Xiao-Dong Zhang A note on ultrametric matrices

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 54 (2004), No. 4, 929-940

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127941

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2004

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

A NOTE ON ULTRAMETRIC MATRICES

XIAO-DONG ZHANG, Shanghai

(Received January 20, 2002)

Abstract. It is proved in this paper that special generalized ultrametric and special \mathscr{U} matrices are, in a sense, extremal matrices in the boundary of the set of generalized ultrametric and \mathscr{U} matrices, respectively. Moreover, we present a new class of inverse *M*-matrices which generalizes the class of \mathscr{U} matrices.

Keywords: generalized ultrametric matrix, ${\mathscr U}$ matrix, weighted graph, inverse M-matrix

MSC 2000: 15A09, 15A57, 05C50

1. INTRODUCTION

It is a longstanding open problem to characterize the nonnegative matrices whose inverses are *M*-matrices (see [15]), although the inverse of a nonsingular *M*-matrix is always a nonnegative matrix. In 1994, Martínez, Michon and San Martín introduced strictly symmetric ultrametric matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ whose entries satisfy

(1)
$$a_{ij} \ge \min\{a_{ik}, a_{kj}\}$$
 for all i, j, k ,

(2)
$$a_{ii} > a_{ij}$$
 for all $i \neq$

and proved that the inverse of a strictly symmetric ultrametric matrix is a row and column diagonally dominant M-matrix (see [8] and [12]). Later, nonsymmetric ultrametric matrices were independently introduced in [10] and in [13]; i.e., nested block form (for short, NBF) and generalized ultrametric matrices (for short, GUM),

j

This work was supported by the grant No. 10371075 of the National Natural Science Foundation of China and by the project-sponsored by SRF for ROCS, SEM.

respectively. After a suitable permutation, every GUM can be put in NBF. In other words, there exists a permutation matrix P such that

(3)
$$PAP^{t} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & b_{12}\mathbf{1}\mathbf{1}^{t} \\ b_{21}\mathbf{1}^{t}\mathbf{1} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

where A_{11} and A_{22} are GUM and $b_{12} \leq b_{21}$, $\min\{a_{ij}, a_{ji}\} \geq b_{12}$, $\max\{a_{ij}, a_{ji}\} \geq b_{21}$ for all $i, j, \mathbf{1}$ is the vector of all one's. Moreover, if A itself and as well as all its principal submatrices which are GUM are of the form (3), then A is called *NBF*. They proved that this class of matrices has similar properties as strictly symmetric ultrametric matrices. In other words, the inverse of a nonsingular GUM is a row and column diagonally dominant M-matrix. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ NBF of the form (3), where A_{11} and A_{22} are $m \times m$ and $(n-m) \times (n-m)$ NBF. An $n \times n$ matrix $B = (b_{ij})$ is called a \mathscr{U} matrix (see [11]), if $b_{ij} = a_{ij}$ for $1 \leq i \leq j \leq n$; $b_{ij} = a_{ij}$ for $1 \leq j < i \leq m$ and $b_{ij} = a_{in}$ for i > j and $m+1 \leq i \leq n$. Nabben in [11] proved that the inverse of a \mathscr{U} matrix is a column diagonally dominant M-matrix. Many other properties on GUM and other related classes were investigated by many authors (for example, see [3], [4], [14], etc.).

Recently, Fiedler in [6] defined that an $n \times n$ matrix A is called a *special symmetric* ultrametric matrix if A is symmetric nonnegative and satisfies (1) and

(4)
$$a_{ii} = \max\{a_{ij}; j \neq i\}$$
 for $i = 1, ..., n$.

Further, he proved that special symmetric ultrametric matrices are, in a sense, extremal matrices in the boundary of the set of strictly symmetric ultrametric matrices. Although they are not inverses of M-matrices, these matrices are in the closure of inverses of weakly row and column diagonally dominant nonsingular M-matrices. In other words, they are the limits of convergent sequences of matrices that are inverses of weakly row and column diagonally dominant M-matrices. Moreover, he gave a simple structure of these matrices using weighted graphs. As for the closure of inverses of M-matrices, the reader may be referred to [2] and [7].

This paper is motivated by the results of Fiedler [6] and Nabben [11]. We introduce special GUM and special \mathscr{U} matrices in Section 2 and 3 respectively, which are, in a sense, extremal matrices in the boundary of the set of GUM and \mathscr{U} matrices. Further, we present a simple construction of these matrices by using doubly edgeweighted paths and mixed edge-weighted paths. The result generalizes the result of Fiedler in [6]. In section 4, we introduce a new class of inverse *M*-matrices which generalizes the class of \mathscr{U} matrices.

2. Special generalized ultrametric matrices

Definition 2.1. An $n \times n$ matrix $A = (a_{ij})$ is called a special generalized ultrametric matrix (for short, special GUM), if A is a generalized ultrametric matrix and satisfies

(5)
$$a_{ii} = \max\{a_{ij}, a_{ji}, j \neq i\}$$
 for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Moreover, if A is an NBF and satisfies (5), then A is called a special NBF. Clearly, A is a special GUM if and only if there exists a permutation matrix P such that PAP^t is a special NBF. It is easy to see that A is the limit of a convergent sequence of matrices which are inverses of weakly row and column diagonally dominant M-matrices from Theorem B in [6] or in [7]. Moreover, if A is symmetric, it is just a special symmetric ultrametric matrix in [6]. However, a special GUM may be not singular, while each special symmetric ultrametric matrix is always singular.

Let T = (V, E) be a path on the vertex set $V = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ and the edge set $E = \{E_1, \ldots, E_{n-1}\}$, where $E_i = (v_i, v_{i+1})$ for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$. If two nonnegative numbers $\alpha_i \leq \beta_i$ are assigned to each edge E_i , for $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$ and satisfy the following condition "for any i < j, there exists an $i \leq p < j$ such that $\alpha_p = \min\{\alpha_k; E_k \text{ is an edge in the path from } v_i \text{ to } v_j\}$ and $\beta_p = \min\{\beta_k; E_k \text{ is an edge in the path from } v_i \text{ to } v_j\}$ and $\beta_p = \min\{\beta_k; E_k \text{ is an edge in the path from } v_i \text{ to } v_j\}$ and $\beta_p = \min\{\beta_k; E_k \text{ is an edge in the path from } v_i \text{ to } v_j\}$ and $\beta_p = \min\{\beta_k; E_k \text{ is an edge in the path from } v_i \text{ to } v_j\}$. Hence we can define an $n \times n$ nonnegative matrix $C(T) = (c_{ij})$ associated with a double edge-weighted path T as follows:

For i < j, $c_{ij} = \min\{\alpha_k; E_k \text{ is an edge in the path from } v_i \text{ to } v_j\}$; for i > j, $c_{ij} = \min\{\beta_k; E_k \text{ is an edge in the path from } V_i \text{ to } V_j\}$ and $c_{ii} = \max\{\beta_k; E_k \text{ is incident with } v_i\}$.

The main result in this section is that the class of all special generalized ultrametric matrices just coincides with the class of all matrices C(T) with doubly edge-weighted paths, up to permutation.

Lemma 2.2. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ special NBF. Then there exists a double edge-weighted path T with $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1})$ and $\vec{\beta} = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{n-1})$ such that A = C(T).

Proof. We prove the assertion by the induction on n. The assertion is trivial for n = 2. Assume that the assertion holds for less than n. Since $A = (a_{ij})$ is special NBF, A has the following form

(6)
$$A = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & b_{12}\mathbf{11}^t \\ b_{21}\mathbf{1}^t\mathbf{1} & A_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

931

where A_{11} and A_{22} are $m \times m$ and $(n-m) \times (n-m)$ NBF, respectively; $b_{21} \ge b_{12}$, $\min\{a_{ij}, a_{ji}\} \ge b_{12}$ and $\max\{a_{ij}, a_{ji}\} \ge b_{21}$ for all i, j. We first suppose that 1 < m < n-1. By the induction hypothesis, there exist double edge-weighted paths T_1 on the vertex set $V_1 = \{v_1, \ldots, v_m\}$ with two edge-weighted vectors $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{m-1})$ and $(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{m-1})$ and T_2 on the vertex set $V_2 = \{v_{m+1}, \ldots, v_n\}$ with two edgeweighted vectors $(\alpha_{m+1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1})$ and $(\beta_{m+1}, \ldots, \beta_{n-1})$ such that $A_{11} = C(T_1)$ and $A_{22} = C(T_2)$, respectively. Now let T be a path on the vertex set $V = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ obtained from $T_1 \cup T_2$ by adding one edge (v_m, v_{m+1}) to $T_1 \cup T_2$ with two weight $\alpha_m = b_{12} \le \beta_m = b_{21}$. For $i \le m < j$, by the induction hypothesis, we have $\alpha_m = b_{12} = \min\{a_{kl}, a_{lk}, k \ne l\} \le \min\{a_{i,i+1}, \ldots, a_{j-1,j}\} = \min\{\alpha_k; E_k$ is an edge in the path from v_i to $v_j\} \le \alpha_m$. Hence $\alpha_m = \min\{\alpha_k; E_k$ is edge in the path from v_i to $v_j\}$. Similarly, $\beta_m = b_{21} = \min\{\beta_k, E_k$ is an edge in the path from v_i to $v_j\}$. Hence T is a double edge-weighted path on n vertices with $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1})$ and $\vec{\beta} = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{n-1})$. Moreover, it is easy to see that

$$C(T) = \begin{pmatrix} C(T_1) & \alpha_m \mathbf{11}^t \\ \beta_m \mathbf{1}^t \mathbf{1} & C(T_2) \end{pmatrix}.$$

If m = 1 or n - 1, we define $C(T_1) = (b_{21})$ or $C(T_2) = (b_{21})$. Therefore, there exists a double edge-weighted path T such that A = C(T).

Lemma 2.3. Let C(T) be matrix associated with a doubly edge-weighted path T on n vertices. Then C(T) is a special NBF.

Proof. We prove the assertion by the induction on n. It is trivial for n = 2. Assume that the assertion holds for less than n. We assume that there is a double edge-weighted path T on a vertex set $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ with two edge-weighted vectors $\vec{\alpha}$ and $\vec{\beta}$. Then by the definition of a double edge-weighted path, there exists a $1 \leq m \leq n-1$ such that $\alpha_m = \min\{\alpha_k, E_k \text{ is an edge in the path from } v_1 \text{ to } v_n\}$ and $\beta_m = \min\{\beta_k, E_k \text{ is an edge in the path from } v_1 \text{ to } v_n\}$. By the definition of $C(T) = (c_{ij})$, we have $c_{ij} = \alpha_m$ for $i \leq m < j$, and $c_{ij} = \beta_m$ for $i > m \geq j$. Hence C(T) has the following form

$$C(T) = \begin{pmatrix} C(T_1) & \alpha_m \mathbf{1} \mathbf{1}^t \\ \beta_m \mathbf{1}^t \mathbf{1} & C(T_2) \end{pmatrix},$$

where $C(T_1)$ and $C(T_2)$ are matrices associated with double edge-weighed paths T_1 on vertices $\{v_1, \ldots, v_m\}$ with two edge-weighted vectors $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{m-1}), (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{m-1})$ and T_2 on vertices $\{v_{m+1}, \ldots, v_n\}$ with two edge-weighted vectors $(\alpha_{m+1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1}),$ $(\beta_{m+1}, \ldots, \beta_{n-1}),$ respectively. Moreover, $\min\{c_{ij}, c_{ji}\} \ge \alpha_m$, and $\max\{c_{ij}, c_{ji}\} \ge \beta_m$. By the induction hypothesis, $C(T_i)$ is a special NBF for i = 1, 2. Moreover, $c_{ii} = \max\{c_{i1}, \dots, c_{im}, c_{1i}, \dots, c_{mi}\} = \max\{c_{i1}, \dots, c_{in}, c_{1i}, \dots, c_{ni}\} \text{ for } i = 1, \dots, m$ and $c_{ii} = \max\{c_{i,m+1}, \dots, c_{in}, c_{m+1,i}, \dots, c_{ni}\} = \max\{c_{i1}, \dots, c_{in}, c_{1i}, \dots, c_{ni}\}$ for $i = m + 1, \dots, n$. Hence C(T) is a special NBF.

Theorem 2.4. Let A be an $n \times n$ nonnegative matrix. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (i) A is a special GUM.
- (ii) There exist a double edge-weighted path T and permutation matrix P such that $PAP^t = C(T)$.

Proof. (i) \implies (ii). By Lemma 4.1 [10], there exists a permutation matrix P such that PAP^t is a special NBF. Hence (ii) follows from Lemma 2.2. The converse directly follows from Lemma 2.3.

Corollary 2.5. A is a special NBF if and only if there exists a double edgeweighted path such that A = C(T).

Remark 2.6. For some special GUM, there exists a double edge-weighted path T such that A = C(T). For example,

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 9 & 9 & 7 & 7 \\ 3 & 9 & 7 & 7 \\ 2 & 2 & 8 & 6 \\ 2 & 2 & 8 & 8 \end{pmatrix}$$

is a special GUM matrix, but there does not exist a double edge-weighted path such that A = C(T). However, if A is a symmetric special ultrametric matrix, there always exists a double edge-weighted path T such that A = C(T) by Theorem 2.2 in [6]. In fact, since the permutation P corresponds to renumbering of the vertices, then Theorem 2.2 in [6] immediately follows from Theorem 2.4. Hence Theorem 2.4 generalizes the result of Fiedler, since in this case, $\vec{\alpha} = \vec{\beta}$. In the next Theorem, we shall investigate the singularity of a special NBF given by a double edge-weighted path.

Theorem 2.7. Let $A = (a_{ij})$ be an $n \times n$ matrix associated with a double edgeweighted path T and two vectors $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1})$ and $\vec{\beta} = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{n-1})$. Then A is singular if and only if $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = 0$; or $\alpha_{n-1} = \beta_{n-1} = 0$; or $\alpha_{p-1} = \alpha_p = \beta_{p-1} = \beta_p = 0$; or $\min\{\alpha_p, \ldots, \alpha_{q-1}\} = \alpha_p = \alpha_{q-1} = \min\{\beta_p, \ldots, \beta_{q-1}\} = \beta_{q-1} = \beta_p \ge \max\{\beta_{p-1}, \beta_q\}$ for some 1 .

Proof. Sufficiency: If $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = 0$, or $\alpha_{n-1} = \beta_{n-1} = 0$, or $\alpha_{p-1} = \alpha_p = \beta_{p-1} = \beta_p = 0$, then all entries of the first, or last, or *p*-th rows of *A* are zero.

Hence A is singular. Now we may assume that $\min\{\alpha_p, \ldots, \alpha_{q-1}\} = \alpha_p = \alpha_{q-1} = \min\{\beta_p, \ldots, \beta_{q-1}\} = \beta_{q-1} = \beta_p \ge \max\{\beta_{p-1}, \beta_q\}$ for some 1 . We shall show that the p-th and q-th rows of A are the same. In fact, for <math>j < p, $a_{pj} = \min\{\beta_k; E_k \text{ is an edge in the path from vertex } v_p \text{ to vertex } v_j\} = \min\{\beta_k; E_k \text{ is an edge in the path from vertex } v_j\} = a_{qj}$, since $\min\{\beta_p, \ldots, \beta_{q-1}\} = \beta_p \ge \beta_{p-1}$. For j > q, $a_{pj} = \min\{\alpha_k; E_k \text{ is an edge in the path from vertex } v_j\} = a_{qj}$, since $\min\{\beta_p, \ldots, \beta_{q-1}\} = \beta_p \ge \beta_{p-1}$. For j > q, $a_{pj} = \min\{\alpha_k; E_k \text{ is an edge in the path from vertex } v_q \text{ to vertex } v_j\} = a_{qj}$, since $\min\{\beta_p, \ldots, \beta_{q-1}\} = \alpha_p \ge \alpha_q$. For p < j < q, $a_{pj} = \min\{\alpha_k; E_k \text{ is an edge in the path from vertex } v_j\} = a_{qj}$, since $\min\{\alpha_p, \ldots, \alpha_{q-1}\} = \alpha_p \ge \alpha_q$. For p < j < q, $a_{pj} = \min\{\alpha_k; E_k \text{ is an edge in the path from vertex } v_q \text{ to vertex } v_j\} = a_{qj}$, since $\min\{\beta_k, \ldots, \beta_{q-1}\}$. Moreover, $a_{pp} = \beta_p = \beta_{q-1} = \min\{\beta_k; E_k \text{ is an edge in the path from vertex } v_q \text{ to vertex } v_j\} = a_{qj}$ and $\beta_{q-1} = \min\{\beta_p, \ldots, \beta_{q-1}\}$. Moreover, $a_{pp} = \max\{\beta_k; E_k \text{ is incident with } v_p\} = \beta_p = \max\{\beta_k; E_k \text{ is incident with } v_q\} = \beta_{q-1} = \min\{\alpha_k; E_k \text{ is an edge in the path from vertex } v_q\} = a_{qp}$ and $a_{qq} = \max\{\beta_k; E_k \text{ is incident with } v_q\} = \beta_{q-1} = \min\{\alpha_k; E_k \text{ is an edge in the path from vertex } v_q\} = a_{qp}$ and $a_{qq} = \max\{\beta_k; E_k \text{ is incident with } v_q\} = \beta_{q-1} = \min\{\alpha_k; E_k \text{ is an edge in the path from <math>v_p$ to vertex $v_q\} = a_{pq}$. Hence A is singular.

Necessity. Assume that A is singular. If all entries of p-th row of A are zero, then by the definition of A = C(T), if p = 1, then $\alpha_1 = \beta_1 = 0$; or if p = n, then $\alpha_{n-1} = \beta_{n-1} = 0$; or if $1 , then <math>\alpha_{p-1} = \alpha_p = \beta_{p-1} = \beta_p = 0$. Now we assume that A does not contain a row of zeros. By Theorem 4.4 in [10], there exist two rows of A, say p-th and q-th rows for p < q, which are the same. So $a_{pj} = a_{qj}$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n$. Hence, for p < j < q,

$$\begin{aligned} a_{pp} &= \max\{\beta_{p-1}, \beta_p\} \geqslant \beta_p \geqslant \alpha_p \geqslant \min\{\alpha_p, \dots, \alpha_{j-1}\} = a_{pj} \\ &\geqslant \min\{\alpha_p, \dots, \alpha_{q-1}\} = a_{pq} = a_{qq} = \max\{\beta_{q-1}, \beta_q\} \geqslant \beta_{q-1} \\ &\geqslant \min\{\beta_j, \dots, \beta_{q-1}\} = a_{qj} \geqslant \min\{\beta_p, \dots, \beta_{q-1}\} = a_{qp} = a_{pp}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, $\min\{\alpha_p, \ldots, \alpha_{q-1}\} = \alpha_p = \beta_p = \min\{\beta_p, \ldots, \beta_{q-1}\} = \beta_{q-1} = \alpha_{q-1} \ge \max\{\beta_{p-1}, \beta_q\}.$

Corollary 2.8. Let A be the $n \times n$ matrix associated with a double edge-weighted path T and two vectors $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1})$ and $\vec{\beta} = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{n-1})$. Let S denote the set of such indices $k \in \{1, \ldots, n\}$ for which $S = \{k: \alpha_k = \beta_k \ge \max\{\beta_{k-1}, \beta_{k+1}\}\}$. Then the nullity $\nu(A)$ and the rank of A satisfy the inequalities $\nu(A) \ge |S|$ and rank $(A) \le n - |S|$ respectively.

Proof. If $k \in S$, then the k-th and (k+1)-th rows of A are the same. In fact, for $j < k, a_{kj} = \min\{\beta_i; E_i \text{ is an edge in the path from } v_k \text{ to vertex } v_j\} = \min\{\beta_i; E_i \text{ is an edge in the path from } v_{k+1} \text{ to vertex } v_j\} = a_{k+1,j}, \text{ since } \beta_k \ge \beta_{k-1}.$ For $j > k + 1, a_{kj} = \min\{\alpha_i; E_i \text{ is an edge in the path from } v_{k+1} \text{ to vertex } v_j\} = a_{k+1,j}, \text{ since } \alpha_k \ge \beta_{k-1}.$

 $\beta_{k+1} \ge \alpha_{k+1}$. Moreover, $a_{kk} = a_{k,k+1} = a_{k+1,k} = a_{k+1,k+1} = \beta_k$. Hence the vector $\vec{\varphi_k} = (0, \dots, 0, 1, -1, 0, \dots, 0)^t$ belongs to the null-space of A, where the k-th and (k+1)-th components of $\vec{\varphi_k}$ are 1 and -1, respectively. Since all these vectors φ_k are linearly independent, $\nu(A) \ge |S|$ and $\operatorname{rank}(A) \le n - |S|$.

3. Special \mathscr{U} matrices

Let U be an $n \times n$ \mathscr{U} matrix. Then $U = (u_{ij})$ has the following form

(7)
$$U = \begin{pmatrix} U_{11} & \tau \mathbf{11}^t \\ b \mathbf{1}^t & U_{22} \end{pmatrix},$$

where U_{11} is an $m \times m$ matrix in NBF, $\tau = \min\{u_{ij}, i, j = 1, ..., n\}$ and b is the last column of U_{22} .

Definition 3.1. An $n \times n$ matrix $U = (u_{ij})$ is called special \mathscr{U} matrix if U is a \mathscr{U} matrix in the form (7) satisfying $u_{ii} = \max\{u_{ij}, u_{ji}; j = 1, \ldots, m \text{ and } j \neq i\}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m; u_{ii} = \max\{u_{i,i+1}, \ldots, u_{in}, u_{1i}, \ldots, u_{i-1,i}\}$ for $i = m + 1, \ldots, n$.

Clearly, each special \mathscr{U} matrix U is always singular, since the last two rows of U are the same. Furthermore, it follows from Theorem B in [6] that each special \mathscr{U} matrix is the limit of a convergent sequence of matrices which are inverses of column diagonally dominant M-matrices.

Let T_1 be a double edge-weighted path on the vertex set $V_1 = \{v_1, \ldots, v_m\}$ with the two vectors $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{m-1})$ and $(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{m-1})$ and T_2 be an edge weighted path on the vertex set $V_2 = \{v_{m+1}, \ldots, v_n\}$ with the edge-weighted vector $(\alpha_{m+1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1})$. Let $T = T_1 \cup T_2$ be the path obtained by adding an edge (v_m, v_{m+1}) with weight α_m satisfying $\alpha_m = \min\{\alpha_i, i = 1, \ldots, n-1\}$. Then T is called a *mixed edge-weighted path* with the two vectors $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1})$ and $\vec{\beta} = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{m-1})$.

Now we may define an $n \times n$ nonnegative matrix $B(T) = (b_{ij})$ associated with a mixed edge-weighted path T on n vertices and the two vectors $\vec{\alpha} = (\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1})$ and $\vec{\beta} = (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{m-1})$ as follows:

For i < j, $b_{ij} = \min\{\alpha_k, E_k \text{ is an edge in the path from vertex } v_i \text{ to vertex } v_j\}$; for $j < i \leq m \ b_{ij} = \min\{\beta_k, E_k \text{ is an edge in the path from vertex } v_i \text{ to vertex } v_j\}$; for j < i and m < i < n, $b_{ij} = \min\{\alpha_k, E_k \text{ is an edge in the path from vertex } v_i \text{ to vertex } v_i\}$ to vertex $v_n\}$ and $b_{nj} = \alpha_{n-1}$ for $j = 1, \ldots, n-1$. Moreover, $b_{ii} = \max\{\beta_k, E_k \text{ is incident with vertex } v_i\}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m$ and $b_{ii} = \max\{\alpha_k, E_k \text{ is incident with vertex } v_i\}$ for $i = m+1, \ldots, n$.

In this section, we prove that the set of special \mathscr{U} matrices just coincides with the set of nonnegative matrices associated with mixed edge-weighted paths.

Lemma 3.2. Let U be an $n \times n$ special \mathscr{U} matrix in the form (7). Then there exists a mixed edge-weighted path T such that U = B(T).

Proof. We prove the assertion by induction on n. It is trivial for n = 2 and assume that the assertion holds for less than n. If 1 < m < n - 1, we assume that $U = (u_{ij})$ is a special \mathscr{U} matrix in the form (7), where $\tau = \min\{u_{ij}, i, j = 1, ..., n\}$, U_{11} is special NBF. Hence by Lemma 2.2, there exists a double edge-weighted path T_1 on vertex set $V_1 = \{v_1, ..., v_m\}$ with $(\alpha_1, ..., \alpha_{m-1})$ and $(\beta_1, ..., \beta_{m-1})$ such that $U_{11} = C(T_1) = (c_{ij})$. On the other hand, clearly, U_{22} has the following form

$$U_{22} = \begin{pmatrix} U_{33} & \tau_1 \mathbf{11}^t \\ b_2 \mathbf{1}^t & U_{44} \end{pmatrix},$$

where U_{33}^t is $(p-m) \times (p-m)$ special NBF with $m+1 \leq p < n, \tau_1 \geq \tau$ and b_2 is the last column of U_{44} . Hence

$$W_{22} = (w_{ij}) = \begin{pmatrix} U_{33}^t & \tau_1 \mathbf{11}^t \\ b_2 \mathbf{1}^t & U_{44} \end{pmatrix}$$

is special \mathscr{U} matrix. By the induction hypothesis, there exists a mixed edgeweighted path $T_2 = T_{21} \cup T_{22}$ on vertices $T_{21} = \{v_{m+1}, \ldots, v_p\}$ with the two vectors $(\gamma_{m+1},\ldots,\gamma_{p-1}) \leq (\delta_{m+1},\ldots,\delta_{p-1})$ and on vertices $T_{22} = \{v_{p+1},\ldots,v_n\}$ with $(\gamma_{p+1},\ldots,\gamma_{n-1})$. Moreover, the edge (v_p,v_{p+1}) is assigned with $\gamma_p = \min\{\gamma_i, i = 1\}$ $m+1,\ldots,n-1$ = τ_1 . Hence we may define a mixed edge-weighted path T on the vertex set $V = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ with the two vectors $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1})$ and $(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{m-1})$, where $\alpha_m = \tau$, $\alpha_i = \delta_i$ for $i = m + 1, \dots, p - 1$ and $\alpha_i = \gamma_i$ for $i = p, \dots, n - 1$. If m = 1 or m = n - 1, we have $U_{11} = (\tau)$ or $U_{22} = (\tau)$, respectively. Then we may show that the matrix $B(T) = (b_{ij})$ associated with a mixed edge-weighted path T on the vertex set V and the two vectors $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1})$ and $(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{m-1})$ is just U. In fact, if $1 \leq i \leq m$ and $1 \leq j \leq m$, then $b_{ij} = c_{ij} = u_{ij}$. If $1 \leq i \leq m, m+1 \leq j \leq n$, then $b_{ij} = \min\{\alpha_k; E_k \text{ is an edge in the path } T \text{ from vertex } v_i \text{ to vertex } v_j\} = \tau = u_{ij}.$ If $m + 1 \leq i < j \leq p$; $b_{ij} = \min\{\alpha_k, E_k \text{ is an edge in the path from vertex } v_i \text{ to }$ vertex v_i = min{ δ_k , E_k is edge in the path from vertex v_i to vertex v_i } = u_{ij} . If $m+1 \leq i \leq p$ and $p+1 \leq j \leq n$, then $b_{ij} = \min\{\alpha_k, E_k \text{ is edge in the path from }$ vertex v_i to vertex v_j = $\gamma_p = \tau_1 = u_{ij}$. If $p + 1 \leq i < j \leq n$, then $b_{ij} = \min\{\alpha_k, E_k\}$ is an edge in the path from vertex v_i to vertex v_j = min{ γ_k, E_k is an edge in the path from vertex v_i to vertex v_j = $w_{ij} = u_{ij}$. If i > j and $i \ge m + 1$, then $b_{ij} = \min\{\alpha_k, E_k \text{ is edge in the path from vertex } v_i \text{ to vertex } v_n\} = b_{in} = u_{in} = u_{ij}.$ Moreover, for $1 \leq i \leq m$, $b_{ii} = \max\{\beta_k, E_k \text{ is incident with } v_i\} = c_{ii} = u_{ii}$. For $m+1 \leq i \leq p, b_{ii} = \max\{\alpha_k, E_k \text{ is incident with } v_i\} = \max\{\delta_k, E_k \text{ is incident}\}$ with v_i = $w_{ii} = u_{ii}$, since $\delta_k \ge \gamma_k \ge \gamma_p$ for $m + 1 \le k \le p - 1$. For $p + 1 \le i \le n$, $b_{ii} = \max{\{\alpha_k, E_k \text{ is incident with } v_i\}} = \max{\{\gamma_k, E_k \text{ is incident with } v_i\}} = u_{ii}.$ **Lemma 3.3.** Let U be an $n \times n$ nonnegative matrix associated with a mixed edge-weighted path T. Then U is a special U matrix.

Proof. We prove the assertion by induction on n. Clearly, the assertion holds for n = 1 and n = 2. Assume U is associated with a mixed edge-weighted path $T = T_1 \cup T_2$ on vertex set $V = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ with the two vectors $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1})$ and $(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{m-1})$. Moreover, $\alpha_m = \min\{\alpha_i, i = 1, \ldots, n-1\}$. Clearly, U has the following form

$$U = B(T) = \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{21} & B_{22} \end{pmatrix} := (b_{ij}),$$

where B_{11} is the $m \times m$ matrix associated with a double edge-weighted path T_1 on the vertex set $V_1 = \{v_1, \ldots, v_m\}$ with two vectors $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{m-1})$ and $(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{m-1})$; $B_{12} = \alpha_m \mathbf{11}^t$; $B_{21} = b\mathbf{1}^t$ and b is the last column of B_{22} . Let $C_{22} = (c_{ij})$ be the $(n-m) \times (n-m)$ matrix associated with the double edge-weighted path T_2 and the two vectors $(\alpha_{m+1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1})$ and $(\alpha_{m+1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1})$. Then by Theorem 2.4, C_{22} is a special NBF. Further, the matrix

$$C = \begin{pmatrix} B_{11} & B_{12} \\ B_{12}^t & C_{22} \end{pmatrix} := (c_{ij})$$

is a NBF. Moreover, for $m + 1 \leq i < j < n$, we have $b_{ij} = \min\{\alpha_k; E_k \text{ is an edge}$ in the path from vertex v_i to vertex $v_j\} = c_{ij}$. For $m + 1 \leq j < i \leq n$, we have $b_{ij} = \min\{\alpha_k; E_k \text{ is an edge in the path from vertex } v_i \text{ to vertex } v_n\} = b_{in}$. Therefore by the definition of \mathscr{U} matrix, B(T) is a \mathscr{U} matrix. Now we show that B(T) is a special \mathscr{U} matrix. Since B_{11} is an $m \times m$ matrix associated with a double edgeweighted path T_1 and the vectors $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{m-1})$ and $(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{m-1})$, B_{11} is special NBF by Theorem 2.4. Hence $b_{ii} = \max\{\beta_k, E_k \text{ is incident with } v_i\} = \max\{b_{ij}, b_{ji}; j \neq i, j = 1, \ldots, m\}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m$; $b_{ii} = \max\{\alpha_i, E_k \text{ is incident with } V_i\} =$ $\max\{\alpha_{i-1}, \alpha_i\} = \max\{b_{i,i+1}, \ldots, b_{in}, b_{1i}, \ldots, b_{i-1,i}\}$ for $i = m + 1, \ldots, n - 1$, since $b_{i,i+1} \geq b_{i,i+2} \geq \ldots \geq b_{in}$ and $b_{1i} \leq b_{2i} \leq \ldots \leq b_{i-1,i}$. Moreover, $b_{nn} = \max\{\alpha_k; E_k$ is incident with $v_n\} = \alpha_{n-1} = \max\{b_{1n}, \ldots, b_{n-1,n}\}$, since $b_{1n} \leq b_{2n} \leq \ldots \leq b_{n-1,n}$. Hence B(T) is a special \mathscr{U} matrix.

We immediately obtain the main result in this section.

Theorem 3.4. A nonnegative matrix U is a special \mathscr{U} matrix if and only if there exists a mixed edge-weighted path T such that U = B(T).

4. A New class of inverse M-matrices

In this section, we shall define a new class of inverse *M*-matrices which generalizes the class of \mathscr{U} matrices. Let T_1 be a double weighted path on the vertex set $V_1 = \{v_1, \ldots, v_m\}$ and two vectors $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{m-1}) \leq (\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{m-1})$. Let T_2 be a double weighted path on the vertex set $V_2 = \{v_{m+1}, \ldots, v_n\}$ and two vectors $(\alpha_{m+1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1})$ and $(\beta_{m+1}, \ldots, \beta_{n-1})$ satisfying $\beta_i \leq 1$ for $i = m + 1, \ldots, n - 1$. Then let $T = T_1 \cup T_2$ be a path on the vertex set $V = \{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ obtained by adding an edge (v_m, v_{m+1}) which is assigned two positive numbers α_m and β_m satisfying $\alpha_m = \min\{\alpha_i, i = 1, \ldots, n - 1\}$ and $\beta_m = \min\{\beta_m, \ldots, \beta_{n-1}\}$. Hence we call such a weighted path T with $(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_{n-1})$ and $(\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{n-1})$ quasi-double edge-weighted path.

For a quasi-double edge-weighted path T, we may define an $n \times n$ nonnegative matrix W(T) as follows: $w_{ii} \ge \max\{\beta_k, E_k \text{ is incident with vertex } v_i\}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, m-1$; $w_{mm} \ge \beta_{m-1}$; $w_{ii} \ge \max\{\alpha_k, E_k \text{ is incident with vertex } v_i\}$ for $i = m+1,\ldots,n$. For i < j, $w_{ij} = \min\{\alpha_k, E_k \text{ is edge in the path from vertex } v_i \text{ to vertex } v_j\}$. For $m \ge i > j$, $w_{ij} = \min\{\beta_k, E_k \text{ is edge from vertex } v_i \text{ to vertex } v_j\}$; for $j < i \le n$ and $i \ge m+1$, $w_{ij} = w_{in}f_{ij}$, where $f_{ij} = \beta_m$ for $i > m \ge j$ and $f_{ij} = \min\{\beta_k, E_k \text{ is edge from vertex } v_i\}$ for $i > m \ge j$ and $f_{ij} = \min\{\beta_k, E_k \text{ is edge from vertex } v_i\}$ for $i > m \ge j$ and $f_{ij} = \min\{\beta_k, E_k \text{ is edge from vertex } v_i \text{ to vertex } v_j\}$ for $i > j \ge m+1$. The set of all matrices W(T) given by the above definition and up to permutation matrices is denoted by \mathcal{W} . From the definition, let $A \in \mathcal{W}$. If $\beta_i = 1$ for $i = m+1, \ldots, n-1$, then there exists a permutation matrix such that $PAP^t \in \mathcal{U}$. Hence the class of \mathcal{U} is just the proper subclass of \mathcal{W} . Now we present the main result of this Section.

Theorem 4.1. Let $A \in \mathcal{W}$. Then A is nonsingular if and only if A does not contain a row or column of zeros, and no two rows or two columns are the same. If A is nonsingular, then A^{-1} is a column diagonally dominant M-matrix.

Proof. If A does contain a row or column of zeros, or two rows or two columns are the same, then A is singular. We prove the rest of the assertion by induction on n. Assume that the assertion holds for less than n. By the definition of \mathcal{W} , there exists a permutation matrix P such that

$$PAP^{t} = \begin{pmatrix} A_{11} & \alpha_m \mathbf{11}^t \\ \beta_m b \mathbf{1}^t & A_{22} \end{pmatrix}$$

where A_{11} is an $m \times m$ NBF and $A_{22} \in \mathcal{W}$, and b is the last column of A_{22} . Clearly A_{ii} does not contain a row or column of zeros, and no two rows or two columns are the same for i = 1, 2. Hence by Theorem 4.4 by [10], A_{11} is nonsingular. Further, A_{22} is nonsingular by the induction hypothesis. Moreover, the Schur complement

of A_{11} in A is

$$A/A_{11} = A_{22} - A_{21}A_{11}^{-1}A_{12} = A_{22} - \alpha_m\beta_m(\mathbf{1}^t A_{11}^{-1}\mathbf{1})b\mathbf{1}^t.$$

By Theorem 3.5 in [13], $\beta_m \alpha_m (\mathbf{1}^t A_{11}^{-1} \mathbf{1}) \leq \beta_m$. Hence A/A_{11} is a nonnegative matrix and is in \mathcal{W} . By the induction hypothesis, $(A/A_{11})^{-1}$ is a column diagonally dominant *M*-matrix. On the other hand,

$$A/A_{22} = A_{11} - A_{12}A_{22}^{-1}A_{21} = A_{11} - \alpha_m\beta_m \mathbf{11}^t;$$

thus A/A_{22} is nonsingular GUM, whose inverse is a column diagonally dominant M-matrix in [10] or [13]. Using the Sherman-Morrison formula, A is nonsingular and

$$A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} (A/A_{22})^{-1} & -A_{11}^{-1}\alpha_m \mathbf{11}^t (A/A_{11})^{-1} \\ -A_{22}^{-1}\beta_m b \mathbf{1}^t (A/A_{22})^{-1} & (A/A_{11})^{-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since

$$-A_{22}^{-1}A_{21}(A/A_{22})^{-1} = -e_{n-p}\beta_m \mathbf{1}^t (A/A_{22})^{-1} \leq 0, -A_{11}^{-1}A_{12}(A/A_{11})^{-1} = -(\alpha_m A_{11}^{-1}\mathbf{1})(\mathbf{1}^t (A/A_{11})^{-1}) \leq 0,$$

where $e_{n-m} = (0, ..., 0, 1)^t$, A^{-1} is an *M*-matrix. Moreover, we have

$$\mathbf{1}^{t}(A/A_{22})^{-1} - \mathbf{1}^{t}A_{22}^{-1}b\mathbf{1}^{t}(A/A_{22})^{-1} = (1 - \beta_{m})\mathbf{1}^{t}(A/A_{22})^{-1} \ge 0$$

and

$$\mathbf{1}^{t}(A/A_{11})^{-1} - \mathbf{1}^{t}A_{11}^{-1}\alpha_{m}\mathbf{11}^{t}(A/A_{11})^{-1}$$
$$= (1 - \alpha_{m}\beta_{m}\mathbf{1}^{t}(A/A_{11})^{-1}\mathbf{1})\mathbf{1}^{t}(A/A_{11})^{-1} \ge 0.$$

since $1 - \alpha_m \beta_m \mathbf{1}^t (A/A_{11})^{-1} \mathbf{1} \ge 1 - \beta_m \ge 0$. Hence A^{-1} is a column diagonally dominant *M*-matrix.

References

- A. Berman and R. J. Plemmons: Nonnegative Matrices in the Mathematical Sciences. Academic, 1979; SIAM, 1994.
- [2] C. R. Johnson: Inverse M-matrices. Linear Algebra Appl. 47 (1982), 159–216.
- [3] C. Dellacherie, S. Martínez and J. S. Martín: Ultrametric matrices and induced Markov chains. Adv. in Appl. Math. 17 (1996), 169–183.
- [4] C. Dellacherie, S. Martínez and J. S. Martín: Description of the sub-Markov kernel associated to generalized ultrametric matrices: an algorithmic approach. Linear Algebra Appl. 318 (2000), 1–21.

- [5] M. Fiedler: Some characterizations of symmetric inverse M-matrices. Linear Algebra Appl. 275–276 (1998), 179–187.
- [6] M. Fiedler: Special ultrametric matrices and graphs. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. (electronic) 22 (2000), 106–113.
- [7] M. Fiedler, C R. Johnson and T. L Markham: Notes on inverse M-matrices. Linear Algebra Appl. 91 (1987), 75–81.
- [8] S. Martínez, G. Michon and J. S. Martín: Inverses of ultrametric matrices are of Stieltjes types. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 15 (1994), 98–106.
- [9] J. J. McDonald, R. Nabben, M. Neumann, H. Schneider and M. J. Tsatsomeros: Inverse tridiagonal Z-matrices. Linear and Multilinear Algebra 45 (1998), 75–97.
- [10] J. J. McDonald, M. Neumann, H. Schneider and M. J. Tsatsomeros: Inverse M-matrix inequalities and generalized ultrametric matrices. Linear Algebra Appl. 220 (1995), 329–349.
- [11] R. Nabben: A class of inverse M-matrices. Electron. J. Linear Algebra 7 (2000), 53–58.
- [12] R. Nabben and R. S. Varga: A linear algebra proof that the inverses of strictly ultrametric matrix is a strictly diagonally dominant are of Stieljes types. SIAM J. Matrix Anal. Appl. 15 (1994), 107–113.
- [13] R. Nabben and R. S. Varga: Generalized ultrametric matrices—a class of inverse M-matrices. Linear Algebra Appl. 220 (1995), 365–390.
- [14] M. Neumann: A conjecture concerning the Hadamard product of inverse of M-matrices. Linear Algebra Appl. 285 (1995), 277–290.
- [15] R. A. Willoughby: The inverse M-matrix problem. Linear Algebra Appl. 18 (1977), 75–94.

Author's address: Department of Mathematics, Shanghai Jiao Tong University, 1954 Huashan road, Shanghai, 200030, P.R. China, e-mail: xiaodong@sjtu.edu.cn.