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# DOMINATION NUMBERS OF CARDINAL PRODUCTS 

Antoaneta Klobučar

(Communicated by Martin Škoviera)


#### Abstract

For a graph $G$ a subset $D$ of the vertex-set of $G$ is called dominating set if every vertex $x$ not in $D$, is adjacent to at least one vertex of $D$. The domination number $\gamma(G)$ is the cardinality of the smallest dominating set.

Here we determine the domination numbers of $P_{2} \times P_{n}, P_{3} \times P_{n}, P_{4} \times P_{n}$, and $P_{5} \times P_{n}$ where $\times$ denotes the cardinal product.


## 1. Introduction

For any graph $G$ we denote by $V(G)$ and $E(G)$ the vertex-set and edge-set of $G$, respectively. The cardinal product $G \times H$ of two graphs $G$ and $H$ is a graph with $V(G \times H)=V(G) \times V(H)$ and $\left\{\left(g_{1}, h_{1}\right),\left(g_{2}, h_{2}\right)\right\} \in E(G \times H)$ if and only if $\left\{g_{1}, g_{2}\right\} \in E(G)$ and $\left\{h_{1}, h_{2}\right\} \in E(H) . \gamma(G)$ is the cardinality of the smallest dominating set in $G$. In this paper we determine the domination numbers of certain classes of graphs. Such investigations were initiated by Vizing [12], who conjectured that

$$
\gamma(G \square H) \geq \gamma(G) \gamma(H)
$$

holds for the cartesian product of graphs $G$ and $H$. While dominating numbers of the cartesian product of graphs were considered in many papers (see e.g. [2], [3], [4], [6], [7], [10]), only a few results about the domination numbers of cardinal products of graphs are known so far ([5], [8], [9], [11]).

The following observation will be frequently used in the sequel.
ObSERVATION 1. Let $C_{n}$ and $P_{n}$ denote the cycle and path with $n$ vertices, respectively. Then

$$
\gamma\left(C_{n}\right)=\gamma\left(P_{n}\right)=\left\lceil\frac{n}{3}\right\rceil .
$$

[^0]Following the investigations of the cartesian product we consider those cardinal products where one of the factors is a path.
Proposition 1. For any tree $T$ and any graph $G$ without cycles of odd length we have

$$
\gamma\left(P_{2} \times T\right)=2 \gamma(T)>\gamma\left(P_{2}\right) \gamma(T)
$$

and

$$
\gamma\left(P_{2} \times G\right)=2 \gamma(G)>\gamma\left(P_{2}\right) \gamma(G)
$$

Proof. Obvious, since $P_{2} \times T$ and $P_{2} \times G$ consist of two disjoint copies of $T$ and $G$, respectively.
Proposition 2. For the path $P_{2}$ and any odd cycle $C_{2 n+1}, n \geq 1$,

$$
\gamma\left(P_{2} \times C_{2 n+1}\right)=\left\lceil\frac{4 n+2}{3}\right\rceil>\gamma\left(P_{2}\right) \gamma\left(C_{2 n+1}\right)
$$

Proof. Note that the cardinal product of $P_{2}$ and $C_{2 n+1}$ is isomorphic to $C_{4 n+2}$. Then Observation 1 implies that

$$
\gamma\left(C_{4 n+2}\right)=\left\lceil\frac{4 n+2}{3}\right\rceil>\left\lceil\frac{2 n+1}{3}\right\rceil=\gamma\left(P_{2}\right) \gamma\left(C_{2 n+1}\right) .
$$

## 2. Domination numbers of $P_{k} \times P_{n}$

In the sequel we consider the graphs $P_{k} \times P_{n}$ for $3 \leq k \leq 5$.
ObSERVATION 2. The cardinal product $P_{k} \times P_{n}, k, n \geq 3$, consists of two components. If both, $k$ and $n$ are odd, these components are not isomorphic. If at least one of these two numbers is even, the components are isomorphic.

Definition 1. By $C_{1}$ we denote the component which contains the vertex $(1,1)$, by $C_{2}$ the other component.
DEFINITION 2. For a fixed $m, 1 \leq m \leq n$, the set $\left(P_{k}\right)_{m}=\{(i, m) \mid i=$ $1, \ldots, k\}$ is called a column of $P_{k} \times P_{n}$. The set $\left(P_{n}\right)_{m}=\{(m, j) \mid j=1, \ldots, n\}$ is called a row of $P_{k} \times P_{n}$.

A set $B=\left\{\left(P_{k}\right)_{m},\left(P_{k}\right)_{m+1}, \ldots,\left(P_{k}\right)_{m+l} \mid l \geq 0, m \geq 1, m+l \leq n\right\}$ of columns is called a block of size $k \times(l+1)$ of $P_{k} \times P_{n}$.

If another block $B_{1}$ contains the column $\left(P_{k}\right)_{m-1}$ or the column $\left(P_{k}\right)_{m+l+1}$, then we say that $B_{1}$ is adjacent to $B$. A block $B$ is called internal, if it is adjacent to two other blocks, it is called external if it is only adjacent to one block.
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Theorem 1. For every path $P_{n}, n \geq 2$,

$$
\gamma\left(P_{3} \times P_{n}\right)=n
$$

Proof. The set $S=\{(2, j) \mid 1 \leq j \leq n\}$ dominates $P_{3} \times P_{n}$. Thus $\gamma\left(P_{3} \times P_{n}\right) \leq n$.

We now prove that $\gamma\left(P_{3} \times P_{n}\right) \geq n$.
Case 1. $n$ is even.
We only consider the component $C_{1}$.
Lemma 1. There is a minimum dominating set $D$, such that $D$ only contains vertices of the row $(2, i), i \in\{2,4, \ldots, n\}$.

Proof. Let $D$ be a minimal dominating set which does not satisfy our assertion. Without loss of generality we assume that $D$ contains a vertex of the row $\left(P_{n}\right)_{1}$. Let $(1, j)$ be this vertex for some fixed $j \in\{1,3, \ldots, n-1\}$. Then the vertex $(3, j)$ is either contained in $D$ or dominated by a vertex of $D$.

We first assume that $(3, j) \in D$. Let $j \notin\{1, n-1\}$. Then the set $D^{\prime}=$ $D \backslash\{(1, j),(3, j)\} \cup\{(2, j-1),(2, j+1)\}$ also dominates $C_{1}$ and $\left|D^{\prime}\right| \leq|D|$. If $j=1$ then $D$ is not minimal since $D^{\prime}=D \backslash\{(1,1),(3,1)\} \cup\{(2,2)\}$ also dominates $C_{1}$. If $j=n-1$ then $D^{\prime}=D \backslash\{(1, n-1),(3, n-1)\} \cup\{(2, n-1)\}$ dominates $C_{1}$ and $\left|D^{\prime}\right|=|D|-1$.

Let $(3, j) \notin D$. Since $(3, j)$ is dominated by a vertex of $D$, either $(2, j-1)$ or $(2, j+1)$ is contained in $D$. If $(2, j-1) \in D$ then $D^{\prime}=D \backslash\{(1, j)\} \cup\{(2, j+1)\}$ also dominates $C_{1}$. If $(2, j+1) \in D, j>1$, then $D^{\prime}=D \backslash\{(1, j)\} \cup\{(2, j-1)\}$ dominates $C_{1}$. If $j=1$, and $(2, j+1) \in D$ then $D$ is not minimal.

If $D$ only contains vertices of the row $(2, i), 1 \leq i \leq n$, then obviously $|D|=n$ holds.

Case 2. $n$ is odd.
For both components the assertion of Lemma 1 can be proved analogously which again implies that $\gamma\left(P_{3} \times P_{n}\right)=n$ holds.

Theorem 2. Let $n \geq 2$. Then

$$
\gamma\left(P_{4} \times P_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}n & n \equiv 0(\bmod 4) \\ n+1 & n \equiv 1(\bmod 4) ; n \equiv 3(\bmod 4) \\ n+2 & n \equiv 2(\bmod 4)\end{cases}
$$

Proof. We consider the set
$D=\left\{(2,4 m+2),(2,4 m+3),(3,4 m+2),(3,4 m+3) \mid m=0,1, \ldots,\left\lfloor\frac{n}{4}\right\rfloor-1\right\}$.
$D$ dominates all vertices if $n$ is divisible by 4 . If $n=4 k+1$ then we add $(2,4 k),(3,4 k)$ to $D$, if $n=4 k+2$ we add $(2,4 k),(3,4 k),(2,4 k+1),(3,4 k+1)$
and if $n=4 k+3$ we add $(2,4 k+2),(3,4 k+2),(2,4 k+3),(3,4 k+3)$. The set $D$ is dominating and hence

$$
\gamma\left(P_{4} \times P_{n}\right) \leq|D|= \begin{cases}n & n \equiv 0(\bmod 4) \\ n+1 & n \equiv 1(\bmod 4) ; n \equiv 3(\bmod 4) \\ n+2 & n \equiv 2(\bmod 4)\end{cases}
$$

In the sequel we prove that $\gamma\left(P_{4} \times P_{n}\right) \geq|D|$. Since $P_{4} \times P_{n}$ consists of two isomorphic components, all the considerations are done for only one component, namely $C_{1}$.

We partition the graph $P_{4} \times P_{n}$ into $\left\lfloor\frac{n}{4}\right\rfloor 4 \times 4$-blocks. If $n \equiv k(\bmod 4)$, where $k \neq 0$, then we also have one $4 \times k$ block $E^{\prime}$.

Without loss of generality we assume $E^{\prime}=\left\{\left(P_{4}\right)_{n}, \ldots,\left(P_{4}\right)_{n-k+1}\right\}$.
Case 1. $n \equiv 0(\bmod 4)$.
LEMMA 2. There is no dominating set $D$ such that, for some $4 \times 4$ block $B$,

$$
|D \cap B| \leq 1
$$

Proof. First, let $B$ be external block. Without loss of generality we assume that $B=\left\{\left(P_{4}\right)_{1}, \ldots,\left(P_{4}\right)_{4}\right\}$. Even if the column $\left(P_{4}\right)_{4}$ is dominated by vertices from the adjacent block we still need at least two vertices contained in $B$ to dominate all vertices of the first three columns.

Let $B$ be now any internal block. At most the first and the last column of $B$ can be dominated by vertices not in $B$. To dominate the remaining vertices we need at least two vertices which are contained in $B$.

It follows from Lemma 2 that the domination number of one component of $P_{4} \times P_{n}$ is equal to $n / 2$ hence $\gamma\left(P_{4} \times P_{n}\right)=n$.


Figure 1. Dominating set of $P_{4} \times P_{8}$.
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Case 2. $n \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$.
Lemma 3. If $\left|D \cap E^{\prime}\right|=0$, then there exists at least one block $B_{i}$ of size $4 \times 4$ such that $\left|D \cap B_{i}\right| \geq 3$, for every dominating set $D$.

Proof. If $\left|D \cap E^{\prime}\right|=0$, then the column $\left(P_{4}\right)_{n-1}$ (of the adjacent block $B_{1}$ ) contains at least one vertex of $D$. If $(4, n-1) \in D$ then $D$ must also contain the vertex $(2, n-1)$. But then it is clear that $B_{1}$ must contain at least a third vertex of $D$.

We now assume that $(4, n-1)$ is not in $D$. Then $(2, n-1) \in D$ must hold. To dominate the remaining vertices of $B_{1}$ we need at least two more vertices. If both of these vertices are contained in $B_{1}$, then we are done.

If $\left|B_{1} \cap D\right|=2$, then $(3, n-2) \in D$ must hold since the vertices $(2, n-3)$, $(4, n-3)$ and $(4, n-1)$ can only be dominated by vertices which are contained in $B_{1}$. But then both vertices of the first column of $B_{1}$, namely $(1, n-4)$ and $(3, n-4)$ are dominated by vertices of the last column of the $4 \times 4$ block adjacent to $B_{1}$. Then we have the same situation as above: either both vertices, $(2, n-5)$ and $(4, n-5)$, are contained in $D$ or only $(2, n-2) \in D$ holds.

Repeating the above considerations we either obtain a block $B_{m}$ with $\left|D \cap B_{m}\right|=3$, for some $I, 2 \leq m<\left\lfloor\frac{n}{4}\right\rfloor$, or $\left|D \cap B_{i}\right|=2$ holds for all $i$, $2 \leq i \leq\left\lfloor\frac{n}{4}\right\rfloor$. But then the block $B_{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{4}\right\rfloor}$ contains at least three vertices of $D$ since no vertex of $B_{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{4}\right\rfloor}$ is dominated by vertices outside $B_{\left\lfloor\frac{n}{4}\right\rfloor}$ if $\left|D \cap B_{i}\right|=2$ holds for all $i, 2 \leq i<\left\lfloor\frac{n}{4}\right\rfloor$.

Of course Lemma 2 also holds if $n \equiv 1(\bmod 4)$. Hence, together with Lemma 3 we obtain

$$
|D| \geq n+1
$$

If $\left|D \cap E^{\prime}\right| \geq 1$, then it again follows from Lemma 2 that $|D| \geq n+1$.
Case 3. $n \equiv 2(\bmod 4)$.

## Lemma 4.

1) $\left|D \cap E^{\prime}\right| \geq 1$ for every dominating set $D$.
2) If $\left|D \cap E^{\prime}\right|=1$, then there exists at least one block $B_{i}$ of size $4 \times 4$ such that $\left|D \cap B_{i}\right| \geq 3$ for every dominating set $D$.

Proof.

1) With vertices from the adjacent block, we can only dominate vertices of $\left(P_{4}\right)_{n-1}$.
2) Similar to the proof of Lemma 3.

Again, Lemma 2 also holds. These fact, together with Lemma 4, imply that $\left|D \cap C_{1}\right| \geq \frac{n}{2}+1$, and therefore

$$
|D| \geq n+2
$$
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Case 4. $n \equiv 3(\bmod 4)$.
It is easy to see that $\left|D \cap E^{\prime}\right| \geq 2$ holds for every dominating set $D$. From this and Lemma 2 we obtain

$$
|D| \geq 2 \cdot\left(\frac{n-3}{4} \cdot 2+2\right)=n+1
$$

## Theorem 3. We have

$$
\gamma\left(P_{5} \times P_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}n+2 & \text { if } n=2,3,4 \\ 11 & \text { if } n=7 \\ \frac{4 n+6}{3} & \text { if } n \equiv 0(\bmod 6) ; n \equiv 3(\bmod 6) \\ \frac{4 n+4}{3} & \text { if } n \equiv 2(\bmod 6) ; n \equiv 5(\bmod 6), \\ \frac{4 n+8}{3} & \text { if } n \equiv 4(\bmod 6) ; n \equiv 1(\bmod 6), n>7\end{cases}
$$

Proof. For $n \in\{2,3,4\}$ it was already shown. For $n=7$ it is easy to check.

If $n$ is odd, we have to consider both components separately, since they are not isomorphic. For even $n$, the components are isomorphic, hence we consider only one component, namely $C_{1}$.

Case 1. $n$ is even.
A dominating set $S$ of $C_{1}$ is given as follows: It contains the vertices $(2,2)$, $(4,2),(4,4)$ and $(1,5)$. If $n \geq 12$ it also contains all vertices $(5,7+6 m)$, $(2,8+6 m),(4,10+6 m),(1,11+6 m), m=0,1, \ldots,\left\lfloor\frac{n}{6}\right\rfloor-2$. In addition it contains the vertices

$$
\begin{aligned}
(4, n) & \text { if } n \equiv 0(\bmod 6) \\
(5, n-1),(2, n) & \text { if } n \equiv 2(\bmod 6) \\
(2, n-2),(2, n),(4, n),(5, n-3) & \text { if } n \equiv 4(\bmod 6)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
|S|= \begin{cases}\frac{2 n+3}{3} & \text { if } n \equiv 0(\bmod 6) \\ \frac{2 n+2}{3} & \text { if } n \equiv 2(\bmod 6) \\ \frac{2 n+4}{3} & \text { if } n \equiv 4(\bmod 6)\end{cases}
$$



Figure 2. Dominating set of $P_{5} \times P_{18}$ (component $C_{1}$ ).
Case 2. $n$ is odd.
We first consider the component $C_{2}$. A dominating set $S_{2}$ of $C_{2}$ is given as follows: $S_{2}=\{(1,4+6 m),(2,1+6 m),(4,5+6 m),(5,2+6 m) \mid m=$ $\left.0,1, \ldots,\left\lfloor\frac{n}{6}\right\rfloor-1\right\}$. In addition $S_{2}$ contains the vertices

$$
\begin{aligned}
(2, n),(4, n) & \text { if } n \equiv 1(\bmod 6) \\
(2, n-2),(2, n),(5, n-1) & \text { if } n \equiv 3(\bmod 6) \\
(1, n-1),(2, n-4),(4, n),(5, n-3) & \text { if } n \equiv 5(\bmod 6)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\left|S_{2}\right|= \begin{cases}\frac{2 n+4}{3} & \text { if } n \equiv 1(\bmod 6) \\ \frac{2 n+3}{3} & \text { if } n \equiv 3(\bmod 6) \\ \frac{2 n+2}{3} & \text { if } n \equiv 5(\bmod 6)\end{cases}
$$

A dominating set $S_{1}$ of $C_{1}$ is given as follows: It contains the vertices $(2,2)$, $(4,2),(4,4)$ and $(1,5)$. If $n \geq 13$ it also contains all vertices $(5,7+6 m)$, $(2,8+6 m),(4,10+6 m),(1,11+6 m), m=0,1, \ldots,\left\lfloor\frac{n}{6}\right\rfloor-2$. In addition it contains the vertices

$$
\begin{aligned}
(1, n),(4, n-1) & \text { if } n \equiv 1(\bmod 6) \\
(2, n-1),(5, n-2),(5, n) & \text { if } n \equiv 3(\bmod 6) \\
(2, n-3),(2, n-1),(4, n-1),(5, n-4) & \text { if } n \equiv 5(\bmod 6) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\left|S_{1}\right|= \begin{cases}\frac{2 n+4}{3} & \text { if } n \equiv 1(\bmod 6) \\ \frac{2 n+3}{3} & \text { if } n \equiv 3(\bmod 6) \\ \frac{2 n+2}{3} & \text { if } n \equiv 5(\bmod 6)\end{cases}
$$

and

$$
|S|=\left|S_{1} \cup S_{2}\right|= \begin{cases}\frac{4 n+8}{3} & \text { if } n \equiv 1(\bmod 6) \\ \frac{4 n+6}{3} & \text { if } n \equiv 3(\bmod 6) \\ \frac{4 n+4}{3} & \text { if } n \equiv 5(\bmod 6)\end{cases}
$$

## ANTOANETA KLOBUČAR

Obviously the set $S$ is a dominating set of $P_{5} \times P_{n}$ for every odd $n$.
We now prove that $\gamma\left(P_{5} \times P_{n}\right) \geq|S|$.
We partition the graph $P_{5} \times P_{n}$ into $5 \times 6$ blocks.
Definition 3. If a block is external we denote it by $E$, if it is internal by $I$. If $n \equiv k(\bmod 6)$, where $k \neq 0$, then we also have a block $E^{\prime}$, which is $5 \times k$ block.

Without loss of generality we assume that $E^{\prime}=\left\{\left(P_{5}\right)_{n}, \ldots,\left(P_{5}\right)_{n-k+1}\right\}$.
The next three Lemmas are all proven for the component $C_{1}$, not depending on the parity of $n$. If it cannot be seen immediately, that the respective result also holds for $C_{2}$ if $n$ is odd, then remarks following the respective Lemmas indicate why this is true.
Lemma 5. There is no dominating set $D$ such that $|D \cap E| \leq 3$.
Proof. W.l.o.g. we assume that $E$ is the first block in the graph $P_{5} \times P_{n}$ (it contains ( 1,1 )). If the column $\left(P_{5}\right)_{6}$ is dominated with vertices from the adjacent block, there still is one undominated block of size $5 \times 5$. To dominate the vertices of this $5 \times 5$ block we need at least four vertices:
a) If the column $\left(P_{5}\right)_{6}$ of $E$ contains no vertex of $D$, we need at least four vertices of this $5 \times 5$ block, to dominate it.
b) We now assume that the column $\left(P_{5}\right)_{6}$ contains at least one vertex of $D$. This vertex cannot dominate any vertices of $\left(P_{5}\right)_{4}$. To dominate the three vertices of the column $\left(P_{5}\right)_{1}$ we need at least two vertices. These vertices can dominate at most the first three columns of $E$. Then at least the column $\left(P_{5}\right)_{4}$ is not dominated. So, $D \cap E$ contains at least one more vertex, i.e. $|D \cap E| \geq 4$.

Remark. For $C_{2}$ Lemma 5 can be shown analogously, since we also need at least four vertices contained in $E$ to dominate the vertices of $\left(P_{5}\right)_{1}, \ldots,\left(P_{5}\right)_{5}$.
Lemma 6. There is no dominating set $D$ such that $|D \cap I| \leq 2$.
Proof. Let $I=\left\{\left(P_{5}\right)_{j},\left(P_{5}\right)_{j+1}, \ldots,\left(P_{5}\right)_{j+5}\right\}, j \geq 7$, be some internal block. Only vertices of the columns $\left(P_{5}\right)_{j}$ and $\left(P_{5}\right)_{j+5}$ can be dominated by vertices of adjacent blocks. To dominate the vertices of the columns $\left(P_{5}\right)_{j+1}, \ldots,\left(P_{5}\right)_{j+4}$ we always need at least three vertices, where it does not matter if $\left(P_{5}\right)_{j}$ or $\left(P_{5}\right)_{j+5}$ contain any vertex of $D$. Of course this fact also does neither depend on the parity of $n$ nor on the component we consider.

Lemma 7. If $\left|D \cap B_{k}\right|=3$ for some internal $5 \times 6$ block $B_{k}, n \geq 18$, then $\left|D \cap B_{k-1}\right| \geq 5$, and $\left|D \cap B_{k+1}\right| \geq 5$. If $B_{k+1}$ is external then $\left|D \cap B_{k+1}\right| \geq 6$.

Proof. Let $B_{k}=\left\{\left(P_{5}\right)_{j},\left(P_{5}\right)_{j+1}, \ldots,\left(P_{5}\right)_{j+5}\right\}, j=6(k-1)+1, k \in$ $\left\{2, \ldots,\left\lfloor\frac{n}{6}\right\rfloor-1\right\}$. By vertices not in $B_{k}$ we can dominate only the first and the
last column of $B_{k}$. Hence, if $\left|D \cap B_{k}\right|=3$, we need these 3 vertices to dominate all vertices of the columns $\left(P_{5}\right)_{j+1}, \ldots,\left(P_{5}\right)_{j+4}$.

It is easy to see that
Case 1. $\left|D \cap\left(P_{5}\right)_{j}\right| \geq 1$ and $\left|D \cap\left(P_{5}\right)_{j+5}\right| \geq 1$, and

Case 2. $\left|D \cap\left(P_{5}\right)_{j+5}\right| \geq 1$ and $\left|D \cap\left(P_{5}\right)_{j}\right|=0$ are not possible.

Case 3. $\left|D \cap\left(P_{5}\right)_{j}\right|=\left|D \cap\left(P_{5}\right)_{j+5}\right|=0$.
There is exactly one possibility to dominate the vertices of the columns $\left(P_{5}\right)_{j+1}$, $\ldots,\left(P_{5}\right)_{j+4}$ by three vertices, namely $(3, j+2),(2, j+3),(4, j+3) \in D$. But then we have to dominate all vertices of $\left(P_{5}\right)_{j}$ by vertices of the block $B_{k-1}$. Hence $(2, j-1),(4, j-1) \in D$. To dominate the vertices of $\left(P_{5}\right)_{j-3},\left(P_{5}\right)_{j-4}$, $\left(P_{5}\right)_{j-5}$ we need at least three additional vertices which are contained in $B_{k-1}$. Hence $\left|D \cap B_{k-1}\right| \geq 5$.

Also the two vertices of $\left(P_{5}\right)_{j+5}$ must be dominated by vertices of $B_{k+1}$. We first assume that $D \cap\left(P_{5}\right)_{j+6}=\{(3, j+6)\}$. Then all vertices of $\left(P_{5}\right)_{j+8}$, $\left(P_{5}\right)_{j+9},\left(P_{5}\right)_{j+10}$ as well as $(1, j+6)$ and $(5, j+6)$ must be dominated by vertices of $B_{k+1}$. But then $B_{k+1}$ contains four additional vertices and $\left|D \cap B_{k+1}\right|$ $\geq 5$. If $B_{k+1}$ is external also the vertices of $\left(P_{5}\right)_{j+11}$ are dominated by vertices of $B_{k+1}$. Therefore $\left|D \cap B_{k+1}\right| \geq 6$ in this case.

If $(3, j+6) \notin D$ then $(1, j+6),(5, j+6) \in D$. Both assertions about the cardinality of $D \cap B_{k+1}$ follow immediately since ( $3, j+6$ ) must be dominated by $(2, j+7)$ or $(4, j+7)$ in this case. If all three vertices of $\left(P_{5}\right)_{j+6}$ are contained in $D$ our assertions obviously hold.

Case 4. $\left|D \cap\left(P_{5}\right)_{j}\right| \geq 1$ and $\left|D \cap\left(P_{5}\right)_{j+5}\right|=0$.
To dominate the vertices of $\left(P_{5}\right)_{j+2}, \ldots,\left(P_{5}\right)_{j+4}$ we need at least two vertices, namely $(2, j+3)$ and $(4, j+3)$. Hence, if $\left|D \cap B_{k}\right|=3$, then $D$ contains $(3, j)$, $(2, j+3)$ and $(4, j+3)$ in this case. The assertions about $B_{k+1}$ can be shown as in Case 3.

Since the vertices $(1, j)$ and $(5, j)$ are dominated by vertices of $\left(P_{5}\right)_{j-1}$, the vertices $(2, j-1)$ and $(4, j-1)$ are both contained in $D$. To dominate the vertices of the columns $\left(P_{5}\right)_{j-3},\left(P_{5}\right)_{j-4},\left(P_{5}\right)_{j-5}$ at least three additional vertices of $B_{k-1}$ must be contained in $D$. Therefore $\left|D \cap B_{k-1}\right| \geq 5$.
Remark. For the component $C_{2}$ an analogous result holds with the roles of $B_{k-1}$ and $B_{k+1}$ interchanged.

Case 1. $n$ is even.
Case 1.1. $n=6 m$.
We first assume that $n \geq 18$ and consider the component $C_{1}$.
Let $D$ be any dominating set. $\left|D \cap B_{k}\right| \geq 3$ holds for each block $B_{k}, 1 \leq$ $k \leq \frac{n}{6}$, by Lemma 6. Assume that there are $s 5 \times 6$ blocks which contain only
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three vertices of $D$. By Lemma 5 these blocks are internal. Then, by Lemma 7 , there are at least $s+15 \times 6$ blocks which contain at least five vertices of $D$. Let $B_{i_{j}}, 1 \leq j \leq 2 s+1$, denote these blocks which either contain three or five vertices. Then $\mathcal{B}=\bigcup_{j=1}^{2 s+1} B_{i_{j}}$ contains at least $8 s+5$ vertices of $D$. By the above description of $S$, the set $\mathcal{B}$ contains at most $8 s+5$ vertices of $S$. Hence $|D| \geq|S|$ holds for any dominating set $D$.

Let $n=12 .\left|D \cap B_{k}\right| \geq 4$ holds for each block $B_{k}, k=1,2$, by Lemma 5 . If $\left|D \cap B_{1}\right|=4$, at least one vertex of $B_{1}$ is dominated by vertices of $B_{2}$. Then it is obviously $\left|D \cap B_{2}\right| \geq 5$ and therefore $|D| \geq|S|$.

Case 1.2. $n=6 m+2$.
We first assume that $n \geq 20$ and consider the component $C_{2}$ now.
LEMMA 8. There is no dominating set $D$ such that $\left|D \cap E^{\prime}\right| \leq 1$.
Proof. To dominate the vertices of $E^{\prime}$ we clearly need at least two vertices which are contained in $E^{\prime}$ since the vertices of $\left(P_{5}\right)_{n}$ cannot be dominated by vertices not in $E^{\prime}$.

Let $D$ be any dominating set. Again we assume that there are $s$ blocks containing only three vertices of $D$. Since it may happen that $\left|B_{m} \cap D\right|=3$ holds in this case, Lemma 7 now only implies that there are $s$ blocks containing at least 5 vertices of $D$. But together with Lemma 8 this is again sufficient to show that $|D| \geq|S|$.

Let $\mathrm{n}=8$. From $\left|D \cap B_{1}\right| \geq 4$ (Lemma 5) and from Lemma 8 we get $\mid D \geq S$.
Let $\mathrm{n}=14$. If $\left|D \cap B_{1}\right|=4$, these 4 vertices cannot dominate any vertex of $B_{2}$. Vertices of $E^{\prime}$ can at most dominate the column $\left(P_{5}\right)_{12}$ of $B_{2}$. Then at least $\left(P_{5}\right)_{7}, \ldots,\left(P_{5}\right)_{11}$ and one vertex of $\left(P_{5}\right)_{6}$ are dominated by the vertices of $B_{2}$. This implies that $\left|D \cap B_{2}\right| \geq 4$. Together with Lemma 8 it follows that $|D| \geq|S|$.

Case 1.3. $n=6 m+4$.
We again consider the component $C_{1}$.
LEMMA 9. $\left|D \cap\left(B_{m} \cup E^{\prime}\right)\right|>6$ for any dominating set $D$.
Proof. $\left|D \cap\left(B_{m} \cup E^{\prime}\right)\right| \leq 5$ cannot hold by the fact that for every $D$, we have $\left|D \cap E^{\prime}\right| \geq 3$ and Lemma 6.

Assume that $\left|D \cap\left(B_{m} \cup E^{\prime}\right)\right|=6$. Then $E^{\prime}$ and $B_{m}$ both must contain exactly three vertices of $D$. As we have already seen in the proof of Lemma 10, $\left|D \cap\left(P_{5}\right)_{n-4}\right|=0$ must hold if $\left|B_{m} \cap D\right|=3$. Hence the three vertices of $D$ in $E^{\prime}$ must dominate all vertices of $E^{\prime}$. But this is only possible if $\left|\left(P_{5}\right)_{n}{ }_{3} \cap D\right|=0$. Hence the two vertices of $\left(P_{5}\right)_{n-4}$ must be dominated by vertices of $\left(P_{5}\right)_{n-5}$. But this immediately implies that $B_{m}$ contains at least four vertices of $D$.
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Lemma 10. If $\left|D \cap\left(E^{\prime} \cup B_{m}\right)\right|=7$ then $\left|D \cap\left(E^{\prime} \cup B_{m} \cup B_{m-1}\right)\right| \geq 12$.
Proof. By Lemma $9, D \cap\left(E^{\prime} \cup B_{m}\right)$ contains at least seven vertices. If $D \cap B_{m}$ now contains only three vertices of $D$, then $B_{m-1}$ contains at least five vertices of $D$ by Lemma 7 .

Let $\left|B_{m} \cap D\right|=4$. Then $\left|E^{\prime} \cap D\right|=3$. If all vertices of $\left(P_{5}\right)_{n-3}$ are dominated by vertices of $B_{m}$, then $\left|\left(P_{5}\right)_{n-4} \cap D\right|=2$ and $\left|B_{m} \cap D\right|>4$, a contradiction.

Let $\left|\left(P_{5}\right)_{n-4} \cap D\right|=1$. Without loss of generality we can assume that ( $2, n-4$ ) $\in D$. Then ( $4, n-4$ ) cannot be dominated by a vertex of $E^{\prime}$ since $\left|E^{\prime} \cap D\right|=3$ cannot hold if a vertex of $\left(P_{5}\right)_{n-3}$ is contained in $D$. Hence $\left|\left(P_{5}\right)_{n-5} \cap D\right| \geq 1$ must hold. But in this case we immediately get a contradiction to $\left|D \cap B_{m}\right|=4$.

Hence $\left|\left(P_{5}\right)_{n-4} \cap D\right|=0$. Then, since $\left|E^{\prime} \cap D\right|=3$, also $\left|\left(P_{5}\right)_{n-3} \cap D\right|=0$. So all vertices of $B_{m}$, except those of the column $\left(P_{5}\right)_{n-9}$ must be dominated by vertices of $B_{m}$. Since $\left|B_{m} \cap D\right|=4$, this implies that either $\{(3, n-9),(2, n-6)$, $(4, n-6),(3, n-5)\} \subset D$ or $\{(3, n-7),(2, n-6),(4, n-6),(3, n-5)\} \subset D$. In both cases the vertices $(1, n-9)$ and $(5, n-9)$ must be dominated by vertices of $\left(P_{5}\right)_{n-10}$. Hence $(2, n-10) \in D$ and $(4, n-10) \in D$. But the vertices of the columns $\left(P_{5}\right)_{n-12},\left(P_{5}\right)_{n-13}$ and $\left(P_{5}\right)_{n-14}$ are also dominated by vertices of $B_{m-1}$ which immediately implies that $\left|D \cap B_{m-1}\right| \geq 5$.

We now assume that there exist $s$ blocks $B_{j_{i}}, 1 \leq s, j_{i}<m-1$, with $\left|B_{j_{2}} \cap D\right|=3$. Of course $j_{i}>1$ holds for all $j_{i}, 1 \leq i \leq s$, by Lemma 5 . Then by Lemma 7 there are also $s$ blocks $B_{k_{i}}, k_{i} \notin\{m-1, m\}, 1 \leq i \leq s$, with $\left|B_{h}, \cap D\right| \geq 5$. This again implies that $|D| \geq|S|$ for every dominating set $D$.

Finally, let $\left|D \cap\left(B_{m} \cup E^{\prime}\right)\right| \geq 8$. Again we assume that there are $s$ blocks $B_{j_{2}}, j_{i} \leq m-1$, which contain only three vertices of $D$. As above Lemma 7 now immediately implies that $|D| \geq|S|$.

Let $n=10$. By Lemma $5,\left|D \cap B_{1}\right| \geq 4$ holds. If $\left|D \cap B_{1}\right|=4$, the vertices of $E^{\prime}$ must dominate $E^{\prime}$ and at least one vertex of $B_{1}$. Then $\left|D \cap E^{\prime}\right| \geq 4$, and $|D| \geq|S|$. If $\left|D \cap B_{1}\right|=5$, the statement follows from Lemma 9 .

Let $n=16$. Same as in Lemma 9, $\left|D \cap\left(B_{m} \cup E^{\prime}\right)\right|>6$. If $\left|D \cap B_{2}\right|=3$, then as in Lemma 7 it follows $\left|D \cap B_{1}\right| \geq 5$, and hence $|D| \geq|S|$.

Let $\left|D \cap B_{2}\right|=4$ and $\left|D \cap E^{\prime}\right|=3$. Three vertices in $E^{\prime}$ cannot dominate any vertex from $\left(P_{5}\right)_{12}$. As we have already seen, four vertices cannot dominate all vertices of $5 \times 6$ block. Some vertices of $\left(P_{5}\right)_{7}$ are dominated by vertices from $B_{1}$. By the same arguments as in Lemma 10 it follows $\left|D \cap B_{1}\right| \geq 5$, and $|D| \geq|S|$.

Case 2. $n$ is odd.
Case 2.1. $n=6 m+1$.
We first consider the component $C_{2}$.
Lemma 11. If $\left|D \cap E^{\prime}\right|=0$, then there exists at least 1 block $B$ such that $|D \cap B| \geq 6$, or at least 2 blocks $B_{i}, B_{j}$, such that $\left|D \cap B_{i}\right|=\left|D \cap B_{j}\right|=5$.
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Proof. $E^{\prime}$ contains two vertices. We first consider the following two characteristic possibilities to dominate them:
a) $(3, n-1) \in D,(1, n-1),(5, n-1) \notin D$
b) $(1, n-1),(5, n-1) \in D,(3, n-1) \notin D$.

Case a) $(3, n-1) \in D,(1, n-1),(5, n-1) \notin D$.
Since $(1, n-1)$ and $(5, n-1)$ are not in $D$, they must be dominated by the vertices $(2, n-2)$ and $(4, n-2)$. But then the vertices of the columns $\left(P_{5}\right)_{n-4}$, $\left(P_{5}\right)_{n-5}$ and $\left(P_{5}\right)_{n-6}$ are still not dominated. If all those vertices are dominated by vertices of $B_{m}$, then $\left|B_{m} \cap D\right| \geq 6$ holds. If $B_{m}$ is external this is clearly satisfied.

If $\left|B_{m} \cap D\right|=5$, then at least one vertex of the first column $\left(\left(P_{5}\right)_{n-6}\right)$ of $B_{m}$ is dominated by a vertex of $B_{m-1}$. Hence the last column of $B_{m-1}$ contains at least one vertex of $D$. This immediately implies that $B_{m-1}$ contains at least four vertices of $D$ (cf. proof of Lemma 7). If $B_{m-1}$ contains exactly four vertices of $D$, then again at least one vertex of the first column of $B_{m-1}$ is dominated by a vertex of the adjacent block. Continuing this way we obtain that there must be a second $5 \times 6$ block besides $B_{m}$ which contains at least five vertices of $D$. At least the external block $B_{1}$ must have this property.

Case b) $(1, n-1),(5, n-1) \in D,(3, n-1) \notin D$.
In this case we have to dominate the vertex $(3, n-1)$ by a vertex of the column $\left(P_{5}\right)_{n-2}$. Without loss of generality we assume that $(4, n-2) \in D$. Then the vertex $(1, n-3)$ and the vertices of the columns $\left(P_{5}\right)_{n-4},\left(P_{5}\right)_{n-5},\left(P_{5}\right)_{n-6}$ are still not dominated. To dominate these vertices we need at least three vertices. If these three vertices are all contained in $B_{m}$, then our first assertion holds. Hence $\left|B_{m} \cap D\right| \geq 6$ is always satisfied if $B_{m}$ is external.

If $B_{m}$ is internal then $B_{m}$ may only contain five vertices of $D$. But in this case at least one vertex of the first column of $B_{m}$ must be dominated by a vertex of $B_{m-1}$. As in the above case we can now conclude that there exist at least one more $5 \times 6$ block which contains at least five vertices of $D$.

All other possibilities (e.g. if all vertices of $\left(P_{5}\right)_{n-1}$ are contained in $D$ ) lead to the same results using quite similar arguments.

Lemma 12. If $\left|D \cap E^{\prime}\right|=1$, then exists at least 1 block $B$ such that $|D \cap B| \geq 5$.

Proof. $E^{\prime}$ consists of 2 vertices: $(2, n)$ and $(4, n)$. W.l.o.g. we only consider the case $(2, n) \in D$.

Let $n=7$. Then we have only one $5 \times 6$ block $B_{1}$. If $(2,7) \in D$, then $(4,7)$ is undominated. To dominate it we need at least one vertex from the $\left(P_{5}\right)_{6}$. Only the vertices $(3,6)$ and $(5,6)$ dominate vertex $(4,7)$.
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If $(3,6) \in D$ then the vertices of $\left(P_{5}\right)_{5}$ are dominated, but the vertex $(5,6)$ and the columns $\left(P_{5}\right)_{1},\left(P_{5}\right)_{2},\left(P_{5}\right)_{3},\left(P_{5}\right)_{4}$ are undominated. To dominate these vertices we need at least four more vertices of $B_{1}$. So $\left|D \cap B_{1}\right| \geq 5$.

The same holds if $(5,6)$ is in $D$.
Let $n>7$. Then we can dominate all or some vertices in the first column of $B_{m}$ (column $\left.\left(P_{5}\right)_{n-6}\right)$ by vertices of the column $\left(P_{5}\right)_{n-7}$. Then we have $\left|D \cap B_{m}\right| \geq 4$, and in the column $\left(P_{5}\right)_{n-7}$ we have at least one dominating vertex. Using the same arguments as in the proof of Lemma 11, Case a), we obtain that there exists at least one (maybe $B_{1}$ ) block $B$ such that $|D \cap B| \geq 5$.

Let $D$ now be any dominating set of $C_{2}$, and $n \geq 19$. We assume that there are $s 5 \times 6$ blocks which contain only three vertices of $D$. By Lemma 7 we then have $s+1$ blocks containing at least five vertices of $D$. If $E^{\prime}$ contains no vertex of $D$, then Lemma 11 implies that there are two blocks with at least 5 vertices. At most one of these blocks coincides with one of the former $s+1$ blocks. Hence we have at least $s+2$ blocks with five vertices of $D$ if $\left|E^{\prime} \cap D\right|=0$ and $\left|B_{i} \cap D\right|=3$ for $s 5 \times 6$ blocks $B_{i}$. Therefore $|D| \geq|S|$ in this case.

If $E^{\prime}$ contains one vertex of $D$, then analogously Lemma 12 implies that there are at least $s+15 \times 6$ blocks which contain at least five vertices of $D$ if there are $s$ blocks which contain only three vertices of $D$. Again $|D| \geq|S|$.

If $\left|E^{\prime} \cap D\right|=2$, then $|D| \geq|S|$ immediately follows from Lemma 7 .
For $n=7$, and $n=13,|D| \geq|S|$ follows from Lemma 12.
In the sequel we consider the component $C_{1}$ :
The following two results can be shown analogously to the above.
Lemma 13. If $\left|D \cap E^{\prime}\right|=0$, then there either exist at least 2 blocks $B_{i}, B_{j}$ such that $\left|D \cap B_{i}\right| \geq 5$ and $\left|D \cap B_{j}\right| \geq 5$, or there exists at least 1 block $B$ such that $|D \cap B| \geq 6$ for $n \geq 13$.

Lemma 14. If $\left|D \cap E^{\prime}\right|=1$, then there exists at least 1 block $B$ such that $|D \cap B| \geq 5$.

Lemma 15. If $\left|D \cap E^{\prime}\right|=2$, then $|D| \geq|S|$.
Proof. Also in this case at least one vertex of $E^{\prime}$ must be dominated by a vertex of the last column of $B_{k}$. Therefore $\left|B_{k} \cap D\right| \geq 4$ and the result follows immediately.

Finally we can again argue as above to show that $|D| \geq|S|$ if $\left|E^{\prime} \cap D\right|=0$ or $\left|E^{\prime} \cap D\right|=1$ for any dominating set $D$. If $E^{\prime}$ contains two vertices of $D$ then our result holds by Lemma 15 . If $E^{\prime}$ contains three vertices of $D$, then $\left|B_{k} \cap D\right| \geq 3$ still holds. Together with Lemma 7 this again implies that $|D| \geq|S|$.

For $n=13$ the result holds by Lemma 13 .
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Case 2.2. $n=6 m+3$.
We first consider the component $C_{2}$.

## LEMMA 16.

1) There is no dominating set $D$ such that $\left|D \cap E^{\prime}\right| \leq 1$.
2) If $\left|D \cap E^{\prime}\right|=2$, then there exists at least 1 block $B$, such that $|D \cap B| \geq 5$.

Proof.

1) At most the first column of $E^{\prime}$ can be dominated by vertices not in $E^{\prime}$. Then 1 block of size $5 \times 2$ remains undominated. To dominate it we need at least 2 vertices of $E^{\prime}$.
2) If $E^{\prime}$ contains only two vertices of $D$, then it does not matter which two vertices of $E^{\prime}$ are contained in $D$, at least one vertex of the column $\left(P_{5}\right)_{n-3}$ must be dominated by a vertex of the adjacent $5 \times 6$ block $B_{m}$. Then we have the same situation as in the proof of Lemma 11 above, and our result follows by using similar arguments.

If $E^{\prime}$ contains only two vertices of $D$, then we can combine Lemma 16 and Lemma 7 as above, to obtain that $|D| \geq|S|$ holds. If $E^{\prime}$ contains at least three vertices of a dominating set $D$, then $\left|D \cap E^{\prime}\right| \geq\left|S \cap E^{\prime}\right|$ and Lemma 7 again implies that $|D| \geq|S|$.

We now consider the component $C_{1}$.
The next two results can be shown in the same way as the corresponding Lemmas for the component $C_{2}$.

Lemma 17.

1) There is no dominating set $D$ such that $\left|D \cap E^{\prime}\right| \leq 1$.
2) If $\left|D \cap E^{\prime}\right|=2$, then there exists at least 1 block $B$ such that $|D \cap B| \geq 5$.

The final conclusions that $|D| \geq|S|$ can now be done as for $C_{2}$ above.
Let $n=15$. We will consider the component $C_{1}$. For $C_{2}$ the proof is similar. By Lemma $5\left|D \cap B_{1}\right| \geq 4$. If $\left|D \cap B_{1}\right|=4$, By Lemma 17 it follows that $\left|D \cap B_{2}\right| \geq 5$ and $\left|D \cap E^{\prime}\right| \geq 2$. For such $D$, we have $|D| \geq|S|$.

Let $\left|D \cap B_{1}\right| \geq 5$. Then by Lemma $6\left|D \cap B_{2}\right| \geq 3$. If $\left|D \cap B_{2}\right|=3$, then by the same arguments as in Lemma 7, it follows that $\left|\left(P_{5}\right)_{11} \cap D\right|=0$ and then $\left|D \cap E^{\prime}\right|=3$. So in this case it also holds that $|D| \geq|S|$.

Case 2.3. $n=6 m+5$.
We first consider the component $C_{2}$.

## LEMMA 18.

1) There is no dominating set $D$ such that $\left|D \cap E^{\prime}\right| \leq 2$.
2) If $\left|D \cap E^{\prime}\right|=3$, then $\left|D \cap B_{m}\right| \geq 5$.

Proof.

1) Only $\left(P_{5}\right)_{n-4}$ of $E^{\prime}$ can be dominated by vertices not in $E^{\prime}$. To dominate the other four columns of $E^{\prime}$ we need at least 3 vertices.
2) If $E^{\prime}$ contains only three vertices of $D$, then $E^{\prime} \cap D=\{(3, n-1),(2, n-2)$, $(4, n-2)\}$ must hold. Hence both vertices of the column $\left(P_{5}\right)_{n-4}$ are dominated by vertices of the column $\left(P_{5}\right)_{n-5}$. As in the analogous lemmas for $n=6 m+1$ or $n=6 m+3$ our assertion now follows.

Also the fact that $|D| \geq|S|$ in this case now follows as above for $n=6 m+1$ or $n=6 m+3$.

We now consider the component $C_{1}$. Again the two auxiliary results follow with the same arguments as in former cases.
Lemma 19.

1) There is no dominating set $D$ such that $\left|D \cap E^{\prime}\right| \leq 2$.
2) If $\left|D \cap E^{\prime}\right|=3$, then there exists at least one block $B$ such that $|D \cap B|$ $\geq 5$.

Proof.

1) It is easy to check.
2) In this case we have two possibilities for the set $D \cap E^{\prime}$, namely $\{(2, n-1)$, $(4, n-1),(3, n-2)\}$ or $\{(2, n-1),(4, n-1),(3, n-4)\}$. But in both cases the vertices $(2, n-5)$ and $(4, n-5)$ must be contained in $D$, which immediately implies that $\left|B_{m} \cap D\right| \geq 5$.

The final conclusions that $|D| \geq|S|$ are now again done as above if $n \geq 23$.
Let $n=17$. We will consider the component $C_{2}$. For $C_{1}$ the proof is similar. By Lemma $18\left|D \cap E^{\prime}\right| \geq 3$ holds. If $\left|D \cap E^{\prime}\right|=3$, then $\left|D \cap B_{2}\right| \geq 5$. Let $\left|D \cap B_{2}\right|=5$. Then at least one vertex of the column $\left(P_{5}\right)_{7}$ is dominated by vertices of $B_{1}$. Then $\left|D \cap B_{1}\right| \geq 5$ and $|D|>|S|$.

Let $\left|D \cap E^{\prime}\right|=4$ and $\left|D \cap B_{1}\right|=4$. By Lemma $6\left|D \cap B_{2}\right| \geq 3$ holds. If $\left|D \cap B_{2}\right|=3$, then $(2,9)$ and $(4,9)$ must be in $D$. Hence the vertices of $\left(P_{5}\right)_{7}$ are dominated by vertices of $\left(P_{5}\right)_{6}$. This is a contradiction to $\left|D \cap B_{1}\right|=4$. Hence $\left|D \cap B_{2}\right| \geq 4$ and $|D|>|S|$.
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