

Huanyin Chen; Miaosen Chen  
Extensions of  $GM$ -rings

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 55 (2005), No. 2, 273–281

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/127977>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2005

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

EXTENSIONS OF *GM*-RINGS

HUANYIN CHEN and MIAOSEN CHEN, Zhejiang

(Received January 25, 2002)

*Abstract.* It is shown that a ring  $R$  is a *GM*-ring if and only if there exists a complete orthogonal set  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  of idempotents such that all  $e_i Re_i$  are *GM*-rings. We also investigate *GM*-rings for Morita contexts, module extensions and power series rings.

*Keywords:* *GM*-ring, module extension, power series ring

*MSC 2000:* 16U99, 16E50

Many authors have studied associative rings with many units and many idempotents (cf. [1]–[6], [8], [9], [12] and [13]). A ring  $R$  is said to satisfy the *GM*-condition provided that for any  $x, y \in R$ , there exists a  $u \in U(R)$  such that  $x - u, y - u^{-1} \in U(R)$ . In [6], K. R. Goodearl and P. Menal showed that many known rings satisfy the *GM*-condition. In [8], J. Han and W. K. Nicholson studied extensions of clean rings. A ring  $R$  is called a clean ring if for any  $x \in R$ , there exists  $e = e^2 \in R$  such that  $x - e \in U(R)$ . To extend the *GM*-condition and clean rings, the first author introduced *GM*-rings (cf. [5]). We say that a ring  $R$  is a *GM*-ring provided that for any  $x, y \in R$  there exist idempotents  $e, f \in R$  and  $u \in U(R)$  such that  $x - eu, y - fu^{-1} \in U(R)$ . Clearly, all clean rings and all rings satisfying the *GM*-condition are *GM*-rings.

In this paper we show that a ring  $R$  is a *GM*-ring if and only if there exists a complete orthogonal set  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  of idempotents such that all  $e_i Re_i$  are *GM*-rings. We also investigate *GM*-rings for Morita contexts, module extensions and power series rings. These give generalizations of [5, Theorem 8] and [8, Theorem].

Throughout, all rings are associative with identity.  $GL_n(R)$  stands for the general linear group of  $R$ ,  $U(R)$  stands for the set of units of  $R$  and we use  $J(R)$  to denote the Jacobson radical of  $R$ .

---

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Zhejiang Province.

Let  $e_1, e_2, \dots, e_n \in R$  be idempotents. Clearly,

$$\begin{pmatrix} e_1Re_1 & \dots & e_1Re_n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e_nRe_1 & \dots & e_nRe_n \end{pmatrix} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} e_1r_{11}e_1 & \dots & e_1r_{1n}e_n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e_1r_{n1}e_1 & \dots & e_1r_{nn}e_n \end{pmatrix} : r_{ij} \in R (1 \leq i, j \leq n) \right\}$$

forms a ring with the identity  $\text{diag}(e_1, \dots, e_n)$ . Now we extend [5, Theorem 8] as follows.

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  be idempotents of a ring  $R$ . If all  $e_iRe_i$  are GM-rings, then so is the ring*

$$\begin{pmatrix} e_1Re_1 & \dots & e_1Re_n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e_nRe_1 & \dots & e_nRe_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

*Proof.* Clearly, the result holds for  $n = 1$ . Now assume that the result holds for  $m \geq 1$ . For any  $A'_1, A'_2 \in \begin{pmatrix} e_1Re_1 & \dots & e_1Re_{m+1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e_{m+1}Re_1 & \dots & e_{m+1}Re_{m+1} \end{pmatrix}$ , write  $A'_1 = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & B_1 \\ C_1 & d_1 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $A'_2 = \begin{pmatrix} A_2 & B_2 \\ C_2 & d_2 \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $A_1, A_2 \in \begin{pmatrix} e_1Re_1 & \dots & e_1Re_m \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e_mRe_1 & \dots & e_mRe_m \end{pmatrix}$ ,  $B_1, B_2, C_1$  and  $C_2$  are  $m$ -vectors, and  $d_1, d_2 \in e_{m+1}Re_{m+1}$ . We can find

$$E_1 = E_1^2, \quad E_2 = E_2^2 \in \begin{pmatrix} e_1Re_1 & \dots & e_1Re_m \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e_mRe_1 & \dots & e_mRe_m \end{pmatrix},$$

$$U, V_1, V_2 \in U \left( \begin{pmatrix} e_1Re_1 & \dots & e_1Re_m \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e_mRe_1 & \dots & e_mRe_m \end{pmatrix} \right)$$

such that  $A_1 - E_1U = V_1$  and  $A_2 - E_2U^{-1} = V_2$ . Because  $d_1 - C_1V_1^{-1}B_1, d_2 - C_2V_2^{-1}B_2 \in e_{m+1}Re_{m+1}$ , we have  $e_1 = e_1^2 \in e_{m+1}Re_{m+1}$  and  $u, v_1, v_2 \in U(e_{m+1}Re_{m+1})$  such that  $d_1 - C_1V_1^{-1}B_1 = e_1u + v_1$  and  $d_2 - C_2V_2^{-1}B_2 = e_2u^{-1} + v_2$ . Set

$$F_1 = \begin{pmatrix} E_1 & 0 \\ 0 & e_1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad W = \begin{pmatrix} U & 0 \\ 0 & u \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad K_1 = \begin{pmatrix} V_1 & B_1 \\ C_1 & v_1 + C_1V_1^{-1}B_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$

It is easy to verify that  $F_1 = F_1^2 \in \begin{pmatrix} e_1Re_1 & \dots & e_1Re_{m+1} \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e_{m+1}Re_1 & \dots & e_{m+1}Re_{m+1} \end{pmatrix}$  and

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 & \begin{pmatrix} V_1^{-1} + V_1^{-1}B_1v_1^{-1}C_1V_1^{-1} & -V_1^{-1}B_1v_1^{-1} \\ -v_1^{-1}C_1V_1^{-1} & v_1^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \\ & = \begin{pmatrix} V_1^{-1} + V_1^{-1}B_1v_1^{-1}C_1V_1^{-1} & v_1^{-1}C_1V_1^{-1} \\ -V_1^{-1}B_1v_1^{-1} & v_1^{-1} \end{pmatrix} K_1 \\ & = \text{diag}(e_1, \dots, e_{m+1}). \end{aligned}$$

This means that  $F_1$  is an idempotent and  $K_1$  is a unit. Moreover,  $A'_1 = F_1W + K_1$  and  $W$  is a unit. Analogously, we have an idempotent  $F_2 = \begin{pmatrix} E_2 & 0 \\ 0 & e_2 \end{pmatrix}$  and a unit  $K_2 = \begin{pmatrix} V_2 & B_2 \\ C_2 & v_2 + C_2V_2^{-1}B_2 \end{pmatrix}$  such that  $A'_2 = F_2W^{-1} + K_2$ . By induction hypothesis, we conclude that  $\begin{pmatrix} e_1Re_1 & \dots & e_1Re_n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e_nRe_1 & \dots & e_nRe_n \end{pmatrix}$  is a *GM*-ring, as asserted. □

**Theorem 2.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  *$R$  is a *GM*-ring.*
- (2) *There exists a complete orthogonal set  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  of idempotents such that all  $e_iRe_i$  are *GM*-rings.*

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is obvious.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) We construct a map

$$\varphi: R \rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} e_1Re_1 & \dots & e_1Re_n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e_nRe_1 & \dots & e_nRe_n \end{pmatrix}$$

given by  $\varphi(r) = \begin{pmatrix} e_1re_1 & \dots & e_1re_n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e_nre_1 & \dots & e_nre_n \end{pmatrix}$ . Since  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  is a complete orthogonal set of idempotents, we claim that  $\varphi$  is a ring homomorphism. Assume that  $\varphi(r) = 0$ . Then  $e_i re_j$  are all zero for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$ , hence  $r = (e_1re_1 + \dots + e_1re_n) + \dots + (e_nre_1 + \dots + e_nre_n) = 0$ . This means that  $\varphi$  is a monomorphism.

Given any

$$\begin{pmatrix} e_1 r_{11} e_1 & \dots & e_1 r_{1n} e_n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e_n r_{n1} e_1 & \dots & e_n r_{nn} e_n \end{pmatrix} \in \begin{pmatrix} e_1 R e_1 & \dots & e_1 R e_n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e_n R e_1 & \dots & e_n R e_n \end{pmatrix},$$

we have a  $t := (e_1 r_{11} e_1 + \dots + e_1 r_{1n} e_n) + \dots + (e_n r_{n1} e_1 + \dots + e_n r_{nn} e_n) \in R$  such that

$$\varphi(t) = \begin{pmatrix} e_1 r_{11} e_1 & \dots & e_1 r_{1n} e_n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e_n r_{n1} e_1 & \dots & e_n r_{nn} e_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

So  $\varphi$  is an epimorphism, and then

$$\varphi: R \cong \begin{pmatrix} e_1 R e_1 & \dots & e_1 R e_n \\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ e_n R e_1 & \dots & e_n R e_n \end{pmatrix}.$$

By virtue of Lemma 1,  $R$  is a  $GM$ -ring. □

As an immediate consequence, we show that if  $R$  is a  $GM$ -ring so also is the matrix ring  $M_n(R)$ . Furthermore, we can derive the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $M_1, \dots, M_n$  be right  $R$ -modules. If  $\text{End}_R(M_1), \dots, \text{End}_R(M_n)$  are  $GM$ -rings, then so is  $\text{End}_R(M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_n)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $e_1, \dots, e_n$  be the idempotents for  $M = M_1 \oplus \dots \oplus M_n$ . Then they are orthogonal and  $1_{\text{End}_R(M)} = e_1 + \dots + e_n$ . That is, we have a complete orthogonal set  $\{e_1, \dots, e_n\}$  of idempotents of  $\text{End}_R(M)$ . Moreover, all  $e_i \text{End}_R(M) e_i \cong \text{End}_R(M_i)$  are  $GM$ -rings. In view of Theorem 2, the result follows. □

A Morita context denoted by  $(A, B, M, N, \psi, \Phi)$  consists of two rings  $A, B$ , two bimodules  ${}_A N_B, {}_B M_A$  and a pair of bimodule homomorphisms (called pairings)  $\psi: N \otimes_B M \rightarrow A$  and  $\Phi: M \otimes_A N \rightarrow B$  which satisfy the following associativity:  $\psi(n, m)n' = n\Phi(m, n')$ ,  $\Phi(m, n)m' = m\psi(n, m')$  for any  $m, m' \in M, n, n' \in N$ . These conditions ensure that the set  $T$  of generalized matrices  $\begin{pmatrix} a & n \\ m & b \end{pmatrix}; a \in A, b \in B, m \in M, n \in N$  forms a ring, called the ring of the context. A. Haghany studied hopficity and co-hopficity for Morita contexts with zero pairings. Now we give a simple proof of [5, Theorem 8].

**Proposition 4.** *Let  $T$  be the ring of a Morita context  $(A, B, M, N, \psi, \Phi)$ . If  $A$  and  $B$  are  $GM$ -rings, then  $T$  is also a  $GM$ -ring.*

*Proof.* Set  $e = \text{diag}(1, 0)$ . Then  $eTe \cong \text{diag}(A, 0)$  and  $(1 - e)T(1 - e) \cong \text{diag}(0, B)$ . Since  $A$  and  $B$  are  $GM$ -rings, we directly verify that  $eTe$  and  $(1 - e)T(1 - e)$  are  $GM$ -rings as well. Clearly,  $\{e, 1 - e\}$  is a complete orthogonal set of idempotents. Thus we obtain the result by Theorem 2.  $\square$

**Corollary 5.** *Let  $T$  be the ring of a Morita context  $(A, B, M, N, \psi, \Phi)$ . If  $A$  and  $B$  are semiperfect rings, then  $T$  is also a  $GM$ -ring.*

*Proof.* Since  $R$  is a semiperfect ring, it is a  $GM$ -ring. Thus we complete the proof by Proposition 4.  $\square$

Let  $A_1, A_2, A_3$  be associative rings with identities, let  $M_{21}, M_{31}, M_{32}$  be  $(A_2, A_1)$ -,  $(A_3, A_1)$ -,  $(A_3, A_2)$ -bimodules, respectively. Let  $\Phi: M_{32} \otimes_{A_2} M_{21} \rightarrow M_{31}$  be an  $(A_3, A_1)$ -homomorphism, and let  $T = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & 0 \\ M_{21} & A_2 & 0 \\ M_{31} & M_{32} & A_3 \end{pmatrix}$  with the usual matrix operations (see [10]).

**Theorem 6.** *The following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $A_1, A_2$  and  $A_3$  are  $GM$ -rings.
- (2) The formal triangular matrix ring  $T = \begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 & 0 \\ M_{21} & A_2 & 0 \\ M_{31} & M_{32} & A_3 \end{pmatrix}$  is a  $GM$ -ring.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Let  $B = \begin{pmatrix} A_2 & 0 \\ M_{32} & A_3 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $M = \begin{pmatrix} M_{21} \\ M_{31} \end{pmatrix}$ . Since  $A_2$  and  $A_3$  are  $GM$ -rings, so is the ring  $B$  by virtue of Theorem 4. In addition,  $A_1$  is a  $GM$ -ring. Using Theorem 4 again, we see that  $\begin{pmatrix} A_1 & 0 \\ M & B \end{pmatrix}$  is also a  $GM$ -ring, as required.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) For any  $x, y \in A_2$ , we have  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in T$ . Since  $T$  is a  $GM$ -ring, we have idempotents

$$\begin{pmatrix} e_1 & 0 & 0 \\ * & e_2 & 0 \\ * & * & e_3 \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} f_1 & 0 & 0 \\ * & f_2 & 0 \\ * & * & f_3 \end{pmatrix} \in T,$$

and a unit  $\begin{pmatrix} u_1 & 0 & 0 \\ * & u_2 & 0 \\ * & * & u_3 \end{pmatrix} \in T$  such that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & x & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} e_1 & 0 & 0 \\ * & e_2 & 0 \\ * & * & e_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & 0 & 0 \\ * & u_2 & 0 \\ * & * & u_3 \end{pmatrix} \in U(T)$$

and

$$\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & y & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} f_1 & 0 & 0 \\ * & f_2 & 0 \\ * & * & f_3 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u_1 & 0 & 0 \\ * & u_2 & 0 \\ * & * & u_3 \end{pmatrix}^{-1} \in U(T).$$

One easily checks that  $e_2 = e_2^2$ ,  $f_2 = f_2^2$  and  $u_2 \in U(R)$ . Furthermore, we have  $x - e_2u_2, y_2 - f_2u_2^{-1} \in U(R)$ . Therefore  $A_2$  is a *GM*-ring. Likewise, we claim that  $A_1$  and  $A_3$  are *GM*-rings, as asserted.  $\square$

**Corollary 7.** *A ring  $R$  is a *GM*-ring if and only if so is the ring of all  $n \times n$  lower triangular matrices over  $R$  is a *GM*-ring.*

*Proof.* According to Theorem 6, the result follows.  $\square$

Analogously, we deduce that a ring  $R$  is a *GM*-ring if and only if the ring of all  $n \times n$  upper triangular matrices over  $R$  is a *GM*-ring.

Recall that a ring  $R$  is called an exchange ring if for every right  $R$ -module  $A$  and any two decompositions  $A = M' \oplus N = \bigoplus_{i \in I} A_i$ , where  $M'_R \cong R_R$  and the index set  $I$  is finite, there exist submodules  $A'_i \subseteq A_i$  such that  $A = M' \oplus \left( \bigoplus_{i \in I} A'_i \right)$ . The class of exchange rings includes local rings, semiperfect rings, semiregular rings,  $\pi$ -regular rings, strongly  $\pi$ -regular rings and  $C^*$ -algebras with real rank one (cf. [1], [14] and [16]).

**Corollary 8.** *Let  $R$  be an exchange ring with artinian primitive factors. Then the ring of all  $n \times n$  lower (upper) triangular matrices over  $R$  is a *GM*-ring.*

*Proof.* Applying Corollary 7, we get the result.  $\square$

As every exchange ring of bounded index has artinian primitive factors, we deduce the following result.

**Corollary 9.** *Let  $R$  be an exchange ring of bounded index. Then the ring of all  $n \times n$  lower (upper) triangular matrices over  $R$  is a  $GM$ -ring.*

Let  $TM_2(R)$  be the ring of all  $2 \times 2$  lower triangular matrices over  $R$ . Define  $QM_2(R) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mid a + c = b + d, a, b, c, d \in R \right\}$ . Then  $QM_2(R)$  is a ring with the identity  $\text{diag}(1, 1)$ .

**Corollary 10.** *A ring  $R$  is a  $GM$ -ring if and only if so is  $QM_2(R)$ .*

**Proof.** Construct a map  $\psi: QM_2(R) \rightarrow TM_2(R)$  given by  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a+c & 0 \\ c & d-c \end{pmatrix}$  for any  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in QM_2(R)$ . For any  $\begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ z & y \end{pmatrix} \in TM_2(R)$ , we have

$$\psi\left(\begin{pmatrix} x-z & x-y-z \\ z & y+z \end{pmatrix}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} x & 0 \\ z & y \end{pmatrix}.$$

Thus  $\psi$  is an epimorphism. It is easy to verify that  $\psi$  is a monomorphism; hence, it is a ring isomorphism. Therefore we complete the proof by Corollary 7.  $\square$

If  $M$  is a  $R$ - $R$ -bimodule, then the module extension of  $R$  by  $M$  is the ring  $R \bowtie M$  with the usual addition and multiplication defined by  $(r_1, m_1)(r_2, m_2) = (r_1r_2, r_1m_2 + m_1r_2)$  for  $r_1, r_2 \in R$  and  $m_1, m_2 \in M$ . Now we investigate  $GM$ -rings for module extensions and introduce a large class of such rings.

**Theorem 11.** *Let  $R$  be an introduce ring,  $M$  a  $R$ - $R$ -bimodule. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R$  is a  $GM$ -ring.
- (2)  $R \bowtie M$  is a  $GM$ -ring.

**Proof.** (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) Given any  $(r_1, m_1), (r_2, m_2) \in R \bowtie M$ , we have idempotents  $e, f \in R$  and units  $u, v_1, v_2 \in R$  such that  $r_1 - eu = v_1, r_2 - fu^{-1} = v_2$ . One easily verifies that  $(r_1, m_1) - (e, 0)(u, 0) = (v_1, 0) \in U(R \bowtie M)$  and  $(r_2, m_2) - (e, 0)(u^{-1}, 0) = (v_2, 0) \in U(R \bowtie M)$ . Clearly,  $(u, 0)^{-1} = (u^{-1}, 0) \in U(R \bowtie M)$ . Hence  $R \bowtie M$  is a  $GM$ -ring.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) Given any  $r_1, r_2 \in R$ , then  $(r_1, 0), (r_2, 0) \in R \bowtie M$ . Thus we have idempotents  $(e, m_1), (f, m_2) \in R \bowtie M$  and a unit  $(u, n) \in R \bowtie M$  such that  $(r_1, 0) - (e, m_1)(u, n), (r_2, 0) - (f, m_2)(u, n)^{-1} \in U(R \bowtie M)$ . Obviously,  $e, f \in R$  are idempotents and  $u \in U(R)$ . Moreover, we claim that  $r_1 - eu, r_2 - fu^{-1} \in U(R)$ . So  $R$  is a  $GM$ -ring, as asserted.  $\square$



**Corollary 12.** *Let  $R$  be a ring. Then  $R$  is a GM-ring if and only if so is  $R \bowtie R$ .*

*Proof.* It is an immediate consequence of Theorem 11.  $\square$

**Corollary 13.** *Let  $R$  be an exchange ring with artinian primitive factors. Then  $R \bowtie R$  is a GM-ring.*

*Proof.* Since  $R$  is an exchange ring with artinian primitive factors, it is a GM-ring. Thus we get the result by Corollary 12.  $\square$

**Theorem 14.** *Let  $R$  be an exchange ring. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (1)  $R$  is a GM-ring.
- (2)  $R[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  is a GM-ring.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) It suffices to show that the result holds for  $n = 1$ . Given any  $f(x_1), g(x_1) \in R[[x_1]]$ , we have  $f(0), g(0) \in R$ . Since  $R$  is a GM-ring, we can find idempotents  $e, f \in R$  and a unit  $u \in R$  such that  $f(0) - eu, g(0) - fu^{-1} \in U(R)$ . It is well known that  $h(x_1) \in R[[x_1]]$  is a unit if and only if  $h(0) \in R$  is a unit. Therefore we can find  $f'(x_1), g'(x_1) \in R[[x_1]]$  such that  $f(x_1) - eu = (f(0) - eu) + f'(x_1)x_1, g(x_1) - fu^{-1} = (g(0) - fu^{-1}) + g'(x_1)x_1 \in U(R[[x_1]])$ , as required.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) We also prove that the result holds for  $n = 1$ . Given any  $x, y \in R$ , we have  $x, y \in R[[x_1]]$  as well. Thus we can find idempotents  $e(x_1), f(x_1) \in R[[x_1]]$  and a unit  $u(x_1) \in R[[x_1]]$  such that  $x - e(x_1)u(x_1), y - f(x_1)u(x_1)^{-1} \in U(R[[x_1]])$ . Thus we know that  $x - e(0)u(0), y - f(0)u(0)^{-1} \in U(R)$ . One easily checks that  $e(0), f(0)$  are idempotents and  $u(0) \in R$  is a unit. So we complete the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 15.** *Let  $R$  be an exchange ring with artinian primitive factors. Then  $R[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  is a GM-ring.*

*Proof.* Since every exchange ring with artinian primitive factors is a GM-ring, we get the result from Theorem 14.  $\square$

Know that every semiperfect ring is a GM-ring, by virtue of Theorem 14, we can derive the following corollary:

**Corollary 16.** *Let  $R$  be a semiperfect ring. Then  $R[[x_1, \dots, x_n]]$  is a GM-ring.*

### References

- [1] *V. P. Camillo and H. P. Yu*: Exchange rings, units and idempotents. *Comm. Algebra* *22* (1994), 4737–4749.
- [2] *H. Chen*: Exchange rings with artinian primitive factors. *Algebras Represent. Theory* *2* (1999), 201–207.
- [3] *H. Chen*: Rings with many idempotents. *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.* *22* (1999), 547–558.
- [4] *H. Chen*: Units, idempotents and stable range conditions. *Comm. Algebra* *29* (2001), 703–717.
- [5] *H. Chen*: Stable ranges for Morita contexts. *SEA Bull. Math.* *25* (2001), 209–216.
- [6] *K. R. Goodearl and P. Menal*: Stable range one for rings with many units. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* *54* (1988), 261–287.
- [7] *A. Haghany*: Hopficity and co-hopficity for Morita contexts. *Comm. Algebra* *27* (1999), 477–492.
- [8] *J. Han and W. K. Nicholson*: Extensions of clean rings. *Comm. Algebra* *29* (2001), 2589–2595.
- [9] *M. Henriksen*: Two classes of rings generated by their units. *J. Algebra* *31* (1974), 182–193.
- [10] *Y. Hirano*: Another triangular matrix ring having Auslander-Gorenstein property. *Comm. Algebra* *29* (2001), 719–735.
- [11] *P. Menal*: On  $\pi$ -regular rings whose primitive factor rings are artinian. *J. Pure. Appl. Algebra* *20* (1981), 71–78.
- [12] *W. K. Nicholson*: Strongly clean rings and Fitting’s lemma. *Comm. Algebra* *27* (1999), 3583–3592.
- [13] *W. K. Nicholson and K. Varadarjan*: Countable linear transformations are clean. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* *126* (1998), 61–64.
- [14] *E. Pardo*: Comparability, separativity, and exchange rings. *Comm. Algebra* *24* (1996), 2915–2929.
- [15] *T. Wu and W. Tong*: Stable range condition and cancellation of modules. *Pitman Res. Notes Math.* *346* (1996), 98–104.
- [16] *H. P. Yu*: On the structure of exchange rings. *Comm. Algebra* *25* (1997), 661–670.

*Authors’ address:* H. Chen, M. Chen, Dept. of Mathematics, Zhejiang Normal University, Jinhua, Zhejiang 321004, P.R. China, e-mails: chyzz1@sparc2.hunnu.edu.cn, miaosen@mail.jhptt.zj.cn.