# Ali M. Sarigöl; Hüseyin Bor On two summability methods

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 43 (1993), No. 3, 317--325

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/129478

## Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 1993

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz



Math. Slovaca, 43 (1993), No. 3, 317-325

# **ON TWO SUMMABILITY METHODS**

M. ALİ SARIGÖL — H. BOR

(Communicated by Ladislav Mišík)

ABSTRACT. The purpose of this paper is to establish some relations between the  $|\mathbf{R}, p_n|_k$  and  $|\mathbf{C}, \alpha|_k$  summability, where  $\alpha > 0$  and  $k \ge 1$ .

#### 1. Definitions and notations

Let  $\sum a_n$  be an infinite series with sequence of its partial sums  $(s_n)$  and let  $\mathbf{T} = (a_{nv})$  be an infinite matrix. Suppose that

$$T_n = \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} a_{nv} s_v$$
 (*n* = 0, 1, ...) (1)

exists (i.e., the series on the right-hand side converges for each n). If  $(T_n) \in b_v$ , i.e.,

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |T_n - T_{n-1}| < \infty \qquad (T_{-1} = 0)$$
<sup>(2)</sup>

then the series  $\sum a_n$  is said to be absolutely summable by the matrix **T**, or simple, summable  $|\mathbf{T}|$ . As known, the series  $\sum a_n$  is said to be  $|\mathbf{R}, p_n|$  summable if (2) holds when **T** is a Riesz matrix. By a Riesz matrix we denote one that

$$a_{nv} = p_v/P_n$$
 for  $0 \le v \le n$ ,  $a_{nv} = 0$  for  $v > n$ ,

where  $(p_n)$  is a sequence of positive real numbers and  $P_n = p_0 + p_1 + \cdots + p_n$ ,  $P_{-1} = 0$ .

Let  $(T_n)$  be given by (1). If

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} |T_n - T_{n-1}|^k < \infty , \qquad (3)$$

AMS Subject Classification (1991): Primary 40D25, 40F05, 40G05, 40G99.

Key words: Absolute summability, Riesz summability, Cesàro summability, Infinite series.

#### M. ALİ SARIGÖL — H. BOR

then  $\sum a_n$  is said to be  $|\mathbf{T}|_k$  summable,  $k \geq 1$ . As known,  $|\mathbf{T}|_k$  summability reduces to  $|\mathbf{C}, \alpha|_k$  summability whenever we put the Cesàro matrix of order  $\alpha$   $(\alpha > -1)$  in place of the matrix  $\mathbf{T}$  (see [2]). And in this special case, condition (3) is equivalent to

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} |t_n^{\alpha}|^k < \infty \,, \tag{4}$$

where  $t_n^{\alpha}$  denotes Cesàro means of order  $\alpha$  of the sequence  $(na_n)$  (see [1]).

Flett [2], using (4), established comparison theorems between  $|\mathbf{C}, \alpha|_k$ ,  $|\mathbf{C}, \beta|_k$ , and  $|\mathbf{A}|_k$ , where **A** denotes Abel summability.

Throughout the paper, the matrix  $\mathbf{T} = (a_{nv})$  will be a Riesz matrix with  $P_n \to \infty$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Hence, if there is no confusion, we say that  $\sum a_n$  is summable  $|\mathbf{R}, p_n|_k$ ,  $k \ge 1$ , if (3) holds.

Let  $\alpha$  be any real number, and let

$$E_n^{\alpha} = \binom{\alpha+n}{n} = \frac{(\alpha+1)(\alpha+2)\dots(\alpha+n)}{n!} \quad \text{for} \quad n \ge 1, \qquad E_0^{\alpha} = 1.$$
(5)

We have immediately the following well-known identities:

$$\frac{1}{(1-x)^{\alpha}} = \sum_{v=0}^{\infty} E_v^{\alpha-1} x^v \qquad (|x|<1),$$
(6)

$$\alpha > -1 \implies E_n^{\alpha} > 0, \qquad (7)$$

$$|E_n^{\alpha}| \le A(\alpha)n^{\alpha}$$
 for all  $\alpha$ ,  $E_n^{\alpha} \ge A(\alpha)n^{\alpha}$  for  $\alpha > -1$ , (8)

where  $A(\alpha)$  is a positive constant depending on  $\alpha$ .

$$E_{n}^{\alpha+\beta} = \sum_{\nu=0}^{n} E_{n-\nu}^{\alpha-1} E_{\nu}^{\beta} , \qquad (9)$$

$$\frac{1}{nE_n^{\alpha}} = \int_0^1 (1-x)^{\alpha} x^{n-1} \, \mathrm{d}x \,, \qquad (\alpha > -1 \,, \quad n \ge 1 \,) \,. \tag{10}$$

#### ON TWO SUMMABILITY METHODS

### 2. Comparison theorems

The purpose of this paper is to establish some comparison theorems for  $|\mathbf{R}, p_n|_k$  and  $|\mathbf{C}, \alpha|_k$  summabilities for  $\alpha > 0$ .

**THEOREM 2.1.** Let  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . Then  $|\mathbf{R}, p_n|_k$  summability  $(k \ge 1)$  implies  $|\mathbf{C}, \alpha|_k$  summability provided that

$$P_n = O(n^{\alpha} p_n) \qquad as \quad n \to \infty.$$
 (11)

Proof. Suppose that  $\sum a_n$  is summable  $|\mathbf{R}, p_n|_k$ ,  $k \ge 1$ . Then

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} |T_n - T_{n-1}|^k < \infty, \qquad (12)$$

where  $T_n$  denotes weighted means of  $\sum a_n$ , i.e.,

$$T_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{v=0}^n p_v s_v \,. \tag{13}$$

Hence, we have by (13),  $s_n = T_{n-1} - \frac{P_n}{p_n} \Delta T_{n-1}$  and

$$a_{n} = -\Delta s_{n-1} = s_{n} - s_{n-1} = \begin{cases} T_{0} & \text{for } n = 0, \\ \frac{1}{p_{1}} (P_{1}T_{1} - a_{0}p_{0} - p_{1}a_{0}) & \text{for } n = 1, \\ -\Delta T_{n-2} + \Delta \left(\frac{P_{n-1}}{p_{n-1}}\Delta T_{n-2}\right) & \text{for } n \ge 2. \end{cases}$$
(14)

Now by  $(t_n^{\alpha})$  we denote the  $(\mathbf{C}, \alpha)$  mean of the sequence  $(na_n)$ , then it follows from (14) that

$$\begin{split} t_n^{\alpha} &= \frac{1}{E_n^{\alpha}} \sum_{v=1}^n E_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} v a_v = \frac{E_{n-1}^{\alpha-1}}{E_n^{\alpha}} a_1 + \frac{1}{E_n^{\alpha}} \sum_{v=2}^n E_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} v a_v \\ &= \frac{E_{n-1}^{\alpha-1}}{E_n^{\alpha}} a_1 + \frac{1}{E_n^{\alpha}} \sum_{v=2}^n E_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} v \left\{ -\Delta T_{v-2} + \Delta \left( \frac{P_{v-1}}{p_{v-1}} \Delta T_{v-2} \right) \right\} \\ &= \frac{E_{n-1}^{\alpha-1}}{E_n^{\alpha}} a_1 + \frac{1}{E_n^{\alpha}} \left\{ \sum_{v=2}^n E_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} v (-\Delta T_{v-2}) + \sum_{v=2}^n E_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} v \frac{P_{v-1}}{p_{v-1}} \Delta T_{v-2} \\ &+ \sum_{v=2}^n E_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} v \left( -\frac{P_v}{p_v} \Delta T_{v-1} \right) \right\} \\ &= \frac{E_{n-1}^{\alpha-1}}{E_n^{\alpha}} a_1 + \frac{1}{E_n^{\alpha}} \left\{ \sum_{v=2}^n E_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} v (-\Delta T_{v-2}) + \frac{2P_1}{p_1} \Delta T_0 E_{n-2}^{\alpha-1} \\ &+ \sum_{v=3}^n E_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} v \frac{P_{v-1}}{p_{v-1}} \Delta T_{v-2} + \sum_{v=2}^{n-1} E_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} v \left( -\frac{P_v}{p_v} \Delta T_{v-1} \right) - E_0^{\alpha-1} \frac{nP_n}{p_n} \Delta T_{n-1} \right\} \\ &= \left( E_{n-1}^{\alpha-1} a_1 + 2\frac{P_1}{p_1} \Delta T_0 E_{n-2}^{\alpha-1} \right) \frac{1}{E_n^{\alpha}} - \frac{nP_n \Delta T_{n-1}}{p_n E_n^{\alpha}} - \frac{1}{E_n^{\alpha}} \sum_{v=2}^n E_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} v \Delta T_{v-2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{E_n^{\alpha}} \sum_{v=2}^{n-1} (E_{n-v-1}^{\alpha-1} (v+1) - E_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} v) \frac{P_v}{p_v} \Delta T_{v-1} \,. \end{split}$$

On the other hand, since

$$E_{n-\nu-1}^{\alpha-1}(\nu+1) - E_{n-\nu}^{\alpha-1}\nu = \nu \left( E_{n-\nu-1}^{\alpha-1} - E_{n-\nu}^{\alpha-1} \right) + E_{n-\nu-1}^{\alpha-1} \qquad (1 \le \nu \le n-1),$$

and

$$E_{n-v-1}^{\alpha-1} - E_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} = -E_{n-v}^{\alpha-2}$$
 ( $\alpha \neq 1$ ,  $1 \le v \le n-1$ ),

we have

$$\begin{split} t_n^{\alpha} &= \left( E_{n-1}^{\alpha-1} a_1 + 2 \frac{P_1}{p_1} \Delta T_0 E_{n-2}^{\alpha-1} \right) \frac{1}{E_n^{\alpha}} - \frac{n P_n}{E_n^{\alpha} p_n} \Delta T_{n-1} - \frac{1}{E_n^{\alpha}} \sum_{v=2}^n E_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} v \Delta T_{v-1} \right. \\ &+ \frac{1}{E_n^{\alpha}} \sum_{v=2}^{n-1} E_{n-v-1}^{\alpha-1} \frac{P_v}{p_v} \Delta T_{v-1} + \frac{1}{E_n^{\alpha}} \sum_{v=2}^{n-1} \left( -E_{n-v}^{\alpha-2} \right) v \frac{P_v}{p_v} \Delta T_{v-1} \\ &=: w_{n,1}^{\alpha} + w_{n,2}^{\alpha} + w_{n,3}^{\alpha} + w_{n,4}^{\alpha} + w_{n,5}^{\alpha} \,. \end{split}$$

To prove the theorem, by Minkowski's inequality and (4), it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} |w_{n,i}^{\alpha}|^k < \infty \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5.$$
 (15)

Taking account of (8) and  $\alpha > 0$ , we have

$$\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} |w_{n,1}^{\alpha}|^{k} = O\left\{\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} n^{-k-1}\right\} < \infty,$$

and by the fact that  $P_n = O(n^{\alpha}p_n)$ , and by (12)

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} |w_{n,2}^{\alpha}|^{k} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left| \frac{n P_{n} \Delta T_{n-1}}{E_{n}^{\alpha} p_{n}} \right|^{k} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{P_{n}}{p_{n} n^{\alpha}} \right)^{k} n^{k-1} |\Delta T_{n-1}|^{k}$$
$$= O\left\{ \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} |\Delta T_{n-1}|^{k} \right\} < \infty.$$
(16)

Now by Hölder's inequality and (9)

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} |w_{n,3}^{\alpha}|^{k} &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(E_{n}^{\alpha})^{k}} \bigg\{ \sum_{v=2}^{n} v \, E_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} |\Delta T_{v-2}| \bigg\}^{k} \qquad (\alpha > 0) \\ &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n E_{n}^{\alpha}} \sum_{v=2}^{n} v^{k} E_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} |\Delta T_{v-2}|^{k} x \bigg\{ \frac{1}{E_{n}^{\alpha}} \sum_{v=2}^{n} E_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} \bigg\}^{k-1} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n E_{n}^{\alpha}} \sum_{v=2}^{n} v^{k} E_{n-v}^{\alpha-1} |\Delta T_{v-2}|^{k} \\ &= \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} v^{k} |\Delta T_{v-2}|^{k} \sum_{n=v}^{\infty} \frac{E_{n-v}^{\alpha-1}}{n E_{n}^{\alpha}} \, . \end{split}$$

However, by (10)

$$\sum_{n=v}^{\infty} \frac{E_{n-v}^{\alpha-1}}{n E_n^{\alpha}} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{E_i^{\alpha-1}}{(v+i) E_{v+i}^{\alpha}} = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} E_i^{\alpha-1} \int_0^1 (1-x)^{\alpha} x^{v+i-1} \, \mathrm{d}x$$
$$= \int_0^1 (1-x)^{\alpha} x^{v-1} \left(\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} E_i^{\alpha-1} x^i\right) \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_0^1 (1-x)^{\alpha} \frac{1}{(1-x)^{\alpha}} x^{v-1} \, \mathrm{d}x$$
$$= \int_0^1 x^{v-1} \, \mathrm{d}x = \frac{1}{v} \, .$$

(Term-by-term integration is legitimate since everything is positive.)

$$\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} |w_{n,3}^{\alpha}|^{k} \leq \sum_{\nu=2}^{\infty} \nu^{k-1} |\Delta T_{\nu-2}|^{k} = O\left\{\sum_{\nu=1}^{\infty} \nu^{k-1} |\Delta T_{\nu-1}|^{k}\right\} < \infty,$$

by (12), and we write

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} |w_{n,4}^{\alpha}|^{k} &\leq \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(E_{n}^{\alpha})^{k}} \left\{ \sum_{v=2}^{n-1} E_{n-v-1}^{\alpha-1} \frac{P_{v}}{p_{v}} |\Delta T_{v-1}| \right\}^{k} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{nE_{n}^{\alpha}} \sum_{v=2}^{n-1} E_{n-v-1}^{\alpha-1} \left( \frac{P_{v}}{p_{v}} \right)^{k} |\Delta T_{v-1}|^{k} x \left\{ \frac{1}{E_{n}^{\alpha}} \sum_{v=2}^{n-1} E_{n-v-1}^{\alpha-1} \right\}^{k-1} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{nE_{n}^{\alpha}} \sum_{v=2}^{n-1} E_{n-v-1}^{\alpha-1} \left( \frac{P_{v}}{p_{v}} \right)^{k} |\Delta T_{v-1}|^{k} \\ &= \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{P_{v}}{p_{v}} \right)^{k} |\Delta T_{v-1}|^{k} \sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} \frac{E_{n-v-1}^{\alpha-1}}{nE_{n}^{\alpha}} \\ &= \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(v+1)} \left( \frac{P_{v}}{p_{v}} \right)^{k} |\Delta T_{v-1}|^{k} \leq \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{v} \left( \frac{P_{v}}{p_{v}} \right)^{k} |\Delta T_{v-1}|^{k} . \end{split}$$
(17)

Therefore by the fact that condition  $P_v = O(v^{\alpha}p_v)$  for  $0 < \alpha < 1$  implies condition  $P_v = O(vp_v)$  it follows by (12) that

$$\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} |w_{n,4}^{\alpha}|^k = O\left\{\sum_{v=2}^{\infty} v^{k-1} |\Delta T_{v-1}|^k\right\} < \infty.$$

Finally, applying Hölder's inequality for k > 1 (trivially for k = 1), we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} |w_{n,5}^{\alpha}|^{k} &\leq \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(E_{n}^{\alpha})^{k}} \left\{ \sum_{v=2}^{n-1} \frac{v P_{v}}{p_{v}} |E_{n-v}^{\alpha-2}| |\Delta T_{v-1}| \right\}^{k} \\ &\leq \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(E_{n}^{\alpha})^{k}} \sum_{v=2}^{n-1} \left( \frac{v P_{v}}{p_{v}} \right)^{k} |\Delta T_{v-1}|^{k} |E_{n-v}^{\alpha-2}| x \left\{ \sum_{v=2}^{n-1} |E_{n-v}^{\alpha-2}| \right\}^{k-1} \end{split}$$

Now, if  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , i.e.,  $1 < 2 - \alpha < 2$ , then (using (8)) we have

$$\sum_{v=2}^{n-1} \left| E_{n-v}^{\alpha-2} \right| \le A(\alpha) \sum_{v=2}^{n-1} (n-v)^{\alpha-2} = A(\alpha) \sum_{v=1}^{n-2} v^{\alpha-2} = O(1) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty \,.$$

•

So that it follows that

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} |w_{n,5}^{\alpha}|^{k} &= O\left\{ \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(E_{n}^{\alpha})^{k}} \sum_{v=2}^{n-1} \left( \frac{vP_{v}}{p_{v}} \right)^{k} |\Delta T_{v-1}|^{k} |E_{n-v}^{\alpha-2}| \right\} \\ &= O\left\{ \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{vP_{v}}{p_{v}} \right)^{k} |\Delta T_{v-1}|^{k} \sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} \frac{|E_{n-v}^{\alpha-2}|}{n(E_{n}^{\alpha})^{k}} \right\} \\ &= O\left\{ \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{vP_{v}}{p_{v}} \right)^{k} |\Delta T_{v-1}|^{k} \frac{1}{(E_{v}^{\alpha})^{k-1}} \sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} \frac{|E_{n-v}^{\alpha-2}|}{nE_{n}^{\alpha}} \right\} \\ &= O\left\{ \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{vP_{v}}{p_{v}} \right)^{k} |\Delta T_{v-1}|^{k} \frac{1}{(E_{v}^{\alpha})^{k-1}} \left| \sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} \frac{E_{n-v}^{\alpha-2}}{nE_{n}^{\alpha}} \right| \right\} \\ &= O\left\{ \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{vP_{v}}{p_{v}} \right)^{k} |\Delta T_{v-1}|^{k} \frac{1}{(E_{v}^{\alpha})^{k-1}} \left| \sum_{n=v}^{\infty} \frac{E_{n-v}^{\alpha-2}}{nE_{n}^{\alpha}} - \frac{E_{0}^{\alpha-2}}{vE_{v}^{\alpha}} \right| \right\}. \end{split}$$

On the other hand, by (8) and (10)

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \sum_{n=v}^{\infty} \frac{E_{n-v}^{\alpha-2}}{n E_n^{\alpha}} \right| &= \left| \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{E_i^{\alpha-2}}{(v+i)E_{v+i}^{\alpha}} \right| = \left| \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} E_i^{\alpha-2} \int_0^1 (1-x) x^{v+i-1} \, \mathrm{d}x \right| \\ &= \left| \int_0^1 (1-x)^{\alpha} x^{v-1} \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} E_i^{\alpha-2} x^i \right\} \, \mathrm{d}x \right| = \frac{1}{v E_v^1} = O\left(\frac{1}{v^2}\right), \end{aligned}$$

(here term-by-term integration is legitimate since the terms are ultimately of constant sign), and by (11) we have

$$\begin{split} \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \left| w_{n,5}^{\alpha} \right|^{k} &= O\left\{ \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{v P_{v}}{p_{v}} \right)^{k} |\Delta T_{v-1}|^{k} \frac{1}{(E_{v}^{\alpha})^{k-1}} \left( \frac{1}{v E_{v}^{1}} + \frac{1}{v E_{v}^{\alpha}} \right) \right\} \\ &= O\left\{ \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} v^{k} \left( \frac{P_{v}}{p_{v}} \right)^{k} |\Delta T_{v-1}|^{k} \frac{1}{v^{\alpha(k-1)}} \frac{1}{v^{\alpha+1}} \right\} \\ &= O\left\{ \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} \left( \frac{P_{v}}{v^{\alpha p} v} \right)^{k} v^{k-1} |\Delta T_{v-1}|^{k} \right\} = O\left\{ \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} v^{k-1} |\Delta T_{v-1}|^{k} \right\} < \infty \,. \end{split}$$

This, together with (12), leads to the proof of the theorem.

The following result can be at once derived from the above theorem by taking k = 1.

M. ALİ SARIGÖL — H. BOR

**COROLLARY 2.2.** If  $P_n = O(n^{\alpha} p_n)$  for  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , then  $|\mathbf{R}, p_n| \implies |\mathbf{C}, \alpha|$ .

Also, taking account of Corollary 2.2 and the following theorem of Flett [2], we can establish a relation between  $|\mathbf{R}, p_n|$  and  $|\mathbf{C}, \alpha|_k$ ,  $k \ge 1$ .

**THEOREM 2.3.** If  $\sum a_n$  is summable  $|\mathbf{C}, \alpha|_k$ , where k > 1,  $\alpha > -1$ , then it is summable  $|\mathbf{C}, \beta|_r$ , whenever  $r \ge k$  and  $\beta \ge \alpha + 1/k - 1/r$ . If we take k = 1, the result holds when  $\alpha > -1$ ,  $\beta > \alpha + 1/k - 1/r$ .

**COROLLARY 2.4.** If  $P_n = O(n^{\alpha}p_n)$  for  $0 < \alpha < 1$ , then

$$|\mathbf{R}, p_n| \implies |\mathbf{C}, \alpha + 1|_k, \qquad k \ge 1.$$

One may now ask such a question as under what condition does:

 $|\mathbf{R}, p_n|_k \implies |\mathbf{C}, \beta|_k$ , where  $\beta \ge 1$ . In fact, condition (11) is answer to this since, by Theorem 2.3 and Theorem 2.1

$$|\mathbf{R}, p_n|_k \implies |\mathbf{C}, \alpha|_k \implies |\mathbf{C}, 1|_k \implies |\mathbf{C}, \beta|_k$$

However, we show that  $|\mathbf{R}, p_n|_k \implies |\mathbf{C}, \alpha|_k, k \ge 1$ , replacing by a weaker condition.

**THEOREM 2.5.** Let  $\alpha \geq 1$ . Then  $|\mathbf{R}, p_n|_k$  summability  $(k \geq 1)$  implies  $|\mathbf{C}, \alpha|_k$  summability provided that

$$P_n = O(np_n) \qquad as \quad n \to \infty \,. \tag{18}$$

Proof. The case  $\alpha = 1$  is easy, so consider  $\alpha > 1$ , we only show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} |w_{n,i}^{\alpha}|^k < \infty \qquad \text{for} \quad i = 2, 3, 5,$$

since the other is the same as in Theorem 2.1. By (16),

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} |w_{n,2}^{\alpha}|^{k} \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P_{n}}{n^{\alpha} p_{n}}\right)^{k} |\Delta T_{n-1}|^{k} n^{k-1}.$$

Thus by the fact that  $P_n = O(np_n)$  implies  $P_n = O(n^{\alpha}p_n)$  for  $\alpha \ge 1$ , it follows that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} |w_{n,2}^{\alpha}|^{k} = O\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{P_{n}}{np_{n}}\right)^{k} n^{k-1} |\Delta T_{n-1}|^{k}\right\} = O\left\{\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} |\Delta T_{n-1}|^{k}\right\} < \infty,$$

and by (17) and (18) it is clear that

$$\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} |w_{n,3}^{\alpha}|^{k} \leq \sum_{v=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{v} \left(\frac{P_{v}}{p_{v}}\right)^{k} |\Delta T_{v-1}|^{k} = O\left\{\sum_{v=2}^{\infty} v^{k-1} |\Delta T_{v-1}|^{k}\right\} < \infty.$$

Finally, by Hölder's inequality, for  $\alpha > 1$ ,

$$\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} |w_{n,5}^{\alpha}|^{k} \leq \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n(E_{n}^{\alpha})^{k}} \left\{ \sum_{v=2}^{n-1} v \frac{P_{v}}{p_{v}} E_{n-v}^{\alpha-2} |\Delta T_{v-1}| \right\}^{k}$$
$$\leq \sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n E_{n}^{\alpha}} \sum_{v=2}^{n-1} v \left( \frac{P_{v}}{p_{v}} \right)^{k} E_{n-v}^{\alpha-2} |\Delta T_{v-1}|^{k} x \left\{ \frac{1}{E_{n}^{\alpha}} \sum_{v=2}^{n-1} v E_{n-v}^{\alpha-2} \right\}^{k-1}$$

Observe that for  $\alpha > 1$ 

$$\frac{1}{E_n^{\alpha}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \nu \, E_{n-\nu}^{\alpha-2} = O\left\{\frac{n}{E_n^{\alpha}} \sum_{\nu=1}^n E_{n-\nu}^{\alpha-2}\right\}^{k-1} = O\left(\frac{n}{E^{\alpha}} E_n^{\alpha-1}\right)^{k-1} = O(1).$$

So,

$$\sum_{n=3}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} |w_{n,5}^{\alpha}|^{k} = O\left\{\sum_{v=2}^{\infty} v \left(\frac{P_{v}}{p_{v}}\right)^{k} |\Delta T_{v-1}|^{k} \sum_{n=v+1}^{\infty} \frac{E_{n-v}^{\alpha-2}}{n E_{n}^{\alpha}}\right\}$$
$$= O\left\{\sum_{v=2}^{\infty} \frac{1}{v} \left(\frac{P_{v}}{p_{v}}\right)^{k} |\Delta T_{v-1}|^{k}\right\} = O\left\{\sum_{v=2}^{\infty} v^{k-1} |\Delta T_{v-1}|^{k}\right\} < \infty.$$

This completes the proof.

We note that, if we choose  $p_n = 1$  for all n, then  $P_n = n + 1$ . In the case,  $|\mathbf{R}, p_n|_k$  summability is the same as  $|\mathbf{C}, 1|_k$  summability. Therefore, the following known result of [2] can be derived from the above theorem.

**COROLLARY 2.6.**  $|\mathbf{C}, 1|_k$  summability implies  $|\mathbf{C}, \alpha|_k$  summability for  $\alpha \ge 1$ and  $k \ge 1$ .

### **REFERENCES** '

- KOGBETLIANTZ, E.: Sur les séries absolument sommables par la méthode des moyennes arithmétiques, Bull. Sci. Math. 49 (1925), 234-256.
- [2] FLETT, T. M.: On an extension of absolute summability and some theorems of Littlewood and Paley, Proc. London Math. Soc. 7 (1957), 113-141.

Received August 30, 1991

Revised June 17, 1992

Department of Mathematics Erciyes University Kayseri 38039 Turkey