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Abstract. We define a linear map called a semiinvolution as a generalization of an involution, and show that any nilpotent linear endomorphism is a product of an involution and a semiinvolution. We also give a new proof for Djocović's theorem on a product of two involutions.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $V$ be an $n$-dimensional vector space over a field $k$ of any characteristic. The $k$-algebra of $k$-linear endmorphisms of $V$ is denoted by $\operatorname{End}_{k} V$, and the unit group of $\operatorname{End}_{k} V$ is $\mathrm{Aut}_{k} V$. An element $\xi \in \operatorname{Aut}_{k} V$ is called an involution if $\xi^{2}=1$, and two elements $\eta, \eta^{\prime} \in \operatorname{End}_{k} V$ are said to be similar if $\eta^{\prime}=\varrho \eta \varrho^{-1}$ for some $\varrho \in$ Aut $_{k} V$. An element $\sigma \in \operatorname{End}_{k} V$ is nilpotent if $\sigma^{n}=0$ for some integer $n \geqslant 1$.

Suppose that $V$ is a direct sum of two subspaces, say, $V=L \oplus M$. Then we shall call a linear map $\sigma=0_{L} \oplus \varrho \in \operatorname{End}_{k} V$ a semiinvolution if $0_{L} \in \operatorname{End}_{k} L$ is the zero map on $L$ and $\varrho \in \operatorname{Aut}_{k} M$ is an involution on $M$. In case that $L$ is spanned by a subset $S \subseteq V$, we may write $0_{S}$ for $0_{L}$. Also $1_{L}$ or $1_{S} \in$ Aut $_{k} L$ denotes the identity map on $L$.

Let $H$ be a subspace of $V$ having a basis $Z=\left\{x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{m}, y_{m}, \ldots, y_{2}, y_{1}\right\}$ of an even number of elements. Then an involution $\Delta_{Z} \in \operatorname{Aut}_{k} H$ is defined by

$$
x_{1} \rightleftarrows y_{1}, x_{2} \rightleftarrows y_{2}, \ldots, x_{m} \rightleftarrows y_{m} .
$$

We shall call $\Delta_{Z}$ the transpose of $Z$ or $H$. Our purpose is to prove the following two theorems, Theorems A and B.

Theorem A. For $\sigma \in \operatorname{End}_{k} V$, the following (a) and (b) are equivalent:
(a) $\sigma$ is nilpotent.
(b) $\sigma=\theta \tau$ for an involution $\tau=1_{Z_{1}} \oplus \Delta_{Z_{2}}$ and a semiinvolution $\theta=0_{Z_{0}^{\prime}} \oplus 1_{Z_{1}^{\prime}} \oplus \Delta_{Z_{2}^{\prime}}$, where $\left\{Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right\}$ and $\left\{Z_{0}^{\prime}, Z_{1}^{\prime}, Z_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$ are two bases for $V$ which satisfy the following condition (C):
(C) $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$ are expressed as

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{1} & =\left\{x_{10}, x_{20}, \ldots, x_{r 0}\right\} \\
Z_{2} & =\left\{X_{r+s}, \ldots, X_{r+1}, X_{r}, \ldots, X_{2}, X_{1}, Y_{1}, Y_{2}, \ldots, Y_{r}, Y_{r+1}, \ldots, Y_{r+s}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $X_{i}=\left\{x_{i m_{i}}, \ldots, x_{i 2}, x_{i 1}\right\}, Y_{i}=\left\{y_{i 1}, y_{i 2}, \ldots, y_{i m_{i}}\right\}$ and $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r+s$, and for which $Z_{0}^{\prime}, Z_{1}^{\prime}, Z_{2}^{\prime}$ are expressed as
(i) $Z_{0}^{\prime}=\left\{x_{i m_{i}}: 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r+s\right\}$,
i.e., the first elements of $X_{r+s}, \ldots, X_{2}, X_{1}$,
(ii) $Z_{1}^{\prime}=\left\{y_{i 1}: r+1 \leqslant i \leqslant r+s\right\}$,

$$
\text { i.e., the first elements of } Y_{r+1}, Y_{r+2}, \ldots, Y_{r+s} \text {, }
$$

and
(iii) $Z_{2}^{\prime}=\left\{X_{r+s}^{\prime}, \ldots, X_{r+1}^{\prime}, X_{r}^{\prime}, \ldots, X_{2}^{\prime}, X_{1}^{\prime}, Y_{1}^{\prime}, Y_{2}^{\prime}, \ldots, Y_{r}^{\prime}, Y_{r+1}^{\prime}, \ldots, Y_{r+s}^{\prime}\right\}$
for

$$
X_{i}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}\left\{x_{i\left(m_{i}-1\right)}, \ldots, x_{i 1}, x_{i 0}\right\} & \text { if } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r \\ \left\{x_{i\left(m_{i}-1\right)}, \ldots, x_{i 1}\right\} & \text { if } r+1 \leqslant i \leqslant r+s\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
Y_{i}^{\prime}= \begin{cases}\left\{y_{i 1}, y_{i 2}, \ldots, y_{i m_{i}}\right\} & \text { if } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r \\ \left\{y_{i 2}, y_{i 3}, \ldots, y_{i m_{i}}\right\} & \text { if } r+1 \leqslant i \leqslant r+s\end{cases}
$$

Remark 1. Write $n_{i}=2 m_{i}+1$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$ and $n_{i}=2 m_{i}$ for $r+1 \leqslant i \leqslant r+s$. By a rearrangement of $\left\{m_{i}\right\}$ we may assume that $n_{1} \geqslant n_{2} \geqslant \ldots \geqslant n_{t}$ for $t=r+s$. Then, by the definition of $\tau_{i}$ and $\theta_{i}$ in the proof for Theorem A, we shall see that $\left\{n_{i}\right\}$ are the invariants of $\sigma$. Thus, the involution $\tau$ and the semiinvolution $\theta$ in Theorem A are unique for $\sigma$ up to similarity. Further, as we see in Theorem A, the relationship between $\tau$ and $\theta$ is given by the condition (C), more precisely $\tau$ determines $\theta$.

Remark 2. $\tau$ is expressed in the basis $\left\{Z_{1}, Z_{2}\right\}$ for $V$ as

$$
\left(\begin{array}{llllll}
1 & & & & & \\
& \ddots & & & & \\
& & 1 & & & \\
& & & & & 1 \\
& & & & . & \\
& & & 1 & &
\end{array}\right)
$$

and $\theta$ is expressed in the basis $\left\{Z_{0}^{\prime}, Z_{1}^{\prime}, Z_{2}^{\prime}\right\}$ for $V$ as

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & & & & & & & & \\
& \ddots & & & & & & & \\
& & 0 & & & & & \\
& & & 1 & & & & & \\
& & & & \ddots & & & & \\
& & & & & 1 & & & \\
& & & & & & & & 1 \\
& & & & & & & . & \\
& & & & & & 1 & &
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Theorem B. Any $\sigma \in \operatorname{Aut}_{k} V$ is a product of two involutions $\tau, \theta$ if and only if $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{-1}$ are similar.

Djocović' [1] proved Theorem B by applying the uniqueness of the elementary divisors, whereas we will do it by using the uniqueness of the system of invariants. As a result the proof will be shorter.

## 2. Proof of Theorem A

(I) $(\mathrm{a}) \Rightarrow(\mathrm{b})$ : We start our proof from the following well-known result on nilpotent linear endomorphisms of $V$.

Since $\sigma \in \operatorname{End}_{k} V$ is nilpotent, we may express $V$ and $\sigma$ as

$$
V=V_{1} \oplus V_{2} \oplus \ldots \oplus V_{t}, \quad V_{i}=k v_{i 1} \oplus k v_{i 2} \oplus \ldots \oplus k v_{i n_{i}} \quad \text { for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant t
$$

and

$$
\sigma=\sigma_{1} \oplus \sigma_{2} \oplus \ldots \oplus \sigma_{t}, \quad \sigma_{i}: v_{i 1} \rightarrow v_{i 2} \rightarrow \ldots \rightarrow v_{i n_{i}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant t
$$

where

$$
\sigma_{i}=\left.\sigma\right|_{V_{i}} \in \operatorname{End}_{k} V_{i}
$$

(see for example Herstein [5, Theorem 6.5.1]).
By the above result, for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant t$, if we define $\tau_{i}, \theta_{i} \in \operatorname{End}_{k} V_{i}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{i}: v_{i j} \rightarrow v_{i\left(n_{i}-j+1\right)} \quad \text { for } 1 \leqslant j \leqslant n_{i}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{i}=v_{i 1} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { and } \quad v_{i j} \rightarrow v_{i\left(n_{i}-j+2\right)} \quad \text { for } 2 \leqslant j \leqslant n_{i}, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{i}=\theta_{i} \tau_{i} \quad \text { for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant t, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma=\theta_{1} \tau_{1} \oplus \theta_{2} \tau_{2} \oplus \ldots \oplus \theta_{t} \tau_{t}=\left(\theta_{1} \oplus \theta_{2} \oplus \ldots \theta_{t}\right)\left(\tau_{1} \oplus \tau_{2} \oplus \ldots \oplus \tau_{t}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To construct an involution $\tau$ and a semiinvolution $\theta$ as in the theorem, we will rearrange the basis elements $\left\{v_{i j}\right\}$ for $V$. To do so we will renumber the suffixes of the subspaces $\left\{V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{t}\right\}$ so that their dimensions $\left\{n_{1}, n_{2}, \ldots, n_{r}\right\}$ are all odd numbers with $n_{1} \geqslant n_{2} \geqslant \ldots \geqslant n_{r}$, and $\left\{n_{r+1}, n_{r+2}, \ldots, n_{r+s}\right\}$ are all even with $n_{r+1} \geqslant n_{r+2} \geqslant \ldots \geqslant n_{r+s}$ and $t=r+s$. Moreover, we rewrite the basis elements in $S_{i}=\left\{v_{i 1}, v_{i 2}, \ldots, v_{i n_{i}}\right\}$ for $V_{i}$ as

$$
S_{i}= \begin{cases}\left\{x_{i m_{i}}, \ldots, x_{i 2}, x_{i 1}, x_{i 0}, y_{i 1}, y_{i 2}, \ldots, y_{i m_{i}}\right\} & \text { for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r  \tag{5}\\ \left\{x_{i m_{i}}, \ldots, x_{i 2}, x_{i 1}, y_{i 1}, y_{i 2}, \ldots, y_{i m_{i}}\right\} & \text { for } r+1 \leqslant i \leqslant r+s,\end{cases}
$$

where $n_{i}=2 m_{i}+1$ for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$, and $2 m_{i}$ for $r+1 \leqslant i \leqslant r+s$.
This is equivalent to saying that for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r+s$, setting

$$
X_{i}=\left\{x_{i m_{i}}, \ldots, x_{i 2}, x_{i 1}\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad Y_{i}=\left\{y_{i 1}, y_{i 2}, \ldots, y_{i m_{i}}\right\}
$$

we then have

$$
S_{i}=\left\{X_{i}, x_{i 0}, Y_{i}\right\} \text { for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r, \quad \text { and } \quad S_{i}=\left\{X_{i}, Y_{i}\right\} \text { for } r+1 \leqslant i \leqslant r+s
$$

Hence, if we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{1} & =\left\{x_{10}, x_{20}, \ldots, x_{r 0}\right\} \\
Z_{2} & =\left\{X_{r+s}, \ldots, X_{r+1}, X_{r}, \ldots, X_{1}, Y_{1}, \ldots, Y_{r}, Y_{r+1}, \ldots, Y_{r+s}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\tau=1_{Z_{1}} \oplus \Delta_{Z_{2}}
$$

then by (1) we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau=\tau_{1} \oplus \tau_{2} \oplus \ldots \oplus \tau_{t} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, setting

$$
X_{i}^{\prime}=\left\{x_{i\left(m_{i}-1\right)}, \ldots, x_{i 0}\right\}, \quad Y_{i}^{\prime}=\left\{y_{i 1}, \ldots, y_{i m_{i}}\right\} \quad \text { for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r
$$

and

$$
X_{i}^{\prime}=\left\{x_{i\left(m_{i}-1\right)}, \ldots, x_{i 1}\right\}, \quad Y_{i}^{\prime}=\left\{y_{i 2}, \ldots, y_{i m_{i}}\right\} \quad \text { for } r+1 \leqslant i \leqslant r+s
$$

we get

$$
S_{i}=\left\{x_{i m_{i}}, X_{i}^{\prime}, Y_{i}^{\prime}\right\} \text { for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r, \quad \text { and } \quad\left\{x_{i m_{i}}, X_{i}^{\prime}, y_{i 1}, Y_{i}^{\prime}\right\} \text { for } r+1 \leqslant i \leqslant r+s
$$

Therefore, if we define

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z_{0}^{\prime} & =\left\{x_{1 m_{1}}, x_{2 m_{2}}, \ldots, x_{(r+s) m_{(r+s)}}\right\} \\
Z_{1}^{\prime} & =\left\{y_{(r+1) 1}, y_{(r+2) 1}, \ldots, y_{(r+s) 1}\right\} \\
Z_{2}^{\prime} & =\left\{X_{r+s}^{\prime}, \ldots, X_{r+1}^{\prime}, X_{r}^{\prime}, \ldots, X_{1}^{\prime}, Y_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, Y_{r}^{\prime}, Y_{r+1}^{\prime}, \ldots, Y_{r+s}^{\prime}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=0_{Z_{0}^{\prime}} \oplus 1_{Z_{1}^{\prime}} \oplus \Delta_{Z_{2}^{\prime}} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=\theta_{1} \oplus \theta_{2} \oplus \ldots \oplus \theta_{t} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows that $\sigma=\theta \tau$ by (4), which gives us (b).
(II) (b) $\Rightarrow$ (a): By the definition of $\tau$ and $\theta$, we have for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r+s$,
$\sigma^{m_{i}-1}:$



Further for $1 \leqslant i \leqslant r$,
(11)
$\sigma^{m_{i}+2}$ :

and for $r+1 \leqslant i \leqslant r+s$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{m_{i}+1}: \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$



Therefore,

$$
\sigma^{2 m_{i}+1}\left\{X_{i}, x_{i 0}, Y_{i}\right\}=0 \quad \text { for } 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r
$$

and

$$
\sigma^{2 m_{i}}\left\{X_{i}, Y_{i}\right\}=0 \quad \text { for } r+1 \leqslant i \leqslant r+s
$$

Hence, for $l=\max \left\{\left\{2 m_{i}+1: 1 \leqslant i \leqslant r\right\} \cup\left\{2 m_{i}: r+1 \leqslant i \leqslant r+s\right\}\right\}$, we conclude that $\sigma^{l} V=0$. Thus $\sigma$ is nilpotent and we have proved Theorem A.

## 3. Proof of Theorem B

If $\sigma=\tau \theta$ with $\tau^{2}=\theta^{2}=1$, then $\sigma^{-1}=\theta \tau=\theta \tau \theta \theta^{-1}=\theta \sigma \theta^{-1}$ is similar to $\sigma$. So, all what we have to do is to show the converse.

Let $k[x]$ be the polynomial ring in $x$ over $k$. Then, since the correspondence

$$
\pi_{\sigma}: k[x] \longrightarrow \operatorname{End}_{k} V
$$

defined by $\pi_{\sigma}(f(x))(v)=f(\sigma)(v)$ for $v \in V$ and $f(x) \in k[x]$ is a ring homomorphism, if we define $f(x) v=f(\sigma)(v), V$ is endowed a module structure over the principal ideal domain $k[x]$. In particular, since $\operatorname{dim} V<\infty, V$ is a finitely generated torsion $k[x]$-module. Therefore by [10, XIV, Theorem 2.1, p. 557] there is a finite number of monic polynomials $f_{1}(x), f_{2}(x), \ldots, f_{n}(x)$ in $k[x]$ such that

$$
V \simeq k[x] /\left(f_{1}(x)\right) \oplus \ldots \oplus k[x] /\left(f_{n}(x)\right) \quad \text { with } \quad f_{1}|\ldots| f_{n}
$$

as $k[x]$-modules. Further the sequence of ideals $\left(f_{1}\right), \ldots,\left(f_{n}\right)$ is an invariant for $V$ and $\pi_{\sigma}$, which is called the system of invariants.

Since $k[x] /\left(f_{i}(x)\right)=k[x]\left(1+\left(f_{i}(x)\right)\right)$ is a cyclic $k[x]$-submodule generated by one element $1+\left(f_{i}(x)\right)$, if we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{i}(x)=a_{i 0}+a_{i 1} x+\ldots+a_{i\left(m_{i}-1\right)} x^{m_{i}-1}+x^{m_{i}}, \quad a_{i j} \in k \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, we will find $n$ elements $v_{1}, v_{2}, \ldots, v_{n} \in V$ which satisfy for $i=$ $1,2, \ldots, n$,
(i) $V=V_{1} \oplus V_{2} \oplus \ldots \oplus V_{n}$ where $V_{i}=k v_{i} \oplus k \sigma v_{i} \oplus \ldots \oplus k \sigma^{m_{i}-1} v_{i} \simeq k[x] /\left(f_{i}(x)\right)$,
(ii) $\sigma=\sigma_{1} \oplus \sigma_{2} \oplus \ldots \oplus \sigma_{n}, \sigma_{i}=\left.\sigma\right|_{V_{i}}$, and
(iii) $f_{i}(x)$ is the minimal polynomial of $\sigma_{i}$.

Here we note that $\sigma_{i} \in \operatorname{Aut}_{k} V_{i}$, or equivalently $a_{i 0} \neq 0$, since $\sigma \in$ Aut $_{k} V$. This implies that for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$

$$
\begin{gathered}
V_{i}=\left(\sigma_{i}^{-1}\right)^{m_{i}-1} V_{i}=k v_{i} \oplus k \sigma_{i}^{-1} v_{i} \oplus \ldots \oplus k\left(\sigma_{i}^{-1}\right)^{m_{i}-1} v_{i} \\
\sigma^{-1}=\sigma_{1}^{-1} \oplus \sigma_{2}^{-1} \oplus \ldots \oplus \sigma_{n}^{-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{i}(x) & =a_{i 0}^{-1} x^{m_{i}} f_{i}\left(x^{-1}\right)  \tag{2}\\
& =a_{i 0}^{-1}+a_{i 0}^{-1} a_{i\left(m_{i}-1\right)} x+\ldots+a_{i 0}^{-1} a_{i 1} x^{m_{i}-1}+x^{m_{i}}
\end{align*}
$$

is the minimal polynomial of $\sigma_{i}^{-1}$. Accordingly if we give $V$ another $k[x]$-module structure by a ring homomophism

$$
\pi_{\sigma^{-1}}: k[x] \longrightarrow \operatorname{End}_{k} V \quad \text { defined by } \quad \pi_{\sigma^{-1}}(f(x))(v)=f\left(\sigma^{-1}\right)(v)
$$

and write it $V^{\prime}$ for $V$, we have

$$
V^{\prime} \simeq k[x] /\left(g_{1}(x)\right) \oplus \ldots \oplus k[x] /\left(g_{n}(x)\right) \quad \text { with } \quad g_{1}|\ldots| g_{n}
$$

As for $g_{i} \mid g_{i+1}$, since $f_{i} \mid f_{i+1}$, if we set $f_{i+1}=f_{i} h_{i}$ with $m_{i}=\operatorname{dim} f_{i}$ and $r_{i}=\operatorname{dim} h_{i}$, we get $g_{i+1}(x)=g_{i}(x) q_{i}(x)$ for $q_{i}(x)=h_{i}(0)^{-1} x_{i}^{r_{i}} h_{i}\left(x^{-1}\right) \in k[x]$. Hence $g_{1}|\ldots| g_{n}$.

On the other hand, since $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{-1}$ are similar, we have $\sigma^{-1}=\varrho \sigma \varrho^{-1}$ for some $\varrho \in \operatorname{Aut}_{k} V$. Hence $\varrho \pi_{\sigma}(f(x))(v)=\varrho f(\sigma)(v)=\pi_{\sigma^{-1}}(f(x)) \varrho(v)$, since $\sigma^{-1} \varrho=\varrho \sigma$. This shows that $\varrho$ is a $k[x]$-module isomorphism of $V$ to $V^{\prime}$. Therefore the uniqueness of the system of invariants gives us $\left(f_{i}\right)=\left(g_{i}\right)$ and so $f_{i}=g_{i}$, since they are monic. Thus (1), (2) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{i 0}=a_{i 0}^{-1}, \quad a_{i j}=a_{i 0}^{-1} a_{i\left(m_{i}-j\right)} \quad \text { for } j=1,2, \ldots, m_{i}-1 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, we define $\tau_{i}, \theta_{i} \in \operatorname{Aut}_{k} V_{i}$ by

$$
\begin{array}{cl}
\tau_{i}: \sigma_{i}^{j} v_{i} \longrightarrow \sigma_{i}^{m_{j}-j-1} v_{i} & \text { for } 0 \leqslant j \leqslant m_{i}-1 \\
\theta_{i}: \sigma_{i}^{j} v_{i} \longrightarrow \sigma_{i}^{m_{i}-j} v_{i} & \text { for } 0 \leqslant j \leqslant m_{i}-1
\end{array}
$$

Then, for $i=1,2, \ldots, n$, we have

$$
\sigma_{i}=\theta_{i} \tau_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad \tau_{i}^{2}=1 \text { on } V_{i}, \quad \text { and } \quad \theta_{i}^{2}=1 \text { on }\left\{\sigma_{i} v_{i}, \ldots, \sigma_{i}^{m_{i}-1} v_{i}\right\}
$$

However, using (3), an easy calculation gives us $\theta_{i}^{2} v_{i}=v_{i}$ and so $\theta_{i}^{2}=1$ on $V_{i}$.
Thus, setting

$$
\tau=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \tau_{i} \quad \text { and } \quad \theta=\bigoplus_{i=1}^{n} \theta_{i}
$$

we obtain $\sigma=\tau \theta$ and $\tau^{2}=\theta^{2}=1$, which completes the proof of Theorem B.
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