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Abstract. In this paper we propose a procedure to construct approximations of the inverse of a class of $\mathcal{C}^{m}$ differentiable mappings. First of all we determine in terms of the data a neighbourhood where the inverse mapping is well defined. Then it is proved that the theoretical inverse can be expressed in terms of the solution of a differential equation depending on parameters. Finally, using one-step matrix methods we construct approximate inverse mappings of a prescribed accuracy.
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## 1. Introduction

The aim of this paper is to propose a constructive method to provide continuous approximate functions and error bounds of the local inverse of a class of differentiable mappings acting between finite-dimensional Banach spaces. More precisely, we consider mappings $f: \Omega \subset E \rightarrow E$, which are $\mathcal{C}^{m}$ continuously differentiable in an open set $\Omega$ containing a disk centered at the origin of the finite-dimensional Banach space $E$, satisfying the conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(0)=0, \text { and } \quad D f(0) \text { is an isomorphism. } \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we determine, in terms of the data, a neighbourhood where a mapping $f$ of a clas $\mathcal{C}^{m}$ in $\Omega$ and satisfying (1.1) is invertible and its inverse mapping is expressed in terms of the solution of a differential initial

[^0]value problem depending on parameters. In Section 3, using one-step matrix methods for the numerical solution of initial value matrix differential problems, we construct an approximate inverse mapping whose error in the predetermined neighbourhood is uniformly upper bounded by a prescribed admissible error $\varepsilon$.

If $A$ is a matrix in $\mathbb{C}^{r \times q}$ we denote by $\|A\|$ its operator norm which may be computed by the square root of the maximum of the set

$$
\left\{|z|: z \text { eigenvalue of } A^{H} A\right\}
$$

where $A^{H}$ denotes the conjugate transpose of $A$, see [13, p. 21]. If $f$ is a differentiable mapping $f: E \rightarrow E$, where $E$ is a finite-dimensional Banach space, we denote by $\|D f(x)\|$ the supremum of the set

$$
\{\|(D f(x))(v)\| ; v \in E,\|v\| \leqslant 1\} .
$$

The open disk of radius $r>0$ centered at the origin of $E$ is denoted by $U_{r}$ and the corresponding closed disk is denoted by $D_{r}$. The set of all continuous linear mappings $u: E \rightarrow E$, endowed with the operator norm $\|u\|=\sup \{\|u(x)\| ;\|x\| \leqslant 1\}$ is a Banach space denoted by $\mathcal{L}(E, E)$.

If $A$ is a matrix in $\mathbb{C}^{p \times q}$, then it follows from [5, p. 14] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max \left|a_{i j}\right| \leqslant\|A\| \leqslant \sqrt{p q}\left|a_{i j}\right| \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. The inverse mapping as the solution of a differential equation DEPENDING ON PARAMETERS

We begin this section with a lemma which determines the neigbourhood where the differential equation satisfied by the inverse mapping is well stated.

Lemma 2.1. Let $E$ be a finite dimensional Banach space, let $\Omega$ be an open set in $E$ containing a closed disk $D_{r}$ of radius $r>0$ centered at the origin of $E$, and let $f: \Omega \rightarrow E$ be a differentiable mapping of class $\mathcal{C}^{2}$ such that $D f(0)$ is an isomorphism.
(i) Let $M_{0}=\sup \left\{\left\|D^{2} f(y)\right\| ;\|y\| \leqslant r\right\}$ and let $r^{\prime}>0$ be defined by

$$
r^{\prime}=\min \left\{r,\left[2\left\|(D f(0))^{-1}\right\| M_{0}\right]^{-1}\right\} .
$$

Then for $x \in U_{r^{\prime}}, D f(x)$ is an isomorphism and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup \left\{\left\|(D f(z))^{-1}\right\| ;\|z\|<r^{-1}\right\} \leqslant 2\left\|(D f(0))^{-1}\right\| \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) Let us consider the mapping $H: U_{r^{\prime}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, E)$ defined by $H(x)=$ $(D f(x))^{-1}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup \left\{\|H(x)\| ;\|x\|<r^{\prime}\right\} \leqslant 4 M_{0}\left\|(D f(0))^{-1}\right\|^{2} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M_{0}$ is defined in (i).
Let $\gamma>0$ and let $G: U_{r} \times U_{\gamma} \rightarrow E$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(x, v)=(D f(x))^{-1}(v) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $G$ satisfies the Lipschitz condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|G(x, v)-G(y, v)\| \leqslant 4 \gamma M_{0}\left\|(D f(0))^{-1}\right\|^{2}\|x-y\| ; x, y \in U_{r^{\prime}}, v \in U_{\gamma} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (i) From the Mean Value Theorem [2, p. 158], if $x \in U_{r}$ one gets

$$
\|D f(x)-D f(0)\| \leqslant\|x\| M_{0}
$$

From the definition of $r^{\prime}$, if $\|x\|<r^{\prime}$ it follows that

$$
\|D f(x)-D f(0)\|<\left\|(D f(0))^{-1}\right\|^{-1}
$$

The perturbation lemma [3, p. 584] and the last inequality imply the invertibility of $D f(x)$.

From the Banach lemma, the Mean Value Theorem and the definition of $r^{\prime}$ it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|(D f(x))^{-1}-(D f(0))^{-1}\right\| & \leqslant\left\|(D f(x))^{-1}\right\|\left\|(D f(0))^{-1}\right\|\|D f(x)-D f(0)\| \\
& <\left\|(D f(x))^{-1}\right\|\left\|(D f(0))^{-1}\right\| r^{\prime} M_{0} \\
\left|\left\|(D f(x))^{-1}\right\|-\left\|(D f(0))^{-1}\right\|\right| & <\left\|(D f(x))^{-1}\right\|\left\|(D f(0))^{-1}\right\| r^{\prime} M_{0} \\
\left\|(D f(x))^{-1}\right\|\left(1-r^{\prime} M_{0}\left\|(D f(0))^{-1}\right\|\right) & <\left\|(D f(0))^{-1}\right\| \\
\left\|(D f(x))^{-1}\right\| & <\left(1-r^{\prime} M_{0}\left\|(D f(0))^{-1}\right\|\right)^{-1}\left\|(D f(0))^{-1}\right\| \\
& <2\left\|(D f(0))^{-1}\right\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus (2.1) is proved.
(ii) Note that $H(x)=\left(g_{2} \circ g_{1}\right)(x)$, where $g_{1}: U_{r^{\prime}} \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(E, E)$ and $g_{2}: \mathcal{L}(E, E) \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{L}(E, E)$ are defined by $g_{1}(x)=D f(x)$ and $g_{2}(y)=y^{-1}$, respectively. From Theorem 8.2.1 of [2, p. 149] it follows that $H^{\prime}(x)=g_{2}^{\prime}\left(g_{1}(x)\right) \cdot g_{1}^{\prime}(x)$. Hence, from Theorem 8.3.2 of [2, p. 151] it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|g_{2}^{\prime}\left(g_{1}(x)\right)\right\|=\left\|g_{2}^{\prime}(D f(x))\right\| \leqslant\left\|(D f(x))^{-1}\right\|^{2} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account that $g_{1}^{\prime}(x)=D^{2} f(x)$, from (2.5) we obtain that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|H^{\prime}(x)\right\| \leqslant\left\|(D f(x))^{-1}\right\|^{2}\left\|D^{2} f(x)\right\| . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.6) and (2.1) one gets (2.2).
Note that $G(x, v)=(D f(x))^{-1}(v)=H(x)(v)$. From the Mean Value Theorem and (2.2) it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|G(x, v)-G(x, y)\| & =\|H(x)(v)-H(y)(v)\|=\|[H(x)-H(y)](v)\| \\
& \leqslant\|H(x)-H(y)\|\|v\| \leqslant\|H(x)-H(y)\| \gamma \\
& \leqslant \sup \left\{\left\|H^{\prime}(z)\right\| ;\|z\|<r^{\prime}\right\} \gamma\|x-y\| .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (2.6) and (2.1) one gets (2.4).

Theorem 2.1. Let $E$ be a finite-dimensional Banach space, let $\Omega$ be an open set in $E$ containing a closed disk $D_{r}$ of radius $r>0$ centered at the origin of $E$. Let $f: \Omega \rightarrow E$ be a differentiable mapping of class $\mathcal{C}^{m}, m \geqslant 2$, such that $f(0)=0$ and $D f(0)$ is an isomorphism.
(i) Let $r^{\prime}>0$ be defined by Lemma 2.1 and let us consider the differential system depending on parameters

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\mathrm{~d} t}=G(x, v) ; \quad x(0, v)=0,\|v\|<\gamma \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G(x, v)$ is defined by (2.3) and $\gamma>0$. Let $\delta$ be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta=2\left\|(D f(0))^{-1}\right\| r^{\prime}\left[1+2 r^{\prime} M_{0} \gamma\left\|(D f(0))^{-1}\right\|\right] \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the system (2.7) has only one solution in the interval $]-\delta, \delta[$.
(ii) Let $\delta$ be defined by (2.8) and let $\varepsilon=\gamma \delta / 2$. Then the function $f: U_{r^{\prime}} \rightarrow U_{\varepsilon}$ admits an inverse mapping $g: U_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow U_{r^{\prime}}$, defined by

$$
g(v)=X(\delta / 2,2 v / \delta), \quad v \in U_{\varepsilon}
$$

where $x(t, v)$ is the solution of (2.7).
Proof. (i) From Lemma 2.1, $D f(x)$ is an isomorphism for $x \in U_{r^{\prime}}$, and thus problem (2.7) is well stated. From Theorem 10.7.1, 10.7.3 and 10.7.4 of [2], for every $x \in U_{\gamma}$ there exists a unique solution $t \rightarrow x(t, v)$ of problem (2.7) of class $\mathcal{C}^{m-1}$ defined in $]-\delta, \delta[$ such that

$$
\mathrm{d} f(x(t, v)) \frac{\partial x(t, v)}{\partial t}=v
$$

Consequently, $\frac{\mathrm{d} f(x(t, v))}{\mathrm{d} t}=v$, and then $f(x(t, v))=t v+\Phi(v)$. Taking $\mathrm{t}=0$, we conclude that $\Phi=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x(t, v))=t v . \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) Let $\delta$ be defined by (2.7) and let $g(v)=x(\delta / 2,2 v / \delta)$ for $v \in U_{\varepsilon}$ with $\varepsilon=\gamma \delta / 2$. From (2.9) it follows that

$$
(f \circ g)(v)=f(x(\delta / 2,2 v / \delta))=v
$$

and $f \circ g=\mathrm{Id}$. Thus, g is a right inverse mapping of $f$ of class $\mathcal{C}^{m-1}$, where $g: U_{\varepsilon} \rightarrow U_{r}$. Otherwise, as $(D f)^{-1}$ is of class $\mathcal{C}^{m-1}$, the identity $D g=(D f)^{-1} \circ g$ shows that g is of class $\mathcal{C}^{m}$ indeed. Furthermore, the equality $f \circ g=\operatorname{Id}$, implies that $D f(0) \circ D g(0)=$ Id. Since $D f(0)$ is an isomorphism, we conclude that $D g(0)$ is also an isomorphism. Thus we can apply the first part of the proof to the mapping g , obtaining a right inverse h for it, i.e., $g \circ h=\mathrm{Id}$, in an appropiate neigbourhood of the origin. From the equations $f \circ g, g \circ h=\mathrm{Id}$, it follows that $f=h$ and the result is proved.

The following example shows the utility of the above theorem in the case where the inverse mapping is known.

Example 2.1. Let $f: \Re^{n} \rightarrow \Re^{n}$ be the function $f(x)=A x$, where $x \in \Re^{n}$ and A is an invertible square matrix of order $n$. Since $f^{\prime}(x)=A$, the corresponding differential system (2.7) takes the form

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} x(t, v)}{\mathrm{d} t}=A^{-1}(v), \quad x(0, v)=0
$$

Integrating one gets $x(t, v)=A^{-1} v t$, and the solution $\mathrm{x}(\mathrm{t}, \mathrm{v})$ is defined on the whole real line. Taking any values of $r$ and of the corresponding $\delta$ given by Theorem 2.1, the inverse mapping is

$$
g(v)=x\left(\frac{\delta}{2}, \frac{2 v}{\delta}\right)=A^{-1} v
$$

## 3. Approximate inverse mappings and error bounds

For the sake of clarity of presentation we summarize some results about the numerical solution of initial value matrix problems recently given in Section 2 of [9]. Let us consider the problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y^{\prime}(t)=f(t, Y(t)), \quad Y(0)=Y_{0} \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times q}, \quad 0 \leqslant t \leqslant b \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f:[0, b] \times \mathbb{C}^{r \times q} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}^{r \times q}$ is bounded, continuous and satisfies the Lipschitz condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(t, P)-f(t, Q)\| \leqslant L\|P-Q\| \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

which guarantees the existence of a unique continuously differentiable matrix function $Y(t)$, a solution of (3.1), [4, p. 99].

A one-step matrix method is a relationship of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{n+1}-Y_{n}=h\left\{B_{1} f_{n+1}+B_{0} f_{n}\right\}, \quad n \geqslant 0 \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{0}, B_{1}$ are matrices in $\mathbb{C}^{r \times r}$ and $Y_{n}, f_{n}=f\left(t_{n}, Y_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times q}, t_{n}=n h \in[0, b]$, $h>0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{0}+B_{1}=I \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $C_{s}$ be the matrix in $\mathbb{C}^{r \times r}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{0}=0 ; \quad C_{1}=I-\left(B_{0}+B_{1}\right)=0 ; \ldots ; C_{s}=\frac{I}{s!}-\frac{B_{1}}{(s-1)!} ; \quad s=2,3, \ldots \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The method (3.3)-(3.4) is said to be of order $p$, if in (3.5) we have $C_{0}=C_{1}=$ $\ldots=C_{p}=0$ and $C_{p+1} \neq 0$.

Theorem 3.1. ([9]) Let us consider a one-step matrix method of the type (3.3)(3.4) of order $p \geqslant 1$, and let $h, \Gamma^{*}$ be positive constants defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h<\left(L\left\|B_{1}\right\|\right)^{-1}, \quad \Gamma^{*}=\left(1-h L\left\|B_{1}\right\|\right)^{-1} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L$ is the Lipschitz constant given by (3.2). If $G$ and $D$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G=\left\|C_{p+1}\right\|, \quad D \geqslant \max \left\{\left\|Y^{p+1}(t)\right\| ; \quad 0 \leqslant t \leqslant b\right\} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Y(t)$ is the theoretical solution of (3.1), then the discretization error, $e_{n}=$ $Y\left(t_{n}\right)-Y_{n}$, is upper bounded by the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e_{n}\right\| \leqslant \Gamma^{*} h^{p} G D t_{n} \exp \left(\Gamma^{*} L B^{*} t_{n}\right), \quad n \geqslant 0 . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 3.1. Let us consider the one-step matrix method

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{n+1}-Y_{n}=h f_{n} ; n \geqslant 0, Y_{0}=\Omega \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A_{0}=I, B_{0}=I, B_{1}=0$. From (3.5) it follows that $C_{0}=C_{1}=0$ and $C_{2}=I / 2$ and thus the method (3.9) is of order $p=1$. In accordance with the notation of Theorem 3.1, we have $C=\left\|C_{2}\right\|=1 / 2, \Gamma^{*}=1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{2} \geqslant\left\{\left\|Y^{(2)}(t)\right\| ; t \in[0, b]\right\} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the discretization error $e_{n}$ verifies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|e_{n}\right\| \leqslant \frac{h t_{n} D_{2}}{2} \exp \left(L t_{n}\right), n \geqslant 0, t_{n}=n h \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

From a practical point of view it is important to obtain the constant $D_{2}$ in terms of the data because the theoretical solution $Y(t)$ of problem (3.1) is not known. For the sake of clarity of presentation we recall the concept and some properties of the Kronecker product of matrices. If $A=\left[a_{i j}\right] \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ and $B=\left[b_{i j}\right] \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times s}$, then the Kronecker product of $A$ and $B$, denoted by $A \otimes B$, is the block matrix defined by

$$
A \otimes B=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
a_{11} B & \ldots & a_{1 n} B \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
a_{m 1} B & \ldots & a_{m n} B
\end{array}\right]
$$

The column vector operator acting on the matrix $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$ is defined by

$$
\operatorname{vec}(A)=\left[\begin{array}{c}
A_{.1} \\
\vdots \\
A_{. n}
\end{array}\right], \quad A_{. k}=\left[\begin{array}{c}
a_{1 k} \\
\vdots \\
a_{m k}
\end{array}\right]
$$

If $A \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}, Y \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times r}$ and $B \in \mathbb{C}^{r \times s}$, then [6, p. 25], implies that

$$
\operatorname{vec}(A Y B)=\left(B^{T} \otimes A\right) \operatorname{vec} Y
$$

where $B^{T}$ is the transpose matrix of $B$. If $Y=\left[y_{i j}\right] \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times q}$ and $X=\left[x_{r s}\right] \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}$, then [ 6, p. 62 and p. 81], yields

$$
\frac{\partial Y}{\partial x_{r s}}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial y_{11}}{\partial x_{r s}} & \ldots & \frac{\partial y_{1 q}}{\partial x_{r s}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\frac{\partial y_{p 1}}{\partial x_{r s}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial y_{p q}}{\partial x_{r s}}
\end{array}\right] ; \quad \frac{\partial Y}{\partial X}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\frac{\partial Y}{\partial x_{11}} & \ldots & \frac{\partial Y}{\partial x_{1 n}} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
\frac{\partial Y}{\partial x_{m 1}} & \cdots & \frac{\partial Y}{\partial x_{m n}}
\end{array}\right]
$$

The chain rule for the derivative of a matrix $Z=Y(X)$ with respect to a matrix $X$, with $X \in \mathbb{C}^{m \times n}, Y \in \mathbb{C}^{n \times r}, Z \in \mathbb{C}^{p \times q}$, takes the form [6, p. 88], [14]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial Z}{\partial X}=\left[\frac{\partial[\operatorname{vec} Y]^{T}}{\partial X} \otimes I_{p}\right]\left[I_{n} \otimes \frac{\partial Z}{\partial \operatorname{vec} Y}\right] . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we consider the theoretical solution $x(t, v)$ of problem (2.7) in an interval $\left[0, \delta^{*}\right]$ where $\delta^{*}<\delta$ and $\delta$ is defined by (2.8), then by virtue of (3.12) the second derivative of the solution $x(t, v)$ of problem (2.7) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} x(t, v)}{\mathrm{d} t^{2}}=\left(\left[\operatorname{vec}(D f(x(t, v)))^{-1}(v)\right]^{T} \otimes I_{n}\right) \frac{\partial\left(D f(x(t, v))^{-1}(v)\right.}{\partial \operatorname{vec} X} \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ is the dimension of the Banach space $E$. Taking into account that [11, p. 439] yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A \otimes B\|=\|A\|\|B\| \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain from Lemma 2.1, Theorem 2.1 and (3.12), (3.13), (3.14), that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup \left\{\left\|\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} x(t, v)}{\mathrm{d} t^{2}}\right\| ; 0 \leqslant t \leqslant \delta^{*}<\delta,\|v\|<\gamma\right\} \leqslant 8\left\|(D f(0))^{-1}\right\|^{3} M_{0} \gamma^{2} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus for the problem (2.7), the constant $D_{2}$ appearing in (3.11) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{2}=8 \gamma^{2}\left\|(D f(0))^{-1}\right\|^{3} M_{0} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The following result summarizes the procedure for constructing approximate inverse mappings and its proof is a direct consequence of Lemma 2.1, Theorem 2.1 and (3.11), (3.16).

Theorem 3.2. Let $\gamma>0$ and let $E$ be a finite-dimensional Banach space, let $\Omega$ be an open set in $E$ containing a closed disk $D_{r}$ of radius $r>0$ centered at the origin of $E$. Let $f: \Omega \rightarrow E$ be a differentiable mapping of class $\mathcal{C}^{m}, m \geqslant 2$, such that $f(0)=0$ and $D f(0)$ is an isomorphism. Let $\delta^{*}<\delta$ where $\delta$ is defined by (2.8),
let $L=4 \gamma M_{0}\left\|(D f(0))^{-1}\right\|^{2}$ and $D_{2}=8 \gamma^{2}\left\|(D f(0))^{-1}\right\|^{3} M_{0}$. Let us consider the one step method

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{n+1}-Y_{n}=h\left[D f\left(Y_{n}\right)\right]^{-1}(v) ; Y_{0}=0,0 \leqslant n \leqslant N-1 \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma>0, h>0, v \in E,\|v\|<\gamma \delta^{*} / 2$ and $N=\left[\delta^{*} / h\right]=2 p$ is an even integer.
Let us define the approximate inverse mapping $\hat{g}(\cdot, h, \gamma): U_{\gamma \delta^{*} / 2} \rightarrow U_{r^{\prime}}$ by the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{g}(v, h, \gamma)=Y_{N / 2}\left(2 v / \delta^{*}\right) \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r^{\prime}$ is given by Lemma 2.1.
The error of $\hat{g}$ with respect to the theoretical inverse mapping $f^{-1}$ of $f$ is upper bounded by the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f^{-1}(v)-\hat{g}(v, h, \gamma)\right\| \leqslant h \gamma\left\|(D f(0))^{-1}\right\| K(\gamma) \exp [K(\gamma)] ;\|v\|<\gamma \delta^{*} / 2 \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.K(\gamma)=4 \gamma M_{0}\left\|(D f(0))^{-1}\right\|^{3}\left(1+2 r^{\prime} M_{0} \gamma\right)\left\|(D f(0))^{-1}\right\|\right) r^{\prime} \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given an admissible error $\varepsilon>0$, taking $h<\varepsilon\left[\gamma K(\gamma)\left\|(D f(0))^{-1}\right\| \exp (K(\gamma))\right]^{-1}$, the corresponding approximate mapping $\hat{g}(., h, \gamma)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|f^{-1}(v)-\hat{g}(v, h, \gamma)\right\|<\varepsilon, v \in U_{\gamma \delta^{*} / 2} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Remark 3.1. Note that by Theorem 3.2, for a given admissible error $\varepsilon>0$, the error bound (3.19) as well as the domain of the inverse $f^{-1}$ and of the approximate inverse $\hat{g}(., h, \gamma)$ depend on the parameter $\gamma$. So, the required size of h and the domain of the inverse change if the parameter $\gamma$ changes. This fact is illustrated by the next example.

Example 3.2. Let us consider the mapping $f: \Re^{2 \times 2} \rightarrow \Re^{2 \times 2}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(X)=X^{2}+X \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem 8.14 of [2, p. 148] it is easy to show that

$$
\begin{align*}
& D f(X): \Re^{2 \times 2} \rightarrow \Re^{2 \times 2} \\
& (D f(X))(V)=X V+V X+V, V \in \Re^{2 \times 2}, X \in \Re^{2 \times 2}, \tag{3.23}
\end{align*}
$$

It is clear that $f(0)=0$ and $(D f(0))(V)=V$. Thus $D f(0)$ is an isomorphism, and the condition (1.1) is satisfied. Let us take $r=1$, then in accordance with the notation of Lemma 2.1, Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 3.2 we have $(D f(0))^{-1}(V)=V$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|(D f(0))^{-1}\right\|=1, M_{0}=3, r^{\prime}=1 / 6, L=4\left\|(D f(0))^{-1}\right\|^{2} M_{0}=12 \gamma \\
\delta=\frac{1}{3}(1+\gamma), D_{2}=24 \gamma^{2}, K(\gamma)=2 \gamma(1+\gamma)
\end{gathered}
$$

Note that to compute the constant $\delta$ appearing in (2.8) we need the expression of $(D f(0))^{-1}$ which, by Lemma 2.1, is well defined for $\|X\|<1 / 6$. From (3.22), if $X$, $T$ are matrices in $\Re^{2 \times 2}$ and $\|X\|<1 / 6$, it follows that

$$
\begin{gathered}
(D f(X))(T)=T X+X T+T=(X+I) T+T X \\
(D f(X))^{-1}((X+I) T+T X)=T
\end{gathered}
$$

and in view of linearity

$$
(X+I)(D f(X))^{-1}(T)+(D f(X))^{-1}(T) X=T
$$

If we denote $A=(D f(X))^{-1}(T)$, then it follows that $A$ satisfies the Sylvester matrix equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(X+I) A+A X=T \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking into account [8] and [1] or [12], we can write

$$
\begin{aligned}
(3.25) A & =(D f(X))^{-1}(T) \\
& =[(1+\operatorname{tr} X) T+T X-X T]\left[(1+\operatorname{tr} X+|X|) I+(2+\operatorname{tr} X) X+X^{2}\right]^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\operatorname{tr} X$ denotes the trace of $X$ and $|X|$ denotes the determinant of $X$. The one-step method (3.9) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{n+1}=h \sum_{j=0}^{n}\left(D f\left(X_{j}\right)\right)^{-1}(V),\|V\|<\delta^{*} \gamma / 2 \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking $N=10$, Table 1 shows the results obtained for different values of the parameter $\gamma$ where $E(\gamma, h)=2 h(1+\gamma) \gamma^{2} \exp (2 \gamma(1+\gamma))$. The approximate inverse
mapping for different values of $h$ is given by $\hat{g}(V, h, \gamma)=\frac{2}{\delta} X_{5}$.

| $\gamma$ | $\delta$ | $h$ | Error bound $\mathrm{E}(\gamma, h)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.1 | 0.366666 | 0.036666 | $1.0051685 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 0.2 | 0.400000 | 0.040000 | $6.205725 \times 10^{-3}$ |
| 0.3 | 0.433333 | 0.043333 | $2.212012 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 0.4 | 0.466666 | 0.046666 | $6.407588 \times 10^{-2}$ |
| 0.5 | 0.500000 | 0.050000 | $1.680633 \times 10^{-1}$ |

Table 3.1. Example 3.2
Using Mathematica, [15], for $h=0^{\prime} 0400, \delta=0^{\prime} 4$ and $V=\left[\begin{array}{cc}0.01 & 0.01 \\ 0 & 0.01\end{array}\right]$ from (3.25) one gets the value of the approximate inverse at $V$,

$$
\hat{g}\left(V, 0^{\prime} 04,0^{\prime} 2\right)=\frac{2}{0^{\prime} 4} X_{5}=5 \times 10^{-3}\left[\begin{array}{cc}
1.99681 & 1.99363 \\
0 & 1.99681
\end{array}\right]
$$
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