Dagmar Medková Solution of the Robin problem for the Laplace equation

Applications of Mathematics, Vol. 43 (1998), No. 2, 133-155

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/134381

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 1998

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

## SOLUTION OF THE ROBIN PROBLEM FOR THE LAPLACE EQUATION

Dagmar Medková,\* Praha

(Received November 28, 1997)

*Abstract.* For open sets with a piecewise smooth boundary it is shown that we can express a solution of the Robin problem for the Laplace equation in the form of a single layer potential of a signed measure which is given by a concrete series.

*Keywords*: Laplace equation, Robin problem, single layer potential *MSC 2000*: 31B10, 35J05, 35J25

Suppose that  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  (m > 2) is an open set with a non-void compact boundary  $\partial G$ . Fix a nonnegative element  $\lambda$  of  $\mathscr{C}'(\partial G)$  (= the Banach space of all finite signed Borel measures with support in  $\partial G$  with the total variation as a norm) and suppose that the single layer potential  $\mathscr{U}\lambda$  is bounded and continuous on  $\partial G$ . Here

$$\mathscr{U}\nu(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} h_x(y) \,\mathrm{d}\nu(y),$$

where  $\nu \in \mathscr{C}'(\partial G)$ ,

$$h_x(y) = (m-2)^{-1}A^{-1}|x-y|^{2-m},$$

A is the area of the unit sphere in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . It was shown in [24] that  $\mathscr{U}\lambda$  is bounded and continuous on  $\partial G$  if and only if

$$\lim_{r \to 0_+} \sup_{y \in \partial G} \int_{\mathscr{U}(y;r)} h_y(x) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda(x) = 0,$$

where  $\mathscr{U}(x;r) = \{y \in \mathbb{R}^m; |y-x| < r\}$ . According to [14], Lemma 2.18 this is true if there are constants  $\alpha > m-2$  and k > 0 such that  $\lambda(\mathscr{U}(x;r)) \leq kr^{\alpha}$  for all  $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and all r > 0.

<sup>\*</sup> Supported by GAČR Grant No. 201/96/0431

If G has a smooth boundary,  $u \in \mathscr{C}^1(\operatorname{cl} G)$  is a harmonic function on G and

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} + fu = g \text{ on } \partial G$$

where  $f, g \in \mathscr{C}(\partial G)$  (= the space of all bounded continuous functions on  $\partial G$  equipped with the maximum norm) and n is the exterior unit normal of G, then for  $\varphi \in \mathscr{D}$ (= the space of all compactly supported infinitely differentiable functions in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ ) we have

(1) 
$$\int_{\partial G} \varphi g \, \mathrm{d}\mathscr{H}_{m-1} = \int_G \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla u \, \mathrm{d}\mathscr{H}_m + \int_{\partial G} \varphi f u \, \mathrm{d}\mathscr{H}_{m-1}.$$

Here  $\mathscr{H}_k$  is the k-dimensional Hausdorff measure normalized such that  $\mathscr{H}_k$  is the Lebesgue measure in  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . If we denote by  $\mathscr{H}$  the restriction of  $\mathscr{H}_{m-1}$  onto  $\partial G$  and by  $N^G u$  the distribution

(2) 
$$\langle \varphi, N^G u \rangle = \int_G \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla u \, \mathrm{d} \mathscr{H}_m$$

then (1) has the form

(3) 
$$N^G u + f u \mathscr{H} = g \mathscr{H}.$$

Here  $N^G u$  is a characterization in the sense of distributions of the normal derivative of u.

The formula (3) motivates our definition of the solution of the Robin problem for the Laplace equation

(4) 
$$\Delta u = 0 \text{ in } G,$$
$$N^G u + u\lambda = \mu,$$

where  $\mu \in \mathscr{C}'(\partial G)$  (compare [14], [23]). From now on  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^m$  is a general open set with a non-void compact boundary  $\partial G$ .

We introduce in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  the fine topology, i.e. the weakest topology in which all superharmonic functions in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  are continuous (see [3]). This topology is stronger than ordinary topology. Since the set of fine isolated points of cl *G* is polar (see [3], Chapter VII, §6, §4) and  $\lambda$  does not charge polar sets ([17], Chapter II, §1 and p. 222)  $\lambda$ -a.a. points *x* of cl *G* are in the fine closure of cl  $G \setminus \{x\}$ .

If u is a harmonic function on G such that

(5) 
$$\int_{H} |\nabla u| \, \mathrm{d}\mathscr{H}_{m} < \infty$$

for all bounded open subsets H of G we define the weak normal derivative  $N^G u$  of u as a distribution

$$\langle \varphi, N^G u \rangle = \int_G \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla u \, \mathrm{d} \mathscr{H}_m$$

for  $\varphi \in \mathscr{D}$ .

Let  $\mu \in \mathscr{C}'(\partial G)$ . Now we formulate the Robin problem for the Laplace equation (4) as follows: Find a function  $u \in L^1(\lambda)$  on  $\operatorname{cl} G$ , the closure of G, harmonic on G and fine continuous in  $\lambda$ -a.a. points of  $\partial G$  for which  $|\nabla u|$  is integrable over all bounded open subsets of G and  $N^G u + u\lambda = \mu$ .

As in [25] we will look for a solution of the Robin problem in the form of the single layer potential  $\mathscr{U}\nu$ , where  $\nu \in \mathscr{C}'(\partial G)$ . We will prove that if G has a smooth boundary or m = 3 and G has a piecewise-smooth boundary then there is a solution of the Robin problem with the boundary condition  $\mu$  if and only if  $\mu(\partial H) = 0$  for all bounded components H of cl G for which  $\lambda(\partial H) = 0$ . In this case we can express the solution in the form of the single layer potential  $\mathscr{U}\nu$  where  $\nu$  is given by a concrete series.

N ot a tion.  $\mathscr{C}'_{c}(\partial G)$  will stand for the subspace of those  $\mu \in \mathscr{C}'(\partial G)$  for which there exists a continuous function  $\mathscr{U}_{c}\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{m}$  coinciding with  $\mathscr{U}\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{m} \setminus \partial G$ . It was shown in [27] that if  $\nu \in \mathscr{C}'(\partial G)$  and the restriction of  $\mathscr{U}\nu$  onto  $\partial G$  is finite and continuous then  $\mathscr{U}\nu$  is finite and continuous in  $\mathbb{R}^{m}$  and  $\nu \in \mathscr{C}'_{c}(\partial G)$ . For example  $\lambda \in \mathscr{C}'_{c}(\partial G)$ .

**Lemma 1.** Let  $\nu \in \mathscr{C}'(\partial G)$ ,  $\mu \in \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$ . Suppose that  $\mu = \lambda$  or  $\mathscr{H}_m(\partial G) = 0$ . Then  $\mathscr{U}\nu$  is harmonic on G, finite and fine continuous at  $|\mu|$ -a.a. points of  $\partial G$ ,  $\mathscr{U}\nu \in L^1(\lambda)$  and  $|\nabla u|$  is integrable over all bounded open subsets of G. Here  $|\mu| = \mu^+ + \mu^-$ , where  $\mu = \mu^+ - \mu^-$  is the Jordan decomposition of  $\mu$ . If

(6) 
$$c_{\lambda} = \sup_{x \in \partial G} \mathscr{U}\lambda(x)$$

then

(7) 
$$\int_{\partial G} |\mathscr{U}\nu| \,\mathrm{d}\lambda \leqslant c_{\lambda} \|\nu\|,$$

where  $\|\nu\|$  is the total variation of  $\nu$ . If  $\nu \in \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$  then  $\mathscr{U}_c \nu = \mathscr{U} \nu$  at  $|\mu|$ -a.a. points.

Proof.  $\mathscr{U}\nu$  is a harmonic function on G such that (5) holds for  $u = \mathscr{U}\nu$  and all bounded open subsets H of G (see [14], Remark on p. 9). Because  $\mathscr{U}\nu^+$ ,  $\mathscr{U}\nu^-$  are superharmonic functions they are continuous with respect to the fine topology. Put  $M = \{x \in \partial G; \ \mathscr{U}|\nu|(x) = \infty\}$ . Then  $\mathscr{U}\nu$  is finite and continuous with respect to the fine topology on  $\operatorname{cl} G \setminus M$ . Moreover, if  $\nu \in \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$  then  $\mathscr{U}_c \nu = \mathscr{U} \nu$  on  $\operatorname{cl} G \setminus M$ . Since M is polar its Newtonian capacity is null (see [17], Chapter III, §1 and p. 222). Since  $\mu$  has a finite energy by [21], Lemma 6 and [17], Theorem 1.20 the measure  $|\mu|$  has a finite energy as well and  $|\mu|(M) = 0$  by [17], Theorem 2.1

$$\int_{\partial G} |\mathscr{U}\nu| \, \mathrm{d}\lambda \leqslant \int_{\partial G} \mathscr{U}|\nu| \, \mathrm{d}\lambda = \int_{\partial G} \mathscr{U}\lambda \, d|\nu| \leqslant c_{\lambda} \|\nu\|.$$

R e m a r k 1. Let  $\nu \in \mathscr{C}'(\partial G)$ . We have seen that for  $\lambda$ -a.a. points  $x \in \partial G$  we have  $\mathscr{U}|\nu|(x) < \infty$ . Fix such a point. Fix  $\alpha > 1$  and denote  $P_{\alpha}(x) = \{z \in G; |z - x| \leq \alpha \operatorname{dist}(z, \partial G)\}$ , where  $\operatorname{dist}(z, \partial G) = \inf\{|z - y|; y \in \partial G\}$ . Suppose that  $x \in \operatorname{cl} P_{\alpha}(x)$ . Then

(8) 
$$\lim_{z \in P_{\alpha}(x), z \to x} \mathscr{U}\nu(z) = \mathscr{U}\nu(x).$$

Proof. Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $\mathscr{U}|\nu|(x) < \infty$  there is r > 0 such that

$$\int_{\partial G \cap \mathscr{U}(x;r)} h_x(y) \, \mathrm{d}|\nu| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4} (\alpha + 1)^{2-m}$$

Since

$$|y-x| \leq |y-z| + |x-z| \leq (\alpha+1)|y-z|$$

for  $z \in P_{\alpha}(x), y \in \partial G$ , we have

$$\int_{\partial G \cap \mathscr{U}(x;r)} h_z(y) \, \mathrm{d}|\nu| \leqslant (\alpha+1)^{m-2} \int_{\partial G \cap \mathscr{U}(x;r)} h_x(y) \, \mathrm{d}|\nu| < \frac{\varepsilon}{4}.$$

Since

$$z \mapsto \int_{\partial G \setminus \mathscr{U}(x;r)} h_z(y) \,\mathrm{d}
u$$

is a continuous function in x there is  $\delta > 0$  such that for  $z \in \mathscr{U}(x; \delta)$  we have

$$\left| \int_{\partial G \setminus \mathscr{U}(x;r)} h_z(y) \, \mathrm{d}\nu - \int_{\partial G \setminus \mathscr{U}(x;r)} h_x(y) \, \mathrm{d}\nu \right| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}$$

and thus for  $z \in \mathscr{U}(x; \delta) \cap P_{\alpha}(x)$  we have  $|\mathscr{U}\nu(x) - \mathscr{U}\nu(z)| < \varepsilon$ .

R e m a r k 2. If  $\partial G$  is a finite set then  $\lambda = 0$ . Suppose now that  $\partial G$  is an infinite set. Choose a simple sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset \partial G$  such that  $x_n$  converges to  $x_0$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Choose a sequence  $\{a_n\}$  of positive numbers such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_n |x_0 - x_n|^{2-m} < \infty.$$

If we put

$$\nu(M) = \sum_{x_n \in M} a_n$$

then  $\mathscr{U}\nu(x_0) < \infty$  but  $\mathscr{U}\nu(x_n) = \infty$  for all integer numbers *n*. Using the lower-semicontinuity of  $\mathscr{U}\nu$  we obtain that

$$\mathscr{U}\nu(x_0) < \limsup_{x \in G, x \to x_0} \mathscr{U}\nu(x) = \infty$$

in spite of  $\mathscr{U}\nu(x_0)$  being finite.

R e m a r k 3. It was shown in [14] that  $N^G \mathscr{U} \nu \in \mathscr{C}'(\partial G)$  for each  $\nu \in \mathscr{C}'(\partial G)$  if and only if  $V^G < \infty$ , where

$$V^{G} = \sup_{x \in \partial G} v^{G}(x),$$
  
$$v^{G}(x) = \sup \left\{ \int_{G} \nabla \varphi \cdot \nabla h_{x} \, \mathrm{d}\mathscr{H}_{m}; \ \varphi \in \mathscr{D}, |\varphi| \leq 1, \operatorname{spt} \varphi \subset \mathbb{R}^{m} \setminus \{x\} \right\} \text{ for } x \in \mathbb{R}^{m}.$$

There are more geometrical characterizations of  $v^G(x)$  which ensure  $V^G < \infty$  for G convex or for G with  $\partial G \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^k L_i$ , where  $L_i$  are (m-1)-dimensional Ljapunov surfaces (i.e. of class  $\mathscr{C}^{1+\alpha}$ ). Denote by

$$\partial_e G = \{ x \in \mathbb{R}^m \; ; \; \overline{d}_G(x) > 0, \overline{d}_{\mathbb{R}^m \setminus G}(x) > 0 \}$$

the essential boundary of G where

$$\bar{d}_M(x) = \limsup_{r \to 0_+} \frac{\mathscr{H}_m(M \cap \mathscr{U}(x; r))}{\mathscr{H}_m(\mathscr{U}(x; r))}$$

is the upper density of M at x. Then

$$v^{G}(x) = \frac{1}{A} \int_{\partial \mathscr{U}(0;1)} n(\theta, x) \, \mathrm{d}\mathscr{H}_{m-1}(\theta),$$

where  $n(\theta, x)$  is the number of all points of  $\partial_e G \cap \{x + t\theta; t > 0\}$  (see [5]). This expression is a modification of a similar expression in [14]. As a consequence we see that  $V^G \leq \frac{1}{2}$  if G is convex. Since  $v^G(x) \leq V^G + \frac{1}{2}$  by [14], Theorem 2.16, we see that if

$$\partial G \subset \bigcup_{i=1}^n \partial G_i$$

and  $G_1, \ldots, G_n$  are convex then  $V^G \leq n$ .

Let us recall another characterization of  $v^G(x)$  using the notion of an interior normal in Federer's sense. If  $z \in \mathbb{R}^m$  and  $\theta$  is a unit vector such that the symmetric difference of G and the half-space  $\{x \in \mathbb{R}^m ; (x-z) \cdot \theta > 0\}$  has m-dimensional density zero at z then  $n^G(z) = \theta$  is termed the interior normal of G at z in Federer's sense. (The symmetric difference of B and C is equal to  $(B \setminus C) \cup (C \setminus B)$ .) If there is no interior normal of G at z in this sense, we denote by  $n^G(z)$  the zero vector in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . The set  $\{y \in \mathbb{R}^m ; |n^G(y)| > 0\}$  is called the reduced boundary of G and will be denoted by  $\partial G$ . Clearly  $\partial G \subset \partial_e G$ .

If  $\mathscr{H}_{m-1}(\partial_e G)$ , the perimeter of G, is finite then  $\mathscr{H}_{m-1}(\partial_e G \setminus \widehat{\partial} G) = 0$  (see [6], Theorem 4.5.6) and

$$v^{G}(x) = \int_{\widehat{\partial}G} |n^{G}(y) \cdot \nabla h_{x}(y)| \, \mathrm{d}\mathscr{H}_{m-1}(y)$$

for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$  (see [14], Lemma 2.15).

**Lemma 2.**  $N^G(\mathscr{U}\nu) + (\mathscr{U}\nu)\lambda \in \mathscr{C}'(\partial G)$  for each  $\nu \in \mathscr{C}'(\partial G)$  if and only if  $V^G < \infty$ . If  $V^G < \infty$  then  $\tau \colon \nu \mapsto N^G(\mathscr{U}\nu) + (\mathscr{U}\nu)\lambda$  is a bounded linear operator on  $\mathscr{C}'(\partial G)$  and  $\|\tau\| \leq V^G + 1 + c_{\lambda}$ . (If we want to emphasize that  $\tau$  depends on G we will write  $\tau^G$  instead of  $\tau$ .)

Proof. Lemma 1 yields that  $\nu \mapsto (\mathscr{U}\nu)\lambda$  is a bounded linear operator on  $\mathscr{C}'(\partial G)$  with a norm majorized by  $c_{\lambda}$ . The rest is a conclusion of [14], Theorem 1.13.

Remark 4. Lemma 2 was proved in [23] under more general conditions.

Remark 5. We will assume that  $V^G < \infty$  and  $\partial G = \partial(\operatorname{cl} G)$ . Then for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$  there exists

$$d_G(x) = \lim_{r \to 0_+} \frac{\mathscr{H}_m(\mathscr{U}(x;r) \cap G)}{\mathscr{H}_m(\mathscr{U}(x;r))}$$

(see [14], Lemma 2.9). According to [14], Observation 1.5, Proposition 2.8 and Lemma 2.15 we have

$$N^{G}\mathscr{U}\nu(M) = \int_{M} d_{G}(x) \,\mathrm{d}\nu(x) - \int_{\partial G} \int_{(\partial G \cap M)} n^{G}(y) \cdot \nabla h_{x}(y) \,\mathrm{d}\mathscr{H}_{m-1}(y) \,\mathrm{d}\nu(x)$$

for each  $\nu \in \mathscr{C}'(\partial G)$  and a Borel set M. (This relation holds even if  $\partial G \neq \partial(\operatorname{cl} G)$ .)

If we denote for  $f \in \mathscr{C}(\partial G)$  (= the space of all bounded continuous function on  $\partial G$  equipped with the maximum norm) and  $x \in \partial G$ 

$$W^{G}f(x) = d_{G}(x)f(x) - \int_{\partial G} f(y)n^{G}(y) \cdot \nabla h_{x}(y) \, \mathrm{d}\mathscr{H}_{m-1}(y),$$
$$Vf(x) = \mathscr{U}(f\lambda)(x)$$

then  $W^G, V$  are bounded linear operators on  $\mathscr{C}(\partial G)$  and  $N^G \mathscr{U} : \nu \mapsto N^G(\mathscr{U}\nu)$ is the dual operator of  $W^G$  and  $\tau$  is the dual operator of  $(W^G + V)$  (see [14], Proposition 2.5, Proposition 2.20, [24], Proposition 9 and [23], Proposition 8). Vis a compact operator on  $\mathscr{C}(\partial G)$  by [24], Proposition 9. Since  $\tau - N^G \mathscr{U}$  is the dual operator of V, it is compact, too (see [32], Chapter IV, Theorem 4.1). If  $\tau$ is a Fredholm operator then  $N^G \mathscr{U}$  and  $W^G$  are Fredholm operators, too (see [32], Chapter V, Theorem 3.1, Chapter VII, Theorem 3.5) and cl G has finitely many components by [21], Lemma 3.

**Lemma 3.** Let  $\operatorname{cl} G$  have finitely many components. Let  $\mu \in \mathscr{C}'(\partial G)$  for which there is a solution of the Robin problem with the boundary condition  $\mu$  (i.e. there exists a harmonic function u for which  $N^G u + u\lambda = \mu$ ). Then  $\mu(\partial H) = 0$  for each bounded component H of  $\operatorname{cl} G$  such that  $\lambda(\partial H) = 0$ .

Proof. Let H be a bounded component of  $\operatorname{cl} G$  such that  $\lambda(\partial H) = 0$ . Choose  $\varphi \in \mathscr{D}$  such that  $\varphi = 1$  on H and  $\varphi = 0$  on  $\operatorname{cl} G \setminus H$ . Then

$$\mu(\partial H) = \langle \varphi, N^G u + u\lambda \rangle = \int_G \nabla u \cdot \nabla \varphi \, \mathrm{d}\mathscr{H}_m + \int_{\partial G} u\varphi \, \mathrm{d}\lambda = 0.$$

Notation. Let L be a linear space over the field of real numbers. We will denote by  $\hat{L}$  the set of all elements of the form x + iy where  $x, y \in L$ . If the sum of two elements of  $\hat{L}$  and the multiplication of an element of  $\hat{L}$  by a complex number are defined in the obvious way then  $\hat{L}$  becomes a linear space over the field of complex numbers. Let Q be a linear operator acting on L. The same symbol will denote the extension of Q to  $\hat{L}$  defined by Q(x + iy) = Q(x) + iQ(y). If an operator Q on L possesses an inverse operator  $Q^{-1}$ , then the extension of  $Q^{-1}$  to  $\hat{L}$  is an inverse operator (on  $\hat{L}$ ) of the extension of Q to  $\hat{L}$ . If Q is a bounded linear operator on the complex space L we denote by  $\sigma(Q)$  the spectrum of Q. We denote by  $\Phi(Q)$  the set of all complex number  $\alpha$  for which  $\alpha I - Q$  is Fredholm, where I is the identity operator. We denote by  $\Omega(Q)$  the unbounded component of  $\Phi(Q)$ .

**Lemma 4.**  $\mathscr{H}_m(\{x \in \partial G; d_G(x) \neq 0\}) = 0$ . If there is a one-to-one sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset \partial G$  such that

$$\alpha = \lim_{n \to \infty} d_G(x_n),$$

then  $\alpha \notin \Omega(\tau)$ . If moreover  $d_G(x_n) = \alpha$  for each n then  $\alpha \notin \Phi(\tau)$ . In particular,  $\frac{1}{2} \notin \Phi(\tau)$ . If  $\tau$  is a Fredholm operator then the set  $\{x \in \partial G; d_G(x) = 0\}$  is finite and  $\mathscr{H}_m(\partial G) = 0$ .

Proof. Since G has a finite perimeter,  $\mathscr{H}_{m-1}(\widehat{\partial}G) < \infty$  and  $\mathscr{H}_{m-1}(\{x \in \partial G; 0 < d_G(x) < 1\} \setminus \widehat{\partial}G) = 0$  by [6], Theorem 4.5.6. Denote  $M_1 = \{x \in \partial G; d_G(x) = 1\}$ . Since  $d_{\mathbb{R}^m \setminus G}(x) = 0$  for each  $x \in M_1 \subset \mathbb{R}^m \setminus G$  we obtain  $\mathscr{H}_m(M_1) = 0$  by [34], Theorem 1.3.8 (or [18], Theorem 29.2).

Fix  $x \in \partial G$ ,  $\nu \in \mathscr{C}'(\partial G)$ . Then

$$(N^G \mathscr{U}\nu - d_G(x)\nu)(\{x\}) = \int_{\partial G \cap \{x\}} [d_G(y) - d_G(x)] \,\mathrm{d}\nu(y)$$
$$- \int_{\partial G} \int_{\{x\}} n^G(z) \cdot \nabla h_y(z) \,\mathrm{d}\mathscr{H}_{m-1}(z) \,\mathrm{d}\nu(y) = 0$$

and  $(d_G(x)I - N^G \mathscr{U})(\mathscr{C}'(\partial G)) \subset \{\mu \in \mathscr{C}'(\partial G); \ \mu(\{x\}) = 0\}.$ 

Suppose now that there is a one-to-one sequence  $\{x_n\} \subset \partial G$  such that

$$\alpha = \lim_{n \to \infty} d_G(x_n).$$

If  $d_G(x_n) = \alpha$  for each *n* then  $\operatorname{codim}(N^G \mathscr{U}\nu - \alpha I)(\mathscr{C}'(\partial G)) = \infty$  and  $\alpha \notin \Phi(N^G \mathscr{U}) = \Phi(\tau)$  (see Remark 5 and [32], Chapter V, Theorem 3.1). Suppose now that the sequence  $d_G(x_n)$  is one-to-one. Then  $d_G(x_n), \alpha \in \sigma(N^G \mathscr{U})$ . Since all points of  $\sigma(N^G \mathscr{U}) \cap \Omega(N^G \mathscr{U})$  are isolated points of  $\sigma(N^G \mathscr{U})$  by [12], Satz 51.4, we obtain  $\alpha \notin \Omega(N^G \mathscr{U}) = \Omega(\tau)$  (see Remark 5 and [32], Chapter V, Theorem 3.1).

Since  $\partial G = \partial(\mathbb{R}^m \setminus \operatorname{cl} G)$  we have  $\mathscr{H}_{m-1}(\widehat{\partial} G) > 0$  by Isoperimetric Lemma (see [14], p. 50) and  $\frac{1}{2} \notin \Phi(\tau)$ .

**Definition.** We will say that W is Plemelj's operator if W is a bounded linear operator acting on  $\mathscr{C}(\partial G)$  whose dual W' maps  $\mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$  into itself and

$$\mu \in \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G) \Longrightarrow W(\mathscr{U}_c \mu) = \mathscr{U}_c(W'\mu).$$

**Lemma 5.** If  $\mathscr{H}_m(\partial G) = 0$  then  $W^G + V$  is Plemelj's operator.

Proof.  $W^G$  is Plemelj's operator by Plemelj's exchange theorem ([14], p. 68). Let  $\mu \in \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$ . Since  $(\mathscr{U}_c\mu)^+$ ,  $(\mathscr{U}_c\mu)^-$  are bounded functions on  $\partial G$  and  $\mathscr{U}\lambda$  is bounded and continuous on  $\partial G$ ,  $\mathscr{U}((\mathscr{U}_c\mu)^+\lambda)$  and  $\mathscr{U}((\mathscr{U}_c\mu)^-\lambda)$  are bounded and continuous on  $\partial G$  by [24], Proposition 6. Regularity principle ([17], Theorem 1.7) yields that  $\mathscr{U}((\mathscr{U}_c\mu)^+\lambda)$ ,  $\mathscr{U}((\mathscr{U}_c\mu)^-\lambda)$  are finite continuous functions in  $\mathbb{R}^m$ . The function  $\mathscr{U}((\mathscr{U}\mu)\lambda) = \mathscr{U}((\mathscr{U}_c\mu)\lambda) = \mathscr{U}((\mathscr{U}_c\mu)^+\lambda) - \mathscr{U}((\mathscr{U}_c\mu)^-\lambda)$  is continuous by Lemma 1. Thus  $V\mu = (\mathscr{U}\mu)\lambda \in \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$  and  $V(\mathscr{U}_c\mu) = \mathscr{U}((\mathscr{U}_c\mu)\lambda) = \mathscr{U}(V'\mu) =$  $\mathscr{U}_c(V'\mu)$ .

Since the condition  $\mathscr{H}_m(\partial G) = 0$  plays no role in the proof of Lemma 4.5 in [14] the following lemma holds:

**Lemma 6.** Let  $\mu_n \in \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$  (n = 1, 2, ...),  $\sum \|\mu_n\| < \infty$ ,  $\sum \|\mathscr{U}_c\mu_n\| < \infty$ . Then  $\mu = \sum \mu_n \in \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$  and

$$\mathscr{U}_c \mu = \sum_n \mathscr{U}_c \mu_n.$$

**Lemma 7.** Let W be Plemelj's operator. Then all operators  $(W + \alpha I)$  with  $|\alpha| > ||W||$  have Plemelj's inverses. If  $(W + \beta I)^{-1}$  is Plemelj's operator with  $||(W + \beta I)^{-1}|| \leq K$  then also all operators  $(W + \gamma I)$  with  $|\gamma - \beta| < 1/K$  possess Plemelj's inverses.

Proof. The proof is the same as the proof of Lemma 4.6 in [14], where we substitute T by W and  $T_{\gamma}$  by  $W + \gamma I$ .

**Lemma 8.** Let W be Plemelj's operator. All operators  $(W - \gamma I)$  with  $\gamma \in \Omega(W) \setminus \sigma(W)$  possess inverses that are Plemelj's.

Proof. According to [12], Satz 51.4 the set  $\Omega(W) \cap \sigma(W)$  is isolated in  $\Omega(W)$ . Now we use the proof of Lemma 4.7 in [14] where we replace the operator  $T_{\gamma}$  by the operator  $W - \gamma I$ .

**Lemma 9.** Suppose that  $f_1, \ldots, f_q \in \mathscr{C}(\partial G)$  are linearly independent. Then there exist  $\mu_1, \ldots, \mu_q \in \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$  such that

$$\langle f_i, \mu_j \rangle = \delta_{ij}$$
 (= Kronecker's symbol),  $1 \leq i, j \leq q$ .

Proof. The proof is the same as the proof of Lemma 4.9 in [14].  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 10.** If p is a positive integer, W is Plemelj's operator and  $\gamma \in \Omega(W)$ then any  $\mu \in \mathscr{C}'(\partial G)$  satisfying the homogeneous equation

$$(W' - \gamma I)^p \mu = 0$$

necessarily belongs to  $\mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$ .

Proof. It suffices to suppose that  $\gamma \in \sigma(W' - \gamma I)$ . The resolvents of the operators  $(W - \lambda I)$ ,  $(W - \lambda I)'$  have poles at  $\gamma$  and these poles are of the same order, say  $p_0$  (cf. [12], Satz 51.4, Theorem 51.1, Satz 50.2). Now we use the proof of Theorem 4.10 in [14] where we replace the operator  $T_{\alpha}$  by the operator  $(W - \alpha I)$ .  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 11.** Let  $\mathscr{H}_m(\partial G) = 0$ ,  $0 \neq \mu \in \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$ ,  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$ ,  $(\tau - \alpha I)\mu = 0$ . Then  $\alpha \geq 0$ . If  $\alpha = 0$  then  $\mathscr{U}\mu$  is locally constant on G and  $\mathscr{U}_c\mu = 0$  on each component H of cl G for which  $\lambda(\partial H) \neq 0$ .

Proof. Denote by  $\overline{\mu}$  the complex conjugate of  $\mu$ . According to [21], Lemma 7 we have

$$\begin{split} \alpha & \int\limits_{\partial G} \mathscr{U}_c \overline{\mu} \, \mathrm{d}\mu = \int_{\partial G} \mathscr{U}_c \overline{\mu} \, \mathrm{d}(\tau(\mu)) = \int\limits_{\partial G} \mathscr{U}_c \overline{\mu} \, dN^G \mathscr{U}\mu + \int_{\partial G} |\mathscr{U}_c\mu|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\lambda \\ &= \int_G |\nabla \mathscr{U}\mu|^2 + \int\limits_{\partial G} |\mathscr{U}_c\mu|^2 \, \mathrm{d}\lambda. \end{split}$$

By Lemma 1, [21], Lemma 6, [17], Theorem 1.20, Theorem 1.15 we obtain

$$\int_{\partial G} \mathscr{U}_c \overline{\mu} \, \mathrm{d}\mu = \int_{\partial G} \overline{\mathscr{U}\mu} \, \mathrm{d}\mu = \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\nabla \mathscr{U}\mu|^2 > 0.$$

So we obtain

$$\alpha = \left[ \int_{\mathbb{R}^m} |\nabla \mathscr{U}\mu|^2 \right]^{-1} \left[ \int_G |\nabla \mathscr{U}\mu|^2 + \int_{\partial G} |\mathscr{U}_c\mu|^2 \,\mathrm{d}\lambda \right] \ge 0.$$

If  $\alpha = 0$  then  $\mathscr{U}\mu$  is locally constant on G and

$$\int_{\partial G} |\mathscr{U}_c \mu|^2 \,\mathrm{d}\lambda = 0.$$

Since  $\mathscr{U}_{c}\mu$  is constant on each component of  $\operatorname{cl} G$  we obtain  $\mathscr{U}_{c}\mu = 0$  on each component H of  $\operatorname{cl} G$  for which  $\lambda(\partial H) \neq 0$ .

**Lemma 12.** Let  $\mathscr{H}_m(\partial G) = 0$ ,  $\mu, \nu \in \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$ ,  $\tau(\mu) = 0$ ,  $\tau(\nu) = \mu$ . Then  $\mu = 0$ .

Proof. We can suppose that  $\mu, \nu \in \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$ . According to Lemma 1 and [21], Lemma 7 we have

$$0 = \left[ \int_{\partial G} \mathscr{U}_{c} \mu \, dN^{G} \mathscr{U} \nu + \int_{\partial G} (\mathscr{U}_{c} \mu) (\mathscr{U} \nu) \, d\lambda \right] - \left[ \int_{\partial G} \mathscr{U}_{c} \nu \, dN^{G} \mathscr{U} \mu + \int_{\partial G} (\mathscr{U}_{c} \nu) (\mathscr{U} \mu) \, d\lambda \right]$$
$$= \int_{\partial G} \mathscr{U}_{c} \mu \, d\tau(\nu) - \int_{\partial G} \mathscr{U}_{c} \nu \, d\tau(\mu) = \int_{\partial G} \mathscr{U}_{c} \mu \, d\mu = \int_{\partial G} \mathscr{U} \mu \, d\mu.$$

So  $\mu = 0$  by [17], Theorem 1.15, [21], Lemma 6 and [17], Theorem 1.20.

**Lemma 13.** Let  $0 \in \Omega(\tau), \nu, \mu \in \mathscr{C}'(\partial G), \tau(\nu) = \mu$ . Then  $\mu \in \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$  if and only if  $\nu \in \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$ . If  $\mu \in \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$  then  $\mathscr{U}_c\mu \in (W^G + V)(\mathscr{C}(\partial G))$ .

Proof. If  $\nu \in \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$  then  $\tau(\nu) \in \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$  by Lemma 4 and Lemma 5.

Now let  $\mu \in \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$ . We prove that  $\mathscr{U}_c \mu \in (W^G + V)(\mathscr{C}(\partial G))$ . If  $\sigma \in \operatorname{Ker} \tau$  then  $\sigma \in \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$  by Lemma 10. The number of components of  $\operatorname{cl} G$  is finite by Remark 5. Denote by  $H_1, \ldots, H_k$  all bounded components of  $\operatorname{cl} G$  for which  $\lambda(\partial H_i) = 0$ . Lemma 11 yields that there are  $c_1, \ldots, c_j$  such that

$$\mathscr{U}_c \sigma = c_i \text{ on } H_i, i = 1, \dots, k$$
  
 $\mathscr{U}_c \sigma = 0 \text{ on } \operatorname{cl} G \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^k H_i.$ 

Let  $\varphi \in \mathscr{D}$  be such that  $\varphi = \mathscr{U}_c \sigma$  on cl G. Using Lemma 1 and Fubini's theorem we obtain

$$\int_{\partial G} \mathscr{U}_{c} \mu \, \mathrm{d}\sigma = \int_{\partial G} \mathscr{U} \mu \, \mathrm{d}\sigma = \int_{\partial G} \mathscr{U} \sigma \, \mathrm{d}\mu = \int_{\partial G} \mathscr{U}_{c} \sigma \, \mathrm{d}\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{k} c_{i} \mu(\partial H_{i})$$
$$= \int_{\partial G} \varphi \, \mathrm{d}\mu = \langle \varphi, \tau(\nu) \rangle = \int_{G} \nabla \mathscr{U}_{c} \sigma \cdot \nabla \mathscr{U} \nu \, \mathrm{d}\mathscr{H}_{m} + \int_{\partial G} (\mathscr{U}_{c} \nu)(\mathscr{U}_{c} \sigma) \, \mathrm{d}\lambda = 0.$$

Since  $(W^G + V)(\ \mathcal{C}(\partial G))$  is closed because  $(W^G + V)$  is a Fredholm operator we conclude that  $\mathscr{U}_c \mu \in (W^G + V)(\ \mathcal{C}(\partial G))$  by [33], Chapter VII, §5.

Since Ker  $\tau \cap \tau(\ \mathscr{C}'(\partial G)) = \emptyset$  by Lemma 4, Lemma 5, Lemma 10 and Lemma 12 and codim  $\tau(\ \mathscr{C}'(\partial G)) = \dim \operatorname{Ker} \tau$  because  $\tau$  is a Fredholm operator with index 0, the space  $\mathscr{C}'(\partial G)$  is the direct summ of Ker  $\tau$  and  $\tau(\ \mathscr{C}'(\partial G))$ . So there are  $\nu_1 \in \tau(\ \mathscr{C}'(\partial G))$  and  $\nu_2 \in \operatorname{Ker} \tau$  such that  $\nu = \nu_1 + \nu_2$ . Lemma 10 yields that

 $\nu_2 \in \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$ . Denote by  $\tilde{\tau}$  the restriction of  $\tau$  onto  $\tau(\mathscr{C}'(\partial G))$ . Then  $\tilde{\tau}$  is invertible. According to [12], Satz 51.4 there is  $\delta > 0$  such that for  $0 < |\alpha| < \delta$  the operator  $(\tau - \alpha I)$  is invertible. Since  $(\tau - \alpha I)(\operatorname{Ker} \tau) \subset \operatorname{Ker} \tau, (\tau - \alpha I)\tau(\mathscr{C}'(\partial G)) \subset \tau(\mathscr{C}'(\partial G)), (\tilde{\tau} - \alpha I)$  is invertible for  $|\alpha| < \delta$  and  $(\tilde{\tau} - \alpha I)^{-1}$  is the restriction of  $(\tau - \alpha I)^{-1}$  onto  $\tau(\mathscr{C}'(\partial G))$  for  $\alpha \neq 0$ . Denote by  $\tilde{W}$  the restriction of  $(W^G + V)$  onto  $(W^G + V)(\mathscr{C}(\partial G))$ . We obtain in an analogicous way that  $(\tilde{W} - \alpha I)^{-1}$  onto  $(W^G + V)(\mathscr{C}(\partial G))$  for  $\alpha \neq 0$ . Put

$$K = \sup_{|\alpha| \leq \frac{1}{2}\delta} \max\left( \| (\tilde{\tau} - \alpha I)^{-1} \|, \| (\tilde{W} - \alpha I)^{-1} \| \right).$$

Choose  $\alpha$  such that  $0 < |\alpha| < \min(\frac{1}{2}\delta, K^{-1})$ . Then

$$\tilde{\tau}^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-\alpha)^k \left[ (\tilde{\tau} - \alpha I)^{-1} \right]^{k+1}.$$

Thus

$$\nu_1 = \tilde{\tau}^{-1}(\mu) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-\alpha)^k \left[ (\tilde{\tau} - \alpha I)^{-1} \right]^{k+1} \mu.$$

Put  $\mu_n = (-\alpha)^n [(\tilde{\tau} - \alpha I)^{-1}]^{n+1} \mu$ . Then  $\|\mu_n\| \leq (|\alpha|K)^n K \|\mu\|$  and  $\sum \|\mu_n\| \leq \infty$ . Since  $\mu \in \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$ , Lemma 8, Lemma 5 and Lemma 4 yield that  $\mu_n = (-\alpha)^n [(\tau - \alpha I)^{-1}]^{n+1} \mu \in \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$  and  $\mathscr{U}_c \mu_n = (-\alpha)^n [(W^G + V - \alpha I)^{-1}]^{n+1} \mathscr{U}_c \mu$ . Since  $\mathscr{U}_c \mu \in (W^G + V)(\mathscr{C}(\partial G))$  we have

$$\|\mathscr{U}_{c}\mu_{n}\| = \|(-\alpha)^{n}[(\tilde{W} - \alpha I)^{-1}]^{n+1}\mathscr{U}_{c}\mu\| \leq (|\alpha|K)^{n}K\|\mathscr{U}_{c}\mu\|$$

and  $\nu_1 = \sum \mu_n \in \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$  by Lemma 6.

**Theorem 1.** Let  $0 \in \Omega(\tau), \mu \in \mathscr{C}'(\partial G)$ . Then there is a harmonic function u on G which is a solution of the Robin problem

(9) 
$$N^G u + u\lambda = \mu,$$

if and only if  $\mu \in \mathscr{C}'_0(\partial G)$  (= the space of such  $\nu \in \mathscr{C}'(\partial G)$  that  $\nu(\partial H) = 0$  for each bounded component H of cl G for which  $\lambda(\partial H) = 0$ ). If  $\mu \in \mathscr{C}'_0(\partial G)$  then there is a unique  $\nu \in \mathscr{C}'_0(\partial G)$  such that

(10) 
$$\tau(\nu) = \mu$$

and for this  $\nu$  the single layer potential  $\mathscr{U}\nu$  is a solution of (9). Moreover,  $\nu \in \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$  if and only if  $\mu \in \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$ .

Proof. According to Remark 5, cl G has finitely many components. If for  $\mu \in \mathscr{C}'(\partial G)$  there is a solution of the Robin problem (9) then  $\mu \in \mathscr{C}'_0(\partial G)$  by Lemma 3. Since  $\mathscr{U}\nu$  solves (9) for  $\mu = \tau(\nu)$  we have  $\tau(\ \mathscr{C}'(\partial G)) \subset \mathscr{C}'_0(\partial G)$ . Denote by  $H_1, \ldots, H_j$  all bounded components of cl G for which  $\lambda(\partial H_i) = 0$ . Since  $\operatorname{codim} \mathscr{C}'_0(\partial G) = j$  and  $\tau$  is a Fredholm operator with index 0 (see [12], Satz 51.1) it suffices to prove that  $\operatorname{codim} \tau(\ \mathscr{C}'(\partial G)) = \dim \operatorname{Ker} \tau \leq j$ . By Lemma 4, Lemma 5 and Lemma 10 we have  $\operatorname{Ker} \tau \subset \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$ . Lemma 11 yields that for  $\mu \in \operatorname{Ker} \tau$  there are  $c_1, \ldots, c_j$  such that

$$\mathscr{U}_{c}\mu = c_{i} \text{ on } H_{i}, i = 1, \dots, j,$$
  
 $\mathscr{U}_{c}\mu = 0 \text{ on cl } G \setminus \bigcup_{i=1}^{j} H_{i}.$ 

If  $c_1 = c_2 = \ldots = c_j = 0$  then

$$\int_{\partial G} \mathscr{U} \mu \, \mathrm{d}\mu = \int_{\partial G} \mathscr{U}_c \mu \, \mathrm{d}\mu = 0$$

by virtue of Lemma 1, and  $\mu = 0$  by [21], Lemma 6, [17], Theorem 1.20, Theorem 1.15. Thus dim Ker $(\tau) \leq j$ .

Since Ker  $\tau \cap \tau(\ \mathscr{C}'(\partial G)) = \emptyset$  by Lemma 4, Lemma 5, Lemma 10 and Lemma 12 and codim  $\tau(\ \mathscr{C}'(\partial G)) = \dim \operatorname{Ker} \tau$ , the space  $\ \mathscr{C}'(\partial G)$  is the direct sum of Ker  $\tau$ and  $\tau(\ \mathscr{C}'(\partial G)) = \mathscr{C}'_0(\partial G)$ . So  $\tau(\mathscr{C}'_0(\partial G)) = \mathscr{C}'_0(\partial G)$  and  $\tau$  is injective on  $\mathscr{C}'_0(\partial G)$ . The rest is a consequence of Lemma 13.

Remark 6. Let  $\mu \in \mathscr{C}'(\partial G)$ . If

$$\lim_{r \to 0_+} \sup_{y \in \partial G} \int_{\mathscr{U}(y;r)} h_y(x) \ d|\mu|(x) = 0,$$

then  $\mathscr{U}\mu$  is a finite continuous function in  $\mathbb{R}^m$  and thus  $\mu \in \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$  ([24]). Now suppose that C is such a constant that  $\mathscr{H}(\mathscr{U}(x;r)) \leq Cr^{m-1}$  for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , r > 0, where  $\mathscr{H}$  is the restriction of  $\mathscr{H}_{m-1}$  onto  $\widehat{\partial}G$ . (This condition is true for  $C = Am(m+2)^m(V^G + \frac{1}{2})r^{m-1}$  by [14], Corollary 2.17.) Fix p, m-1 . $Put <math>q = \frac{p}{p-1}$  if  $p < \infty, q = 1$  if  $p = \infty$ . If  $\mu = f\mathscr{H}$ , where  $f \in L^p(\mathscr{H})$  then

(11) 
$$\|\mu\| \leqslant (\mathscr{H}(\partial G))^{1/q} \|f\|_p \leqslant \left[ C(\operatorname{diam} \partial G)^{(m-1)} \right]^{1/q} \|f\|_p$$

by the Schwarz inequality, where

$$||f||_p = \left\{ \int\limits_{\partial G} |f|^p \ d\mathcal{H} \right\}^{1/p} \text{ for } p < \infty,$$

 $||f||_p$  is the  $\mathscr{H}$ -supremum of |f| for  $p = \infty$ . Fix  $z \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , R > 0. Then using the Schwarz inequality we obtain

$$\int_{\mathscr{U}(z;R)} h_{z}(x)|f(x)| \ d\mathscr{H}(x) \leqslant A^{-1}(m-2)^{-1} \left[ \int_{\mathscr{U}(z;R)} |z-x|^{q(2-m)} \ d\mathscr{H}(x) \right]^{1/q} ||f||_{p}$$
  
$$\leqslant A^{-1}(m-2)^{-1}R^{2-m} \left[ \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{(k+1)q(m-2)} \mathscr{H} \left( \mathscr{U}(z;2^{-k}R) \setminus \mathscr{U}(z;2^{-(k+1)}R) \right) \right]^{1/q} ||f||_{p}$$
  
$$\leqslant A^{-1}(m-2)^{-1}R^{2-m} \left[ CR^{m-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 2^{(k+1)q(m-2)-k(m-1)} \right]^{1/q} ||f||_{p}$$
  
$$\leqslant A^{-1}(m-2)^{-1}R^{2-m}2^{m-2} [1-2^{q(m-2)-(m-1)}]^{-1/q} R^{(m-1)/q} C^{1/q} ||f||_{p}.$$

Continuity of  $\mathscr{U}\mu$  is an easy consequence of this inequality and thus  $\mu \in \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$ . Since

$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^m} \mathscr{U}|\mu|(x) \leqslant \sup_{x \in \partial G} \mathscr{U}|\mu|(x)$$

by the maximum principle (see [17], p. 91), we obtain

(12) 
$$\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^m} \mathscr{U}|\mu|(x) \leqslant C^{1/q} 2^{m-2} A^{-1} (m-2)^{-1} \frac{(\operatorname{diam} \partial G)^{(m-1)/q+2-m}}{[1-2^{q(m-2)-(m-1)}]^{1/q}} \|f\|_p.$$

E x a m p le 1. Let  $1 \leq p < m-1$ . Since  $\partial G = \partial(\operatorname{cl} G) \neq \emptyset$ , Isoperimetric Lemma ([14], p. 50) yields that  $\mathscr{H}_{m-1}(\widehat{\partial}G) > 0$ . Fix  $z \in \widehat{\partial}G$ . Put  $f(y) = |y-z|^{-\alpha}$  where  $1 < \alpha < \frac{m-1}{p}$ . Since

$$\mathscr{H}(\mathscr{U}(z;r)) \leqslant Am(m+2)^m (V^G + 1/2)r^{m-1}$$

for each r > 0 by [14], Corollary 2.17, we obtain

$$\begin{split} \int |f|^p \ d\mathscr{H} &\leqslant \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2^{-k-1} \operatorname{diam} G)^{-p\alpha} \mathscr{H} \big( \mathscr{U}(z; 2^{-k} (\operatorname{diam} G)) \setminus \mathscr{U}(z; 2^{-k-1} (\operatorname{diam} G)) \big) \\ &\leqslant \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} Am(m+2)^m (V^G + \frac{1}{2}) 2^{p\alpha} [2^{-k} (\operatorname{diam} G)]^{m-1-p\alpha} < \infty, \end{split}$$

so  $f \in L^p(\mathscr{H})$ . Since there is  $\beta > 0$  such that for each  $r < \operatorname{diam} G$ 

$$\mathscr{H}(\mathscr{U}(z;r)) \geqslant \beta r^{m-1}$$

by Isoperimetric Lemma ([14], p. 50),

$$\begin{split} &\mathcal{U}(f\mathscr{H})(z) \\ \geqslant \frac{1}{(m-2)A} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (2^{-k} \operatorname{diam} G)^{-\alpha-m+2} \mathscr{H}\big(\mathscr{U}(z; 2^{-k} (\operatorname{diam} G)) \setminus \mathscr{U}(z; 2^{-k-1} (\operatorname{diam} G))) \big) \\ \geqslant \frac{(\operatorname{diam} G)^{-\alpha-m+2}}{(m-2)A} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \mathscr{H}\big(\mathscr{U}(z; 2^{-k} (\operatorname{diam} G))) [2^{k(\alpha+m-2)} - 2^{(k-1)(\alpha+m-2)}] \\ \geqslant \frac{(\operatorname{diam} G)^{\alpha+m-2}}{(m-2)A} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \beta \big[ 2^{-k} (\operatorname{diam} G)) \big]^{m-1} 2^{k(\alpha+m-2)} (1 - 2^{-(\alpha+m-2)}) = \infty. \end{split}$$

Since  $\mathscr{U}(f\mathscr{H})$  is a lower semicontinuous function ([17], Theorem 1.3) we have  $f\mathscr{H}\notin \mathscr{C}'_{c}(\partial G)$ .

**Lemma 14.** Let  $0 \in \Omega(\tau)$ . Then

(13) 
$$\inf_{x \in \partial G} d_G(x) > 0.$$

Let  $\lambda$  be absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mathscr{H}$ , the restriction of  $\mathscr{H}_{m-1}$  onto  $\widehat{\partial}G$ . Let  $\nu, \mu \in \mathscr{C}'(\partial G)$  and  $\tau(\nu) = \mu$ . Then  $\nu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mathscr{H}$  if and only if  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mathscr{H}$ .

Proof. If there is  $x \in \partial G$  such that  $d_G(x) = 0$  then  $N^G \mathscr{U}(\mathscr{C}'(\partial G)) \subset \{\varrho \in \mathscr{C}'(\partial G); \varrho(\{x\}) = 0\}$ . Let H be the component of cl G such that  $x \in H$ . Since  $\partial G = \partial(\operatorname{cl} G) \neq \emptyset$  there is  $y \in \partial H \setminus \{x\}$ . Then  $\delta_x - \delta_y \notin N^G \mathscr{U}(\mathscr{C}'(\partial G))$  which is a contradiction with Theorem 1. ( $\delta_x$  means the Dirac measure concentrated at the point x.) Lemma 4 yields the relation (13). So  $\nu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mathscr{H}$  if and only if  $\mu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\mathscr{H}$  by [23], Proposition 12.

**Lemma 15.** Let  $\tau$  be a Fredholm operator and  $\alpha > 0$  and  $\sigma(\tau) \cap \{\beta \in \mathbb{C}; |\beta - \alpha| \ge \alpha\} \subset \{0\}$ . Then there are constants  $c \in \langle 1, \infty \rangle$ ,  $q \in (0, 1)$  such that for each  $\mu \in \mathscr{C}'_0(\partial G)$  and integer number n

(14) 
$$\left\| \left( \frac{\tau - \alpha I}{\alpha} \right)^n \mu \right\| \leq C q^n \|\mu\|.$$

If  $\mu \in \mathscr{C}'_0(\partial G)$  then there is a unique  $\nu \in \mathscr{C}'_0(\partial G)$  such that  $\tau(\nu) = \mu$ . This  $\nu$  is given by

(15) 
$$\nu = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( -\frac{\tau - \alpha I}{\alpha} \right)^n \frac{\mu}{\alpha}.$$

The single layer potential  $\mathscr{U}\nu$  is a solution of the Robin problem  $N^{G}u + u\lambda = \mu$ .

Proof. Since  $r_{\text{ess}}(\frac{1}{\alpha}\tau - I) \equiv \sup\{|\beta|; \beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Phi(\frac{1}{\alpha}\tau - I)\} < 1$  there are  $c \in \langle 1, \infty \rangle, q \in (0, 1)$  such that (14) holds for each  $\mu \in \mathscr{C}'_0(\partial G)$  by Lemma 4, Lemma 5, Lemma 10, Lemma 12, Theorem 1 and [21], Proposition 3. The series (15) converges and  $\nu$  given by (15) satisfies

$$\left(\frac{\tau - \alpha I}{\alpha}\right)\nu + I\nu = \frac{\mu}{\alpha}.$$

Thus  $\tau(\nu) = \mu$  and we can use Theorem 1.

R e m a r k 7. If L is a bounded linear operator on the complex Banach space X we denote by  $||L||_{ess}$  the essential norm of L, i.e. the distance of L from the space of all compact linear operators on X. The essential radius of L is defined by

$$r_{\rm ess}L = \lim_{n \to \infty} (\|L^n\|_{\rm ess})^{1/n}$$

According to [12], Satz 51.8, [7] we have

$$r_{\mathrm{ess}}(L) = \sup_{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \Omega(L)} |\lambda| = \inf_{p} p_{\mathrm{ess}}(L),$$

where p ranges over all norms equivalent to  $\| \|$ . Thus if there is  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}$  such that  $r_{\rm ess}(\tau - \alpha I) < |\alpha|$  then  $0 \in \Omega(\tau)$  and we can use Theorem 1. Some sufficient conditions for  $r_{\rm ess}(\tau - \frac{1}{2}I) < \frac{1}{2}$  are known. But it is a question whether there is G such that  $0 \in \Omega(\tau)$  and  $r_{\rm ess}(\tau - \frac{1}{2}I) \ge \frac{1}{2}$  under our supposition  $\partial G = \partial(\operatorname{cl} G)$ . If we omit the condition  $\partial G = \partial(\operatorname{cl} G)$  we obtain such a set putting  $G = \mathbb{R}^m \setminus K$  where K is an arbitrary compact set of null Lebesgue measure. For such G we have  $V^G = 0$  and if we put  $\lambda = 0$  we obtain  $\tau = N^G \mathscr{U} = I$  and thus  $\sigma(\tau) = \{1\}, 0 \in \Omega(\tau)$  and  $r_{\rm ess}(\tau - \frac{1}{2}I) = \frac{1}{2}$ .

It is well-known that the condition  $r_{\rm ess}(\tau - \frac{1}{2}I) < \frac{1}{2}$  is fulfilled for sets with a smooth boundary (of class  $C^{1+\alpha}$ ) (see [15]) and for convex sets (see [26]). R. S. Angell, R. E. Kleinman, J. Král and W. L. Wendland proved that rectangular domains (i.e. formed from rectangular parallelepipeds) in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  have this property (see [2], [16]).

A. Rathsfeld showed in [29], [30] that polyhedral cones in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  have this property. (By a polyhedral cone in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  we mean an open set  $\Omega$  whose boundary is locally a hypersurface (i.e. every point of  $\partial \Omega$  has a neighbourhood in  $\partial \Omega$  which is homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^2$ ) and  $\partial\Omega$  is formed by a finite number of plane angles. By a polyhedral open set with bounded boundary in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  we mean an open set  $\Omega$  whose boundary is locally a hypersurface and  $\partial\Omega$  is formed by a finite number of polygons.) N.V. Grachev and V.G. Maz'ya obtained independently an analogous result for polyhedral open sets with bounded boundary in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  (see [11]). (Let us note that there is a polyhedral set in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  which has not a locally Lipschitz boundary.) In [20] it was shown that the condition  $r_{\rm ess}(\tau - \frac{1}{2}I) < \frac{1}{2}$  has a local character. As a conclusion we obtain that this condition is fullfilled for  $G \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  such that for each  $x \in \partial G$  there are r(x) > 0, a domain  $D_x$  which is polyhedral or smooth or convex or a complement of a convex domain and a diffeomorphism  $\psi_x \colon \mathscr{U}(x; r(x)) \to \mathbb{R}^3$  of class  $C^{1+\alpha}$ , where  $\alpha > 0$ , such that  $\psi_x(G \cap \mathscr{U}(x; r(x))) = D_x \cap \psi_x(\mathscr{U}(x; r(x)))$ . V. G. Maz'ya and N. V. Grachev proved this condition for several types of sets with "piecewise-smooth" boundary in the general Euclidean space (see [8]-[10]).

If we have  $r_{\text{ess}}(\tau - \frac{1}{2}I) < \frac{1}{2}$  and  $\partial G \neq \partial(\operatorname{cl} G)$  we can use this theory, too. Denote by  $\mathscr{I}$  the set of all isolated points of  $\partial G$ . Then  $\mathscr{I}$  is finite by [21], Lemma 1 and for  $\tilde{G} = G \cup \mathscr{I}$  we have  $\partial \tilde{G} = \partial(\operatorname{cl} G)$ . Let now  $\mu \in \mathscr{C}'(\partial \tilde{G})$ . We denote by  $\mu_r$  the restriction of  $\mu$  onto  $\partial \tilde{G}(\subset \partial G)$  and by  $\mu_s$  the restriction of  $\mu$  onto  $\mathscr{I}$ . The set  $\operatorname{cl} G = \operatorname{cl} \tilde{G}$  has finitely many components (see Remark 5) and a necessary condition for the existence of a solution of the Robin problem for G with the boundary condition  $\mu$  is that  $\mu(\partial H) = 0$  for each bounded component H of  $\operatorname{cl} G = \operatorname{cl} \tilde{G}$  such that  $\lambda(\partial H) = 0$ . Suppose that this condition is fulfilled. Let now  $\nu \in \mathscr{C}'(\partial G)$ . Since  $N^G \mathscr{U} \nu_s = \nu_s$  and  $(\mathscr{U} \nu_s)\lambda \in \mathscr{C}'(\partial \tilde{G})$ , the necessary condition for  $\tau^G \nu = \mu$  leads to the equation  $\tau^{\tilde{G}}(\nu_r) = \mu_r - (\mathscr{U} \mu_s)\lambda$ . Let now H be a bounded component of  $\operatorname{cl} \tilde{G}$ such that  $\lambda(\partial H) = 0$ . Since  $\mu(\partial H) = 0$  we have

$$\mu_r(\partial H) - \int_{\partial H} (\mathscr{U}\mu_s)\lambda = -\mu_s(\partial H) - \int_{\partial H} (\mathscr{U}\mu_s)\lambda = -(\tau^G\mu_s)(\partial H) = 0.$$

Theorem 1 yields that there is  $\nu_r \in \mathscr{C}'(\partial \tilde{G})$  for which  $\tau^{\tilde{G}}(\nu_r) = \mu_r - (\mathscr{U}\mu_s)\lambda$ .

**Theorem 2.** Let  $r_{ess}(\tau - \frac{1}{2}I) < \frac{1}{2}$  (see Remark 7). For  $\lambda \equiv 0$  put  $\alpha_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ , for  $\lambda \neq 0$  put  $\alpha_0 = \frac{1}{2}(V^G + 1 + c_{\lambda})$ . Then for each  $\alpha > \alpha_0$  there are constants  $d_{\alpha} \in \langle 1, \infty \rangle$ ,  $q_{\alpha} \in (0, 1)$  such that for each  $\mu \in \mathscr{C}'_0(\partial G)$  and a natural number n

(16) 
$$\left\| \left( \frac{\tau - \alpha I}{\alpha} \right)^n \mu \right\| \leqslant d_\alpha q_\alpha^n \|\mu\|$$

If  $\mu \in \mathscr{C}'_0(\partial G)$  then there is a unique  $\nu \in \mathscr{C}'_0(\partial G)$  such that  $\tau(\nu) = \mu$  and this  $\nu$  is given by

(17) 
$$\nu = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left( -\frac{\tau - \alpha I}{\alpha} \right)^n \frac{\mu}{\alpha}.$$

The single layer potential  $\mathscr{U}\nu$  is a solution of the Robin problem  $N^G u + u\lambda = \mu$ . If  $\lambda \equiv 0$  then

$$\nu = \mu + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left[ -(2\tau - I) \right]^{j} [2I - 2\tau] \mu.$$

Proof. Put  $C = \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \mathrm{cl}\,G$ . Since  $\mathscr{H}_m(\partial G) = 0$  by Lemma 4,  $V^C = V^G < \infty$ and  $N^C \mathscr{U} = I - N^G \mathscr{U}$  (see Remark 5). Thus  $\sigma(\tau) \cap \{\beta; |\beta - \frac{1}{2}| \ge \frac{1}{2}\} \subset \langle 0, 2\alpha_0 \rangle$ by Lemma 2, Lemma 4, Lemma 5, Lemma 10 and Lemma 11. If  $\alpha > \alpha_0$  then  $\sigma(\tau) \cap \{\beta; |\beta - \alpha| \ge \alpha\} \subset \langle 0, 2\alpha_0 \rangle \cap \{\beta; |\beta - \alpha| \ge \alpha\} = \{0\}$  because  $\{\beta; |\beta - \frac{1}{2}| \ge \frac{1}{2}\} \supset \{\beta; |\beta - \alpha| \ge \alpha\}$ . The rest is a consequence of Lemma 15 and [21], Theorem 1.

**Corollary 1.** Let  $r_{\text{ess}}(\tau - \frac{1}{2}I) < \frac{1}{2}$ . Then  $\mathscr{H}_{m-1}(\partial G) < \infty$ ,  $\mathscr{H}_{m-1}(\partial G - \widehat{\partial}G) = 0$ ,  $0 < \inf\{d_G(x); x \in \partial G\} \leq \sup\{d_G(x); x \in \partial G\} < 1$ . Suppose that  $\lambda = f\mathscr{H}$  where  $f \in L^1(\mathscr{H})$ . If we denote for  $h \in L^1(\mathscr{H})$ ,  $x \in \partial G$ 

$$Th(x) = \frac{1}{2}h(x) - \int_{\widehat{\partial}G} h(y)n^G(x) \cdot \nabla h_y(x) \, \mathrm{d}\mathcal{H}(y) + \mathcal{U}(h\mathcal{H})(x)f(x)$$

then  $Th \in {}^{1}(\mathscr{H})$  and  $T: h \mapsto Th$  is a bounded linear operator on  ${}^{1}(\mathscr{H})$ . Let  $\alpha_{0}$  have the same sense as in Theorem 2. Then for each  $\alpha > \alpha_{0}$  there are constants  $d_{\alpha} \in \langle 1, \infty \rangle, q_{\alpha} \in (0, 1)$  such that for each natural number n and  $g \in {}^{1}(\mathscr{H})$ , for which  $(g\mathscr{H}) \in \mathscr{C}'_{0}(\partial G)$ , we have

(18) 
$$\left\| \left( \frac{T - \alpha I}{\alpha} \right)^n g \right\| \leq d_\alpha q_\alpha^n \|g\|.$$

Let  $g \in L^1(\mathcal{H})$  and suppose that  $g\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{C}'_0(\partial G)$ . Then there is a unique  $h \in {}^{\uparrow}L^1(\mathcal{H})$ such that  $g\mathcal{H} = \tau(h\mathcal{H})$  and  $h\mathcal{H} \in \mathcal{C}'_0(\partial G)$ . The function h is given by the series

(19) 
$$h = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha I - T}{\alpha}\right)^n \frac{g}{\alpha}.$$

If  $f \equiv 0$  then

$$h = g + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left[ -(2T - I) \right]^{j} [2I - 2T]g$$

Proof. Denote  $C = \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \operatorname{cl} G$ . Since  $\mathscr{H}_m(\partial G) = 0$  by Lemma 4 we have  $N^G \mathscr{U} + N^C \mathscr{U} = I$  (see Remark 5). The assumption and Remark 5 yield that  $0 \in \Omega(N^G \mathscr{U}) \cap \Omega(N^C \mathscr{U})$ . Lemma 14 yields that

$$0 < \inf_{x \in \partial G} d_G(x) \le \sup_{x \in \partial G} d_G(x) < 1.$$

Thus  $\mathscr{H}_{m-1}(\partial G) < \infty$ ,  $\mathscr{H}_{m-1}(\partial G - \widehat{\partial} G) = 0$  by [6], Theorem 4.5.6. The rest is a consequence of Theorem 2 and Lemma 14.

**Corollary 2.** Let  $r_{ess}(\tau - \frac{1}{2}I) < \frac{1}{2}$ ,  $\mu \in \mathscr{C}'_0(\partial G)$ . Then there is  $\nu \in \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$  such that  $\tau(\nu) = \mu$  if and only if  $\mu \in \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$ . If  $\mu \in \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$  then  $\nu \in \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$  for each  $\nu \in \mathscr{C}'(\partial G)$  such that  $\tau(\nu) = \mu$ . Let  $\alpha_0$  have the same sense as in Theorem 2. Then for each  $\alpha > \alpha_0$  there are constants  $d \in \langle 1, \infty \rangle$ ,  $q \in (0, 1)$  depending only on G and  $\alpha$  such that for  $\mu \in \mathscr{C}'_0(\partial G) \cap \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$ ,

(20) 
$$\mu_n = \left(-\frac{\tau - \alpha I}{\alpha}\right)^n \frac{\mu}{\alpha}, \quad u_n = \mathscr{U}_c(\mu_n), \qquad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

we have

(21) 
$$\sup_{x \in cl \, G} |u_n(x)| \leq dq^n \sup_{x \in \partial G} |\mathscr{U}_c \mu|.$$

Thus

(22) 
$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_n = \mathscr{U}_c \nu$$

where  $\nu$  is given by (17) and the series in (22) converges absolutely and uniformly on cl G to the continuous solution  $\mathscr{U}_c \nu$  of the Robin problem  $N^G u + U\lambda = \mu$ . Define on  $\mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$  a norm p by

(23) 
$$p(\mu) = \|\mu\| + \sup_{x \in \partial G} |\mathscr{U}_c \mu|.$$

Then  $\mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$  is a Banach space with respect to the norm p. The operator  $\tau$  maps  $\mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$  into  $\mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$  and is bounded with respect to the norm p. If  $\mu \in \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G) \cap \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$  then the series (17) converges with respect to the norm p.

If  $m-1 < s \leq \infty$  then there is a constant  $d_s$  such that for each  $\mu = g \mathscr{H} \in \mathscr{C}'_0(\partial G)$ , where  $g \in L^s(\mathscr{H})$ , we have

$$\sup_{x \in \operatorname{cl} G} |u_n(x)| + \|\mu_n\| \leqslant d_s q^n \|g\|_s$$

where  $u_n$  is given by (20)  $(\mu \in \mathscr{C}'_0(\partial G))$  and for  $\nu \in \mathscr{C}'_0(\partial G) \cap \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$  given by (17) we have

$$\sup_{x \in cl G} |\mathscr{U}\nu(x)| + \|\nu\| \leqslant d_s \|g\|_s.$$

If  $\lambda \equiv 0$  then analogous results hold for  $\mu_0 = (3I - 2N^G \mathscr{U})\mu$ ,

$$\mu_n = (I - 2N^G \mathscr{U})^n (2I - 2N^G \mathscr{U}) \mu, \ n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Proof. Lemma 13 yields that there is  $\nu \in \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$  such that  $\tau(\nu) = \mu$  if and only if  $\mu \in \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$ . Let  $\mu \in \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G) \cap \mathscr{C}'_0(\partial G)$ . Then  $\mathscr{U}_c\mu \in (W+V)(\mathscr{C}(\partial G))$ by Lemma 13. Fix  $\alpha > \alpha_0$ . In the proof of Theorem 2 it was shown that  $\sigma(\tau) \cap \{\beta; |\beta - \alpha| \ge \alpha\} \subset \{0\}$ . Since  $\tau$  is the dual operator of (W+V) (see Remark 5) we have  $\sigma(W+V) \cap \{\beta; |\beta - \alpha| \ge \alpha\} \subset \{0\}$  by [12], Satz 44.2. Since  $\tau$  is a Fredholm operator with index 0 and Ker  $\tau^2 = \text{Ker } \tau$  by Lemma 4, Lemma 5, Lemma 10 and Lemma 12, the operator (W+V) is Fredholm with index 0 and Ker $(W+V)^2 = \text{Ker}(W+V)$  by [32], Chapter VII, Theorem 3.5 and [12], Satz 27.1. [21], Proposition 3 yields that there are constants  $M \in \langle 1, \infty \rangle$ ,  $q \in (0, 1)$  such that for each  $f \in (W+V)(\mathscr{C}(\partial G))$ and each natural number n

$$\left\| \left[ \alpha^{-1} (W + V - \alpha I) \right]^n f \right\| \le M q^n \|f\|.$$

Lemma 4 and Lemma 5 yield that  $\mu_n \in \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$  and

$$u_n = \mathscr{U}_c \mu_n = \mathscr{U}_c \left( -\frac{\tau - \alpha I}{\alpha} \right)^n \frac{\mu}{\alpha} = \left[ \frac{1}{\alpha} (-W - V + \alpha I) \right]^n \frac{\mathscr{U}_c \mu}{\alpha}$$

Thus we obtain the estimate (21) by Lemma 13 while Lemma 6 yields the relation (22).

Let  $\lambda \equiv 0$ . Put  $C = \mathbb{R}^m \setminus \operatorname{cl} G$ . Since  $\mathscr{H}_m(\partial G) = 0$  by Lemma 4,  $V^C = V^G < \infty$ and  $N^C \mathscr{U} = I - N^G \mathscr{U}$  (see Remark 5) and  $r_{\operatorname{ess}}(N^C \mathscr{U} - \frac{1}{2}I) = r_{\operatorname{ess}}(N^G \mathscr{U} - \frac{1}{2}I) < \frac{1}{2}$ . Thus  $\sigma(W) \cap \{\beta; |\beta - \frac{1}{2}|\} \subset \{0; 1\}$ , Ker  $W^2 = \operatorname{Ker} W$ , Ker $(W - I)^2 = \operatorname{Ker}(W - I)$ . [21], Proposition 3 yields that there are constants  $M \in \langle 1, \infty \rangle, q \in (0, 1)$  such that for each  $f \in (W + V)(\mathscr{C}(\partial G))$  and each natural number n

$$\left\| (I-2W)^n (2I-2W)f \right\| \leqslant Mq^n \|f\|.$$

Lemma 4 and Lemma 5 yield that  $\mu_n \in \mathscr{C}'_c(\partial G)$  and

$$u_0 = \mathscr{U}_c \mu_0 = \mathscr{U}_c (3I - 2N^G \mathscr{U}) \mu = (3I - 2W) \mathscr{U}_c \mu,$$

$$u_n = \mathscr{U}_c \mu_n = \mathscr{U}_c (I - 2N^G \mathscr{U})^n (2I - 2N^G \mathscr{U}) \mu = (I - 2W)^n (2I - 2W) \mathscr{U}_c \mu.$$

Thus we obtain the estimate (21) by Lemma 13 while Lemma 6 yields the relation (22).

The rest is a consequence of Remark 6.

R e m a r k 8. Suppose  $r_{ess}(\tau - \frac{1}{2}I) < \frac{1}{2}$ . If  $\lambda \equiv 0$  we put  $\alpha_0 = \frac{1}{2}$ . If  $C = \mathbb{R}^m \setminus cl G$ has a bounded component then  $N^C \mathscr{U}(\mathscr{C}'(\partial G)) \neq \widehat{C}'(\partial G)$  by Theorem 1 and there is  $\mu \in \operatorname{Ker}(N^C \mathscr{U}), \mu \neq 0$ . Since  $N^C \mathscr{U} + N^G \mathscr{U} = I$  we have  $N^G \mathscr{U} \mu = \mu$ . The series (17) diverges for  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}$ . So, our choice of  $\alpha_0$  in Theorem 2 is the best possible. Now, let  $\lambda \neq 0$ . It is a question whether it is possible to choose a better  $\lambda_0$  than  $\frac{1}{2}(V^G + 1 + c_{\lambda})$  in Theorem 2. But it is necessary to put  $\lambda_0 \geq \frac{1}{2}c_{\lambda}$  as the following example shows. Let G be bounded. Then there is a positive measure  $\mu \in \mathscr{C}'(\partial G)$  such that  $\mathscr{U}\mu = 1$  on G (see [17], Chapter II, §1). Since  $d_G(x) > 0$  for each  $x \in \partial G$  by Corollary 1 and  $\mathscr{U}\nu$  is fine continuous we obtain  $\mathscr{U}\nu \equiv 1$  on cl G by [3], Chapter VII, §2. Put  $\lambda = c\mu$  for c > 0. Then  $c_{\lambda} = c, \tau(\mu) = \lambda = c\mu$ . The series (17) diverges for  $\alpha = \frac{1}{2}c_{\lambda}$ .

Example 2. Put  $G = \{ [x_1, x_2, x_3]; |x_1| < 1, |x_2| < 1, -1 < x_3 < 0 \} \cup \{ [t, ty_2, ty_3]; 0 < t < 1, \frac{1}{3} < |y_2| < \frac{2}{3}, 0 \leq y_3 < \frac{1}{3} \} \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ . Let f, g be continuous functions on  $\partial G$ . Suppose that f is nonnegative and if  $f \equiv 0$  then

$$\int_{\partial G} g = 0$$

We would like to find a solution of the problem

$$\Delta u = 0 \text{ in } G,$$
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial n} + fu = g \text{ on } \widehat{\partial}G.$$

Notice that G has not a locally Lipschitz boundary, so we cannot use the theory for Lipschitz domains. In fact, the boundary of G is not a graph of a function in a neigbourhood of the point [0,0,0]. Let  $\theta$  be a unit vector. If there is  $\delta > 0$  such that each line with the direction  $\theta$  intersects  $\partial G \cap \mathscr{U}([0,0,0]; \delta) \cap \{[x_1, x_2, x_3]; x_2 > 0\}$  in at most one point then  $\theta \in \{[t, ty_2, ty_3]; t \in \mathbb{R}, \frac{1}{3} < y_2 < \frac{2}{3}\}$ . If there is  $\delta > 0$  such that each line with the direction  $\theta$  intersects  $\partial G \cap \mathscr{U}([0,0,0]; \delta) \cap \{[x_1, x_2, x_3]; x_2 < 0\}$ in at most one point then  $\theta \in \{[t, ty_2, ty_3]; t \in \mathbb{R}, -\frac{2}{3} < y_2 < -\frac{1}{3}\}$ . So there is no unit vector  $\theta$  nor a positive number  $\delta$  such that each line with the direction  $\theta$  intersects  $\partial G \cap \mathscr{U}([0,0,0]; \delta)$  in at most one point.

The open set G is not a domain with a locally Lipschitz boundary but it is a polyhedral domain. Instead of the original problem we can solve the problem

(24) 
$$\Delta u = 0 \text{ in } G,$$
$$N^{G}u + u(f\mathscr{H}) = g\mathscr{H}$$

Since G is the union of three convex sets, we have  $V^G \leq 3$  (see Remark 3). Denote

$$c_f = \sup_{x \in \partial G} f(x).$$

Since  $\mathscr{H}(\mathscr{U}(x;r)) \leq 12\pi r^2$  for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}^m$ , r > 0, because  $\partial G$  is a subset of the union of 12 planes, we have (see Remark 6)

$$\frac{1}{2}(V^G + 1 + c_{f\mathscr{H}}) < 2 + 24c_f.$$

If  $\alpha > 2 + 24c_f$  put

$$h = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha I - T}{\alpha}\right)^n \frac{g}{\alpha}$$

Then  $\mathscr{U}(h\mathscr{H})$  is a continuous function in  $\mathbb{R}^3$  which is a solution of the problem (24) (see Remark 7, Corollary 1 and Corollary 2).

## References

- J. F. Ahner: The exterior Robin problem for the Helmholtz equations. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 66 (1978), 37–54.
- [2] R. S. Angell, R. E. Kleinman, J. Král: Layer potentials on boundaries with corners and edges. Čas. pěst. mat. 113 (1988), 387–402.
- [3] M. Brelot: Éléments de la théorie classique du potentiel. Centre de documentation universitaire. Paris, 1961.
- [4] Yu. D. Burago, V. G. Maz' ya: Potential theory and function theory for irregular regions. Seminars in mathematics V. A. Steklov Mathematical Institute. Leningrad, 1969. (In Russian.)
- [5] M. Chlebík: Tricomi potentials. Thesis. Mathematical Institute of the Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences. Praha, 1988. (In Slovak.)
- [6] H. Federer: Geometric Measure Theory. Springer-Verlag, 1969.
- [7] I. Gohberg, A. Markus: Some remarks on topologically equivalent norms. Izvestija Mold. Fil. Akad. Nauk SSSR 10 (76) (1960), 91–95. (In Russian.)
- [8] N. V. Grachev, V. G. Maz'ya: On the Fredholm radius for operators of the double layer potential type on piecewise smooth boundaries. Vest. Leningrad. Univ. 19(4) (1986), 60-64. (In Russian.)
- [9] N. V. Grachev, V. G. Maz'ya: Estimates for kernels of the inverse operators of the integral equations of elasticity on surfaces with conic points. Report LiTH-MAT-R-91-06, Linköping Univ., Sweden.
- [10] N. V. Grachev, V. G. Maz'ya: Invertibility of boundary integral operators of elasticity on surfaces with conic points. Report LiTH-MAT-R-91-07, Linköping Univ., Sweden.
- [11] N. V. Grachev, V. G. Maz'ya: Solvability of a boundary integral equation on a polyhedron. Report LiTH-MAT-R-91-50, Linköping Univ., Sweden.
- [12] H. Heuser: Funktionalanalysis. Teubner, Stuttgart, 1975.
- [13] R. E. Kleinman, W. L. Wendland: On Neumann's method for the Helmholtz equation. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 57 (1977), 170–202.
- [14] J. Král: Integral Operators in Potential Theory. Lecture Notes in Mathematics 823. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980.

- [15] J. Král: The Fredholm method in potential theory. Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 125 (1966), 511–547.
- [16] J. Král, W. L. Wendland: Some examples concerning applicability of the Fredholm-Radon method in potential heory. Aplikace matematiky 31 (1986), 239–308.
- [17] N. L. Landkof: Fundamentals of Modern Potential Theory. Izdat. Nauka, Moscow, 1966.
- [18] J. Lukeš, J. Malý: Measure and Integral. Matfyzpress, 1995.
- [19] V. G. Maz'ya: Boundary integral equations . Sovremennyje problemy matematiki, fundamental'nyje napravlenija , 27. Viniti, Moskva, 1988. (In Russian.)
- [20] D. Medková: The third boundary value problem in potential theory for domains with a piecewise smooth boundary. Czechoslov. Math. J. 47 (1997), 651–679.
- [21] D. Medková: Solution of the Neumann problem for the Laplace equation. Czechoslov. Math. J. (in print ).
- [22] I. Netuka: The Robin problem in potential theory. Comment. Math. Univ. Carolinae 12 (1971), 205–211.
- [23] I. Netuka: Generalized Robin problem in potential theory. Czechoslov. Math. J. 22(97) (1972), 312–324.
- [24] I. Netuka: An operator connected with the third boundary value problem in potential theory. Czechoslov. Math. J. 22(97) (1972), 462–489.
- [25] I. Netuka: The third boundary value problem in potential theory. Czechoslov. Math. J.  $\mathcal{2}(97)$  (1972), 554–580.
- [26] I. Netuka: Fredholm radius of a potential theoretic operator for convex sets. Čas. pěst. mat. 100 (1975), 374–383.
- [27] I. Netuka: Continuity and maximum principle for potentials of signed measures. Czechoslov. Math. J. 25 (1975), 309–316.
- [28] J. Plemelj: Potentialtheoretische Untersuchungen. B. G. Teubner, Leipzig, 1911.
- [29] A. Rathsfeld: The invertibility of the double layer potential in the space of continuous functions defined on a polyhedron. The panel method. Applicable Analysis 45 (1992), 1–4, 135–177.
- [30] A. Rathsfeld: The invertibility of the double layer potential in the space of continuous functions defined on a polyhedron. The panel method. Erratum. Applicable Analysis 56 (1995), 109–115.
- [31] V. D. Sapožnikova: Solution of the third boundary value problem by the method of potential theory for regions with irregular boundaries Problems Mat. Anal. Boundary Value. Problems Integr. Equations. Izdat. Leningr. Univ., Leningrad, 1966, pp. 35–44. (In Russian.)
- [32] M. Schechter: Principles of Funtional Analysis. Academic Press, London, 1971.
- [33] K. Yosida: Functional Analysis. Springer Verlag, 1965.
- [34] W. P. Ziemer: Weakly Differentiable Functions: Sobolev spaces and functions of bounded variation. Graduate Text in Mathematics 120. Springer-Verlag, 1989.

Author's address: Dagmar Medková, Mathematical Institute of Czech Academy of Sciences, Žitná 25, 11567 Praha 1, Czech Republic, e-mail: medkova@math.cas.cz.