto\|f\|_{A}=\|\widetilde{f}\|_{\infty}=\sup \left\{{ }^{\mathrm{rK}} \int_{[a, t]} \mathrm{d} \alpha f ; t \in R=[a, b]\right\}
$$

is denoted by ${ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{K}^{\alpha}(R, X)_{A}$ or by ${ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{H}^{\alpha}(R, X)_{A}$ depending on the choice of ${ }^{\mathrm{r}} I^{\alpha}(R, X)$. We write $f \in{ }^{\mathrm{r}} I^{\alpha}(R, X)_{A}$ to indicate that $f=f_{\Phi} \in \Phi$, where $\Phi \in{ }^{\mathrm{r}} I^{\alpha}(R, X)_{A}$.

Example 10. With the notation of Definition 9 and the ordering " $f \leqslant g m$-almost everywhere", ${ }^{\mathrm{r}} I^{\alpha}(R, X)_{A}$ is an ordered normed space. Besides, if $\alpha: R \rightarrow L(X, Y)$ is such that $\alpha(J)$ is positive for every $J \in \mathcal{I}(R)$, then ${ }^{\mathrm{r}} I^{\alpha}(R, X)_{A}$ is monotone.

Definition 11. Let $0<c<1$. The $c$-variation $\mathrm{V}^{c}(F)$ of a function $F \in$ $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(R), E)$ is the smallest $p \in[0, \infty]$ such that for every $c$-regular division $\left(J_{i}\right)$ of $R$,

$$
\sum_{i}\left\|F\left(J_{i}\right)\right\|<p
$$

If $\mathrm{V}^{c}(F)<\infty$, then $F$ is of $c$-bounded variation. If moreover

$$
{ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{~V}(F)=\sup \left\{\mathrm{V}^{c}(F) ; 0<c<1\right\}<\infty,
$$

then $F$ is of regular bounded variation and we write $F \in{ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{BV}(\mathcal{I}(R), X)$.
Definition 12. Let $0<c<1$. We say that a function $F \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(R), X)$ is $c$-differentiable at $\xi \in R$ and $f(\xi)$ is its $c$-derivative (we write $\mathrm{D}^{c} F(\xi)=f(\xi)$ ) if for every $\varepsilon>0$ there is a neighbourhood $V$ of $\xi$ such that for every $c$-regular $J \in \mathcal{I}(R)$ with $\xi \in J \subset V$,

$$
\|F(J)-f(\xi)|J|\|<\varepsilon|J| .
$$

If $\mathrm{D}^{c} F(\xi)=f(\xi)$ for every $c$, then $F$ is regularly differentiable at $\xi \in R$ and $f(\xi)$ is its regular derivative (we write ${ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{D} F(\xi)=f(\xi)$ ). If $F$ is $c$-differentiable at $\xi$ for every $\xi \in R$, then $F$ is $c$-differentiable at $R$. If $F$ is regularly differentiable at $\xi$ for every $\xi \in R$, then $F$ is regularly differentiable at $R$.

## 3. Main Results

Throughout this section we assume that $W$ and $Z$ are monotone ordered normed spaces and $Z$ satisfies property (B), that is, $Z$ is also a Banach space. Other properties of $W$ and $Z$ will be considered later.

Lemma 13 (Saks-Henstock Lemma). Let $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}(\mathcal{I}(R), L(Z, W)), f \in{ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{K}^{\alpha}(R, Z)$, $\varepsilon>0,0<c<1$ and let $\delta$ be the gauge of $R$ from the definition of $f \in{ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{K}^{\alpha}(R, Z)$. Then, for every c-regular $\delta$-fine $d=\left(\xi_{i}, J_{i}\right) \in \mathrm{TPD}_{R}$,

$$
\left\|\sum_{i}\left[\alpha\left(J_{i}\right) f\left(\xi_{i}\right)-\int_{J_{i}}^{\mathrm{rK}} \mathrm{~d} \alpha f\right]\right\|<\varepsilon .
$$

Proof. It is sufficient to adapt the one-dimensional proof-see [7], Proposition 16-for the multidimensional case.

The proof of the next result follows the ideas of [4], Theorem 6. We use the notation $0 \leqslant \alpha$ for $\alpha: R \rightarrow L(Z, W)$ such that $\alpha(J)$ is positive, for every $J \in \mathcal{I}(R)$.

Theorem 14 [Monotone Convergence Theorem—version 1]. Let $0 \leqslant \alpha \in$ ${ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{BV}(\mathcal{I}(R), L(Z, W))$ and $0 \leqslant f_{n} \uparrow$ in ${ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{K}^{\alpha}(R, Z)_{A}$. Suppose $Z$ and $W$ satisfy property A and there exists $\sup _{n} f_{n}(t)=f(t)$ for every $t \in R$. Let $I_{n}={ }^{\mathrm{rK}} \int_{R} \mathrm{~d} \alpha f$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and suppose there exists $\sup _{n} I_{n}=I$. Then $f \in{ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{K}^{\alpha}(R, Z)_{A}$ and

$$
\lim _{n}^{\mathrm{rK}} \int_{R} \mathrm{~d} \alpha f_{n}=I=\int_{R}^{\mathrm{rK}} \mathrm{~d} \alpha f .
$$

Proof. 1) If $0 \leqslant \alpha$, then $0 \leqslant{ }^{\mathrm{rK}} \int_{R} \mathrm{~d} \alpha f$, for every $0 \leqslant f$ in ${ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{K}^{\alpha}(R, Z)$. Hence, the regular vector integral of Kurzweil is positive and, therefore, $0 \leqslant f_{n} \uparrow$ and $0 \leqslant \alpha$ imply $I_{n} \uparrow$ in $W$. By the hypothesis, there exists $\sup _{n} I_{n}=I$ and $W$ satisfies (A). Thus $I=\lim _{n} I_{n}$ and then, for every $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $n_{\varepsilon} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for every $n \geqslant n_{\varepsilon}$,

$$
\left\|I-I_{n}\right\|<\varepsilon
$$

2) $f_{n} \in{ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{K}^{\alpha}(R, Z)$, for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then for each $\varepsilon \cdot 2^{-n}$ and each $c, 0<c<1$, there is a gauge $\delta_{n}$ of $R$ such that for every $c$-regular $\delta$-fine $d_{n}=\left(\xi_{i_{n}}, J_{i_{n}}\right) \in \mathrm{TD}_{R}$,

$$
\left\|\sum_{i_{n}} \alpha\left(J_{i_{n}}\right) f_{n}\left(\xi_{i_{n}}\right)-I_{n}\right\|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2^{n}} .
$$

3) From the facts that $f_{n} \uparrow$ in ${ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{K}^{\alpha}(R, Z)_{A}$, there is $\sup f_{n}(t)=f(t)$ for every $t \in R$, and $Z$ satisfies (A) it follows that $f(t)=\lim _{n} f_{n}(t)$ for every $t \in R$. Hence, given $t \in R$, there exists $n(t) \geqslant n_{\varepsilon}$ such that

$$
\left\|f(t)-f_{n(t)}(t)\right\|<\varepsilon
$$

4) Let $0<c<1$ and $\delta$ be a gauge of $R$ defined by $\delta(t)=\delta_{n(t)}(t)$ for every $t \in R$. Then, for every $c$-regular $\delta$-fine $d=\left(\xi_{i}, J_{i}\right) \in \mathrm{TD}_{R}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\sum_{i} \alpha\left(J_{i}\right) f_{n}\left(\xi_{i}\right)-I\right\| \leqslant & \left\|\sum_{i} \alpha\left(J_{i}\right) f_{n}\left(\xi_{i}\right)-\sum_{i_{n}} \alpha\left(J_{i_{n}}\right) f_{n\left(\xi_{i}\right)}\left(\xi_{i_{n}}\right)\right\| \\
& +\left\|\sum_{i_{n}} \alpha\left(J_{i_{n}}\right) f_{n\left(\xi_{i}\right)}\left(\xi_{i_{n}}\right)-\sum_{i}{ }^{\mathrm{rK}} \int_{J_{i}} \mathrm{~d} \alpha f\right\| \\
& +\left\|\sum_{i}{ }^{\mathrm{rK}} \int_{J_{i}} \mathrm{~d} \alpha f-I\right\|=(*) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\alpha \in{ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{BV}(\mathcal{I}(R), L(Z, W))$, then $\sum_{i}\left\|\alpha\left(J_{i}\right)\right\| \leqslant{ }^{r} \mathrm{~V}(\alpha)$. Then it follows by 3$)$ that the first summand of $(*)$ is smaller than

$$
\sum_{i}\left\|\alpha\left(J_{i}\right)\right\|\left\|f\left(\xi_{i}\right)-f_{n\left(\xi_{i}\right)}\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right\|<\varepsilon \cdot{ }^{r} \mathrm{~V}(\alpha)
$$

We may rewrite the second summand of $(*)$ as

$$
\left\|\sum_{n} \sum_{n\left(\xi_{i}\right)=n}\left[\alpha\left(J_{i}\right) f_{n}\left(\xi_{i}\right)-\int_{J_{i}}^{\mathrm{rK}} \mathrm{~d} \alpha f\right]\right\|,
$$

which is smaller than $\sum_{n} \varepsilon / 2^{n}$ by 2) and by the Saks-Henstock Lemma (Lemma 13) applied to each $\sum_{n\left(\xi_{i}\right)=n}$.
5) Let $\nu=\inf _{i}\left\{n\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right\}$ and $\mu=\sup _{i}\left\{n\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right\}$. Then $I_{\nu} \leqslant I_{\mu}$. By 3), $n\left(\xi_{i}\right) \geqslant n_{\varepsilon}$ for every $i$. Hence $\nu \geqslant n_{\varepsilon}$ and therefore it follows by 1) and from the fact that $I_{n} \uparrow$ in $W$ that $I-\varepsilon<I_{\nu}$. Besides, $I_{\mu} \leqslant I$, since $\sup I_{n}=I$. Thus $I-\varepsilon<I_{\nu} \leqslant I_{\mu} \leqslant I$ and the third summand of $(*)$ in 4$)$ is smaller than $\varepsilon$. This completes the proof.

With the notation of Theorem 14 we now consider $0 \leqslant f_{n} \uparrow$ in ${ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{K}^{\alpha}(R, Z)_{A}$ upper bounded, $0 \leqslant \alpha \in{ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{BV}(\mathcal{I}(R), L(Z, W))$ and $Z$ and $W$ satisfying property (D) instead of property (A). Then,
$1^{\prime}$ ) if $I_{n}$ is upper bounded, then there exists $I=\lim _{n} I_{n}$ (since $W$ satisfies (D)) and we have 1);

2') $f_{n} \in{ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{K}^{\alpha}(R, Z)_{A}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and we have 2$)$;
$3^{\prime}$ ) if $f_{n} \uparrow$ is upper bounded, then given $t \in R$, there exists $f(t)=\lim _{n} f_{n}(t)$ (since $Z$ satisfies (D)) and we have 3);
$\left.4^{\prime}\right) \alpha \in{ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{BV}(\mathcal{I}(R), L(Z, W))$ and we have 4).
Thus, we proved the following

Theorem 15 (Monotone Convergence Theorem-version 2). Let $0 \leqslant \alpha \in$ ${ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{BV}(\mathcal{I}(R), L(Z, W))$ and let $0 \leqslant f_{n} \uparrow$ in ${ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{K}^{\alpha}(R, Z)_{A}$ be upper bounded. Suppose $Z$ and $W$ possess property (D). Let $I_{n}={ }^{\mathrm{rK}} \int_{R} \mathrm{~d} \alpha f$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, and suppose the sequence $\left(I_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is upper bounded. Then there exists $f \in{ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{K}^{\alpha}(R, Z)_{A}$ with $f(t)=\lim _{n} f_{n}(t)$ for every $t \in R$, and

$$
\lim _{n} \int_{R}^{\mathrm{rK}} \mathrm{~d} \alpha f_{n}={ }^{\mathrm{rK}} \int_{R} \mathrm{~d} \alpha f .
$$

An example by Birkhoff (see [1]) shows that even in the one-dimensional case, if $Z$ possesses property (E) (which implies properties (A), (B), (C) and (D)), then the Monotone Convergence Theorem need not be valid for the Henstock integral and, therefore, for the Henstock vector integrals.

Proposition 16. If $f \in{ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{H}(R, Z)$, then there exists ${ }^{\mathrm{r}} \mathrm{D} \tilde{f}(t)=f(t)$ for m-almost every $t \in R$.

For a proof of Proposition 16, see [5], Theorem 2.2, or alternatively see [3] for the analogue of this result concerning vector integrals.

Example 17. Let

$$
Z=l_{2}(I N)=\left\{z=\left(z_{n}\right)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}, \quad z_{n} \in \mathbb{R} ; \sum_{n}\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}<\infty\right\}
$$

be equipped with the norm $\|z\|=\left(\sum_{n}\left|z_{n}\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$. Then $Z$ possesses property (E). By $e_{i j}$ we mean the doubly infinite set of orthonormal vectors of $Z$. We define a function $f:[0,1] \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow Z$ by

$$
f=\sum_{i} f_{i}
$$

where $f_{i}(t)=2^{i} e_{i j}$ for $2^{-i} \leqslant t<j \cdot 2^{-i}+1 \cdot 2^{-2 i}$ and $j=0,1,2, \ldots, 2^{i}-1$. Given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, let $f_{n}=f_{1}+f_{2}+\ldots+f_{n}$. Then each $f_{n} \in \mathrm{H}([0,1], Z)$. However, we assert that $f \notin \mathrm{H}([0,1], Z)$. Indeed, in [1], Birkhoff showed that $\tilde{f}$ is nowhere differentiable. Thus, by Proposition [16], the result follows.
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