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# ALMOST EVERY BIPARTITE GRAPH HAS NOT TWO VERTICES OF MINIMUM DEGREE 

JOZEF BUKOR<br>(Communicated by Martin Škoviera)


#### Abstract

We rectify the assertion of Z.Palka [5] concerning the limit distribution of minimum (maximum) degrees in a random bipartite graph $K_{m, n, p}$, where $m$ and $n$ are growing nearly equally.

By Palka, in this case, $K_{m, n, p}$ has, almost surely, two vertices of the minimum (maximum) degree, one in each part of $K_{m, n, p}$. Contrary to this, we prove that the difference between minimum (maximum) degrees in the parts of $K_{m, n, p}$ is almost surely not bounded above by an arbitrary slowly growing function $\omega(n)$, $\omega(n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.


## Introduction

Let $K_{m, n}$ be a complete bipartite graph with $m$ labelled vertices in one part and $n$ labelled vertices in the other part. Let each edge of $K_{m, n}$ be independently removed with the same probability $q=1-p$. Denote the resulting random graph by $K_{m, n, p}$. Obviously, then each of $n \cdot m$ possible edges remains in $K_{m, n, p}$ with the same probability $p$, independently of the other.

We distinguish vertices of different parts from $K_{m, n, p}$ by colouring all $m$ labelled vertices of one part red and all $n$ labelled vertices of the other part with blue.

A random graph $K_{m, n, p}$ has a. property $Q$ almost surely (a.s.) if probability $\mathbf{P}\left(K_{m, n, p}\right.$ has $\left.Q\right) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The symbol $\mathbf{E}(X)$ denotes the mean of a random variable $X, \mathbf{E}_{k}(X)$ denotes the $k$-th factorial moment of $X$.

Key words: Random bipartite graph, Extreme degrees in a graph.
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As we shall frequently encounter the binomial distribution we write

$$
\begin{aligned}
b(k ; n, p) & =\binom{n}{k} p^{k} q^{n-k} \\
B(t ; n, p) & =\sum_{k=0}^{t} b(k ; n, p)
\end{aligned}
$$

For simplicity $p$ shall be omitted from $b(k ; n, p)$ and $B(t ; n, p)$ in cases when misunderstanding is excluded.

We use the following well-known approximation of the binomial distribution (see, e.g. [4, Chap. 7]):

If $t=n p-x \cdot(n p q)^{1 / 2}$ where $p=p(n), 0<p<1$ and $x=x(n)$ is a function such that $x(n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ but $x^{3} \cdot(n p q)^{-1 / 2} \rightarrow 0$, then

$$
\begin{align*}
B(t ; n, p) & \backsim(2 \pi)^{-1 / 2} \frac{1}{x} \mathrm{e}^{-x^{2} / 2}  \tag{1}\\
b(t ; n, p) & \backsim(2 \pi n p q)^{-1 / 2} \mathrm{e}^{-x^{2} / 2} \tag{2}
\end{align*}
$$

Denote by $d(u)$ the degree of a vertex $u$ and $\delta_{b}\left(\delta_{r}\right)$ the minimum degree of blue (red) vertices in a random bipartite graph $K_{m, n, p}$.

We estimate the difference between minimum degrees of both parts to show that in $K_{m, n, p}$ with $m$ and $n$ growing nearly equally, a vertex of minimum degree is a.s. unique.

We note that Erdős and Wilson [3] showed that almost every graph has a unique vertex of minimum degree and a unique vertex of maximum degree. The random graph $K_{n, p}$ has this property in case $p n / \log n \rightarrow \infty$ and $p \leq 0.5$ as was shown by Bollobás [2].

## Results

Using the following lemmas we can bound the $\delta_{r}$, the minimum degree of the red vertices in $K_{m, n, p}$. The next result is a direct consequence of the more general Lemma 1 in [5].

Lemma. 1. Let the random variable $Y_{t}$ denote the number of red vertices of degree at most $t$ in $K_{m, n, p}$.

Let $t=t(n)$ and $T=T(n)$ be natural numbers such that $\mathbf{E}\left(Y_{t}\right)=o(1)$, $\mathbf{E}\left(Y_{T}\right)=o(1)^{-1}$ and $\mathbf{E}_{2}\left(Y_{T}\right) \leq \mathbf{E}\left(Y_{T}\right)^{2}(1+o(1))$.

Then

$$
t<\delta_{r}<T \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

LEMMA 2. Let $m=c \cdot n$ with $c=c(n)$ such that $\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} c(n)>0$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t=n p-\sqrt{n p q} \cdot\left[\sqrt{2 \cdot \log n}-\frac{\log \log n}{\sqrt{8 \cdot \log n}}+\frac{\varphi(n)}{\sqrt{2 \cdot \log n}}\right] \\
& T=n p-\sqrt{n p q} \cdot\left[\sqrt{2 \cdot \log n}-\frac{\log \log n}{\sqrt{8 \cdot \log n}}-\frac{\varphi(n)}{\sqrt{2 \cdot \log n}}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\varphi(n) \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { If } p=p(n), 0<p<1 \text { such that } \frac{(\log n)^{3}}{n p q} \rightarrow 0 \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text { then } t<d_{r}<T \\
& \text { a.s. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. The proof is similar to the proof of Theorem 1 in [5]. Obviously $\mathbf{E}\left(Y_{t}\right)=n \cdot B(t ; m, p)$. It is therefore enough to show that this choice of $t$ and $T$ satisfies the conditions of Lemma 1. It can be done easily by estimate (1) for the tail of the binomial distribution.

Having obtained upper and lower bounds for the minimum degree of the red vertices in $K_{m, n, p}$, we turn to the study of the difference between the minimum degree of the blue vertices and the minimum degree of the red ones.

Theorem 1. Let $\alpha(n) \rightarrow 0, \frac{m}{n} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
If the edge probability $p$ satisfies $\frac{(\log n)^{3}}{n p q} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then for the minimum degree of the red and blue vertices in a random bipartite graph $K_{m, n, p}$ it is valid that

$$
\left|\delta_{r}-\delta_{b}\right|>\frac{\alpha(n) \cdot \sqrt{p q n}}{\log n} \quad \text { a.s. }
$$

Proof. Put $\varphi(n)=\frac{1}{4} \log \log n$ in Lemma 2 .
According to Lemma 2 it is sufficient to consider only a random bipartite graph with property $t<\delta_{r}<T$.

Put $s=\frac{\alpha(n) \cdot \sqrt{p q n}}{\log n}$.
We define an indicator random variable $Z$ to be:
1 if there exist two vertices $u, v$ ( $u$ of red colour and $v$ of blue), $t<d(u)<T$ and $|d(u)-d(v)| \leq s$ and
0 otherwise.
Clearly from $\left|\delta_{b}-\delta_{r}\right| \leq s$ follows $Z=1$.
Since $\mathbf{P}(Z=1) \leq \mathbf{E}(Z)$, the theorem will be proved if we show that $\mathbf{E}(Z) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
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For the expectation of $Z$ is valid

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathbf{E}(Z)=n \cdot m \cdot\left\{\begin{array}{r}
\mathbf{P}\left(u v \text { is an edge in } K_{m, n, p}, \quad t<d(u)<T, \quad|d(u)-d(v)| \leq s\right) \\
\\
\quad+\mathbf{P}\left(u v \text { is not an edge in } K_{m, n, p}, \quad t<d(u)<T,\right. \\
\leq n \cdot m \cdot\{d(u)-d(v) \mid \leq s)\}
\end{array}\right. \\
\sum_{k=t}^{T} \sum_{l=k-s}^{k+s} p \cdot b(k-1, n-1) \cdot b(l-1, m-1) \\
\left.\quad+\sum_{k=t}^{T} \sum_{l=k-s}^{k+s} q \cdot b(k, n-1) \cdot b(l, m-1)\right\} .
\end{gathered}
$$

To see this, we note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
n \cdot m \cdot[p \cdot b(k-1, n-1) \cdot b(l-1, m-1)+q \cdot b(k, n-1) \cdot b(l, m-1)] \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the expected number of such ordered pairs of vertices, which consist of a red and blue vertex of degree $k$ and $l$ respectively. The first term in (3) is the contribution of adjacent pairs.

Since the terms $b(l, n, p)$ (according to $l$ ) strictly increase up while $l<p \cdot n$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{E}(Z) \leq & 2 s n m \cdot\left\{p \cdot \sum_{k=t}^{T} b(k-1, n-1) \cdot b(k+s, m-1)\right. \\
& \left.+q \cdot \sum_{k=t}^{T} b(k, n-1) \cdot b(k+s, m-1)\right\} \\
\leq & 2 s n m \cdot b(T+s, m-1) \cdot \sum_{k=t}^{T} b(k, n-1) \\
\leq & 2 s n m \cdot b(T+s, m-1) \cdot B(T, n-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

Using (2) to estimate $b(T+s, m-1)$ and (1) to estimate $B(T, n-1)$ we find that if $n$ is sufficiently large, then

$$
\mathbf{E}(Z) \leq O(1) \cdot \alpha(n)
$$

Since $\alpha(n) \rightarrow 0$, the expected number of the ordered pairs tends to 0 . Hence the proof is completed.

A similar argument gives the following assertion for the maximum degrees.

## ALMOST EVERY BIPARTITE GRAPH HAS NOT TWO VERTICES OF MINIMUM DEGREE

Theorem 2. Denote by $\Delta_{b}\left(\Delta_{r}\right)$ the maximum degree of the blue (red) vertices in a random bipartite graph $K_{m, n, p}$.

Let $\alpha(n) \rightarrow 0, \frac{m}{n} \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.
If the edge probability $p$ satisfies $\frac{(\log n)^{3}}{n p q} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then for the maximum degrees of the red and blue vertices it is valid that

$$
\left|\Delta_{b}-\Delta_{r}\right|>\frac{\alpha(n) \cdot \sqrt{p q n}}{\log n} \quad \text { a.s. }
$$
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