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# ON INTERVALS AND THE DUAL OF A PSEUDO $M V$-ALGEBRA 

Ján Jakubík<br>(Communicated by Anatolij Dvurečenskij)


#### Abstract

For each pseudo $M V$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ we define the pseudo $M V$-algebra $\mathcal{A}^{\text {dual }}$. We apply this notion for considering the system of intervals of a pseudo $M V$-algebra; the earlier results concerning intervals of $M V$-algebras are generalized. For any pseudo $M V$-algebra there exists a one-to-one correspondence between internal direct product decompositions of $\mathcal{A}$ and internal direct product decompositions of $\mathcal{A}^{\text {dual }}$.


## I. Introduction

The system of intervals of an $M V$-algebra has been dealt with in [9].
The notion of pseudo $M V$-algebra was defined independently by Georgescu and Iorgulescu [5], [6] and by Rachůnek [11] (in [11], the term "generalized $M V$-algebra" was applied).

Dvurečenskij [4] proved that for each pseudo $M V$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ there exists a lattice ordered group $G$ with a strong unit $u$ such that the underlying set $A$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is equal to the interval $[0, u]$ of $G$ and that the operations of $\mathcal{A}$ can be defined by means of the operations of $G$ (for details, cf. Section 2 below). In this situation we write $\mathcal{A}=\Gamma(G, u)$.

Dvurečenskij's result generalize the well-known theorem of Mundici dealing with $M V$-algebras (Mundici [10]; cf. also the monograph Cignoli, D'Ottaviano and Mundici [3]).

Let us apply the notation as above; let $\mathcal{A}=\Gamma(G, u)$. For $x, y \in G$ we put $x+_{1} y=x-u+y$; further, we set $x \leqq_{1} y$ iff $x \geqq y$. Then $G_{1}=\left(G ;+_{1}, \leqq_{1}\right)$ is

[^0]a lattice ordered group and 0 is a strong unit of $G_{1}$. Denote $\mathcal{A}^{\text {dual }}=\Gamma\left(G_{1}, 0\right)$. We say that $\mathcal{A}^{\text {dual }}$ is the pseudo $M V$-algebra dual to $\mathcal{A}$. We clearly have $\mathcal{A}^{\text {dual dual }}=\mathcal{A}$.

In the particular case when $\mathcal{A}$ is an $M V$-algebra, another definition of the duality has been used in [9]. We show that both the definitions are equivalent for the case of $M V$-algebras. We use the notion of duality for investigating the system of intervals of a pseudo $M V$-algebra; we generalize the results of [9] concerning intervals of $M V$-algebras. Further, we consider the relations between internal direct product decompositions of a pseudo $M V$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ and internal direct product decompositions of $\mathcal{A}^{\text {dual }}$.

## 2. Preliminaries

We recall the definition of a pseudo $M V$-algebra.
DEFINITION 2.1. Let $\mathcal{A}=(A ; \oplus, \neg, \sim, 0,1)$ be an algebra of type $(2,1,1,0,0)$. For $x, y \in A$ we put $x \odot y=\sim(\neg x \oplus \neg y)$. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is called a pseudo $M V$-algebra if the following identities are valid:
(A1) $x \oplus(y \oplus z)=(x \oplus y) \oplus z$;
(A2) $x \oplus 0=0 \oplus x=x$;
(A3) $x \oplus 1=1 \oplus x=1$;
(A4) $\neg 1=0 ; \sim 1=0$;
(A5) $\neg(\sim x \oplus \sim y)=\sim(\neg x \oplus \neg y)$;
(A6) $x \oplus(y \odot \sim x)=y \oplus(x \odot \sim y)=(\neg y \odot x) \oplus y=(\neg x \odot y) \oplus x$;
(A7) $x \odot(\neg x \oplus y)=(x \oplus \sim y) \odot y$;
(A8) $\sim \neg x=x$.
If the operation $\oplus$ is commutative, then $\mathcal{A}$ is an $M V$-algebra (in this case $\neg x=\sim x$ for each $x \in A$ ).

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a pseudo $M V$-algebra. For $x, y \in A$ we put $x \leqq y$ iff $\neg x \oplus y=1$. Then $(A ; \leqq)$ is a distributive lattice with the least element 0 and with the greatest element 1 ; we denote $(A ; \leqq)=\ell(\mathcal{A})$.

If $a, b \in A$ and $a \leqq b$, then the set $\{c \in A: a \leqq c \leqq b\}$ is an interval of $\mathcal{A}$; we denote it by $[a, b]$. Let $\operatorname{Int} \mathcal{A}$ be the system of all intervals of $\mathcal{A}$.

Let $G$ be a lattice ordered group with a strong unit $u$. Put $A=[0, u]$; for each $x, y \in A$ we set

$$
x \oplus y=(x+y) \wedge u, \quad \neg x=u-x, \quad \sim x=-x+u, \quad 1=u
$$

Then the structure $(A ; \oplus, \neg, \sim, 0,1)$ is a pseudo $M V$-algebra; it will be denoted by $\Gamma(G, u)$.

Dvurečenskij [4] proved that for each pseudo $M V$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ there exists a lattice ordered group $G$ with a strong unit $u$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}=\Gamma(G, u) . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Throughout the present paper we suppose that the relation (1) is valid.
The partial order of $G$ induces a partial order on the set $A$; this partial order coincides with the partial order $\leqq$ defined above.

Let $a \in A$. For $x, y \in[0, a]$ we put

$$
x \oplus_{a} y=(x+y) \wedge a, \quad \neg_{a} x=a-x, \quad \sim_{a} x=-x+a
$$

Then the structure $\mathcal{A}_{a}=\left([0, a], \oplus_{a}, \neg_{a}, \sim_{a}, 0, a\right)$ is a pseudo $M V$-algebra. In fact, we have $\mathcal{A}_{a}=\Gamma\left(G_{a}, a\right)$, where $G_{a}$ is the convex $\ell$-subgroup of $G$ generated by the element $a$. We say that $\mathcal{A}_{a}$ is an interval subalgebra of $\mathcal{A}$.

## 3. The structure $\mathcal{A}^{\text {dual }}$ and systems of intervals

Assume that $G$ is a lattice ordered group with a strong unit $u$ and let $G_{1}$ be as in Section 1.

LEMMA 3.1. $G_{1}$ is a lattice ordered group with a strong unit 0 .
Proof. The algebraic structures $G$ and $G_{1}$ have the same underlying set. Since $\leqq$ is a lattice order and $\leqq 1$ is dual to $\leqq$, we conclude that $\left(G_{1}, \leqq \begin{array}{l}\text { ) is }\end{array}\right.$ a lattice. We denote by $\vee^{1}$ and $\wedge^{1}$ the lattice operations in $G_{1}$. Further, it is easy to verify that $\left(G_{1},+_{1}\right)$ is a group with the neutral element $u$. Let $n$ be a positive integer and $x \in G_{1}$. The expression $x+_{1} x+_{1} \cdots+{ }_{1} x(n$ times) will be denoted by $n^{(1)} x$. Then $2^{(1)} 0=0-u+0=-u$,

$$
3^{(1)} 0=2^{(1)} 0+_{1} 0=-u-u+0=-2 u
$$

by induction we obtain

$$
n^{(1)} 0=-(n-1) u
$$

Let $y \in G$. There exists a positive integer $n$ such that $-y \leqq n u$. Hence $y \geqq-n u$, thus

$$
y \leqq \leqq_{1}-n u=(n+1)^{(1)} 0
$$

Thus 0 is a strong unit of the lattice ordered group $G_{1}$.
For $x, y \in G_{1}$ with $x \leqq_{1} y$ we denote by $[x, y]_{1}$ the corresponding interval in $G_{1}$. Hence we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
[0, u]=[u, 0]_{1} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In view of 3.1 we can construct the pseudo $M V$-algebra $\Gamma\left(G_{1}, 0\right)=\mathcal{A}_{1}$. According to (1), the structures $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ have the same underlying sets and the lattice $\ell\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}\right)$ is dual to the lattice $\ell(\mathcal{A})$. The corresponding operations in $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ will be denoted by $\oplus_{1}, \neg_{1}, \sim_{1}, 0_{1}, 1_{1}$. Hence we have

$$
0_{1}=u=1, \quad 1_{1}=0
$$

Similarly as in $\mathcal{A}$ we put $x \odot_{1} y=\sim_{1}\left(\neg_{1} x \oplus_{1} \neg_{1} y\right)$.
Now let us assume that the operation $\oplus$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is commutative, i.e., that $\mathcal{A}$ is an $M V$-algebra. Consider the algebraic structure $\mathcal{A}_{2}=\left(A ; \oplus_{2}, \neg_{2}, 0_{2}, 1_{2}\right)$, where

$$
\oplus_{2}=\odot, \quad \neg_{2}=\neg, \quad 0_{2}=1, \quad 1_{2}=0
$$

There are several equivalent definitions of the notion of the $M V$-algebra. From the system of axioms used by Chang [2] (cf. also Cattano and Lombardo [1], and the author [9]) we obtain:
Lemma 3.2. (Cf. [9; Lemma 2.3].) The algebraic structure $\mathcal{A}_{2}$ is an MV-algebra. Moreover, if $\vee^{2}$ and $\wedge^{2}$ are the corresponding lattice operations, then $\vee^{2}=\wedge$ and $\wedge^{2}=\vee$.

Let us investigate the relation between $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{2}$. Both these structures have the same underlying set, namely $A$. Further, $0_{1}=u=0_{2}$ and $1_{1}=0=1_{2}$. Also, $\vee^{1}=\vee^{2}$ and $\wedge^{1}=\wedge^{2}$.

Let $G_{1}$ be as above and let $x, z \in G_{1}$ such that $x+{ }_{1} z=u$. Then $x-u+z=u$, whence $z=u-x+u=2 u-x$. Thus we can write $-{ }_{1} x=2 u-x$, where $-_{1}$ denotes the corresponding subtraction operation in the group $G_{1}$.

Proposition 3.3. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an $M V$-algebra. Then $\mathcal{A}_{1}=\mathcal{A}_{2}$.
Proof. In view of the above remarks it remains to verify that $\oplus_{1}=\oplus_{2}$ and $\neg_{1}=\neg_{2}$.
a) Let $x \in A$. In view of the definition of $\mathcal{A}_{2}$ we have

$$
\neg_{2} x=\neg x=u-x .
$$

Further,

$$
\neg_{1} x=0+_{1}\left(\neg_{1} x\right)=0-u+\left(\neg_{1} x\right)=-u+(2 u-x)=u-x .
$$

Hence $\neg_{1}=\neg_{2}$.
b) Let $x, y \in A$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
x \oplus_{2} y & =x \odot y=\neg(\neg x \oplus \neg y)=u-((u-x) \oplus(u-y)) \\
& =u-(((u-x)+(u-y)) \wedge u)=u-((2 u-x-y) \wedge u) \\
& =u+((-2 u+x+y) \vee(-u))=(-u+x+y) \vee 0
\end{aligned}
$$

Next, we have

$$
x \oplus_{1} y=\left(x+{ }_{1} y\right) \wedge_{1} 0=(x-u+y) \vee 0 .
$$

Thus $\oplus_{1}=\oplus_{2}$.
In view of Section 1 we get $\mathcal{A}_{1}=\mathcal{A}^{\text {dual }}$ for any pseudo $M V$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$. In [9], we defined $\mathcal{A}^{\text {dual }}=\mathcal{A}_{2}$ for any $M V$-algebra. Hence according to 3.3 , the definition of $\mathcal{A}^{\text {dual }}$ from Section 1 coincides with the definition from [9] for any $M V$-algebra.

Now let us return to the case when the operation $\oplus$ need not be abelian.
Let $\mathcal{P}$ be the class of all pseudo $M V$-algebras and let $\mathcal{A}$ be an element of $\mathcal{P}$. We denote

$$
\begin{aligned}
& M_{1}(\mathcal{A})=\left\{\mathcal{A}_{1} \in \mathcal{P}: \operatorname{Int} \mathcal{A}_{1}=\operatorname{Int} \mathcal{A}\right\} \\
& M_{2}(\mathcal{A})=\left\{\mathcal{A}_{1} \in \mathcal{P}: \ell\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}\right)=\ell(\mathcal{A})\right\} \\
& M_{3}(\mathcal{A})=\left\{\mathcal{A}_{1} \in \mathcal{P}: \ell\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}\right)=\ell\left(\mathcal{A}^{\text {dual }}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It is obvious that $M_{2}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq M_{1}(\mathcal{A})$. Further, from the definition of $\mathcal{A}^{\text {dual }}$ we obtain $M_{3}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq M_{1}(\mathcal{A})$. Hence we have

$$
M_{2}(\mathcal{A}) \cup M_{3}(\mathcal{A}) \subseteq M_{1}(\mathcal{A})
$$

The direct product of pseudo $M V$-algebras is defined in the usual way. A pseudo $M V$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is directly indecomposable if, whenever $\mathcal{A} \simeq \mathcal{A}_{1} \times \mathcal{A}_{2}$, then either $A_{1}$ or $A_{2}$ is a one-element set.

Direct products of $M V$-algebras have been investigated in [7]; for more general case of pseudo $M V$-algebras, cf. [8].

Theorem 3.4. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a pseudo MV-algebra. Then the following conditions are equivalent:
(i) $M_{2}(\mathcal{A}) \cup M_{3}(\mathcal{A})=M_{1}(\mathcal{A})$.
(ii) The pseudo $M V$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ is directly indecomposable.

Proof. In view of the fact that the lattice $\ell(\mathcal{A})$ is dual to the lattice $\ell\left(\mathcal{A}^{\text {dual }}\right)$ we can apply the same argument as in $[9 ;$ Sec. 3] with the distinction that instead of [7] (which is denoted as [8] in the article [9]), the result of the paper [8] is used now.

According to Proposition 3.3, Theorem 3.4 is a generalization of the result of [9], which was denoted as (*).

## 4. Some further results on $\mathcal{A}^{\text {dual }}$

Let $L$ be a lattice; the corresponding dual lattice will be denoted by $L^{d}$. The lattice $L$ is said to be self-dual if $L \simeq L^{d}$.

LEMMA 4.1. Let $G$ be a partially ordered group, $0<a \in G$. Then the interval $[0, a]$ of $G$ is self-dual.

Proof. For each $x \in[0, a]$ we put $\varphi_{1}(x)=-x$. Then $\varphi_{1}$ is a bijection of $[0, a]$ onto $[-a, 0]$ and for any $x_{1}, x_{2} \in[0, a]$ we have

$$
x_{1} \leqq x_{2} \Longleftrightarrow \varphi_{1}\left(x_{1}\right) \geqq \varphi_{1}\left(x_{2}\right) .
$$

Thus $\varphi_{1}$ is an isomorphism of $[0, a]^{d}$ onto $[-a, 0]$.
Further, for each $y \in[-a, 0]$ we put $\varphi_{2}(y)=y+a$. Hence $\varphi_{2}$ is an isomorphism of $[-a, 0]$ onto $[0, a]$. Therefore $[0, a]$ is isomorphic to $[0, a]^{d}$.

As a corollary we obtain:
Proposition 4.2. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a pseudo $M V$-algebra. Then $\ell(\mathcal{A})$ is isomorphic to $\ell\left(\mathcal{A}^{\text {dual }}\right)$.

For the case when $\mathcal{A}$ is an $M V$-algebra we have a stronger result.
PROPOSITION 4.3. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an $M V$-algebra. Then $\mathcal{A}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}^{\text {dual }}$.

Proof. For each $x \in A$ we put $\varphi(x)=\neg x$. The $M V$-algebras $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\text {dual }}$ have the same underlying set, and in view of Section 3 we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{A} & =(A ; \oplus, \neg, 0, u), \\
\mathcal{A}^{\text {dual }} & =(A ; \odot, \neg, u, 0)
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, $\varphi(0)=u$ and $\varphi(u)=0$. It remains to verify that for each $x, y \in A$ the relation

$$
\varphi(x \oplus y)=\varphi(x) \odot \varphi(y)
$$

is valid. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi(x \oplus y) & =\neg(x \oplus y)=u-((x+y) \wedge u) \\
& =u+((-x-y) \vee(-u))=(u-x-y) \vee 0 \\
\varphi(x) \odot \varphi(y) & =(\neg x) \odot(\neg y)=(u-x) \odot(u-y) \\
& =(u-x-u+u-y) \vee 0=(u-x-y) \vee 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The question whether 4.3 is valid also for pseudo $M V$-algebras remains open. Let us express the hypothesis that the answer is "No".

Now we want to investigate the relations between direct product decompositions of a pseudo $M V$-algebra and direct product decompositions of its dual.

The internal direct factors of a pseudo $M V$-algebra have been dealt with in [8]; we recall some definitions (with a slightly modified notation).

Let $I$ be a nonempty set of indices and for each $i \in I$ let $L_{i}$ be a lattice. Consider the direct product $L^{1}=\prod_{i \in I} L_{i}$. Let $\varphi$ be an isomorphism of a lattice $L$ onto $L^{1}$. For $x \in L$ we denote by $(x(i))_{i \in I}$ the image of $x$ under $\varphi$. Further, let $x_{0}$ be a fixed element of $L$. For each $i_{0} \in I$ we denote by $L_{i_{0}}\left[x_{0}\right]$ the set of all $x \in L$ such that, whenever $i \in I \backslash\left\{i_{0}\right\}$, then $x(i)=x_{0}(i)$. Hence $L_{i_{0}}\left[x_{0}\right]$ is a sublattice of $L$ with $x_{0} \in L_{i_{0}}\left[x_{0}\right]$.

For $y \in L$ and $i \in I$ we denote by $y L_{i}\left[x_{0}\right]$ the element $z \in L_{i}\left[x_{0}\right]$ such that $y(i)=z(i)$. Then the mapping $\varphi\left[x_{0}\right]$ defined by

$$
\varphi\left[x_{0}\right](y)=\left(y L_{i}\left[x_{0}\right]\right)_{i \in I}
$$

is an isomorphism of $L$ onto the direct product

$$
L^{2}=\prod_{i \in I} L_{i}\left[x_{0}\right]
$$

We say that $L_{i}\left[x_{0}\right]$ is an internal direct factor of $L$ and that $\varphi\left[x_{0}\right]$ is an internal direct product decomposition of $L$ (with the central element $x_{0}$ ).

For each $i \in I$, the lattices $L_{i}$ and $L_{i}\left[x_{0}\right]$ are isomorphic. Hence for any $i \in I$ and any $x_{1} \in L$, the lattices $L_{i}\left[x_{0}\right]$ and $L_{i}\left[x_{1}\right]$ are isomorphic.

An analogous notation can be applied for $M V$-algebras. Let $I$ be as above and for each $i \in I$ let $\mathcal{A}_{i}$ be an $M V$-algebra. Assume that $\psi$ is an isomorphism of an $M V$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$ onto the direct product $\mathcal{A}^{1}=\prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_{i}$. For $i_{0} \in I$ we define the element $u_{i_{0}}^{0} \in A$ as follows:

$$
u_{i_{0}}^{0}(i)= \begin{cases}0 & \text { if } i \neq i_{0} \\ u^{i_{0}} & \text { if } i=i_{0}\end{cases}
$$

where $u^{i_{0}}$ is the greatest element of $\mathcal{A}_{i_{0}}$. Further, we put

$$
\mathcal{A}_{i_{0}}(0)=\left(\left[0, u_{i_{0}}^{0}\right], \oplus_{i_{0}}, \neg_{i_{0}}, \sim_{i_{0}}, 0, u_{i_{0}}^{0}\right)
$$

where for each $x, y \in\left[0, u_{i_{0}}^{0}\right]$ we put

$$
x \oplus_{i_{0}} y=(x+y) \wedge u_{i_{0}}^{0}, \quad \neg_{i_{0}} x=u_{i_{0}}^{0}-x, \quad \sim_{i_{0}} x=-x+u_{i_{0}}^{0}
$$

For $z \in A$ we denote by $z\left(\mathcal{A}_{i_{0}}(0)\right)$ the element $t \in \mathcal{A}_{i_{0}}(0)$ such that $z\left(i_{0}\right)=$ $t\left(i_{0}\right)$. Consider the mapping

$$
\psi^{0}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_{i}(0)
$$

defined by $\psi^{0}(z)=z\left(\mathcal{A}_{i}(0)\right)$ for each $z \in \mathcal{A}$ and $i \in I$. Then we have (cf. [8])
(a) $\psi^{0}$ is an isomorphism of $\mathcal{A}$ onto $\prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_{i}(0)$;
(b) for each $i \in I, \mathcal{A}_{i}(0)$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{A}_{i}$.

Proposition 4.4. (Cf. [8].) Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a pseudo MV-algebra. Put $L=\ell(\mathcal{A})$. Assume that we have an internal direct decomposition

$$
\varphi^{0}: L \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} L_{i}(0)
$$

Then all $L_{i}(0)$ are underlying lattices of internal subalgebras of $\mathcal{A}$ and the mapping $\varphi^{0}$ yields, at the same time, an internal direct product decomposition

$$
\varphi^{0}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_{i}(0)
$$

of the pseudo $M V$-algebra $\mathcal{A}$, where $L_{i}(0)=\ell\left(\mathcal{A}_{i}(0)\right)$ for each $i \in I$.
Proposition 4.5. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a pseudo MV-algebra. Then there is a one-toone correspondence between internal direct decompositions of $\mathcal{A}$ and internal direct product decompositions of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{dual}}$.

Proof. Assume that there is given an internal direct product decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{0}: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_{i}(0) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

of $\mathcal{A}$. Denote $\ell\left(\mathcal{A}_{i}(0)\right)=L_{i}(0)$. Hence $L_{i}(0)$ are sublattices of the lattice $L=$ $\ell(\mathcal{A})$. It is obvious that the mapping $\psi^{0}$ yields, at the same time, an internal direct product decomposition
of the lattice $L$.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{0}: L \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} L_{i}(0) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For each $i \in I$ we have $L_{i}(0)(u)=L_{i}(u)$ (under the notation as above); moreover, from (2) we obtain the internal direct product decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{1}: L \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} L_{i}(u) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\psi^{1}$ is, at the same time, an internal direct product decomposition of the corresponding dual lattice; we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{1}: L^{d} \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I}\left(L_{i}(u)\right)^{d} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we apply Proposition 4.4. In view of (4) and of the fact that $u$ is the zero element of $\mathcal{A}^{\text {dual }}$ we conclude that each $\left(L_{i}(u)\right)^{d}$ is the underlying lattice of an interval subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_{i}^{\prime}(0)$ of the pseudo $M V$-algebra $\mathcal{A}^{\text {dual }}$; moreover, $\psi^{1}$ yields, at the same time, an internal direct product decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{1}: \mathcal{A}^{\text {dual }} \rightarrow \prod_{i \in I} \mathcal{A}_{i}^{\prime}(0) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\ell\left(\mathcal{A}_{i}^{\prime}(0)\right)=\left(L_{i}(u)\right)^{d}$.
The internal direct product decomposition (5) of $\mathcal{A}^{\text {dual }}$ corresponds to the internal direct product decomposition (1) of $\mathcal{A}$.

By applying reverse steps we can proceed from (5) to (1); therefore the correspondence under consideration is one-to-one.

## REFERENCES

[1] CATTANEO, G.-LOMBARDO, F.: Independent axiomatization of MV-algebras, Tatra Mt. Math. Publ. 15 (1998), 227-232.
[2] CHANG, C. C.: Algebraic analysis of many valued logics, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 88 (1958), 467-490.
[3] CIGNOLI, R.-D'OTTAVIANO, M. I.-MUNDICI, D. : Algebraic Foundations of ManyValued Reasoning, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2000.
[4] DVUREČENSKIJ, A.: Pseudo MV-algebras are intervals in $\ell$-groups, J. Aust. Math. Soc. 72 (2002), 427-445.
[5] GEORGESCU, G.-IORGULESCU, A.: Pseudo MV-algebras: a noncommutative extension of $M V$-algebras. In: The Proceedings of the Fourth International Symposium on Economic Informatics, INFOREC Printing House, Bucharest, 1999, pp. 961-968.
[6] GEORGESCU, G.-IORGULESCU, A.: Pseudo $M V$-algebras, Mult.-Valued Log. (Special issue dedicated to Gr. C. Moisil) 6 (2001), 95-135.
[7] JAKUBÍK, J. : Direct product decompositions of MV-algebras, Czechoslovak Math. J. 44 (1994), 725-739.
[8] JAKUBÍK, J.: Direct product decompositions of pseudo MV-algebras, Arch. Math. (Brno) 37 (2001), 131-142.
[9] JAKUBÍK, J.: On intervals and isometries of MV-algebras, Czechoslovak Math. J. 52 (2002), 651-663.
[10] MUNDICI, D.: Interpretation of AFC*-algebras in Lukasiewicz sentential calculus, J. Funct. Anal. 65 (1986), 15-63.
[11] RACHU゚NEK, J.: A non-commutative generalization of $M V$-algebras, Czechoslovak Math. J. 52 (2002), 255-273.

Matematický ústav SAV
Grešákova 6
SK-040 01 Košice
SLOVAKIA
E-mail: kstefan@saske.sk


[^0]:    2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 06D35.
    Keywords: pseudo $M V$-algebra, dual pseudo $M V$-algebra, system of intervals, internal direct product.
    Supported by Science and Technology Assistance Agency under the contract No. APVT-51032002.

