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## 1. Introduction

Recently, there has been a great deal of interest in the algebraic system called "max-algebra" (see [3] and [4]). This system allows one to express, in a linear fashion, phenomena that are nonlinear in the conventional algebra. It has applications in many diverse areas such as parallel computation, transportation networks and scheduling.

The max algebra consists of the set $\mathbb{R}_{\max }$, where $\mathbb{R}_{\max }$ is the set of nonnegative real numbers equipped with two binary operations, denoted by $\oplus$ and $\cdot$, respectively. The operations are defined as follows:

$$
a \oplus b=\max (a, b) \quad \text { and } \quad a \cdot b=a b .
$$

That is, their sum is the maximum of $a$ and $b$ and their product is the usual product.
Our interest will be in describing the invertible commutativity preserver of matrices over this max algebra.

For a set $\mathscr{S}$ we denote by $\mathscr{M}_{n}(\mathscr{S})$ the set of $n \times n$ matrices over $\mathscr{S}$. The set of commuting pairs of matrices, $\mathscr{C}$, is the set of (unordered) pairs of matrices $(A, B)$ such that $A B=B A$. A linear operator $T$ on $\mathscr{M}_{n}(\mathscr{S})$ is said to preserve $\mathscr{C}$ (or simply $T$ preserves commutativity) whenever $T(A) T(B)=T(B) T(A)$ if $A B=B A$.

Watkins [5] showed that if $n \geqslant 4$ and $\mathscr{S}$ is an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 , and $L$ is a nonsingular linear operator on $\mathscr{M}_{n}(\mathscr{S})$ which preserves commutativity, then there exist an invertible matrix $U$, a nonzero scalar $\alpha$ and a linear functional $f: \mathscr{M}_{n}(\mathscr{S}) \rightarrow \mathscr{S}$ such that either

1. $T(X)=\alpha U X U^{-1}+f(X) I_{n}$ for all $X \in \mathscr{M}_{n}(\mathscr{S})$, or
2. $T(X)=\alpha U X^{t} U^{-1}+f(X) I_{n}$ for all $X \in \mathscr{M}_{n}(\mathscr{S})$,
where $X^{t}$ denotes the transpose of $X$. In [1] Beasley extended this result to $n=3$. In [2] Beasley and Pullman characterized the linear operators that preserve commutativity over subsemirings of a fuzzy semiring.

In this article we investigate the set of invertible linear operators on $\mathscr{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\max }\right)$ which preserve commuting pairs of matrices, where $\mathbb{S}_{\text {max }}$ is a subalgebra of the max algebra $\mathbb{R}_{\text {max }}$.

## 2. Preliminaries

The max algebra $\mathbb{R}_{\max }$ is the set of nonnegative real numbers equipped with two binary operations $\oplus$ and $\cdot$. Throughout this paper, $\mathbb{S}_{\max }$ denotes a subalgebra of $\mathbb{R}_{\max }$ such as $\mathbb{R}_{\max }, \mathbb{Q}_{\max }, \mathbb{Z}_{\max }, \mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]_{\text {max }}$, etc., where $\mathbb{Q}$ denotes the rational numbers, $\mathbb{Z}$ the integers and $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]$ the set of all values of the form $x \sqrt{2}+y$ with $x, y \in \mathbb{Z}$. In the corresponding subalgebras $\mathbb{S}_{\text {max }}$, only the nonnegative values are considered.

For a nonzero element $a \in \mathbb{S}_{\text {max }}, a$ is called a unit if there exists a nonzero element $b \in \mathbb{S}_{\max }$ which is denoted $b=a^{-1}$, such that $a b=1$. Thus, all nonzero elements of $\mathbb{R}_{\text {max }}$ and $\mathbb{Q}_{\max }$ are units, while $\mathbb{Z}_{\max }$ has only the unit element 1 . We remark that there are various unit elements in $\mathbb{Z}[\sqrt{2}]_{\text {max }}$, such as $1, \sqrt{2}+1, \sqrt{2}-1,5 \sqrt{2}+7,5 \sqrt{2}-7$ and others, which follows from equalities like $1=(\sqrt{2}+1)(\sqrt{2}-1), 1=(5 \sqrt{2}+$ 7) $(5 \sqrt{2}-7), 1=(29 \sqrt{2}+41)(29 \sqrt{2}-41), 1=(169 \sqrt{2}+239)(169 \sqrt{2}-239), \ldots$.

If $A=\left[a_{i, j}\right]$ and $B=\left[b_{i, j}\right]$ are matrices in $\mathscr{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\text {max }}\right)$, then the sum of $A$ and $B$ is denoted by $A \oplus B$, which is the matrix with $a_{i, j} \oplus b_{i, j}$ as its $(i, j)$ th entry. If $c \in \mathbb{S}_{\text {max }}$, then $c A$ is the matrix $\left[c a_{i, j}\right]$. The product of $A$ and $B$ is denoted by $A \otimes B$, which is the matrix with $\max _{r}\left\{a_{i, r} b_{r, j}\right\}$ as its $(i, j)$ th entry. The identity matrix of order $n$ is denoted by $I_{n}$.

The following example shows that there is no relation between commuting pairs over max algebra and those over nonnegative reals.

Example 2.1. Let

$$
A=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 2  \tag{2.1}\\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad B=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 2 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then we have $A B=B A$ over $\mathbb{R}_{+}$, but $A \otimes B \neq B \otimes A$ over $\mathbb{R}_{\max }$.
Let

$$
C=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
2 & 4  \tag{2.2}\\
1 & 2
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad D=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 1 \\
\frac{1}{2} & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Then we have $C D \neq D C$ over $\mathbb{R}_{+}$, but $C \otimes D=D \otimes C$ over $\mathbb{R}_{\max }$.
For a matrix $A \in \mathscr{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\text {max }}\right), A$ is called invertible if there exists a matrix $B \in$ $\mathscr{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\max }\right)$, denoted by $B=A^{-1}$, such that $A \otimes B=B \otimes A=I_{n}$. It is well known [4] that a matrix $A$ in $\mathscr{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\max }\right)$ is invertible if and only if $A=P \otimes D$, where $P$ is a permutation matrix and $D$ is a diagonal matrix, and all the diagonal entries of $D$ are units in $\mathbb{S}_{\text {max }}$.

Evidently, the following operations preserve the set of commuting pairs of matrices over $\mathbb{S}_{\text {max }}$ :
(a) transposition $\left(X \rightarrow X^{t}\right)$;
(b) similarity $\left(X \rightarrow S \otimes X \otimes S^{-1}\right.$ for a fixed invertible matrix $S$ ).

In Theorem 3.2 we show that the semigroup of invertible linear operators preserving commuting pairs of matrices is generated by transpositions and similarities over a subalgebra of max algebra.

## 3. Invertible commutativity preservers of matrices over $\mathbb{S}_{\max }$

A mapping $T: \mathscr{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\max }\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\max }\right)$ is called a linear operator if $T(\alpha A \oplus \beta B)=$ $\alpha T(A) \oplus \beta T(B)$ for all $A, B \in \mathscr{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\max }\right)$ and for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{S}_{\max }$. An operator $T$ on $\mathscr{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\max }\right)$ is called invertible if it is surjective and injective. It can be shown by standard arguments that if $T$ is linear, then the inverse operator $T^{-1}$ is also linear.

In this section we characterize the invertible linear operators that preserve commuting pairs of matrices over $\mathbb{S}_{\text {max }}$.

Let $\Delta_{n}=\{(i, j) ; 1 \leqslant i, j \leqslant n\}$. Then for any $(i, j) \in \Delta_{n}, E_{i, j}$ denotes the $n \times n$ matrix whose $(i, j)$ th entry is 1 and all the other entries are 0 . We call $E_{i, j}$ a cell.

For $A=\left[a_{i, j}\right]$ and $B=\left[b_{i, j}\right]$ in $\mathscr{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\max }\right), A$ dominates $B$, denoted by $A \sqsupseteq B$ or $B \sqsubseteq A$, if $b_{i, j} \neq 0$ implies $a_{i, j} \neq 0$. It follows that if $A, B, C, D \in \mathscr{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\max }\right)$ with $A \sqsubseteq B$ and $C \sqsubseteq D$, then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \oplus C \sqsubseteq B \oplus D \quad \text { and } \quad \alpha C \sqsubseteq \beta D \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{S}_{\max }$ with $\beta \neq 0$.

Let $T$ be a linear operator on $\mathscr{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\max }\right)$. Then for any $A=\left[a_{i, j}\right]=\bigoplus_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i, j} E_{i, j} \in$ $\mathscr{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\text {max }}\right)$ we have

$$
T(A)=T\left(\bigoplus_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i, j} E_{i, j}\right)=\bigoplus_{i, j=1}^{n} T\left(a_{i, j} E_{i, j}\right)=\bigoplus_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i, j} T\left(E_{i, j}\right)
$$

by the linearity of $T$. If $A=\left[a_{i, j}\right]$ and $B=\left[b_{i, j}\right]$ are in $\mathscr{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\max }\right)$ with $A \sqsubseteq B$, then we have $T(A) \sqsubseteq T(B)$ because

$$
T(A)=\bigoplus_{i, j=1}^{n} a_{i, j} T\left(E_{i, j}\right) \sqsubseteq \bigoplus_{i, j=1}^{n} b_{i, j} T\left(E_{i, j}\right)=T(B)
$$

by (3.1) for any linear operator $T$ on $\mathscr{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\max }\right)$.
Lemma 3.1. For a linear operator $T$ on $\mathscr{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\max }\right), T$ is invertible if and only if there exist a permutation $\theta$ on $\Delta_{n}$ and units $b_{i, j} \in \mathbb{S}_{\max } \operatorname{such}$ that $T\left(E_{i, j}\right)=b_{i, j} E_{\theta(i, j)}$ for all $(i, j) \in \Delta_{n}$.

Proof. Suppose that $T$ is invertible on $\mathscr{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\max }\right)$. Let $E_{r, s}$ be an arbitrary cell in $\mathscr{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\text {max }}\right)$. Since $T$ is surjective, there exists a nonzero matrix $X=\left[x_{i, j}\right] \in$ $\mathscr{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\max }\right)$ such that $T(X)=E_{r, s}$. Since $T$ is linear, it follows that there exists $x_{i, j} \neq 0$ such that $T\left(E_{i, j}\right) \sqsubseteq E_{r, s}$. This shows that $T\left(E_{i, j}\right)=b_{i, j} E_{r, s}$ for some nonzero $b_{i, j} \in \mathbb{S}_{\text {max }}$. Let

$$
C_{r, s}=\left\{E_{i, j} ; T\left(E_{i, j}\right)=b_{i, j} E_{r, s} \quad \text { for some nonzero } \quad b_{i, j} \in \mathbb{S}_{\max }\right\} .
$$

By the above, $C_{r, s} \neq \emptyset$ for all $(r, s) \in \Delta_{n}$. Suppose that $T\left(E_{k, l}\right)=b_{k, l} E_{r, s}$ for a cell $E_{k, l}$ different from $E_{i, j}$ and for some $b_{k, l} \neq 0$. Then we have

$$
T\left(b_{k, l} E_{i, j}\right)=b_{k, l} T\left(E_{i, j}\right)=b_{k, l} b_{i, j} E_{r, s}=b_{i, j} T\left(E_{k, l}\right)=T\left(b_{i, j} E_{k, l}\right)
$$

a contradiction to the fact that $T$ is injective. Hence $C_{r, s}$ is a singleton set for all $(r, s) \in \Delta_{n}$. Therefore, there exists a permutation $\theta$ on $\Delta_{n}$ such that $T\left(E_{i, j}\right)=$ $b_{i, j} E_{\theta(i, j)}$ for some nonzero $b_{i, j} \in \mathbb{S}_{\max }$. It remains to show that all $b_{i, j}$ are units. Since $T$ is surjective and $T\left(E_{r, s}\right) \nsubseteq E_{\theta(i, j)}$ for $(r, s) \neq(i, j)$, there exists a nonzero $c \in \mathbb{S}_{\max }$ such that $T\left(c E_{i, j}\right)=E_{\theta(i, j)}$. By the linearity of $T$, we have

$$
T\left(c E_{i, j}\right)=c T\left(E_{i, j}\right)=c b_{i, j} E_{\theta(i, j)}=E_{\theta(i, j)} .
$$

That is, $c b_{i, j}=1$ and hence $b_{i, j}$ is a unit.
The converse is immediate.

Lemma 3.2. Let $A$ be a matrix in $\mathscr{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\max }\right)$ such that $A \otimes X=X \otimes A$ for all $X \in \mathscr{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\max }\right)$. Then $A=\alpha I_{n}$ for some scalar $\alpha \in \mathbb{S}_{\max }$.

Proof. Let $A=\left[a_{i, j}\right]$. Now, we will show that $a_{i, j}=0$ for all $i, j=1, \ldots, n$ with $i \neq j$. Consider a cell $E_{j, i}$ with $i \neq j$. Then the $(i, i)$ th entries of $A \otimes E_{j, i}$ and $E_{j, i} \otimes A$ are $a_{i, j}$ and 0 , respectively. It follows from $A \otimes E_{j, i}=E_{j, i} \otimes A$ that $a_{i, j}=0$ for all $i, j=1, \ldots, n$ with $i \neq j$. Let $a_{i, i}$ be any diagonal entry of $A$. Then the $(1, i)$ th entries of $A \otimes\left(E_{1, i} \oplus E_{i, 1}\right)$ and $\left(E_{1, i} \oplus E_{i, 1}\right) \otimes A$ are $a_{1,1}$ and $a_{i, i}$, respectively, and hence $a_{i, i}=a_{1,1}$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$ because $A \otimes\left(E_{1, i} \oplus E_{i, 1}\right)=\left(E_{1, i} \oplus E_{i, 1}\right) \otimes A$. Thus, we have $A=\alpha I_{n}$ for $\alpha=a_{1,1}$.

The $S$ chur (or Hadamard) product, $A \circ B$, of $A=\left[a_{i, j}\right]$ and $B=\left[b_{i, j}\right]$ in $\mathscr{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\max }\right)$ is the matrix $\left[a_{i, j} b_{i, j}\right]$. This notation will be used in the proof of the next theorem.

Theorem 3.3. Let $T$ be a linear operator on $\mathscr{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\max }\right)$. Then $T$ is an invertible linear operator which preserves pairs of commuting matrices if and only if there exist a unit $\alpha \in \mathbb{S}_{\max }$ and an invertible matrix $U \in \mathscr{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\max }\right)$ such that either
(1) $T(X)=\alpha U \otimes X \otimes U^{-1}$ for all $X \in \mathscr{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\max }\right)$, or
(2) $T(X)=\alpha U \otimes X^{t} \otimes U^{-1}$ for all $X \in \mathscr{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\max }\right)$.

Proof. Let $T$ be an invertible linear operator which preserves pairs of commuting matrices over $\mathbb{S}_{\max }$. Since $I_{n} \otimes X=X \otimes I_{n}$ for all $X \in \mathscr{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\text {max }}\right)$, we have $T\left(I_{n}\right) \otimes T(X)=T(X) \otimes T\left(I_{n}\right)$ for all $X \in \mathscr{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\text {max }}\right)$ because $T$ preserves pairs of commuting matrices. Let $Y$ be an arbitrary matrix in $\mathscr{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\max }\right)$. Since $T$ is surjective, $Y=T(X)$ for some $X \in \mathscr{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\max }\right)$. Thus, we have that $T\left(I_{n}\right) \otimes Y=Y \otimes T\left(I_{n}\right)$ for all $Y \in \mathscr{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\max }\right)$. By Lemma 3.2, $T\left(I_{n}\right)=\alpha I_{n}$ for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{S}_{\text {max }}$. Furthermore, there exists a matrix $C$ in $\mathscr{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\max }\right)$ such that $T(C)=I_{n}$ (equivalently, $\left.T(\alpha C)=\alpha I_{n}\right)$. Since $T$ is injective, $\alpha C=I_{n}$. That is, $\alpha$ is a unit.

Since $T$ is invertible, there exist a permutation $\theta$ on $\Delta_{n}$ and units $b_{i, j}$ in $\mathbb{S}_{\max }$ such that $T\left(E_{i, j}\right)=b_{i, j} E_{\theta(i, j)}$ for all $(i, j) \in \Delta_{n}$ by Lemma 3.1. It follows from $T\left(I_{n}\right)=$ $\alpha I_{n}$ that there is a permutation $\sigma$ of $\{1, \ldots, n\}$ such that $T\left(E_{i, i}\right)=\alpha E_{\sigma(i), \sigma(i)}$ for each $i=1, \ldots, n$. Define $L: \mathscr{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\max }\right) \rightarrow \mathscr{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\max }\right)$ by $L(X)=P \otimes T(X) \otimes P^{t}$, where $P$ is the permutation matrix corresponding to $\sigma$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left(E_{i, i}\right)=\alpha E_{i, i} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $i=1, \ldots, n$. Then we can easily show that $L$ is an invertible linear operator on $\mathscr{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\max }\right)$ which preserves pairs of commuting matrices. By Lemma 3.1, for any $(r, s) \in \Delta_{n}$ there exist $(p, q) \in \Delta_{n}$ and a unit $m_{r, s} \in \mathbb{S}_{\max }$ such that $L\left(E_{r, s}\right)=$ $m_{r, s} E_{p, q}$.

Suppose that $r \neq s$. Since $L$ is injective, we have $p \neq q$ because $L\left(E_{i, i}\right)=\alpha E_{i, i}$ for each $i=1, \ldots, n$. Assume that $p \neq r$ and $p \neq s$. Then

$$
E_{r, s} \otimes\left(E_{r, r} \oplus E_{s, s} \oplus E_{p, p}\right)=\left(E_{r, r} \oplus E_{s, s} \oplus E_{p, p}\right) \otimes E_{r, s}
$$

so that

$$
L\left(E_{r, s}\right) \otimes L\left(E_{r, r} \oplus E_{s, s} \oplus E_{p, p}\right)=L\left(E_{r, r} \oplus E_{s, s} \oplus E_{p, p}\right) \otimes L\left(E_{r, s}\right)
$$

or

$$
\left(m_{r, s} E_{p, q}\right) \otimes\left(\alpha E_{r, r} \oplus \alpha E_{s, s} \oplus \alpha E_{p, p}\right)=\left(\alpha E_{r, r} \oplus \alpha E_{s, s} \oplus \alpha E_{p, p}\right) \otimes\left(m_{r, s} E_{p, q}\right)
$$

equivalently

$$
E_{p, q} \otimes\left(E_{r, r} \oplus E_{s, s} \oplus E_{p, p}\right)=\left(E_{r, r} \oplus E_{s, s} \oplus E_{p, p}\right) \otimes E_{p, q}
$$

It follows that $q=r$ or $q=s$. Since $E_{r, s} \otimes\left(E_{r, r} \oplus E_{s, s}\right)=\left(E_{r, r} \oplus E_{s, s}\right) \otimes E_{r, s}$, we have

$$
L\left(E_{r, s}\right) \otimes L\left(E_{r, r} \oplus E_{s, s}\right)=L\left(E_{r, r} \oplus E_{s, s}\right) \otimes L\left(E_{r, s}\right)
$$

equivalently

$$
E_{p, q} \otimes\left(E_{r, r} \oplus E_{s, s}\right)=\left(E_{r, r} \oplus E_{s, s}\right) \otimes E_{p, q}
$$

Since $q=r$ or $q=s$, we have $E_{p, q} \otimes\left(E_{r, r} \oplus E_{s, s}\right)=E_{p, r}$ or $E_{p, s}$, but $\left(E_{r, r} \oplus E_{s, s}\right) \otimes$ $E_{p, q}=0$, a contradiction. Hence we have $p=r$ or $p=s$. Similarly we obtain $q=r$ or $q=s$. Therefore, for each $(r, s) \in \Delta_{n}$ there exists a unit $m_{r, s} \in \mathbb{S}_{\max }$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left(E_{r, s}\right)=m_{r, s} E_{r, s} \quad \text { or } \quad L\left(E_{r, s}\right)=m_{r, s} E_{s, r} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $L\left(E_{r, s}\right)=m_{r, s} E_{r, s}$ for some fixed $(r, s) \in \Delta_{n}$ with $r \neq s$. Suppose that $L\left(E_{r, t}\right)=m_{r, t} E_{t, r}$ for some $t \neq r, s$. By (3.3), we have

$$
L\left(E_{s, t} \oplus E_{t, s}\right)=\mu E_{s, t} \oplus \xi E_{t, s}
$$

for some units $\mu$ and $\xi$, where $\{\mu, \xi\}=\left\{m_{s, t}, m_{t, s}\right\}$. Let $A=E_{r, r} \oplus E_{s, t} \oplus E_{t, s}$ so that $L(A)=\alpha E_{r, r} \oplus \mu E_{s, t} \oplus \xi E_{t, s}$. Then $\left(E_{r, s} \oplus E_{r, t}\right) \otimes A=A \otimes\left(E_{r, s} \oplus E_{r, t}\right)$, and hence

$$
L\left(E_{r, s} \oplus E_{r, t}\right) \otimes L(A)=L(A) \otimes L\left(E_{r, s} \oplus E_{r, t}\right)
$$

But

$$
L\left(E_{r, s} \oplus E_{r, t}\right) \otimes L(A)=m_{r, s} \mu E_{r, t} \oplus m_{r, t} \alpha E_{t, r}
$$

while

$$
L(A) \otimes L\left(E_{r, s} \oplus E_{r, t}\right)=\alpha m_{r, s} E_{r, s} \oplus \mu m_{r, t} E_{s, r}
$$

Thus we have $t=s$, a contradiction. That is, $T\left(E_{r, t}\right)=m_{r, t} E_{r, t}$ for all $t=1, \ldots, n$. Similarly, we obtain $T\left(E_{t, s}\right)=m_{t, s} E_{t, s}$ for all $t=1, \ldots, n$. Let $E_{i, j}$ be an arbitrary cell. Since $T\left(E_{i, s}\right)=m_{i, s} E_{i, s}$, by method similar to the above, we have $T\left(E_{i, j}\right)=$ $m_{i, j} E_{i, j}$. Therefore, we have established that if $L\left(E_{r, s}\right)=m_{r, s} E_{r, s}$ for some fixed $(r, s) \in \Delta_{n}$ with $r \neq s$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left(E_{i, j}\right)=m_{i, j} E_{i, j} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $(i, j) \in \Delta_{n}$. The parallel argument shows that if $L\left(E_{r, s}\right)=m_{r, s} E_{s, r}$ for some fixed $(r, s) \in \Delta_{n}$ with $r \neq s$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
L\left(E_{i, j}\right)=m_{i, j} E_{j, i} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $(i, j) \in \Delta_{n}$.
Assume that (3.4) is satisfied. That is, $L\left(E_{i, j}\right)=m_{i, j} E_{i, j}$ for all $(i, j) \in \Delta_{n}$ and all $m_{i, j}$ are units. Let $M=\left[m_{i, j}\right]$. By (3.2), we have $m_{i, i}=\alpha$ for all $i=1, \ldots, n$. Consider an arbitrary matrix $X=\left[x_{i, j}\right]=\bigoplus_{i, j=1}^{n} x_{i, j} E_{i, j}$ in $\mathscr{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\max }\right)$. Then by the linearity of $L$ we have

$$
L(X)=L\left(\bigoplus_{i, j=1}^{n} x_{i, j} E_{i, j}\right)=\bigoplus_{i, j=1}^{n} x_{i, j} L\left(E_{i, j}\right)=\bigoplus_{i, j=1}^{n} x_{i, j} m_{i, j} E_{i, j}=X \circ M .
$$

Let $P_{i, j}$ denote the permutation matrix corresponding to the transposition $(i, j)$ and let $J$ be the matrix whose all entries are 1. Then $P_{i, j} \otimes J=J \otimes P_{i, j}$ so that $L\left(P_{i, j}\right) \otimes L(J)=L(J) \otimes L\left(P_{i, j}\right)$. Thus, for $(i, j) \in \Delta_{n}$ with $i \neq j$ and $k \neq i, j$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{i, j} m_{j, k}=m_{i, k} m_{k, k}=m_{i, k} \alpha \quad \text { and } \quad m_{j, i} m_{i, k}=m_{j, k} m_{k, k}=m_{j, k} \alpha \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

by considering the $(i, k)$ th and $(j, k)$ th entries of $L\left(P_{i, j}\right) \otimes L(J)$ and $L(J) \otimes L\left(P_{i, j}\right)$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{i, j} m_{j, k} m_{j, i} m_{i, k}=m_{i, k} m_{j, k} \alpha, \quad \text { equivalently } \quad m_{i, j} m_{j, i}=\alpha^{2} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $D=\left[d_{i, j}\right]$ be the diagonal matrix with $d_{i, i}=\alpha^{-1} m_{i, 2}$ for each $i=1, \ldots, n$. Then $D^{-1}=\left[e_{i, j}\right]$ is the diagonal matrix with $e_{j, j}=\alpha^{-1} m_{2, j}$ for each $j=1, \ldots, n$ by (3.7). Now for any $X=\left[x_{i, j}\right] \in \mathscr{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\max }\right)$, the $(i, j)$ th entry of $\alpha D \otimes X \otimes E$ is

$$
\alpha d_{i, i} x_{i, j} e_{j, j}=\alpha \alpha^{-1} m_{i, 2} x_{i, j} \alpha^{-1} m_{2, j}=\alpha^{-1} m_{i, 2} m_{2, j} x_{i, j}=\alpha^{-1} m_{i, j} \alpha x_{i, j}=m_{i, j} x_{i, j}
$$

by (3.6), which is the $(i, j)$ th entry of $X \circ M$. Thus, we have that $L(X)=\alpha D \otimes$ $X \otimes D^{-1}$ for all $X \in \mathscr{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\max }\right)$. Since $L(X)=P^{-1} \otimes T(X) \otimes P$, we have that $T(X)=P \otimes L(X) \otimes P^{-1}=\alpha(P \otimes D) \otimes X \otimes(P \otimes D)^{-1}$. If $U=P \otimes D$, then we have $T(X)=\alpha U \otimes X \otimes U^{-1}$ for all $X \in \mathscr{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\max }\right)$.

Similarly, if (3.5) is satisfied, then we obtain that $T(X)=\alpha U \otimes X^{t} \otimes U^{-1}$ for all $X \in \mathscr{M}_{n}\left(\mathbb{S}_{\max }\right)$.

The converse is immediate.
Thus we have characterized the linear operators that preserve commuting pairs of matrices over a subalgebra of the max algebra.
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