Jaroslav Kurzweil; Štefan Schwabik McShane equi-integrability and Vitali's convergence theorem

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 129 (2004), No. 2, 141-157

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/133903

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2004

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

MCSHANE EQUI-INTEGRABILITY AND VITALI'S CONVERGENCE THEOREM

JAROSLAV KURZWEIL, ŠTEFAN SCHWABIK, Praha

(Received July 28, 2003)

Abstract. The McShane integral of functions $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$ defined on an *m*-dimensional interval *I* is considered in the paper. This integral is known to be equivalent to the Lebesgue integral for which the Vitali convergence theorem holds.

For McShane integrable sequences of functions a convergence theorem based on the concept of equi-integrability is proved and it is shown that this theorem is equivalent to the Vitali convergence theorem.

Keywords: McShane integral

MSC 2000: 26A39

We consider functions $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$ where $I \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ is a compact interval, $m \ge 1$.

A system (finite family) of point-interval pairs $\{(t_i, I_i), i = 1, ..., p\}$ is called an *M*-system in *I* if I_i are non-overlapping (int $I_i \cap$ int $I_j = \emptyset$ for $i \neq j$, int I_i being the interior of I_i), t_i are arbitrary points in *I*.

Denote by μ the Lebesgue measure in \mathbb{R}^m .

An *M*-system in *I* is called an *M*-partition of *I* if $\bigcup_{i=1}^{p} I_i = I$.

Given $\Delta: I \to (0, +\infty)$, called a *gauge*, an *M*-system $\{(t_i, I_i), i = 1, ..., p\}$ in *I* is called Δ -fine if

$$I_i \subset B(t_i, \Delta(t_i)), \ i = 1, \dots, p.$$

The set of Δ -fine partitions of I is nonempty for every gauge Δ (Cousin's lemma, see e.g. [1]).

The work was supported by the grant No. 201/01/1199 of the GA of the Czech Republic.

Definition 1. $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$ is McShane integrable and $J \in \mathbb{R}$ is its McShane integral if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a gauge $\Delta: I \to (0, +\infty)$ such that for every Δ -fine *M*-partition $\{(t_i, I_i), i = 1, \dots, p\}$ of *I* the inequality

$$\left|\sum_{i=1}^{p} f(t_i)\mu(I_i) - J\right| < \varepsilon$$

holds. We denote $J = \int_{I} f$.

Notation. To simplify writing we will from now use the notation $\{(u_l, U_l)\}$ for *M*-systems instead of $\{(u_l, U_l); l = 1, ..., r\}$ which specifies the number *r* of elements of the *M*-system. For a function $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$ and an *M*-system $\{(u_l, U_l)\}$ we write $\sum_l f(u_l)\mu(U_l)$ instead of $\sum_{l=1}^r f(u_l)\mu(U_l)$, etc.

Theorem 2. $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$ is McShane integrable if and only if f is Lebesgue integrable.

See [2] or [4].

Definition 3. A family \mathcal{M} of functions $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$ is called equi-integrable if every $f \in \mathcal{M}$ is McShane integrable and for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a gauge Δ such that for any $f \in \mathcal{M}$ the inequality

$$\left|\sum_{i} f(t_i)\mu(I_i) - \int_{I} f\right| < \varepsilon$$

holds provided $\{(t_i, I_i)\}$ is a Δ -fine *M*-partition of *I*.

Theorem 4. A family \mathcal{M} of functions $f: I \to X$ is equi-integrable if and only if for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists a gauge $\Delta: I \to (0, +\infty)$ such that

$$\left\|\sum_{i} f(t_i)\mu(I_i) - \sum_{j} f(s_j)\mu(K_j)\right\|_{X} < \varepsilon$$

for every Δ -fine *M*-partitions $\{(t_i, I_i)\}$ and $\{(s_j, K_j)\}$ of *I* and any $f \in \mathcal{M}$.

Proof. If \mathcal{M} is equi-integrable then the condition clearly holds for the gauge δ which corresponds to $\frac{1}{2}\varepsilon > 0$ in the definition of equi-integrability.

If the condition of the theorem is fulfilled, then every individual function $f \in \mathcal{M}$ is McShane integrable (see e.g. [5]) with the same gauge δ for a given $\varepsilon > 0$ independently of the choice of $f \in \mathcal{M}$ and this proves the theorem.

Theorem 5. Assume that $\mathcal{M} = \{f_k \colon I \to \mathbb{R}; k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is an equi-integrable sequence such that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} f_k(t) = f(t), \ t \in I.$$

Then the function $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$ is McShane integrable and

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_I f_k = \int_I f$$

holds.

Proof. If Δ is the gauge from the definition of equi-integrability of the sequence f_k corresponding to the value $\varepsilon > 0$ then for any $k \in \mathbb{N}$

(1)
$$\left|\sum_{i} f_{k}(t_{i})\mu(I_{i}) - \int_{I} f_{k}\right| < \varepsilon$$

for every Δ -fine *M*-partition $\{(t_i, I_i)\}$ of *I*.

If the partition $\{(t_i, I_i)\}$ is fixed then the pointwise convergence $f_k \to f$ yields

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \sum_{i} f_k(t_i) \mu(I_i) = \sum_{i} f(t_i) \mu(I_i)$$

Choose $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $k > k_0$ the inequality

$$\left|\sum_{i} f_k(t_i)\mu(I_i) - \sum_{i} f(t_i)\mu(I_i)\right| < \varepsilon$$

holds. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \left|\sum_{i} f(t_{i})\mu(I_{i}) - \int_{I} f_{k}\right| &\leq \left|\sum_{i} [f(t_{i})\mu(I_{i}) - f_{k}(t_{i})\mu(I_{i})]\right| \\ &+ \left|\sum_{i} f_{k}(t_{i})\mu(I_{i}) - \int_{I} f_{k}\right| < 2\varepsilon \end{aligned}$$

for $k > k_0$.

This gives for $k, l > k_0$ the inequality

$$\left|\int_{I} f_k - \int_{I} f_l\right| < 4\varepsilon,$$

which shows that the sequence of real numbers $\int_I f_k$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, is Cauchy and therefore

(2)
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{I} f_{k} = J \in \mathbb{R} \text{ exists.}$$

Let $\varepsilon > 0$. By hypothesis there is a gauge Δ such that (1) holds for all k whenever $\{(t_i, I_i)\}$ is a Δ -fine M-partition of I.

By (2) choose an $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $|\int_I f_k - J| < \varepsilon$ for all $k \ge N$. Suppose that $\{(t_i, I_i)\}$ is a Δ -fine *M*-partition of *I*. Since f_k converges to *f* pointwise there is a $k_1 \ge N$ such that

$$\left|\sum_{i} f_{k_1}(t_i)\mu(I_i) - \sum_{i} f(t_i)\mu(I_i)\right| < \varepsilon.$$

Therefore

$$\left|\sum_{i} f(t_{i})\mu(I_{i}) - J\right| \leq \left|\sum_{i} f(t_{i})\mu(I_{i}) - \sum_{i} f_{k_{1}}(t_{i})\mu(I_{i})\right| + \left|\sum_{i} f_{k_{1}}(t_{i})\mu(I_{i}) - \int_{I} f_{k_{1}}\right| + \left|\int_{I} f_{k_{1}} - J\right| < 3\varepsilon$$

and it follows that f is McShane integrable and $\lim_{k\to\infty} \int_I f_k = J = \int_I f$.

R e m a r k 6. By a *figure* we mean a finite union of compact nondegenerate intervals in \mathbb{R}^m .

Let us mention the fact that if for the notion of an M-system $\{(t_i, I_i), i = 1, ..., p\}$ the intervals I_i are replaced by figures, we can develop the same theory and Msystems and M-partitions of this kind can be used everywhere in our considerations.

Definition 7. Let \mathcal{M} be a family of Lebesgue integrable functions $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$.

If for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $\delta > 0$ such that for $E \subset I$ measurable with $\mu(E) < \delta$ we have $|\int_E f| < \varepsilon$ for every $f \in \mathcal{M}$ then the family \mathcal{M} is called *uniformly absolutely* continuous.

Theorem 8. Assume that a sequence of Lebesgue integrable functions $f_k \colon I \to \mathbb{R}$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, is given such that f_k converge to f in measure.

If the set $\{f_k; k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is uniformly absolutely continuous then the function f is Lebesgue integrable and

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_I f_k = \int_I f.$$

See [3, p. 168] or [1, p. 203, Theorem 13.3]. We will consider Theorem 8 in a less general form: **Theorem 9.** Assume that a sequence of Lebesgue integrable functions $f_k \colon I \to \mathbb{R}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, is given such that f_k converge to f pointwise in I.

If the set $\{f_k; k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is uniformly absolutely continuous then the function f is Lebesgue integrable and

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_I f_k = \int_I f.$$

R e m a r k 10. It is possible to assume in Theorem 9 that f_k converge to f almost everywhere in I, but changing the values of f_k and f to 0 on a set N of zero Lebesgue measure ($\mu(N) = 0$) it can be seen easily that such a change has no effect on Lebesgue integrability and on the corresponding indefinite Lebesgue integrals.

Our goal is to show that the relaxed Vitali convergence Theorem 9 is a consequence of our convergence Theorem 4 for the McShane integral.

Lemma 11 (Saks-Henstock). Assume that a family \mathcal{M} of functions $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$ is equi-integrable. Given $\varepsilon > 0$ assume that the gauge Δ on I is such that

$$\left|\sum_{i} f(t_i)\mu(I_i) - \int_{I} f\right| < \varepsilon$$

for every Δ -fine *M*-partition $\{(t_i, I_i)\}$ of *I* and $f \in \mathcal{M}$.

Then if $\{(r_i, K_i)\}$ is an arbitrary Δ -fine M-system we have

$$\bigg|\sum_{j}\bigg[f(r_{j})\mu(K_{j})-\int_{K_{j}}f\bigg]\bigg|\leqslant\varepsilon$$

for every $f \in \mathcal{M}$.

Proof. Since $\{(r_j, K_j)\}$ is a Δ -fine M-system the complement $I \setminus \operatorname{int} \left(\bigcup_j K_j\right)$ can be expressed as a finite system M_l , $l = 1, \ldots, r$ of non-overlapping intervals in I. The functions $f \in \mathcal{M}$ are equi-integrable and therefore they are equi-integrable over each M_l and by definition for any $\eta > 0$ there is a gauge δ_l on M_l with $\delta_l(t) < \delta(t)$ for $t \in M_l$ such that for every $l = 1, \ldots, r$ we have

$$\left|\sum_{i} f(s_i^l) \mu(J_i^l) - \int_{M_l} f \,\mathrm{d}\mu\right| < \frac{\eta}{r+1}$$

provided $\{(s_i^l, J_i^l)\}$ is a δ_l -fine *M*-partition of the interval M_l and $f \in \mathcal{M}$.

The sum

$$\sum_{j} f(r_j)\mu(K_j) + \sum_{l} \sum_{i} f(s_i^l)\mu(J_i^l)$$

represents an integral sum which corresponds to a certain δ -fine *M*-partition of *I*, namely $\{(r_j, K_j), (s_i^l, J_i^l)\}$, and consequently by the assumption we have

$$\left|\sum_{j} f(r_j)\mu(K_j) + \sum_{l} \sum_{i} f(s_i^l)\mu(J_i^l) - \int_I f \,\mathrm{d}\mu\right| < \varepsilon.$$

Hence

$$\begin{split} \left| \sum_{j} \left[f(r_{j}) \mu(K_{j}) - \int_{K_{j}} f \, \mathrm{d}\mu \right] \right| \\ &\leqslant \left| \sum_{j} f(r_{j}) \mu(K_{j}) + \sum_{l} \sum_{i} f(s_{i}^{l}) \mu(J_{i}^{l}) - \int_{I} f \, \mathrm{d}\mu \right| \\ &+ \sum_{l} \left| \sum_{i} f(s_{i}^{l}) \mu(J_{i}^{l}) - \int_{M_{l}} f \, \mathrm{d}\mu \right| < \varepsilon + r \frac{\eta}{r+1} < \varepsilon + \eta \end{split}$$

Since this inequality holds for every $\eta > 0$ and $f \in \mathcal{M}$ we obtain immediately the statement of the lemma.

Looking at Lemma 11 we can see immediately that if the equi-integrable family \mathcal{M} consists of a single McShane integrable function f, then the following standard Saks-Henstock Lemma holds.

Assume that $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$ is McShane integrable. Given $\varepsilon > 0$ assume that the gauge Δ on I is such that

$$\left|\sum_{i} f(t_i)\mu(I_i) - \int_{I} f\right| < \varepsilon$$

for every Δ -fine *M*-partition $\{(t_i, I_i)\}$ of *I*.

Then if $\{(r_j, K_j)\}$ is an arbitrary Δ -fine *M*-system we have

$$\left|\sum_{j} \left[f(r_j) \mu(K_j) - \int_{K_j} f \right] \right| \leqslant \varepsilon.$$

Proposition 12. Assume that $f_k \colon I \to \mathbb{R}, k \in \mathbb{N}$, are McShane (=Lebesgue) integrable functions such that

1. $f_k(t) \to f(t)$ for $t \in I$,

2. the set $\{f_k; k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is uniformly absolutely continuous.

Then the set $\{f_k; k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is equi-integrable.

Proof. Assuming 1 we will use Egoroff's Theorem (see [3] or [1, Th. 2.13, p. 22]) in the following form:

For every $j \in \mathbb{N}$ there is a measurable set $E_j \subset I$ such that $\mu(I \setminus E_j) < 1/j$, $E_j \subset E_{j+1}$ and $f_k(t) \to f(t)$ uniformly for $t \in E_j$, i.e. for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is a $K_j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $k > K_j$ we have

(3)
$$|f_k(t) - f(t)| < \varepsilon \text{ for } t \in E_j.$$

Let us mention that for $N = I \setminus \bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty} E_j$ we have $\mu(N) = 0$ because $\mu(N) \leq \mu(I \setminus E_j) < 1/j$ for every $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

By virtue of Remark 10 we may assume without any loss of generality that $f_k(t) = f(t) = 0$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in N$.

Assume now that $\varepsilon > 0$ is given. By the assumption 2 there is a $j \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

(4)
$$\int_{I\setminus E_j} |f_k| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then (by (3) and (4))

$$\begin{split} \int_{I} |f_{k} - f_{l}| &= \int_{E_{j}} |f_{k} - f_{l}| + \int_{I \setminus E_{j}} |f_{k} - f_{l}| \\ &\leq \int_{E_{j}} |f_{k} - f| + \int_{E_{j}} |f - f_{l}| + \int_{I \setminus E_{j}} |f_{k}| + \int_{I \setminus E_{j}} |f_{l}| \\ &< 2\varepsilon \mu(E_{j}) + 2\varepsilon \leq 2\varepsilon (\mu(I) + 1) \end{split}$$

for all $k, l > K_j$. This shows that the sequence $f_k, k \in \mathbb{N}$, is Cauchy in the Banach space L of Lebesgue integrable functions on I and implies that the function $f: I \to \mathbb{R}$ also belongs to L and

(5)
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{I} |f_k - f| = 0,$$

i.e. there is a $K \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

(6)
$$\int_{I} |f_{k} - f| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } k > K.$$

By Theorem 2 we know that all the functions $f, f_k, k \in \mathbb{N}$, are also McShane integrable and the values of their McShane and Lebesgue integrals are the same.

According to Definition 1 there exists a gauge $\Delta_1 \colon I \to (0, +\infty)$ such that

(7)
$$\left|\sum_{i} f(t_{i})\mu(I_{i}) - \int_{I} f\right| < \varepsilon$$

for every Δ_1 -fine *M*-partition $\{(t_i, I_i)\}$ of *I*.

Further, there exists a gauge $\Delta_2 \colon I \to (0, +\infty)$ such that

(8)
$$\left|\sum_{i} f_k(t_i)\mu(I_i) - \int_I f_k\right| < \varepsilon$$

for every Δ_2 -fine *M*-partition $\{(t_i, I_i)\}$ of *I* for all $k \leq K$, *K* given by (6). (A finite set of integrable functions is evidently equi-integrable.)

Similarly, for any $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we have a gauge $\delta_j \colon I \to (0, +\infty)$ such that

(9)
$$\left|\sum_{i} f_{k}(t_{i})\mu(I_{i}) - \int_{I} f_{k}\right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^{j}}$$

for every δ_j -fine *M*-partition $\{(t_i, I_i)\}$ of *I* and all $k \leq K_j$.

Since $\mu(N) = 0$, for every $\delta > 0$ there is an open set $U \subset \mathbb{R}^m$ such that $N \subset U$ and $\mu(U) < \delta$. By virtue of the assumption 2 the value of δ can be chosen in such a way that

(10)
$$\left| \int_{U \cap I} f_k \right| < \varepsilon \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N},$$

cf. Definition 7.

For $t \in E_1 \setminus N$ define $\Delta_3(t) = \delta_1(t)$, for $t \in (E_2 \setminus E_1) \setminus N$ define $\Delta_3(t) = \delta_2(t), \ldots$, for $t \in (E_j \setminus E_{j-1}) \setminus N$ define $\Delta_3(t) = \delta_j(t)$, etc.

If $t \in N$ then we define $\Delta_3(t) > 0$ such that for the ball $B(t, \Delta_3(t))$ (centered at t with the radius $\Delta_3(t)$) we have $B(t, \Delta_3(t)) \subset U$.

In this way the positive function Δ_3 defined on *I* represents a gauge.

Let us put $\Delta(t) = \min(\Delta_1(t), \Delta_2(t), \Delta_3(t))$ for $t \in I$. The function Δ is evidently a gauge on I.

Assume that $\{(t_i, I_i)\}$ is an arbitrary Δ -fine *M*-partition of *I*. If $k \leq K$ then

$$\left|\sum_{i} f_k(t_i)\mu(I_i) - \int_I f_k\right| < \varepsilon$$

by (8).

If k > K then

(11)
$$\left|\sum_{i} f_{k}(t_{i})\mu(I_{i}) - \int_{I} f_{k}\right| = \left|\sum_{i} \left[f_{k}(t_{i})\mu(I_{i}) - \int_{I_{i}} f_{k}\right]\right|$$
$$\leq \left|\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i: \ t_{i} \in (E_{j} \setminus E_{j-1}) \setminus N} \left[f_{k}(t_{i})\mu(I_{i}) - \int_{I_{i}} f_{k}\right]\right|$$
$$+ \left|\sum_{i: \ t_{i} \in N} \left[f_{k}(t_{i})\mu(I_{i}) - \int_{I_{i}} f_{k}\right]\right|.$$

For the second term on the right hand side of (11) we know that if $t_i \in N$ then $f_k(t_i) = 0$ and $\bigcup_{i: t_i \in N} E_i \subset U$ and therefore by (10) we have

(12)
$$\left|\sum_{i: t_i \in N} \int_{I_i} f_k\right| \leq \left|\int_{\bigcup_i I_i: t_i \in N} f_k\right| \leq \left|\int_{U \cap I} f_k\right| < \varepsilon.$$

Concerning the first term on the right hand side of (11) we have

(13)
$$\left|\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\sum_{i: t_{i}\in(E_{j}\setminus E_{j-1})\setminus N}\left[f_{k}(t_{i})\mu(I_{i}) - \int_{I_{i}}f_{k}\right]\right| \\ \leqslant \sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left|\sum_{i: t_{i}\in(E_{j}\setminus E_{j-1})\setminus N}\left[f_{k}(t_{i})\mu(I_{i}) - \int_{I_{i}}f_{k}\right]\right|.$$

If $k \leq K_j$ the the Saks-Henstock Lemma 11 yields by (9) the inequality

(14)
$$\left|\sum_{i: t_i \in (E_j \setminus E_{j-1}) \setminus N} \left[f_k(t_i) \mu(I_i) - \int_{I_i} f_k \right] \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^j}.$$

If $k > K_j$ then (cf. (3))

$$\begin{split} & \left| \sum_{i: \ t_i \in (E_j \setminus E_{j-1}) \setminus N} \left[f_k(t_i) \mu(I_i) - \int_{I_i} f_k \right] \right| \\ & \leqslant \sum_{i: \ t_i \in (E_j \setminus E_{j-1}) \setminus N} \left| f_k(t_i) \mu(I_i) - \int_{I_i} f_k \right| \\ & \leqslant \sum_{i: \ t_i \in (E_j \setminus E_{j-1}) \setminus N} \left| f_k(t_i) - f(t_i) \right| \mu(I_i) + \sum_{i: \ t_i \in (E_j \setminus E_{j-1}) \setminus N} \left| f(t_i) \mu(I_i) - \int_{I_i} f \right| \\ & + \sum_{i: \ t_i \in (E_j \setminus E_{j-1}) \setminus N} \int_{I_i} |f - f_k| \\ & < \varepsilon \sum_{i: \ t_i \in (E_j \setminus E_{j-1}) \setminus N} \mu(I_i) + \sum_{i: \ t_i \in (E_j \setminus E_{j-1}) \setminus N} \left| f(t_i) \mu(I_i) - \int_{I_i} f \right| \\ & + \int_{\bigcup I_i: \ t_i \in (E_j \setminus E_{j-1}) \setminus N} |f - f_k|. \end{split}$$

This together with (14) gives for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ the estimate

$$\left|\sum_{i: t_i \in (E_j \setminus E_{j-1}) \setminus N} \left[f_k(t_i) \mu(I_i) - \int_{I_i} f_k \right] \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2^j} + \varepsilon \sum_{i: t_i \in (E_j \setminus E_{j-1}) \setminus N} \mu(I_i) + \sum_{i: t_i \in (E_j \setminus E_{j-1}) \setminus N} \left| f(t_i) \mu(I_i) - \int_{I_i} f \right| + \int_{\bigcup_i I_i: t_i \in (E_j \setminus E_{j-1}) \setminus N} |f - f_k|.$$

Summing over j and using (7) and (6) together with the Saks-Henstock Lemma 11 we obtain

$$\sum_{j}^{\infty} \left| \sum_{i: t_i \in (E_j \setminus E_{j-1}) \setminus N} \left[f_k(t_i) \mu(I_i) - \int_{I_i} f_k \right] \right| < \varepsilon + \varepsilon \mu(I) + \varepsilon + \varepsilon$$

and taking into account (11) and (12) we conclude

$$\left|\sum_{i} f_k(t_i)\mu(I_i) - \int_I f_k\right| < (4 + \mu(I))\varepsilon \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N}$$

This inequality proves that the sequence $f_k, k \in \mathbb{N}$, is equi-integrable.

Lemma 13. Assume that $f_k \colon I \to \mathbb{R}, k \in \mathbb{N}$, are McShane (Lebesgue) integrable functions such that

1. $f_k(t) \to f(t)$ for $t \in I$,

2. the set $\{f_k; k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is equi-integrable.

Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an $\eta > 0$ such that for any finite family $\{J_j: j = 1, \ldots, p\}$ of non-overlapping intervals in I with $\sum_{j} \mu(J_j) < \eta$ we have

$$\bigg|\sum_j \int_{J_j} f_k\bigg| < \varepsilon, \quad k \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Since f_k are equi-integrable on I, there exists a gauge δ on I such that $|\sum_i f_k(t_i)\mu(I_i) - \int_I f_k| < \varepsilon$ for $k \in \mathbb{N}$ whenever $\{(t_i, I_i)\}$ is a δ -fine M-partition of I. Fixing a δ -fine M-partition $\{(t_i, I_i)\}$ of I let $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that

$$|f_k(t_i) - f(t_i)| < \varepsilon \text{ for } k > k_0,$$

put $C = \max\{|f(t_i)|, |f_k(t_i)|; i, k \leq k_0\}$ and set $\eta = \varepsilon (C+1)^{-1}$.

Suppose that $\{J_j: j = 1, ..., p\}$ is a finite family of non-overlapping intervals in I such that $\sum_j \mu(J_j) < \eta$. By subdividing these intervals if necessary, we may assume that for each $j, J_j \subseteq I_i$ for some i. For each i let $M_i = \{j; J_j \subseteq I_i\}$ and let

$$D = \{(t_i, J_j) \colon j \in M_i, i\}.$$

Note that D is a δ -fine M-system in I.

Using the Saks-Henstock Lemma 11 we get

$$\left|\sum_{j} \int_{J_{j}} f_{k}\right| \leq \left|\sum_{j} \left[\int_{J_{j}} f_{k} - f_{k}(t_{i})\mu(J_{j})\right]\right| + \sum_{j} |f_{k}(t_{i})|\mu(J_{j})|$$
$$\leq \varepsilon + (C + \varepsilon)\sum_{j} \mu(J_{j}) < \varepsilon + (C + \varepsilon)\eta < \varepsilon \left(2 + \frac{\varepsilon}{C + 1}\right)$$

and this proves the lemma.

Lemma 14. Assume that $f_k \colon I \to \mathbb{R}, k \in \mathbb{N}$, are McShane (Lebesgue) integrable functions such that

1. $f_k(t) \to f(t)$ for $t \in I$,

2. the set $\{f_k; k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ forms an equi-integrable sequence.

Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists an $\eta > 0$ such that

(a) if F is closed, G open, $F \subset G \subset I$, $\mu(G \setminus F) < \eta$ then there is a gauge $\xi \colon I \to (0,\infty)$ such that

$$B(t,\xi(t)) \subset G \quad \text{for } t \in G,$$

$$B(t,\xi(t)) \cap I \subset I \setminus F \quad \text{for } t \in I \setminus F$$

and

(b) for ξ -fine *M*-systems $\{(u_l, U_l)\}, \{(v_m, V_m)\}$ satisfying

$$u_l, v_m \in G, \ F \subset \ \mathrm{int} \bigcup_{u_l \in F} U_l, \ F \subset \ \mathrm{int} \bigcup_{v_m \in F} V_m$$

we have

(15)
$$\left|\sum_{l} f_{k}(u_{l})\mu(U_{l}) - \sum_{m} f_{k}(v_{m})\mu(V_{m})\right| \leq \varepsilon$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Denote $\Phi_k(J) = \int_J f_k$ for an interval $J \subset I$ (the indefinite integral or primitive of f_k) and put $\hat{\varepsilon} = \varepsilon/10$.

Since f_k are equi-integrable we obtain by the Saks-Henstock Lemma 11 that there is a gauge Δ on I such that

(16)
$$\left|\sum_{j} [f_k(r_j)\mu(K_j) - \Phi_k(K_j)]\right| \leqslant \hat{\varepsilon}$$

for every Δ -fine *M*-system $\{(r_j, K_j)\}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Assume that

(17)
$$\{(w_p, W_p)\}$$
 is a fixed Δ -fine *M*-partition of *I*.

Let $k_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ be such that

$$|f_k(w_p) - f(w_p)| < 1$$

for $k > k_0$ and all p. Put $\kappa = \max_{p,k \leq k_0} \{1 + |f(w_p)|, |f_k(w_p)|\}$. Then

(18) $|f_k(w_p)| < \kappa \text{ for all } k \in \mathbb{N} \text{ and } p.$

Assume that $\eta > 0$ satisfies

(19)
$$\eta \cdot \kappa \leqslant \hat{\varepsilon}$$

and take

(20)
$$0 < \xi(t) \leqslant \Delta(t), \ t \in I.$$

Since the sets G and $I \setminus F$ are open, the gauge ξ can be chosen such that $B(t, \xi(t)) \subset G$ for $t \in G$ and $B(t, \xi(t)) \cap I \subset I \setminus F$ for $t \in I \setminus F$.

This shows part (a) of the lemma.

Since $\{(w_p, W_p)\}$ is a partition of I, we have $\bigcup_p W_p = I$ and therefore

(21)
$$\sum_{l} f_{k}(u_{l})\mu(U_{l}) = \sum_{p} \sum_{l: \ u_{l} \in F} \sum_{m: \ v_{m} \in F} f_{k}(u_{l})\mu(W_{p} \cap U_{l} \cap V_{m})$$
$$+ \sum_{p} \sum_{l: \ u_{l} \in F} f_{k}(u_{l})\mu\left(W_{p} \cap U_{l} \setminus \bigcup_{m: \ v_{m} \in F} V_{m}\right)$$
$$+ \sum_{p} \sum_{l: \ u_{l} \in I \setminus F} f_{k}(u_{l})\mu(W_{p} \cap U_{l})$$

and similarly

(22)
$$\sum_{m} f_{k}(v_{m})\mu(V_{m}) = \sum_{p} \sum_{l: u_{l} \in F} \sum_{m: v_{m} \in F} f_{k}(v_{m})\mu(W_{p} \cap U_{l} \cap V_{m})$$
$$+ \sum_{p} \sum_{m: u_{m} \in F} f_{k}(v_{m})\mu\left(W_{p} \cap V_{m} \setminus \bigcup_{l: u_{l} \in F} U_{l}\right)$$
$$+ \sum_{p} \sum_{m: v_{m} \in I \setminus F} f_{k}(v_{m})\mu(W_{p} \cap V_{m}).$$

The M-systems

$$\{(u_l, W_p \cap U_l \cap V_m); p, u_l \in F, v_m \in F\}, \\ \{(w_p, W_p \cap U_l \cap V_m); p, u_l \in F, v_m \in F\}$$

are Δ -fine and therefore, by (16), we have the inequalities

$$\left|\sum_{p}\sum_{l:\ u_l\in F}\sum_{m:\ v_m\in F}f_k(u_l)\mu(W_p\cap U_l\cap V_m)-\Phi_k(W_p\cap U_l\cap V_m)\right|\leqslant \hat{\varepsilon},$$
$$\left|\sum_{p}\sum_{l:\ u_l\in F}\sum_{m:\ v_m\in F}f_k(w_p)\mu(W_p\cap U_l\cap V_m)-\Phi_k(W_p\cap U_l\cap V_m)\right|\leqslant \hat{\varepsilon}.$$

Hence

$$\left|\sum_{p}\sum_{l:\ u_l\in F}\sum_{m:\ v_m\in F}f_k(u_l)\mu(W_p\cap U_l\cap V_m)\right|$$
$$-\sum_{p}\sum_{l:\ u_l\in F}\sum_{m:\ v_m\in F}f_k(w_p)\mu(W_p\cap U_l\cap V_m)\right| \leqslant 2\hat{\varepsilon}$$

and similarly also

$$\left|\sum_{p}\sum_{l:\ u_l\in F}\sum_{m:\ v_m\in F}f_k(v_m)\mu(W_p\cap U_l\cap V_m)\right| - \sum_{p}\sum_{l:\ u_l\in F}\sum_{m:\ v_m\in F}f_k(w_p)\mu(W_p\cap U_l\cap V_m)\right| \leq 2\hat{\varepsilon}.$$

Therefore

(23)
$$\left|\sum_{p}\sum_{l:\ u_l\in F}\sum_{m:\ v_m\in F}f_k(u_l)\mu(W_p\cap U_l\cap V_m) -\sum_{p}\sum_{l:\ u_l\in F}\sum_{m:\ v_m\in F}f_k(v_m)\mu(W_p\cap U_l\cap V_m)\right| \leq 4\hat{\varepsilon}.$$

Since $\{(u_l, U_l)\}$ is a ξ -fine M-system with $u_l \in G$, we obtain by the properties of the gauge ξ given in (a) and from the assumption $F \subset$ int $\bigcup_{u_l \in F} U_l, F \subset$ int $\bigcup_{v_m \in F} V_m$ that

(24)
$$\left(\bigcup_{p,u_l\in F} W_p\cap U_l\setminus \bigcup_{v_m\in F} V_m\right)\cup \bigcup_{p,u_l\in I\setminus F} W_p\cap U_l\subset G\setminus F.$$

Further, the M-systems

$$\left\{ \left(u_l, W_p \cap U_l \setminus \bigcup_{v_m \in F} V_m \right); \ p, u_l \in F \right\} \cup \{ (u_l, W_p \cap U_l); \ p, u_l \in I \setminus F \}, \\ \left\{ \left(w_p, W_p \cap U_l \setminus \bigcup_{v_m \in F} V_m \right); \ p, u_l \in F \right\} \cup \{ (w_p, W_p \cap U_l); \ p, u_l \in I \setminus F \}$$

are Δ -fine (note that $W_p \cap U_l \setminus \bigcup_{v_m \in F} V_m$ and $W_p \cap U_l$ are figures in general). Therefore by (16) we have

$$\begin{split} \left| \sum_{p,u_l \in F} \left[f_k(u_l) \mu \left(W_p \cap U_l \setminus \bigcup_{v_m \in F} V_m \right) - \Phi_k \left(W_p \cap U_l \setminus \bigcup_{v_m \in F} V_m \right) \right] \\ &+ \sum_{p,u_l \in I \setminus F} \left[f_k(u_l) \mu (W_p \cap U_l) - \Phi_k (W_p \cap U_l) \right] \right| \leqslant \hat{\varepsilon}, \\ \left| \sum_{p,u_l \in F} \left[f_k(w_p) \mu \left(W_p \cap U_l \setminus \bigcup_{v_m \in F} V_m \right) - \Phi_k \left(W_p \cap U_l \setminus \bigcup_{v_m \in F} V_m \right) \right] \\ &+ \sum_{p,u_l \in I \setminus F} \left[f_k(w_p) \mu (W_p \cap U_l) - \Phi_k (W_p \cap U_l) \right] \right| \leqslant \hat{\varepsilon}. \end{split}$$

This yields

$$\left|\sum_{p,u_l\in F} f_k(u_l)\mu\left(W_p\cap U_l\setminus\bigcup_{v_m\in F}V_m\right) + \sum_{p,u_l\in I\setminus F} f_k(u_l)\mu(W_p\cap U_l)\right.\\ \left. -\sum_{p,u_l\in F} f_k(w_p)\mu\left(W_p\cap U_l\setminus\bigcup_{v_m\in F}V_m\right) - \sum_{p,u_l\in I\setminus F} f_k(w_p)\mu(W_p\cap U_l)\right| \leqslant 2\hat{\varepsilon}.$$

By virtue of (24), (18), the assumption $\mu(G \setminus F) < \eta$ and (19) we have

$$\left|\sum_{p,u_l\in F} f_k(w_p)\mu\left(W_p\cap U_l\setminus\bigcup_{w_m\in F}V_m\right)+\sum_{p,u_l\in I\setminus F} f_k(w_p)\mu(W_p\cap U_l)\right|\leqslant\kappa\cdot\eta\leqslant\hat{\varepsilon}$$

and therefore

(25)
$$\left|\sum_{p,u_l\in F} f_k(u_l)\mu\left(W_p\cap U_l\setminus\bigcup_{v_m\in F}V_m\right) + \sum_{p,u_l\in I\setminus F} f_k(u_l)\mu(W_p\cap U_l)\right| \leqslant 3\hat{\varepsilon}$$

and similarly also

(26)
$$\left|\sum_{p,v_m\in F} f_k(v_m)\mu\left(W_p\cap V_m\setminus \bigcup_{u_l\in F} U_l\right) + \sum_{p,v_m\in I\setminus F} f_k(w_m)\mu(W_p\cap V_m)\right| \leqslant 3\hat{\varepsilon}.$$

From (21), (22), (23), (25) and (26) we get

$$\left|\sum_{l} f_k(u_l)\mu(U_l) - \sum_{m} f_k(v_m)\mu(V_m)\right| \leqslant 10\hat{\varepsilon} \leqslant \varepsilon$$

and (15) is satisfied. This proves part (b) of the lemma.

Theorem 15. Assume that $f_k \colon I \to \mathbb{R}, k \in \mathbb{N}$, are McShane integrable functions such that

1. $f_k(t) \to f(t)$ for $t \in I$,

2. the set $\{f_k; k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is equi-integrable.

Then $f_k \cdot \chi_E$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, is an equi-integrable sequence for every measurable set $E \subset I$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given and let $\eta > 0$ corresponds to ε by Lemma 14. Assume that $E \subset I$ is measurable. Then there exist $F \subset I$ closed and $G \subset I$ open such that $F \subset E \subset G$ where $\mu(G \setminus F) < \eta$. Assume that the gauge $\xi \colon I \to (0, \infty)$ is given as in the Lemma 14 and that $\{(u_l, U_l)\}, \{(v_m, V_m)\}$ are ξ -fine *M*-partitions of *I*.

By virtue of (a) in Lemma 14 we have

$$\text{if } u_l \in E \ \text{ then } U_l \subset G, F \subset \text{ int } \bigcup_{u_l \in F} U_l \\ \\$$

and

if
$$v_m \in E$$
 then $V_m \subset G, F \subset \operatorname{int} \bigcup_{v_m \in F} V_m$.

Hence by (b) from Lemma 14 we have

$$\left|\sum_{l,u_l \in E} f_k(u_l) \mu(U_l) - \sum_{m,v_m \in E} f_k(v_m) \mu(V_m)\right| \leqslant \varepsilon$$

and therefore also

$$\sum_{l} f_k(u_l) \chi_E(u_l) \mu(U_l) - \sum_{m} f_k(v_m) \chi_E(v_m) \mu(V_m) \bigg| \leqslant \varepsilon.$$

This is the Bolzano-Cauchy condition from Theorem 4 for equi-integrability of the sequence $f_k \cdot \chi_E$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, and the proof is complete.

Proposition 16. Assume that $f_k \colon I \to \mathbb{R}, k \in \mathbb{N}$, are McShane integrable functions such that

1. $f_k(t) \to f(t)$ for $t \in I$,

2. the set $\{f_k; k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is equi-integrable.

Then for every $\varepsilon > 0$ there is an $\eta > 0$ such that if $E \subset I$ is measurable with $\mu(E) < \eta$ then

$$\left|\int_{I} f_{k} \cdot \chi_{E}\right| = \left|\int_{E} f_{k}\right| \leqslant 2\varepsilon$$

for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given and let $\eta > 0$ correspond to ε by Lemma 13 and assume that $\mu(E) < \eta$. Then there is an open set $G \subset I$ such that $E \subset G$ and $\mu(G) < \eta$.

The equi-integrability of f_k implies the existence of a gauge $\Delta: I \to (0, +\infty)$ such that for every Δ -fine *M*-partition $\{(t_i, I_i)\}$ of *I* the inequality

$$\left|\sum_{i} f_k(t_i)\mu(I_i) - \int_I f_k\right| < \varepsilon$$

holds.

By Theorem 15 the integrals $\int_I f_k \cdot \chi_E$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, exist and for every $\theta > 0$ there is a gauge $\delta \colon I \to (0, +\infty)$ which satisfies $B(t, \delta(t)) \subset G$ if $t \in G$, $\delta(t) \leq \Delta(t)$ for $t \in I$ and

$$\left|\sum_{m} f_k(v_m) \cdot \chi_E(v_m) \mu(V_m) - \int_I f_k \cdot \chi_E\right| \leqslant \theta$$

holds for any δ -fine *M*-partition $\{(v_m, V_m)\}$ of *I*.

If $v_m \in E \subset G$ then $V_m \subset G$ and $\sum_{m, v_m \in E} \mu(V_m) \leq \eta$.

Since $\{(v_m, V_m); v_m \in E\}$ is a Δ -fine *M*-system, we have by the Saks-Henstock Lemma 11 the inequality

$$\left|\sum_{m,v_m \in E} \left[f_k(v_m) \mu(V_m) - \int_{V_m} f_k \right] \right| \leqslant \varepsilon$$

and by Lemma 13 we get

$$\left|\sum_{m,v_m\in E}\int_{V_m}f_k\right|\leqslant\varepsilon.$$

Hence

$$\left| \int_{E} f \right| \leq \theta + \left| \sum_{m, v_m \in E} f_k(v_m) \mu(V_m) \right| \leq \theta + \left| \sum_{m, v_m \in E} \left[f_k(v_m) \mu(V_m) - \int_{V_m} f_k \right] \right| + \left| \sum_{m, v_m \in E} \int_{V_m} f_k \right| \leq \theta + 2\varepsilon.$$

This proves the statement because $\theta > 0$ can be chosen arbitrarily small.

Using Proposition 12 and 16 and the concept of uniform absolute continuity of a sequence of functions given in Definition 7 we obtain the following.

Theorem 17. Assume that $f_k \colon I \to \mathbb{R}$, $k \in \mathbb{N}$, are McShane integrable functions such that $f_k(t) \to f(t)$ for $t \in I$.

Then the set $\{f_k; k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ forms an equi-integrable sequence if and only if $\{f_k; k \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is uniformly absolutely continuous.

Concluding remarks 18. Theorem 17 shows that the relaxed Vitali convergence Theorem 9 is equivalent to our convergence Theorem 4 which uses the concept of equi-integrability.

Therefore Theorem 4 is in the sense of Gordon [1] also a sort of primary theorem because the Lebesgue dominated convergence theorem and the Levi monotone convergence theorem follow from Theorem 4 (see [1, p. 203]).

Note also that if we are looking at the Vitali convergence Theorem 8 where the sequence $f_k, k \in \mathbb{N}$, is assumed to converge to f in measure then by the Riesz theorem [3] there is a subsequence f_{k_l} which converges to f for all $t \in I \setminus N$ where $\mu(N) = 0$. If we set $f_{k_l}(t) = f(t)$ for $t \in N$ then Theorem 17 yields that the assumption of the Vitali convergence Theorem implies that the original sequence $f_k, k \in \mathbb{N}$, contains a subsequence which is equi-integrable.

References

- R. A. Gordon: The integrals of Lebesgue, Denjoy, Perron, and Henstock. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1994.
- [2] E. J. McShane: A Riemann-type integral that includes Lebesgue-Stieltjes, Bochner and stochastic integrals. Mem. Am. Math. Soc. 88 (1969).
- [3] I. P. Natanson: Theory of Functions of a Real Variable. Frederick Ungar, New York, 1955, 1960.
- [4] Š. Schwabik, Ye Guoju: On the strong McShane integral of functions with values in a Banach space. Czechoslovak Math. J. 51 (2001), 819–828.
- [5] J. Kurzweil, Š. Schwabik: On McShane integrability of Banach space-valued functions. To appear in Real Anal. Exchange.

Author's address: Jaroslav Kurzweil, Štefan Schwabik, Matematický ústav AV ČR, Žitná 25, 11567 Praha 1, Czech Republic, e-mail: kurzweil@math.cas.cz, schwabik@math. cas.cz.