

Lee Tuo-Yeong

Some full descriptive characterizations of the Henstock-Kurzweil integral in the Euclidean space

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 55 (2005), No. 3, 625–637

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/128007>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2005

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

SOME FULL DESCRIPTIVE CHARACTERIZATIONS
OF THE HENSTOCK-KURZWEIL INTEGRAL
IN THE EUCLIDEAN SPACE

LEE TUO-YEONG, Singapore

(Received September 26, 2002)

Abstract. Using generalized absolute continuity, we characterize additive interval functions which are indefinite Henstock-Kurzweil integrals in the Euclidean space.

Keywords: generalized absolute continuity, Henstock-Kurzweil integral

MSC 2000: 26B99, 26A39, 28A12

1. INTRODUCTION

It is well known that the one-dimensional Henstock-Kurzweil integral is equivalent to the Denjoy-Perron integral. See, for example, [4, Theorems 11.3–11.4]. However, the proof of this result is real-line dependent, so an analogous characterization of the higher dimensional Henstock-Kurzweil integral remained open for many years (see, for example, [6, p. 527] or [3, Problem 5.6 (4)]). In this paper we will use certain versions of the generalized absolute continuity condition to obtain some descriptive characterizations of the higher dimensional Henstock-Kurzweil integral, answering a question of Claude-Alain Faure [3, Problem 5.6 (4)]. Moreover, one of our results also sharpens a result of Kurzweil and Jarník [7, Theorem 4.2], whose original proof does not seem to include the case for the Henstock-Kurzweil integral because of its dependence on the regularity assumption [7, Condition 3.2]. Furthermore, we also give an affirmative answer to a question of Lu and Lee [16]. In the last section of this paper, we sharpen the above results by showing that if f is Henstock-Kurzweil integrable on an m -dimensional compact interval $E \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, then there exists an increasing sequence $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of closed subsets of E such that $f \in \mathcal{L}(X_n)$ for all positive integers n and $E \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$ has m -dimensional Lebesgue measure zero. More-

over, the gauge function in the definition of generalized absolute continuity of the indefinite Henstock-Kurzweil integral of f on each X_n can be chosen to be a positive constant for each $\varepsilon > 0$. See Theorem 5.3 and Remark 5.4 for details.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Unless stated otherwise, the following conventions and notation will be used. The set of all real numbers is denoted by \mathbb{R} , and the ambient space of this paper is \mathbb{R}^m , where m is a fixed positive integer. The norm in \mathbb{R}^m is the maximum norm. For $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ and $r > 0$, the open ball $B(x, r)$ is the open cube centered at x with sides equal to $2r$. Let $E = \prod_{i=1}^m [a_i, b_i]$ be a fixed non-degenerate interval in \mathbb{R}^m . For a set $A \subset E$ we denote by χ_A , $\text{diam}(A)$ and $\mu_m^*(A)$ the characteristic function, diameter and m -dimensional Lebesgue outer measure of A , respectively. If $Z \subseteq E$, we denote its interior, boundary and closure with respect to the subspace topology of E by $\text{int}(Z)$, ∂Z and \bar{Z} , respectively. The distance between $x \in E$ and $Z \subseteq E$ will be denoted by $\text{dist}(x, Z)$. The expressions “measure”, “measurable”, “almost all”, “almost everywhere” refer to the m -dimensional Lebesgue measure μ_m . A set $Z \subset E$ is called *negligible* whenever $\mu_m(Z) = 0$. Given two subsets X, Y of E , the symmetric difference of X and Y is denoted by $X \Delta Y$. We say that X and Y are non-overlapping if their intersection is negligible. A function is always real-valued. When no confusion is possible, we do not distinguish between a function defined on a set Z and its restriction to a set $W \subset Z$. If Z is a measurable subset of E , $\mathcal{L}(Z)$ will denote the space of Lebesgue integrable functions on Z , and \mathcal{M} denotes the σ -algebra of all measurable subsets of E . If $f \in \mathcal{L}(Z)$, the Lebesgue integral of f over Z will be denoted by $(L) \int_Z f$.

An *interval* is a compact non-degenerate interval of E . \mathcal{I} denotes the family of all non-degenerate subintervals of E . If $I \in \mathcal{I}$, we write $\mu_m(I)$ as $|I|$. For each $J \in \mathcal{I}$, the *regularity* of an m -dimensional interval $J \subseteq E$, denoted by $\text{reg}(J)$, is the ratio of its shortest and longest sides. A function F defined on \mathcal{I} is said to be additive if $F(I \cup J) = F(I) + F(J)$ for each non-overlapping intervals $I, J \in \mathcal{I}$ with $I \cup J \in \mathcal{I}$. In particular, it is shown in [10, Corollary 6.2.4] that if F is an additive interval function on \mathcal{I} with $J \in \mathcal{I}$ and $\{K_1, K_2, \dots, K_r\}$ is a collection of non-overlapping subintervals of J with $\bigcup_{i=1}^r K_i = J$, then

$$F(J) = \sum_{i=1}^r F(K_i).$$

A *partition* P is a collection $\{(I_i, \xi_i)\}_{i=1}^p$, where I_1, I_2, \dots, I_p are non-overlapping intervals and $\xi_i \in I_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$. Given $Z \subseteq E$, a positive function δ on Z is called a *gauge* on Z . We say that a partition $\{(I_i, \xi_i)\}_{i=1}^p$ is

- (i) a partition *in* Z if $\bigcup_{i=1}^p I_i \subset Z$,
- (ii) a partition *of* Z if $\bigcup_{i=1}^p I_i = Z$,
- (iii) *anchored* in Z if $\{\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_p\} \subset Z$,
- (iv) δ -*fine* if $I_i \subset B(\xi_i, \delta(\xi_i))$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$,
- (v) α -*regular* for some $\alpha \in (0, 1]$ if $\text{reg}(I_i) \geq \alpha$ for each $i = 1, 2, \dots, p$.

Lemma 2.1 [10, Lemma 6.2.6]. *Given a gauge δ on E , δ -fine partitions of E exist.*

Definition 2.2. A function $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is said to be *Henstock-Kurzweil integrable* on E if there exists $A \in \mathbb{R}$ such that for any given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a gauge δ on E such that

$$(1) \quad \left| \sum_{i=1}^p f(\xi_i)|I_i| - A \right| < \varepsilon$$

for each δ -fine partition $\{(I_i, \xi_i)\}_{i=1}^p$ of E . Here A is called the Henstock-Kurzweil integral of f over E , and we write $A = (\text{HK}) \int_E f$.

Remark 2.3.

- (a) The linear space of Henstock-Kurzweil integrable functions on E is denoted by $\mathcal{HK}(E)$.
- (b) It follows from [10, Theorem 6.4.2] that if $f \in \mathcal{HK}(E)$, then $f \in \mathcal{HK}(J)$ for each subinterval J of E . The interval function $F: J \mapsto (\text{HK}) \int_J f$ is known as the *indefinite Henstock-Kurzweil integral*, or in short the indefinite \mathcal{HK} -integral, of f . By [10, Theorem 6.4.1], F is an additive interval function on \mathcal{I} .
- (c) By [10, p. 228] and [10, Theorem 3.13.3], we see that $\mathcal{L}(E) \subset \mathcal{HK}(E)$. Furthermore, $(\text{L}) \int_E f = (\text{HK}) \int_E f$ for each $f \in \mathcal{L}(E)$.
- (d) If f is a non-negative, Henstock-Kurzweil integrable function on E , then it follows from [10, p. 228] that $f \in \mathcal{L}(E)$.

By specializing [5, Lemma 1.7] to the case of the Henstock-Kurzweil integral (see [5, Note 1.5]), we have the following important Saks-Henstock Lemma.

Theorem 2.4 (Saks-Henstock). *If F is the indefinite \mathcal{HK} -integral of a function $f \in \mathcal{HK}(E)$, then for $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a gauge δ on E such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^p |f(\xi_i)|I_i - F(I_i)| < \varepsilon$$

for each δ -fine partition $\{(I_i, \xi_i)\}_{i=1}^p$ in E .

Theorem 2.5. *If F is the indefinite \mathcal{HK} -integral of a function $f \in \mathcal{HK}(E)$, then F is continuous on \mathcal{I} in the following sense: given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\eta > 0$ such that*

$$|F(E_1) - F(E_2)| < \varepsilon$$

whenever E_1 and E_2 are subintervals of E with $\mu_m(E_1 \Delta E_2) < \eta$.

Proof. This follows from [12, Theorem 3.5] and [12, Lemma 3.6]. □

By using the Vitali covering theorem, it is possible to prove that if F is the indefinite \mathcal{HK} -integral of a function belonging to $\mathcal{HK}(E)$, then F is differentiable in the ordinary sense [17, p. 106] at almost all $x \in E$.

Theorem 2.6. *If F is the indefinite \mathcal{HK} -integral of some function $f \in \mathcal{HK}(E)$, then the ordinary derivative F' of F exists almost everywhere on E , and $F'(x) = f(x)$ for almost all $x \in E$.*

Proof. The proof is similar to that of [5, Theorem 2.8]. □

Let F be an interval function on \mathcal{I} , and let X be an arbitrary subset of E . If δ is a gauge on X , we set

$$V(F, X, \delta) := \sup_P \sum_{i=1}^p |F(I_i)|,$$

where the supremum is taken over all δ -fine partitions $P = \{(I_i, \xi_i)\}_{i=1}^p$ anchored in X .

We put

$$V_{\mathcal{HK}}F(X) := \inf_{\delta} V(F, X, \delta),$$

where the infimum is taken over all gauges δ on X . Then the extended real-valued set function $V_{\mathcal{HK}}F(\cdot)$ is a metric outer measure [3, Proposition 3.3]. Moreover, it follows from [1, Theorem 3.7] that $V_{\mathcal{HK}}F$ is a Borel measure, known as the *Henstock variational measure generated by F* .

For an additive interval function F on \mathcal{I} , we say that $V_{\mathcal{HK}}F$ is *absolutely continuous* if the following condition is satisfied:

$$Z \subset E \text{ with } \mu_m(Z) = 0 \implies V_{\mathcal{HK}}F(Z) = 0.$$

The next theorem is given in [14, Theorem 3.7].

Theorem 2.7. *Let F be an additive interval function on \mathcal{I} . If $V_{\mathcal{HK}}F$ is absolutely continuous, then $V_{\mathcal{HK}}F$ is a measure on \mathcal{M} .*

The next theorem is given in [14, Theorem 4.3].

Theorem 2.8. *Let F be an additive interval function on \mathcal{I} . Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) F is the indefinite \mathcal{HK} -integral of some function belonging to $\mathcal{HK}(E)$;
- (ii) the variational measure $V_{\mathcal{HK}}F$ is absolutely continuous.

3. HENSTOCK VARIATIONAL MEASURE AND LEBESGUE INTEGRABILITY

Our first lemma is a special case of [11, Lemma 3].

Lemma 3.1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{HK}(E)$. If f is Lebesgue integrable on a non-empty measurable set $X \subseteq E$, then given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a gauge δ on X such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \left| (\text{L}) \int_{I_i \cap X} f - (\text{HK}) \int_{I_i} f \right| < \varepsilon$$

for each δ -fine partition $\{(I_i, \xi_i)\}_{i=1}^p$ anchored in X .

The next theorem, which was given in [14, Theorem 3.9], can also be deduced from Lemma 3.1.

Theorem 3.2. *Let $X \subseteq E$ be measurable. If F is the indefinite \mathcal{HK} -integral of some $f \in \mathcal{HK}(E)$, then $f \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ if and only if $V_{\mathcal{HK}}F(X)$ is finite. Moreover,*

$$V_{\mathcal{HK}}F(X) = (\text{L}) \int_X |f|$$

even if one of the sides is equal to ∞ .

Proof. We may assume that X is non-empty. Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{L}(X)$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. An application of Lemma 3.1 shows that there exists a gauge δ on X such that

$$V(F, X, \delta) \leq (\mathbb{L}) \int_X |f| + \varepsilon,$$

from which the inequality

$$V_{\mathcal{HK}}F(X) \leq (\mathbb{L}) \int_X |f|$$

follows by the arbitrariness of $\varepsilon > 0$. In particular, $V_{\mathcal{HK}}F(X)$ is finite. By mimicking the proof of [15, Lemma 3.8], we obtain the following equality

$$(2) \quad (\mathbb{L}) \int_X |f| = V_{\mathcal{HK}}F(X).$$

Conversely, we assume that $V_{\mathcal{HK}}F(X) < \infty$. We shall prove that (2) holds. For any fixed positive integer n , we set

$$X_n := \{x \in X : |f(x)| \leq n\}.$$

Since f is measurable and bounded on the measurable set X_n , we see that $f \in \mathcal{L}(X_n)$. Consequently, it follows from (2) (with X replaced by X_n) that

$$(3) \quad (\mathbb{L}) \int_{X_n} |f| = V_{\mathcal{HK}}F(X_n).$$

By letting $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (3), we see that (2) follows by the Monotone Convergence Theorem and Theorem 2.7. The proof is complete. \square

Lemma 3.3. *Let F be a continuous additive interval function on \mathcal{I} . If $X \subseteq E$ is non-empty and δ_c is a constant gauge on X , then*

$$V(F, \overline{X}, \delta_c) \leq 3^m V(F, X, \delta_c).$$

Proof. This follows from assertion (ii) of [14, Theorem 5.11]. \square

The next theorem, which is a special case of [7, Theorem 2.10], will be proved by means of Theorem 3.2 and Lemma 3.3.

Theorem 3.4. *If $f \in \mathcal{HK}(E)$, then there exists a sequence $\{X_n\}$ of closed sets whose union is E , and $f \in \mathcal{L}(X_n)$ for each positive integer n .*

Proof. Choose a gauge δ_1 on E corresponding to $\varepsilon = 1$ in the Saks-Henstock Lemma. For each positive integer n , put

$$Y_n = \left\{ x \in E: |f(x)| \leq n \text{ and } \delta_1(x) \geq \frac{1}{n} \right\}$$

and $X_n := \overline{Y_n}$. Clearly, $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$ with

$$V\left(F, Y_n, \frac{1}{n}\right) \leq n|E| + 1.$$

An application of Theorem 2.5 and Lemma 3 yields

$$V\left(F, X_n, \frac{1}{n}\right) \leq 3^m(n|E| + 1),$$

which implies that $V_{\mathcal{HK}}F(X_n)$ is finite. In view of Theorem 3.2, $f \in \mathcal{L}(X_n)$. □

As a consequence of Theorem 3.4 and the Baire Category Theorem, we have

Corollary 3.5 [2]. *If f belongs to $\mathcal{HK}(E)$, then f is Lebesgue integrable on a portion of E .*

4. MAIN RESULTS

In this section, we will give some new descriptive characterizations of the higher dimensional Henstock-Kurzweil integral. We begin with

Definition 4.1. Let F be an interval function F on \mathcal{I} .

- (i) F is $\text{AC}^s(X)$ for some $X \subseteq E$ (the so called “absolutely continuous on X ” in the paper [3, Definition 3.6 (b)]) if for $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists $\eta > 0$ such that whenever $A \subseteq X$ with $\mu_m^*(A) < \eta$, we have $V_{\mathcal{HK}}F(A) < \varepsilon$.
- (ii) F is $\text{ACG}^s(E)$ if $E = \bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} X_k$ for a sequence $\{X_k\}$ of sets such that F is $\text{AC}^s(X_k)$ for each positive integer k .

The next theorem gives an affirmative answer to [3, Open problems 5.6 (4)].

Theorem 4.2. *Let F be an additive interval function on \mathcal{I} and let $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $f \in \mathcal{HK}(E)$ and F is the indefinite \mathcal{HK} -integral of f ;
- (ii) F is $\text{ACG}^s(E)$ with $F' = f$ almost everywhere on E .

Proof. (ii) \implies (i) If F is $\text{ACG}^s(E)$, then it follows from [3, Lemma 3.9(2)] that $V_{\mathcal{HK}}F$ is absolutely continuous. An application of Theorem 2.8 shows that $f \in \mathcal{HK}(E)$ with F being the indefinite \mathcal{HK} -integral of f .

(i) \implies (ii) Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{HK}(E)$ and F denotes the indefinite \mathcal{HK} -integral of f . In view of [3, Proposition 4.1] and [3, Lemma 3.9(1)], F is $\text{ACG}^s(E)$. The conclusion $F' = f$ almost everywhere on E follows from Theorem 2.6. The proof is complete. \square

Definition 4.3.

- (i) An interval function F on \mathcal{I} is said to be $\text{AC}_\delta^*(X)$ if for $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist $\eta > 0$ and a gauge δ on X such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^p |F(I_i)| < \varepsilon$$

for each δ -fine partition $\{(I_i, \xi_i)\}_{i=1}^p$ anchored in X satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^p |I_i| < \eta$.

- (ii) F is $\text{ACG}_\delta^*(E)$ if $E = \bigcup_{k=1}^\infty X_k$ for a sequence $\{X_k\}$ of sets such that F is $\text{AC}_\delta^*(X_k)$ for each positive integer k .

For the case when $m = 1$, it is well known that F is the indefinite \mathcal{HK} -integral of some function $f \in \mathcal{HK}(E)$ if and only if $F \in \text{ACG}_\delta^*(E)$. For a proof, see for example, [4, p. 148]. Since this proof depends strongly on derivatives, it does not seem to work well for higher dimensions. The following theorem, which is an extension of [4, p. 148], also improves a result of Lee Peng-Yee and Ng Wee-Leng [9, Theorem 10].

Theorem 4.4. *Let F be an additive interval function on \mathcal{I} and let $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) $f \in \mathcal{HK}(E)$ and F is the indefinite \mathcal{HK} -integral of f ;
- (ii) F is $\text{ACG}_\delta^*(E)$ with $F' = f$ almost everywhere on E .

Proof. (i) \implies (ii) This follows from Theorem 3.4, Lemma 3.1 and the absolute continuity of the indefinite \mathcal{L} -integral.

(ii) \implies (i) If F is $\text{ACG}_\delta^*(E)$, then $V_{\mathcal{HK}}F$ is absolutely continuous. Hence the result follows from Theorem 2.8. The proof is complete. \square

We shall next prove that the Henstock-Kurzweil integral can also be characterized in terms of ACG^∇ functions [7]. In [7], the \mathcal{Z} -integral, which includes the Henstock-Kurzweil integral and the α -regular integral [8], is introduced so that various kinds of integrals can be studied by means of a unified approach. In particular, a full descriptive definition of the \mathcal{Z} -integral can be obtained by means of an additive interval function satisfying certain generalized absolute continuity condition. This interesting characterization, however, depends strongly on the assumption of a certain regularity condition [7, Condition 3.2]. See [7, Theorem 4.2] for more details. Since the definition of the multidimensional Henstock-Kurzweil integral does not involve regular intervals, it is unclear whether [7, Theorem 4.2] remains valid for this particular integral. Our next aim is to give an affirmative answer to this problem.

Definition 4.5 [7, Definition 1.5]. Let F be an interval function on \mathcal{I} , and $X \subseteq E$. F is said to be $\text{AC}^\nabla(X)$ if for each $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a gauge δ on X and $\eta > 0$ such that whenever P_1 and P_2 are δ -fine partitions anchored in X satisfying

$$\mu_m \left(\left(\bigcup_{(I,\xi) \in P_1} I \right) \triangle \left(\bigcup_{(I,\xi) \in P_2} I \right) \right) < \eta,$$

we have

$$\left| \sum_{(I,\xi) \in P_1} F(I) - \sum_{(I,\xi) \in P_2} F(I) \right| < \varepsilon.$$

The next definition is a special case of [7, Definition 3.2].

Definition 4.6. Let F be an additive interval function on \mathcal{I} . F is said to be $\text{ACG}^\nabla(E)$ if E can be expressed as a countable union of measurable sets $\{X_n\}$ and F is $\text{AC}^\nabla(X_n)$ for each positive integer n .

The next theorem is a special case of [7, Theorem 3.7].

Theorem 4.7. *If F is $\text{ACG}^\nabla(E)$, then $V_{\mathcal{HK}}F$ is absolutely continuous.*

Theorem 4.8. *Let F be an additive interval function on \mathcal{I} and let $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then $f \in \mathcal{HK}(E)$ and F is the indefinite \mathcal{HK} -integral of f if and only if F is $\text{ACG}^\nabla(E)$ with $F' = f$ almost everywhere on E .*

Proof. (\implies) Suppose that $f \in \mathcal{HK}(E)$ and F denotes the indefinite \mathcal{HK} -integral of f . Then it follows from [7, Theorem 4.1] that F is $\text{ACG}^\nabla(E)$. By Theorem 2.6 $F' = f$ almost everywhere on E .

(\impliedby) This follows from Theorems 4.7 and 2.8. □

Definition 4.9. Let F be an additive interval function on \mathcal{I} .

- (i) F is $AC^{**}(X)$ for a non-empty set $X \subseteq E$ if for $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist a gauge δ on X and $\eta > 0$ such that whenever $\{(I_i, \xi_i)\}_{i=1}^p$ and

$$\{(J_r, x_r): J_r \subseteq I_{i(r)} \text{ for some } i(r) \in \{1, 2, \dots, p\}\}_{r=1}^q$$

are δ -fine partitions anchored in X with

$$\mu_m \left(\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^p I_i \right) \setminus \bigcup_{r=1}^q J_r \right) < \eta,$$

we have

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \left| F(I_i) - \sum \{F(J_r): J_r \subseteq I_i\} \right| < \varepsilon.$$

- (ii) F is said to be ACG^{**} on E if E can be written as a countable union of sets $\{X_n\}$ such that F is $AC^{**}(X_n)$ for each positive integer n .

We can now give an affirmative answer to a question of Lu and Lee [16].

Theorem 4.10. Let F be an additive interval function on \mathcal{I} and let $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then $f \in \mathcal{HK}(E)$ and F is the indefinite \mathcal{HK} -integral of f if and only if F is ACG^{**} on E with $F' = f$ almost everywhere on E .

Proof. If $f \in \mathcal{HK}(E)$ and F is the indefinite \mathcal{HK} -integral of f , then the conclusion that F is ACG^{**} on E follows from Theorem 3.4, Lemma 3.1 and the absolute continuity of the indefinite \mathcal{L} -integral.

Conversely, if F is ACG^{**} on E , then F is ACG^*_δ on E . In view of Theorem 4.4, the proof is complete.

5. ON ANOTHER NECESSARY AND SUFFICIENT CONDITION FOR HENSTOCK-KURZWEIL INTEGRABILITY

In this section, we will give a slight modification of [14, Theorem 3.10], which will sharpen the results in the previous section. More precisely, if f is Henstock-Kurzweil integrable on E , then there exists an increasing sequence $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of closed subsets of E such that $f \in \mathcal{L}(X_n)$ for all positive integers n and $E \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^\infty X_n$ is negligible. Moreover, the gauge function in the definition of the generalized absolute continuity of the indefinite Henstock-Kurzweil integral of f on each X_n can be chosen to be a positive constant for each $\varepsilon > 0$. In what follows, we write $X_n \uparrow X$ if $\{X_n\}$ is an increasing sequence of sets whose union is X .

Theorem 5.1. Let F be the indefinite \mathcal{HK} -integral of some function $f \in \mathcal{HK}(E)$. If f is Lebesgue integrable on a non-empty measurable set $X \subseteq E$, and G denotes the indefinite \mathcal{L} -integral of $f\chi_X$, then for $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a gauge δ on X such that

- (i) $V(F - G, X, \delta) < \varepsilon/3^m$,
- (ii) for each $p = 1, 2, \dots$ we have $V(F - G, \overline{\{x \in X : \delta(x) \geq 1/p\}}, 1/p) < \varepsilon$,
- (iii) $\overline{\{x \in X : \delta(x) \geq 1/n\}} \uparrow X$, provided that X is closed.

Proof. Assertion (i) follows from Lemma 3.1. Assertion (ii) follows from assertion (i) and Lemma 3.3. Assertion (iii) is obvious. \square

We shall write $\varepsilon_p \downarrow 0$ if $\{\varepsilon_p\}$ is a decreasing null sequence of positive numbers.

Theorem 5.2. If $f \in \mathcal{HK}(E)$, then for $\varepsilon_p \downarrow 0$ there exists a sequence $\{Y_p\}$ of closed sets and a negligible set Z satisfying the following conditions:

- (i) $Y_p \uparrow E \setminus Z$;
- (ii) $f \in \mathcal{L}(Y_p)$ for each positive integer p ;
- (iii) for each positive integer p there exists a constant $\delta_p > 0$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^q \left| (\mathbb{L}) \int_{I_i \cap Y_p} f - (\mathbb{HK}) \int_{I_i} f \right| < \varepsilon_p$$

for each δ_p -fine partition $\{(I_i, \xi_i)\}_{i=1}^q$ anchored in Y_p .

Proof. By Theorem 3.4 there exists a sequence $\{X_k\}$ of closed sets with $X_k \uparrow E$, and $f \in \mathcal{L}(X_k)$ for each positive integer k . Moreover, we may assume that $\mu_m(X_k) > 0$ for all positive integers k .

If F_k denotes the indefinite \mathcal{L} -integral of $f\chi_{X_k}$, and let F denote the indefinite \mathcal{HK} -integral of f . Then it follows from assertions (ii) and (iii) of Theorem 5.2 that there exists a sequence $\{Z_{k,n}\}_{n=1}^\infty$ of closed sets such that $Z_{k,n} \uparrow X_k$ with

$$V\left(F_k - F, Z_{k,n}, \frac{1}{n}\right) < \frac{\varepsilon_k}{4}.$$

By the absolute continuity of the indefinite \mathcal{L} -integral of $f\chi_{X_k}$, there exists $0 < \eta_k < \mu_m(X_k)$ such that

$$(\mathbb{L}) \int_Q |f| < \frac{\varepsilon_k}{4}$$

whenever Q is a measurable subset of X_k with $\mu_m(Q) < \eta_k$.

Now, for X_1 we choose a sequence of strictly increasing integers $\{n(1, k)\}_{k=1}^\infty$ such that

$$\mu_m\left(X_1 \setminus \bigcap_{k=1}^\infty Z_{k, n(1, k)}\right) < \eta_1.$$

In view of our choice of η_1 , we see that $\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} Z_{k,n(1,k)}$ is non-empty. Proceed inductively: if a strictly increasing sequence of integers $\{n(p-1, k)\}_{k=p-1}^{\infty}$ has been chosen for some $p > 1$, we may choose a strictly increasing sequence of integers $\{n(p, k)\}_{k=p}^{\infty}$ such that

$$\mu_m \left(X_p \setminus \bigcap_{k=p}^{\infty} Z_{k,n(p,k)} \right) < \eta_p$$

and $n(p, k) > n(p-1, k)$ for each positive integer $k \geq p$.

For each positive integer p , we put $Y_p := \bigcap_{k=p}^{\infty} Z_{k,n(p,k)}$ and $Z := E \setminus \bigcup_{p=1}^{\infty} Y_p$. Since $X_k \uparrow E$ and $Z_{k,n} \uparrow X_k$ for each positive integer k , we see that $Y_p \uparrow E \setminus Z$.

Define $\delta_p: Y_p \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ by $\delta_p(\xi) = 1/n(p, p)$. To this end, selecting any δ_p -fine partition $\{(I_i, \xi_i)\}_{i=1}^q$ anchored in Y_p , we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^q \left| (\text{L}) \int_{I_i \cap Y_p} f - (\text{HK}) \int_{I_i} f \right| &\leq \sum_{i=1}^q \left| (\text{L}) \int_{I_i \cap X_p} f - (\text{HK}) \int_{I_i} f \right| + (\text{L}) \int_{X_p \setminus Y_p} |f| \\ &< V \left(F_p - F, Z_{p,n(p,p)}, \frac{1}{n(p,p)} \right) + \frac{\varepsilon_p}{4} < \varepsilon_p. \end{aligned}$$

The proof is complete. □

The next theorem, which follows from Theorem 5.2, is a slight modification of [14, Theorem 3.10].

Theorem 5.3. *Let F be an additive interval function on \mathcal{I} and let $f: E \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. Then $f \in \mathcal{HK}(E)$ and F is the indefinite \mathcal{HK} -integral of f if and only if the following conditions are satisfied:*

- (i) *there exists an increasing sequence $\{X_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ of closed subsets of E such that $X_0 := E \setminus \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} X_n$ is negligible;*
- (ii) *$f \in \mathcal{L}(X_n)$ for each positive integer n ;*
- (iii) *for each positive integer n and $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a constant $\eta_n > 0$ such that*

$$\sum_{i=1}^p \left| (\text{L}) \int_{I_i \cap X_n} f - F(I_i) \right| < \varepsilon$$

for each η_n -fine partition $\{(I_i, \xi_i)\}_{i=1}^p$ anchored in X_n ;

- (iv) $V_{\mathcal{HK}} F(X_0) = 0$.

At this stage, it is still unclear whether we can choose $\{X_n\}_{n=0}^{\infty}$ in Theorem 5.3 so that $\{f \chi_{X_n \cup X_0}\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is Henstock-Kurzweil equi-integrable on E . Hence [13, Conjecture 3.5] remains unsolved for $m \geq 2$. If $m = 1$, then a version of Theorem 5.3 is given in [13, Theorem 3.5]. However, we have the following remark.

Remark 5.4. By using Theorem 5.3, it is not difficult to sharpen the results from the previous section. We omit the details.

References

- [1] *A. M. Bruckner, J. B. Bruckner and B. S. Thomson*: Real Analysis. Prentice-Hall, 1997.
- [2] *Z. Buczolich*: Henstock integrable functions are Lebesgue integrable on a portion. Proc. American Math. Soc. *111* (1991), 127–129.
- [3] *Claude-Alain Faure*: A descriptive definition of some multidimensional gauge integrals. Czechoslovak Math. J. *45* (1995), 549–562.
- [4] *R. A. Gordon*: The Integrals of Lebesgue, Denjoy, Perron, and Henstock. Graduate Studies in Mathematics Vol. 4. AMS, Providence, 1994.
- [5] *J. Jarník and J. Kurzweil*: Perron-type integration on n -dimensional intervals and its properties. Czechoslovak Math. J. *45* (1995), 79–106.
- [6] *W. B. Jurkat and R. W. Knizia*: A characterization of multi-dimensional Perron integrals and the fundamental theorem. Canad. J. Math. *43* (1991), 526–539.
- [7] *J. Kurzweil and J. Jarník*: Equiintegrability and controlled convergence of Perron-type integrable functions. Real Anal. Exchange *17* (1991/92), 110–139.
- [8] *J. Kurzweil and J. Jarník*: Differentiability and integrability in n dimensions with respect to α -regular intervals. Results Math. *21* (1992), 138–151.
- [9] *Lee Peng Yee and Ng Wee Leng*: The Radon-Nikodým theorem for the Henstock integral in Euclidean space. Real Anal. Exchange *22* (1996/97), 677–687.
- [10] *Lee Peng Yee and Rudolf Vjborný*: The integral, an easy approach after Kurzweil and Henstock. Australian Mathematical Society Lecture Series 14. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2000.
- [11] *Lee Tuo Yeong, Chew Tuan Seng and Lee Peng Yee*: On Henstock integrability in Euclidean spaces. Real Anal. Exchange *22* (1996/97), 382–389.
- [12] *Lee Tuo Yeong*: A full characterization of multipliers for the strong ϱ -integral in the Euclidean space. Czechoslovak Math. J. *54* (2004), 657–674.
- [13] *Lee Tuo Yeong*: The sharp Riesz-type definition for the Henstock-Kurzweil integral. Real Anal. Exchange *28* (2002/2003), 55–70.
- [14] *Lee Tuo Yeong*: A full descriptive definition of the Henstock-Kurzweil integral in the Euclidean space. Proc. London Math. Soc. *87* (2003), 677–700.
- [15] *Lee Tuo Yeong*: Some full characterizations of the strong McShane integral. Math. Bohem. *129* (2004), 305–312.
- [16] *Lu Jitan and Lee Peng Yee*: The primitives of Henstock integrable functions in Euclidean space. Bull. London Math. Soc. *31* (1999), 173–180.
- [17] *S. Saks*: Theory of the Integral, 2nd edition. Stechert & Co., New York, 1964.

Author's address: Mathematics and Mathematics Education Group, National Institute of Education, Nanyang Technological University, 1 Nanyang Walk, Singapore 637616, Republic of Singapore, e-mail: tylee@nie.edu.sg.