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# A THEOREM ABOUT CARATHÉODORY'S SUPERPOSITION 

ZBIGNIEW GRANDE


#### Abstract

Let $\mathbb{R}$ be the set of reals, and let $Y$ be a separable Banach space. Suppose that $D$ is a nonempty open subset of $\mathbb{R} \times Y$ and $f: D \rightarrow Y$ is a locally bounded function having the sections $f_{x}(u)=f(x, u)$ equicontinuous and the sections $f^{y}(v)=f(v, y)$ being derivatives. Then for every continuous function $g: I \rightarrow Y(I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an interval and $(x, g(x)) \in D$ for $x \in I)$ Carathéodory's superposition $h(u)=f(u, g(u))$ is a derivative. Some applications of this theorem to the ordinary differential equations are shown.


## I. The theorem about the Carathéodory superposition

Denote by $\mathbb{R}$ the set of reals. Let $Y$ be a separable Banach space, and let $D \subset \mathbb{R} \times Y$ be a nonempty open set. A function $f: A \rightarrow Y(A \subset \mathbb{R})$ is measurable (in the Lebesgue sense) if $f^{-1}(U)$ is measurable ( $L$ ) for every open set $U \subset Y$. Observe that the separability of the space $Y$ implies that a function $f: A \rightarrow Y$ is strongly measurable in the sense of [8]. A locally Bochner integrable function $f: I \rightarrow Y(I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an interval) is said to be a derivative at a point $x \in I$ if

$$
\lim _{h \rightarrow 0}(1 / h) \int_{x}^{x+h} f(u) \mathrm{d} u=f(x) \quad([1],[4],[6])
$$

In this article we assume that:
(H) $f: D \rightarrow Y$ is a locally bounded function such that all its sections $f^{y}(u)=f(u, y)(u \in \mathbb{R}, y \in Y)$ are derivatives and all its sections $f_{u}(y)=f(u, y)$ are equicontinuous at each point $y_{0} \in Y$ (i.m. for every $r>0$ there is $s>0$ such that for every $y \in Y$ with $\left\|y-y_{0}\right\|<s$ we have for each $u \in \mathbb{R},\left\|f(u, y)-f\left(u, y_{0}\right)\right\|<r$.
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REMARK 1. If $f: I \rightarrow Y(I$ is a finite interval in $\mathbb{R})$ is measurable and bounded, then $f$ is a Bochner integrable function.

Proof. Indeed, it is an easy consequence of the well-known Bochner theo$\operatorname{rem}([8]$, p. 43, Th. 3.5.2.).

Theorem 1. Assume (H). Then for every continuous function $g: I \rightarrow Y$, where $I$ is an interval and $(u, g(u)) \in D$ for $u \in I$, the Carathéodory superposition $h(u)=f(u, g(u))$ is a derivative.

Proof. Fix a point $x_{0} \in I$. Since $f$ is locally bounded, there are $r$, $M>0$ such that $\|h(u)\| \leq M$ if $\left|u-x_{0}\right| \leq r$. Remark that the function $h$ is measurable [11]. We have for $u \in I$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\int_{x_{0}}^{u}\left(\left(h(t)-h\left(x_{0}\right)\right) /\left(u-x_{0}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} t\right\| \\
= & \left\|\left(1 /\left(u-x_{0}\right)\right) \int_{x_{0}}^{u}\left(f(t, g(t))-f\left(t, g\left(x_{0}\right)\right)+f\left(t, g\left(x_{0}\right)\right)-f\left(x_{0}, g\left(x_{0}\right)\right)\right) \mathrm{d} t\right\| \\
\leq & \left\|\left(1 /\left(u-x_{0}\right)\right) \int_{x_{0}}^{u}\left(f(t, g(t))-f\left(t, g\left(x_{0}\right)\right)\right) \mathrm{d} t\right\| \\
& +\left\|\left(1 /\left(u-x_{0}\right)\right) \int_{x_{0}}^{u}\left(f\left(t, g\left(x_{0}\right)\right)-f\left(x_{0}, g\left(x_{0}\right)\right)\right) \mathrm{d} t\right\| \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Since the section $t \mapsto f\left(t, g\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$ is a derivative at $x_{0}$, so

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{u \rightarrow x_{0}}\left(1 /\left(u-x_{0}\right)\right) & \int_{x_{0}}^{u}\left(f\left(t, g\left(x_{0}\right)\right)-f\left(x_{0}, g\left(x_{0}\right)\right)\right) \mathrm{d} t \\
= & \lim _{u \rightarrow x_{0}}\left(1 /\left(u-x_{0}\right)\right) \int_{x_{0}}^{u} f\left(t, g\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} t-f\left(x_{0}, g\left(x_{0}\right)\right)=0 \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Fix a positive number $e$. It follows from the equicontinuity of the sections $f_{u}$ at $g\left(x_{0}\right)$ that there is $s>0$ such that $\left\|f(u, y)-f\left(u, g\left(x_{0}\right)\right)\right\|<e$ for every $y$ with $\left\|y-g\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|<s \quad((u, y) \in D)$. There is also a number $z>0$ such that
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$\left\|g(u)-g\left(x_{0}\right)\right\|<s$ for every $u$ with $\left|u-x_{0}\right|<z(u \in I)$. If $\left|u-x_{0}\right|<z$, we have $\left\|f(t, g(t))-f\left(t, g\left(x_{0}\right)\right)\right\|<e$ for $t \in\left[x_{0}, u\right]$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|\left(1 /\left(u-x_{0}\right)\right) \int_{x_{0}}^{u} & \left(f(t, g(t))-f\left(t, g\left(x_{0}\right)\right)\right) \mathrm{d} t \| \\
& \leq\left(1 /\left|u-x_{0}\right|\right)\left|\int_{x_{0}}^{u}\left\|f(t, g(t))-f\left(t, g\left(x_{0}\right)\right)\right\| \mathrm{d} t\right| \\
& \leq\left(1 /\left|u-x_{0}\right|\right)\left|\int_{x_{0}}^{u} e \mathrm{~d} t\right|=\left(e\left|u-x_{0}\right|\right)\left|u-x_{0}\right|=e
\end{aligned}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{u-x_{0}}\left\|\left(1 /\left(u-x_{0}\right)\right) \int_{x_{0}}^{u}\left(f(t, g(t))-f\left(t, g\left(x_{0}\right)\right)\right) \mathrm{d} t\right\|=0 . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (1), (2), (3) that $\lim _{u \rightarrow x_{0}}\left(1 /\left(u-x_{0}\right)\right) \int_{x_{0}}^{u} h(t) \mathrm{d} t=h\left(x_{0}\right)$ and the proof is complete.

Example 1. There is a function $f:[0,1]^{2} \rightarrow[0,1]$ such that all its sections $f_{x}$, and $f^{y}$ are continuous, $f(0,0)=0$, and $f(x, x)=1$ for $x \in(0,1]$ ([5]). Remark that $h(u)=f(u, u)$ is not a derivative.

## II. Applications to the differential equations

In this Section we show some application of Theorem 1 to the ordinary differential equations.

## $1^{\circ}$. Picard theorems.

It follows immediately from Theorem 1 :
Remark 2. Assume $(\mathrm{H})$. If $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ is an interval and $g: I \rightarrow Y$ is a continuous function such that

$$
g(u)=y_{0}+\int_{u_{0}}^{u} f(t, g(t)) \mathrm{d} t \quad \text { for } \quad u \in I \quad\left(u_{0} \in I\right)
$$

then $g^{\prime}(u)=f(u, g(u))$ for $u \in I$ and $g\left(u_{0}\right)=y_{0}$.

THEOREM 2. Assume (H). If $f$ satisfies the local Lipschitz condition with respect to $y$ on $D$, then:
(a) every solution of the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{\prime}(u)=f(u, y(u)) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

has an extension which is a global solution of (4);
(b) every global solution of (4) is defined on an open interval;
(c) for every $\left(u_{0}, y_{0}\right) \in D$ there is exactly one global solution of (4) which satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
y\left(u_{0}\right)=y_{0} . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Because the above Remark 2 holds it suffices to repeat the proof of the classical Picard theorem from [9] (pp. 194-196).

Theorem 3. Assume $(\mathrm{H})$, where $D=(a, b) \times Y$. If for every closed interval $I \subset(a, b) f$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition with respect to $y$ on $I \times Y$, then every solution of (4) has some extension on the interval ( $a, b$ ). Moreover the global solution $y$ of the equation (4) which satisfies (5) is the limit of uniformly convergent (on every closed interval $I \subset(a, b)$ ) sequence of the approximations

$$
y_{0}(u)=u_{0}, \quad y_{n}(u)=u_{0}+\int_{u_{0}}^{u} f\left(t, y_{n-1}(t)\right) \mathrm{d} t, \quad n=1,2, \ldots
$$

Proof. Remark 2 enables to repeat the proof of Theorem 2 from [9], (pp. 197-198).

The following Remark 3 shows the range of the generalization of the classical Picard theorems by Theorems 2 and 3.

Remark 3. Assume (H) and denote by $L_{K}$ the set of all functions $f$ satisfying (H), which satisfy the Lipschitz condition with the constant $K$ in $y$ on $D$ and by $C_{K}$ - the set of all continuous functions being in $L_{K}$. For $g, h \in L_{K}$ let

$$
p(g, h)=\min \left(1, \sup _{(u, y) \in D}\|g(u, y)-h(u, y)\|\right)
$$

Remark that $L_{K}$ is a complete metric space with the distance $p$ and $C_{K}$ is a closed subset of $L_{K}$. We prove that it is nowhere dense in $L_{K}$. Let $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow[0,1]$
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be an approximately continuous function such that $g^{-1}(0)$ is a dense subset of $\mathbb{R}$ of measure zero ([1] and [12]). Evidently $g$ is not continuous at every point $u \notin g^{-1}(0)$. Moreover $g$ is a derivative ([12], [1], [6], [4]). Fix $f \in C_{K}$ and $r>0$. Put

$$
h(u, y)=f(u, y)+r g(u) y_{0}
$$

where $(u, y) \in D$ and $y_{0} \in Y$ is such that $\left\|y_{0}\right\|=1$. Then $h \in L_{k}-C_{k}$ and $p(f, h) \leq r$. So $C_{K}$ is nowhere dense in $L_{K}$.

## $2^{\circ}$. Extension theorem.

Theorem 4. Assume $(\mathrm{H})$, where $Y=\mathbb{R}^{\boldsymbol{m}}$. Every solution $g: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ of the equation (4) can be extended (as a solution) over a maximal interval of existence $(c, d)$ to a global solution $h:(c, d) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$. Moreover if $\lim _{t \rightarrow x}(t, h(t))=\left(x, y_{0}\right)$, then $\left(x, y_{0}\right) \in \operatorname{Fr} D$, where $\operatorname{Fr} D$ denotes the boundary of $D$ and $x=c$ or $d$.

Proof. Remark 2 enables to repeat the proof of Theorem 3.1 from [7] (p. 13).

## $3^{\circ}$. Carathéodory equations.

REMARK 4. Assume $(\mathrm{H})$, where $Y=\mathbb{R}^{m}$. If an absolutely continuous function $g: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$ satisfies the differential equation (4) almost everywhere on the interval $I$, then $g$ satisfies (4) everywhere on I. So every Carathéodory solution of (4) is a solution (in the ordinary sense) of this equation.

Proof. This remark is an immediate consequence of Remark 2.
As an easy consequence of the above remark and Theorem 1 from [3, p. 7], or Theorem 2 from [3, p. 8] we obtain the following two results:

TheOrem 5. Assume (H), where $Y=\mathbb{R}^{m}$ and $D=\left[t_{0}, t_{0}+a\right] \times\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{m}\right.$ : $\left.\left|y-y_{0}\right|<b\right\} \quad(a, b>0)$. Suppose that there is an integrable function $k:\left[t_{0}, t_{0}+a\right] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $|f(t, y)| \leq k(t)$ for every $(t, y) \in D$. Let

$$
g(u)=\int_{t_{0}}^{u} k(s) \mathrm{d} s \quad \text { for } \quad t_{0} \leq u \leq t_{0}+a
$$

Then for every $d$ such that $0<d \leq a$ and $g\left(t_{0}+d\right) \leq b$ there is a solution $y$ of (4) satisfying (5) and defined on the interval $\left[t_{0}, t_{0}+d\right]$.

THEOREM 6. Assume $(\mathrm{H})$, where $Y=\mathbb{R}^{\boldsymbol{m}}$. Let $\left(u_{0}, y_{0}\right) \in D$. If there is an integrable function $k: \operatorname{Pr} D \rightarrow \mathbb{R}(\operatorname{Pr} D$ denotes the projection of $D$ on $\mathbb{R})$ such that $\|f(u, y)-f(u, x)\| \leq k(u)|x-y|$ for $(u, x),(u, y) \in D$, then the equation (4) has at most one solution $y$ in $D$ such that (5).

From Remark 4 and the Theorem proved by De Blasi and Myjak in [2] we get:

TheOrem 7. Assume (H), where $Y=\mathbb{R}^{m}$ and $D=[0,1] \times U$ and $U$ is the open ball in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ with center $y_{0}$. and radius $r_{0}>0$. Let $J=[0, T]$, where $0<T \leq 1$ be such that $\int_{0}^{T}(k(t)+1) \mathrm{d} t<r_{0}$ and $k:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be an integrable function such that $\|f(t, y)\| \leq k(t)$ for each $(t, y) \in D$. Then the set of all solutions $y$ of (4) satisfying the condition $y(0)=y_{0}$ and defined on $J$ is an $R_{\delta}$-set in the space $C\left(J, \mathbb{R}^{m}\right)$ of all continuous functions from $J$ to $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ with the norm of uniform convergence.

Recall that a subset of metric space is called an $R_{\delta}$-set if it is the intersection of a decreasing sequence of (nonempty) compact absolute retracts.

Now, for a bounded $X \subset Y$ denote by $\alpha(X)$ the greatest lower bound of such numbers $r>0$ that $X$ can be covered by a finite number of sets with the diameter not larger than $r$. We shall call a Kamke function every function $w:[0, a] \times \mathbb{R}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{+}$such that all sections $w_{t}$ are continuous, all sections $w^{y}$ are measurable, $w(t, 0)=0$ for $t \in[0, a]$ and $y(t)=0$ is the only continuous solution of the inequality

$$
y(t) \leq \int_{0}^{t} w(s, y(s)) \mathrm{d} s
$$

satisfying the condition $y(0)=0$.
From Remark 4 and Pi anigiani's Theorem [10] there follows immediately the following theorem:

Theorem 8. Let $D$ be the rectangle $0 \leq t \leq a,\left\|y-y_{0}\right\|<b$. Assume (H) and suppose that $\|f\| \leq M>0$ and for each bounded set $A \subset Y$ for almost every $t \in I$, there holds $\lim _{\delta \rightarrow 0} \alpha\left(f\left(I_{t, \delta}, A\right)\right) \leq w(t, \alpha(A))$, where $I=[0, \beta]$, $\beta=\min (a, b / M), I_{t, \delta}=(t-\delta, t+\delta)$. Then there exists at least one solution of the Cauchy problem $y(t)=f(t, y(t)), y(0)=y_{0}$ defined on $[0, \beta]$.

## REFERENCES

[1] BRUCKNER, A. M.: Differentiation of Real Functions. Lecture Notes Math. 659, Sprin-ger-Verlag, Berlin-Heidelberg-New York, 1978.

## A THEOREM ABOUT CARATHÉODORY'S SUPERPOSITION

[2] DE BLASI, F. S.-MYJAK, J.: On the solutions sets for differential inclusions, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Math. 33 (1985), 17-24.
[3] FILIPPOV, A. F.: Differential Equations with Discontinuous Right-hand Side. (Russian), Nauka, Moscow, 1985.
[4] GRANDE, Z.: Sur un théorème de Nikodym, Demonstratio Math. 14 (1981), 459-469.
[5] GRANDE, Z.: Quelques remarques sur les fonctions presque continues, Probl. Mat. 10 (1988), 63-70.
[6] GRANDE, Z.-RZEPKA, D.: Sur le produit de deux dérivées, Real Anal. Exchange 6 (1980-81), 95-110.
[7] HARTMAN, PH.: Ordinary Differential Equations, J. Wiley, New York-Sydney, 1954.
[8] HILLE, E.: Functional Analysis and Semi-groups, Amer. Math. Soc., New York, 1948.
[9] KOLODZIEJ, W.: Mathematical Analysis. (Polish), PWN, Warszawa, 1979.
[10] PIANIGIANI, G.: Existence of solutions for ordinary differential equations in Banach spaces, Bull. Polish Acad. Sci. Mat., Astron., Phys. 23 (1975), 853-857.
[11] SZRAGIN, W.: The conditions for the measurability of the superposition. (Russian), Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR 197 (1971), 295-298.
[12] ZAHORSKI, Z. : Sur la première dérivée, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 69 (1950), 1-54.

Department of Mathematics
Pedagogical University
ul. Arciszewskiego 22 b
76-200 Stupsk
Poland


[^0]:    AMS Subject Classification (1991): Primary 26B40. Secondary 34G20, 34A46.
    Key words: Carathéodory superposition, Cauchy problem, Picard's theorems.

