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Abstract. Zero-term rank of a matrix is the minimum number of lines (rows or columns) needed to cover all the zero entries of the given matrix. We characterize the linear operators that preserve zero-term rank of the $m \times n$ real matrices. We also obtain combinatorial equivalent condition for the zero-term rank of a real matrix.
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## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

There are many papers on the research of linear operators on matrices that preserve certain matrix functions. But there are few papers on zero-term rank of real matrices. Recently Beasley, Song and Lee [2] obtained characterizations of zero-term rank preservers of matrices over anti-negative semirings.

In this article, we obtain characterizations of the linear operators that preserve zero-term rank of real matrices.

Let $M_{m, n}(\mathbb{R})$ denote the set of all $m \times n$ matrices with entries in $\mathbb{R}$, the real numbers. Let $\mathbb{B}=\{0,1\}$ be the Boolean algebra. For a real matrix $A=\left[a_{i j}\right]$, let $\bar{A}=\left[\overline{a_{i j}}\right]$ denote the matrix with entries in $\mathbb{B}$ such that $\overline{a_{i j}}=0$ if and only if $a_{i j}=0$. Let $E_{i j}$ be the $m \times n$ real matrix which has a 1 in the $(i, j)$-entry and is zero elsewhere. We call $E_{i j}$ a cell. Let $J$ denote the $m \times n$ matrix all of whose entries are 1. A matrix $A$ is said to dominate matrix $B=\left[b_{i j}\right]$ if $a_{i j}=0$ implies that $b_{i j}=0$
and we write $A \geqslant B$. If $A \geqslant B$ and there is some pair $(i, j)$ such that $a_{i j} \neq 0$ but $b_{i j}=0$, then we write $A>B$.

The zero-term rank [3] of a matrix $A, z(A)$, is the minimum number of lines (row or columns) needed to cover all the zero entries of $A$. Of course, the term rank [1] of $A, t(A)$, is defined similarly for all the nonzero entries of $A$.

Let $T: M_{m, n}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow M_{m, n}(\mathbb{R})$ be a linear operator. Say that
(i) $T$ preserves zero-term rank $k$ if $z(T(A))=k$ whenever $z(A)=k$ for all $A$ in $M_{m, n}(\mathbb{R})$;
(ii) $T$ preserves zero-term rank if it preserves zero-term rank $k$ for every $k \leqslant$ $\min \{m, n\}$.
Which linear operators over $M_{m, n}(\mathbb{R})$ preserve zero-term rank? The operations of (1) permuting rows, (2) permuting columns and (3) (if $m=n$ ) transposing the matrices in $M_{m, n}(\mathbb{R})$ are all linear, zero-term rank preserving operators on $M_{m, n}(\mathbb{R})$.

If we take a fixed $m \times n$ matrix $B$ in $M_{m, n}(\mathbb{R})$, all of whose entries are nonzero real numbers, then its Schur product $A \circ B=\left[a_{i j} b_{i j}\right]$ with $A$ has the same zero-term rank as does $A$. The operator $A \mapsto A \circ B$ is linear. Similarly $A \mapsto B \circ A$ is linear, zero-term rank preserving operator. That these operations and their compositions are the only zero-term rank preservers is one of the consequence of Theorem 2.4 below.

Let $M_{m, n}(\mathbb{B})$ denote the set of all $m \times n$ matrices with entries in $\mathbb{B}$. If $T$ : $M_{m, n}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow M_{m, n}(\mathbb{R})$ is a linear operator, define $\bar{T}: M_{m, n}(\mathbb{B}) \rightarrow M_{m, n}(\mathbb{B})$ by

$$
\bar{T}(\bar{A})=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \overline{T\left(a_{i j} E_{i j}\right)}
$$

for any $A \in M_{m, n}(\mathbb{R})$.
A semiring $\mathbb{S}$ which has no zero-divisors and which has the property that for $a, b \in \mathbb{S}, a+b=0$ implies that $a=b=0$ is called an anti-negative semiring.

A linear operator $T: M_{m, n}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow M_{m, n}(\mathbb{R})$ is called a $(P, Q, B)$-operator if there exist permutation matrices $P$ and $Q$, and a matrix $B$, all of whose entries are nonzero, such that $T(A)=P(A \circ B) Q$ for all $A \in M_{m, n}(\mathbb{R})$ or if $m=n, T(A)=P(A \circ B)^{t} Q$ for all $A \in M_{m, n}(\mathbb{R})$.

In [1], Beasley and Pullman characterized the term rank preservers of matrices over semirings. And in [2], the linear operators that preserve zero-term rank over anti-negative semirings were shown to be $(P, Q, B)$-operators.

We now state the result for later reference.

Theorem 1.1 [2]. If $\mathbb{S}$ is any anti-negative semiring, and $T$ is a linear operator on the $m \times n$ matrices with entries in $\mathbb{S}$, then the following statements are equivalent:
(i) $T$ preserves zero-term rank;
(ii) $T$ preserves zero-term ranks 0 and 1;
(iii) $T$ is a $(P, Q, B)$-operator.

## 2. Linear operators that preserve zero-TERM Rank of real matrices

In this section, we assume that $T$ is a linear operator on $M_{m, n}(\mathbb{R})$ with $m>1$, $n>1$.

Let $\|A\|$ denote the number of nonzero entries of $A$. We begin with some lemmas.
Lemma 2.1. If $T$ preserves zero-term rank 1, then there exists $C \in M_{m, n}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\|T(C)\|=m n$.

Proof. Choose $C \in M_{m, n}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $T(C) \geqslant T(A)$ for all $A \in M_{m, n}(\mathbb{R})$. Suppose that $\|T(C)\| \neq m n$. Then, for some $(s, t), T(A) \circ E_{s t}=0$, for all $A \in$ $M_{m, n}(\mathbb{R})$. By permuting rows and columns, we may assume that $(s, t)=(1,1)$. Also we assume that $\bar{C}=J$, so that $z(C)=0$. Let $E_{h k}$ be a cell such that $T\left(E_{h k}\right)$ has a nonzero $(p, q)$ entry with $p, q \geqslant 2$. If no such cell existed, then we obtain that $z\left(C-c_{i j} E_{i j}\right)=1$ for every cell $E_{i j}$ but

$$
z\left(T\left(C-c_{i j} E_{i j}\right)\right)=\min \{m, n\}
$$

a contradiction. Now, for $T\left(E_{h k}\right)=D=\left(d_{i j}\right)$, we have that

$$
z\left(T\left(C-\frac{T(C)_{p q}}{d_{p q}} E_{h k}\right)\right) \geqslant 2, \quad \text { and } \quad z\left(C-\frac{T(C)_{p q}}{d_{p q}} E_{h k}\right) \leqslant 1
$$

Thus, we must have $z\left(C-\frac{T(C)_{p q}}{d_{p q}} E_{h k}\right)=0$, since $T$ preserves zero-term rank 1. Let $F=\left(f_{i j}\right)=C-\frac{T(C)_{p q}}{d_{p q}} E_{h k}$. If $T\left(E_{u v}\right)_{p q}=0$ for some cell $E_{u v}$, then $z\left(F-f_{u v} E_{u v}\right)=$ 1, while $z\left(T\left(F-f_{u v} E_{u v}\right)\right)=z\left(T(F)-f_{u v} T\left(E_{u v}\right)\right) \geqslant 2$, which is a contradiction. Thus $T\left(E_{i j}\right)_{p q} \neq 0$ for all cells $E_{i j}$.

If $T\left(E_{11}\right)=X=\left(x_{i j}\right)$ and $T\left(E_{12}\right)=Y=\left(y_{i j}\right)$, then

$$
T\left(F-f_{11} E_{11}+\left(\frac{f_{11} x_{p q}}{y_{p q}}\right) E_{12}\right)
$$

has zeros in the $(1,1)$ and $(p, q)$ entries, and hence has zero term rank at least 2 , while

$$
z\left(F-f_{11} E_{11}+\left(\frac{f_{11} x_{p q}}{y_{p q}}\right) E_{12}\right)=1
$$

a contradiction. Thus $\|T(C)\|=m n$.

Lemma 2.2. If $T$ preserves zero-term rank 1 , then $T$ maps each cell to a nonzero multiple of some cell which induces a bijection on the set of indices $\{1,2, \ldots, m\} \times$ $\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$.

Proof. By Lemma 2.1, there exist $C \in M_{m, n}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $\|T(C)\|=m n$. Suppose that there is some cell $E_{i j}$ such that $\left\|T\left(E_{i j}\right)\right\|>1$. If $\| T\left(E_{i j} \| \neq m n\right.$, then there exists a pair $(h, k)$ such that $(h, k) \neq(i, j)$ and for some nonzero real number $r_{h k}$,

$$
T\left(E_{i j}+r_{h k} E_{h k}\right)>T\left(E_{i j}\right)
$$

Let $D_{1}=E_{i j}+r_{h k} E_{h k}$. If $\left\|T\left(D_{1}\right)\right\| \neq m n$, then there is some cell $E_{p q}$ such that for some nonzero real number $r_{p q}, T\left(D_{1}+r_{p q} E_{p q}\right)>T\left(D_{1}\right)$. Continuing this process, we have a matrix $D=\left(d_{i j}\right)$ such that $\|D\|<m n$ while $\|T(D)\|=m n$. Since $\|D\|<m n$, we may assume $d_{11}=0$ without loss of generality. Let $F$ be the $(0,1)$ matrix in $M_{m, n}(\mathbb{R})$ such that $f_{11}=0$ and for $(i, j) \neq(1,1), f_{i j}=0$ if and only if $d_{i j} \neq 0$. Thus, for some sufficiently small positive real number $r$, we have

$$
\|D+r F\|=m n-1 \quad \text { and } \quad\|T(D+r F)\|=m n .
$$

That is,

$$
z(D+r F)=1 \quad \text { and } \quad z(T(D+r F))=0 .
$$

This is a contradiction. If $\left\|T\left(E_{i j}\right)\right\|=m n$, then we can take $D=E_{i j}$ in the above case and obtain the same contradiction. Thus $\left\|T\left(E_{i j}\right)\right\| \leqslant 1$ for all cells $E_{i j}$. If $T\left(E_{i j}\right)=0$ for some cell $E_{i j}$, then the fact that $\|T(C)\|=m n$ implies $\left\|T\left(E_{p q}\right)\right\| \geqslant 2$ for some $(p \cdot q)$, which is a contradiction. That is, $T$ is bijective on the set of indices $\{1,2, \ldots, m\} \times\{1,2, \ldots, n\}$.

Theorem 2.3. If $T$ preserves zero-term rank 1 , then $T$ is a $(P, Q, B)$-operator.
Proof. By Lemma 2.2, $T$ is bijective on the set of indices $\{(i, j) \mid i=$ $1, \ldots, m, j=1, \ldots, n\}$. Thus, for any $A$ in $M_{m n}(\mathbb{R})$,

$$
\overline{T(A)}=\overline{\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} T\left(a_{i j} E_{i j}\right)}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{n} \overline{T\left(a_{i j} E_{i j}\right)}=\bar{T}(\bar{A}) .
$$

This shows that $\bar{T}$ preserves zero-term rank 1 since $T$ does also. By Theorem 1.1, $\bar{T}$ is a $(P, Q, B)$-operator, where $B=J$. Thus, the mapping $\bar{A} \mapsto \overline{P^{t} T(A) Q^{t}}$ is the identity linear operator on $M_{m, n}(\mathbb{B})$. That is, $P^{t} T\left(E_{i j}\right) Q^{t}=b_{i j} E_{i j}$ for each pair $(i, j)$ (or perhaps $P^{t} T\left(E_{i j}\right) Q^{t}=b_{i j} E_{j i}$ in the case $m=n$ ). Then, $T(C)=P(C \circ B) Q$ for all $C \in M_{m, n}(\mathbb{R})$ or $m=n$ and $T(C)=P(C \circ B)^{t} Q$ for all $C \in M_{m, n}(\mathbb{R})$.

Now, we obtain the characterizations of the linear operators that preserve zeroterm rank of real matrices.

Theorem 2.4. For a linear operator $T: M_{m, n}(\mathbb{R}) \rightarrow M_{m, n}(\mathbb{R})$, the following are equivalent:
(i) $T$ preserves zero-term rank;
(ii) $T$ preserves zero-term rank 1 ;
(iii) $T$ is a $(P, Q, B)$-operator.

Proof. Obviously (i) implies (ii) and (iii) implies (i). By Theorem 2.3, we have that (ii) implies (iii).

## 3. Combinatorial characterization of zero-term rank

In this section, we obtain an equivalent condition for the zero-term rank. A minimal covering of the zeros of $A$ is called proper provided that it does not consist of all $m$ rows of $A$ or of all $n$ columns of $A$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $A$ be an $m \times n$ real matrix. Then the zero-term rank of $A$ is equal to the maximal number of zeros in $A$ with no two of the zeros on a line.

Proof. We prove this equality by induction on the number of lines in $A$. For the case that $m=1$ or $n=1$, the equality holds. Hence we take $m>1$ and $n>1$. Let $z(A)=p$ and $q$ denote the maximal number of zeros in $A$ with no two of the zeros on a line. Then the definition of zero-term rank implies that $q \leqslant p$. Hence it suffices to show that $q \geqslant p$. Consider two cases :

Case 1) Assume that $A$ does not have a proper covering. Then we must have $p=\min \{m, n\}$. We permute the lines of $A$ so that the permuted matrix $B$ has a zero in the $(1,1)$ position. We delete row 1 and column 1 of the permuted matrix $B$ and denote the resulting matrix of size $m-1$ by $n-1$ by $B(1 \mid 1)$. The matrix $B(1 \mid 1)$ cannot have a covering composed of fewer than $p-1=\min \{m-1, n-1\}$ lines because such a covering of $B(1 \mid 1)$ plus the two deleted lines would yield a proper covering for $A$. We now apply the induction hypothesis to $B(1 \mid 1)$ and this allows us to conclude that $B(1 \mid 1)$ has $p-1$ zeros with no two of the zeros on a line. But then $A$ has $p$ zeros with no two of the zeros on a line and it follows that $q \geqslant p$.

Case 2) Assume that $A$ has a proper covering composed of $e$ rows and $f$ columns where $p=e+f$. We permute lines of $A$ so that these $e$ rows and $f$ columns occupy the left-upper positions of the permuted matrix $B$. Then $B$ assumes the following form

$$
B=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
B_{11} & B_{12} \\
B_{21} & B_{22}
\end{array}\right]
$$

In this decomposition $B_{22}$ is the $(m-e) \times(n-f)$ submatrix with all nonzero entries. The matrix $B_{12}$ has $e$ rows and cannot be covered by fewer than $e$ lines and the
matrix $B_{21}$ has $f$ columns and cannot be covered by fewer than $f$ lines. This is the case because otherwise we contradict the fact that $p=e+f$ is the minimal number of lines in $A$ that cover all of the zeros on $A$. We may apply the induction hypothesis to both $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ and this allows us to conclude that $q \geqslant p$.
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