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## A NOTE ON JOINT CAPACITIES IN BANACH ALGEBRAS

Vladimír Müller, Praha
(Received December 30, 1991)

The concept of capacity of a Banach algebra element was introduced by Halmos [1] and extended by Stirling [9] (for alternative approach see also [5], [6]) to mutually commuting $n$-tuples $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ of elements of a Banach algebra $A$. The main result of [9] states that cap $\sigma\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \leqslant \operatorname{cap}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \leqslant 2^{n} \operatorname{cap} \sigma\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$.

The aim of this paper is to show that $\operatorname{cap}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\operatorname{cap} \sigma\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ for every commuting $n$-tuple ( $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ ) of elements of a Banach algebra, so that there is analogy with the Halmos' result for $n=1$.

Further we show that the joint essential spectrum and the joint spectrum of an mutually commuting $n$-tuple of operators on a Banach space have the same capacities, which is again analogy to the case $n=1$, see [8].

All algebras in this paper will be complex and with the unit element. Let $x_{1}, \ldots$, $x_{n}$ be mutually commuting elements of a Banach algebra $A$. By $\sigma\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ we denote the Harte spectrum [2], i.e. the set of all $n$-tuples $\left(\lambda_{1}, \ldots, \lambda_{n}\right)$ of complex numbers such that either the left or the right ideal generated by $x_{i}-\lambda_{i}(i=1, \ldots$, $n$ ) is proper. Actually, we can take any other joint spectrum instead of the Harte spectrum (see the remark bellow).

Let $n \geqslant 0, k \geqslant 0$ be integers. An arbitrary polynomial of degree $\leqslant k$ in $n$ variables may be written in the form

$$
p\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)=\sum_{|\mu| \leqslant k} \boldsymbol{a}_{\mu}(p) z^{\mu}
$$

where $\mu=\left(\mu_{1}, \ldots, \mu_{n}\right)$ is an $n$-tuple of non-negative integers, $|\mu|=\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu_{j}$, the coefficients $a_{\mu}(p)$ are complex numbers, $z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{C}^{n}$ and $z^{\mu}=z_{1}^{\mu_{1}} \cdots z_{n}^{\mu_{n}}$.

The set of all polynomials of degree $\leqslant k$ in $n$ variables will be denoted by $\mathscr{P}_{k}(n)$. Denote further $\mathscr{P}_{k}^{1}(n)$ the set of all polynomials $p(z)=\sum_{|\mu| \leqslant k} a_{\mu}(p) z^{\mu} \in \mathscr{P}_{k}(n)$ with $\sum_{|\mu|=k}\left|a_{\mu}(p)\right|=1$. These polynomials were called monic in [9].

Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be mutually commuting elements of a Banach algebra $A$. The joint capacity of $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ was defined in [9] by

$$
\operatorname{cap}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{cap}_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{1 / k}
$$

where

$$
\operatorname{cap}_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\inf \left\{\left\|p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right\|: p \in \mathscr{P}_{k}^{1}(n)\right\} .
$$

For a compact subset $K \subset C^{n}$ define the corresponding capacity by

$$
\operatorname{cap} K=\liminf _{k \rightarrow \infty}\left(\operatorname{cap}_{k} K\right)^{1 / k}
$$

where

$$
\operatorname{cap}_{k} K=\inf \left\{\|p\|_{K}: p \in \mathscr{P}_{k}^{\prime}(n)\right\} \quad \text { and } \quad\|p\|_{K}=\sup \{|p(z)|: z \in K\}
$$

This capacity was studied in [10] and called the homogeneous Tshebyshev constant of a compact set $K$.

By Siciak [4], the capacity can be expressed in another, more convenient way. Denote by $Q_{k}(n)$ the set of all polynomials $p(z)=\sum_{|\mu| \leqslant k} z^{\mu} \in \mathscr{P}_{k}(n)$ such that

$$
\sup \left\{\left|\sum_{|\nu|=k} a_{\mu}(p) z^{\nu}\right|: z \in T\right\}=1
$$

where $T=\left\{z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{C}^{n}:\left|z_{i}\right|=1 \quad(i=1, \ldots, n)\right\}$ is the $n$-dimensional torus.

Theorem 1. Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be mutually commuting elements of a Banach algebra $A$. Then
(a) $\operatorname{cap}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{cap}_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{1 / k}=\inf _{k} \inf \left\{\|p(x)\|^{1 / k}: p \in Q_{k}(n)\right\}$,
(b) $\operatorname{cap}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\inf _{k} \inf \left\{\left(\operatorname{cap} p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)^{1 / k}: p \in Q_{k}(n)\right\}$,
(c) $\operatorname{cap}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\operatorname{cap} \sigma\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$.

Proof. (a) We use the argument of [4], Remark 9.5.

Let $p=\sum_{|\nu| \leqslant k} a_{\nu}(p) z^{\nu} \in \mathscr{P}_{k}(n)$. By Cauchy formulas we have for every $\mu$ with $|\mu|=k$

$$
\left|a_{\mu}(p)\right| \leqslant \max \left\{\left|\sum_{|\nu|=k} a_{\nu}(p) z^{\nu}\right|: z \in T\right\}=\left\|\sum_{|\nu|=k} a_{\nu}(p) z^{\nu}\right\|_{T}
$$

Further

$$
\left\|\sum_{|\nu|=k} a_{\nu}(p) z^{\nu}\right\|_{T} \leqslant \sum_{|\mu|=k}\left|a_{\mu}(p)\right| \leqslant\binom{ k+n-1}{n-1}\left\|\sum_{|\nu|=k} a_{\nu}(p) z^{\nu}\right\|_{T}
$$

where $\binom{k+n-1}{n-1}$ is the number of coefficients $a_{\mu}(p)$ with $|\mu|=k$. Denote by

$$
\alpha_{k}=\inf \left\{\left\|p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right\|: p \in Q_{k}(n)\right\}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{cap}_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \leqslant \alpha_{k} \leqslant\binom{ k+n-1}{n-1} \operatorname{cap}_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $p \in Q_{k}(n)$ and let $m, s$ be non-negative integers, $0 \leqslant s \leqslant k-1$. Then $q=p^{m}$. $z_{1}^{s} \in Q_{m k+s}(n)$. Thus $\alpha_{m k+s} \leqslant \alpha_{k}^{m}\left\|x_{1}\right\|^{s}, \alpha_{m k+s}^{1 / m k+s} \leqslant \alpha_{k}^{\frac{m}{m k+s}} \max \left\{1,\left\|x_{1}\right\|^{k-1}\right\}^{1 / m k+s}$ and $\limsup _{r \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{r}^{1 / r} \leqslant \alpha_{k}^{1 / k}$. So the limit $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{k}^{1 / k}$ exists and is equal to $\inf _{k} \alpha_{k}^{1 / k}$.

By (1) the limit $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{cap}_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{1 / k}$ also exists and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{cap}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) & =\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{cap}_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{1 / k}=\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_{k}^{1 / k} \\
& =\inf _{k} \alpha_{k}^{1 / k}=\inf _{k} \inf \left\{\left\|p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right\|^{1 / k}: p \in Q_{k}(n)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) Let $p \in Q_{k}(n)$ and let $q=\tilde{z}^{s}+\sum_{i=0}^{s-1} a_{i}(q) z^{i} \in \mathscr{P}_{s}^{1}(1)=Q_{s}(1)$. Then $q \circ p \in$ $Q_{s k}(n)$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{cap}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \leqslant\left\|(q \circ p)\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right\|^{1 / s k} \quad\left(q \in Q_{s}(1)\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{cap}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) & \leqslant \inf _{s} \inf \left\{\left\|q\left(p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)\right\|^{1 / s k}: q \in Q_{s}(1)\right\} \\
& =\left(\operatorname{cap} p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)^{1 / k}
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{cap}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \leqslant \inf _{k} \inf \left\{\left(\operatorname{cap} p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)^{1 / k}: p \in Q_{k}(n)\right\} .
$$

On the other hand $\operatorname{cap} p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \leqslant\left\|p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right\|$ for every $p \in Q_{k}(n)$. Together with (a) this gives $\operatorname{cap}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\inf _{k} \inf \left\{\left(\operatorname{cap} p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)^{1 / k}: p \in Q_{k}(n)\right\}$.
(c) By (2) we have $\operatorname{cap}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \leqslant\left\|p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{s}\right\|^{1 / s k}$ for every $p \in Q_{k}(n)$ and positive integer $s$. So

$$
\operatorname{cap}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \leqslant \inf _{s}\left\{\left\|p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)^{s}\right\|^{1 / s k}\right\}=\left|p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right|_{\sigma}^{1 / k}
$$

By the spectral mapping theorem for commuting elements $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in A$ (see [2]) we have

$$
\left|p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right|_{\sigma}^{1 / k}=\max \left\{|p(z)|: z \in \sigma\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right\}^{1 / k}
$$

So

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{cap}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \leqslant \inf _{k} \inf \left\{\|p\|_{\sigma\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)}^{1 / k}: p \in Q_{k}(n)\right\} \\
& \leqslant \inf _{k}\binom{k+n-1}{n-1}^{1 / k}\left(\operatorname{cap}_{k} \sigma\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)^{1 / k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence $\operatorname{cap}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \leqslant \operatorname{cap} \sigma\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$.
On the other hand,

$$
\left\|p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right\| \geqslant\left|p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right|_{\sigma}=\|p\|_{\sigma\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)}
$$

for every polynomial $p \in \mathscr{P}_{k}(n)$, so that

$$
\operatorname{cap}_{k}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \geqslant \operatorname{cap}_{k} \sigma\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
$$

and

$$
\operatorname{cap}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \geqslant \operatorname{cap} \sigma\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
$$

Following the concept of Zelazko [11], a subspectrum $\tilde{\sigma}$ is a set-valued function which assignes to every $n$-tuple of commuting elements $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ of a Banach algebra $A$ a non-empty compact subset $\tilde{\boldsymbol{\sigma}}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \subset \mathbf{C}^{n}$ such that 1) $\tilde{\sigma}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \subset \prod_{i=1}^{n} \sigma\left(x_{i}\right)$ and 2) $\tilde{\sigma}\left(p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)=p\left(\tilde{\sigma}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right.$ for every $m$ tuple $p=\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{m}\right)$ of polynomials in $n$ variables.

By [7] (cf. also [6]), $\operatorname{cap} \tilde{\sigma}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\operatorname{cap} \sigma\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ for every subspectrum satisfying

$$
\max \left\{|\lambda|: \lambda \in \tilde{\sigma}\left(x_{1}\right)\right\}=\max \left\{|\lambda|: \lambda \in \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\right\} \quad\left(x_{1} \in A\right) .
$$

This includes e.g. the approximate point spectrum, the left, right, defect and Taylor spectra. Condition (b) of the previous theorem enables to extend this result to the subspectra satisfying cap $\tilde{\sigma}\left(x_{1}\right)=\operatorname{cap} \sigma\left(x_{1}\right) \quad\left(x_{1} \in A\right)$. An important example of such a subspectrum is the essential spectrum of operators in a Banach space.

Corollary. Let $A$ be a Banach algebra and let $\tilde{\sigma}$ be a subspectrum satisfying $\operatorname{cap} \tilde{\sigma}\left(x_{1}\right)=\operatorname{cap} \sigma\left(x_{1}\right)\left(x_{1} \in A\right)$. Then

$$
\operatorname{cap} \tilde{\sigma}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\operatorname{cap} \sigma\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)=\operatorname{cap}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)
$$

for every $n$-tuple $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ of mutually commuting elements of $A$.
Proof. Let $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$ be mutually commuting elements of $A$. Consider the algebra $C^{\prime}(K)$ of all continuous functions on the compact set $K=\tilde{\sigma}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \subset \mathbf{C}^{n}$ with the supnorm on $K$ and let $z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}$ be the independent variables.

As $\|q\|_{K}=\left\|q\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)\right\|_{C(K)}$ for every polynomial $q$ it is easy to see that cap $K=$ $\operatorname{cap}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ and $\operatorname{cap} p(K)=\operatorname{cap} p\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ for every polynomial $p$. Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{cap}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) & =\inf _{k} \inf \left\{\left(\operatorname{cap} p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)^{1 / k}: p \in Q_{k}(n)\right\} \\
& =\inf _{k} \inf \left\{\left(\operatorname{cap} \sigma\left(p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)\right)^{1 / k}: p \in Q_{k}(n)\right\} \\
& =\inf _{k} \inf \left\{\left(\operatorname{cap} \tilde{\sigma}\left(p\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)\right)^{1 / k}: p \in Q_{k}(n)\right\} \\
& =\inf _{k} \inf \left\{\left(\operatorname{cap} p\left(\tilde{\sigma}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)\right)\right)^{1 / k}: p \in Q_{k}(n)\right\} \\
& =\inf _{k} \inf \left\{\operatorname{cap} p\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right): p \in Q_{k}(n)\right\} \\
& =\operatorname{cap}\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)=\operatorname{cap} \tilde{\sigma}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $X$ be a Banach space. Denote by $B(X)$ the algebra of all bounded operators on $X$ and by $K(X)$ the ideal of all compact operators on $X$. Denote by $\pi$ the cannonical projection from $B(X)$ onto the Calkin algebra $B(X) \mid K(X)$. Let $T_{1}, \ldots$, $T_{n}$ be mutually commuting operators on $X$. Denote by $\sigma_{e}\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right)$ the spectrum of the commuting $n$-tuple ( $\pi\left(T_{1}\right), \ldots, \pi\left(T_{n}\right)$ ) in the algebra $B(X) \mid K(X)$.

Let $S \in B(X)$. As $\sigma(S)$ contains only countably many points in the unbounded component of $C-\sigma_{e}(S)$ we have $\operatorname{cap} \sigma_{e}\left(S^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{cap} \sigma\left(S^{\prime}\right)(c f .[8])$. Hence

$$
\operatorname{cap} \sigma_{e}\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right)=\operatorname{cap} \sigma\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right)=\operatorname{cap}\left(T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n}\right)
$$

for every mutually commuting operators $T_{1}, \ldots, T_{n} \in B(X)$.

Another example when the previous corollary can be used is the essential Taylor spectrum (for the definition see e.g. [3]).
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