# Jiří Rachůnek; Dana Šalounová Modal operators on bounded commutative residuated $\ell$ -monoids

Mathematica Slovaca, Vol. 57 (2007), No. 4, [321]--332

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/136958

### Terms of use:

© Mathematical Institute of the Slovak Academy of Sciences, 2007

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

VERSITA



DOI: 10.2478/s12175-007-0026-3 Math. Slovaca **57** (2007), No. 4, 321-332

# MODAL OPERATORS ON BOUNDED COMMUTATIVE RESIDUATED *l*-MONOIDS

## JIŘÍ RACHŮNEK\* — DANA ŠALOUNOVÁ\*\*

(Communicated by Anatolij Dvurečenskij)

ABSTRACT. Bounded commutative residuated lattice ordered monoids ( $R\ell$ -monoids) are a common generalization of, e.g., Heyting algebras and BL-algebras, i.e., algebras of intuitionistic logic and basic fuzzy logic, respectively. Modal operators (special cases of closure operators) on Heyting algebras were studied in [MacNAB, D. S.: Modal operators on Heyting algebras, Algebra Universalis 12 (1981), 5–29] and on MV-algebras in [HARLENDEROVÁ, M.—RACHŮNEK, J.: Modal operators on MV-algebras, Math. Bohem. 131 (2006), 39–48]. In the paper we generalize the notion of a modal operator for general bounded commutative  $R\ell$ -monoids and investigate their properties also for certain derived algebras.

> ©2007 Mathematical Institute Slovak Academy of Sciences

Commutative residuated lattice ordered monoids ( $R\ell$ -monoids) are duals to commutative  $DR\ell$ -monoids which were introduced by S w a m y [16] as a common generalization of Abelian lattice ordered groups and Brouwerian algebras. By [11], [12], [13], also algebras of logics behind fuzzy reasoning can be considered as particular cases of bounded commutative  $R\ell$ -monoids. Namely from this point of view, MV-algebras, an algebraic counterpart of the Lukasiewicz infinitevalued propositional logic, are precisely bounded commutative  $R\ell$ -monoids satisfying the double negation law. Further, BL-algebras, an algebraic semantics of the H  $\pm$  basic fuzzy logic, are just bounded commutative  $R\ell$ -monoids isomorphic to subdirect products of linearly ordered commutative  $R\ell$ -monoids. Heyting algebras (duals to Brouwerian algebras), i.e. algebras of intuitionistic logic, are characterized as bounded commutative  $R\ell$ -monoids with idempotent multiplication.

The first author was supported by the Council of Czech Government, MSM 6198959214.



<sup>2000</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 06D35, 06F05.

Keywords: residuated  $\ell$ -monoid, residuated lattice, *BL*-algebra, *MV*-algebra.

Modal operators (special cases of closure operators) on Heyting algebras were introduced and studied by Macnab in [10]. Analogously, modal operators on MV-algebras were introduced in [7] recently.

In this paper we define modal operators for arbitrary bounded commutative  $R\ell$ -monoids and we study their properties in the class of normal  $R\ell$ -monoids in particular.

For concepts and results relating to MV-algebras, BL-algebras and Heyting algebras see for instance [3], [6], [1].

**DEFINITION 1.** A bounded commutative  $R\ell$ -monoid is an algebra  $M = (M; \cdot, , \land, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$  of type  $\langle 2, 2, 2, 2, 0, 0 \rangle$  satisfying the following conditions.

(i)  $(M; \odot, 1)$  is a commutative monoid.

(ii)  $(M; \lor, \land, 0, 1)$  is a bounded lattice.

(iii)  $x \odot y \le z$  if and only if  $x \le y \to z$ , for any  $x, y, z \in M$ .

(iv)  $x \odot (x \to y) = x \land y$ , for any  $x, y \in M$ .

Bounded commutative  $R\ell$ -monoids are special cases of residuated lattices, more precisely (see for instance [4]), they are exactly commutative integral generalized *BL*-algebras in the sense of [2] and [8].

In what follows, by an  $R\ell$ -monoid we will mean a bounded commutative  $R\ell$ -monoid.

Let us define on any  $R\ell$ -monoid M the unary operation of negation "-" by  $x^- := x \to 0$  for any  $x \in M$ . Further, we put  $x \oplus y := (x \odot y^-)^-$  for any  $x, y \in M$ .

Algebras of the above mentioned propositional logics can be characterized in the class of all  $R\ell$ -monoids as follows: An  $R\ell$ -monoid M is

- a) a *BL*-algebra ([13]) if and only if *M* satisfies the identity of pre-linearity  $(x \rightarrow y) \lor (y \rightarrow x) = 1;$
- b) an *MV*-algebra ([11], [12]) if and only if *M* fulfills the double negation law  $x^{--} = x$ ;
- c) a Heyting algebra ([16]) if and only if the operations "  $\cdot$  " and "  $\wedge$  " coincide on M.

**LEMMA 1.** ([16], [15]) In any bounded commutative  $R\ell$ -monoid M we have for any  $x, y \in M$ :

- (1)  $x \le y \iff x \to y = 1.$ (2)  $x \odot y \le x \land y \le x, y.$ (3)  $x < y \implies x \odot z \le y \odot z.$
- (4)  $x \leq y \implies z \to x \leq z \to y, y \to z \leq x \to z.$

(5) 
$$(x \odot y) \to z = x \to (y \to z) = y \to (x \to z).$$
  
(6)  $(x \to y) \odot (y \to z) \le x \to z.$   
(7)  $1^{--} = 1, 0^{--} = 0.$   
(8)  $x \le x^{--}, x^{-} = x^{---}.$   
(9)  $x \le y \Longrightarrow y^{-} \le x^{-}.$   
(10)  $(x \lor y)^{-} = x^{-} \land y^{-}.$   
(11)  $(x \land y)^{--} = x^{--} \land y^{--}.$   
(12)  $(x \odot y)^{-} = y \to x^{-} = y^{--} \to x^{-} = x \to y^{-} = x^{--} \to y^{-}.$   
(13)  $(x \odot y)^{--} \ge x^{--} \odot y^{--}.$   
(14)  $(x \to y)^{--} = x^{--} \to y^{--}.$ 

**Remark 2.** It is obvious that  $x \oplus z \leq y \oplus z$  holds for any  $x, y, z \in M$  such that  $x \leq y$ . Further by [14, Lemma 2.11],  $x^{--} \oplus y^{--} = x \oplus y$  for any  $x, y \in M$ , hence also  $x \oplus y = x^{--} \oplus y = x \oplus y^{--} = x^{--} \oplus y^{--}$ .

**DEFINITION 2.** Let M be an  $R\ell$ -monoid. A mapping  $f: M \longrightarrow M$  is called a *modal operator* on M if, for any  $x, y \in M$ ,

1. 
$$x \leq f(x);$$

- 2. f(f(x)) = f(x);
- 3.  $f(x \odot y) = f(x) \odot f(y)$ .

If, moreover, for any  $x, y \in M$ ,

4.  $f(x \oplus y) = f(x \oplus f(y)),$ 

then f is called a *strong modal operator* on M.

**PROPOSITION 3.** If f is a modal operator on an  $R\ell$ -monoid M and  $x, y \in M$ , then

(i) 
$$x \le y \implies f(x) \le f(y);$$
  
(ii)  $f(x \to y) \le f(x) \to f(y) = f(f(x) \to f(y)) = x \to f(y) = f(x \to f(y));$   
(iii)  $f(x) \le (x \to f(0)) \to f(0);$   
(iv)  $f(x) \odot x^- \le f(0);$   
(v)  $x \oplus f(0) \ge f(x^{--}) \ge f(x);$   
(vi)  $f(x \lor y) = f(x \lor f(y)) = f(f(x) \lor f(y)).$   
Proof.  
(i)  $x \le y \implies f(x \land y) = f(x) \implies f(y \odot (y \to x)) = f(x) \implies f(y) \odot f(y \to x) = f(x) \implies f(x) \le f(y).$   
(ii) By (i),  $f(x) \odot f(x \to y) = f(x \odot (x \to y)) = f(x \land y) \le f(y).$   
This implies

$$f(x \to y) \le f(x) \to f(y).$$

From this we get

$$\begin{split} f(f(x) \to f(y)) &\leq f\left(f(x)\right) \to f\left(f(y)\right) = f(x) \to f(y) \leq x \to f(y) \\ &\leq f(x \to f(y)) \leq f(x) \to f(f(y)) \\ &= f(x) \to f(y) \leq f(f(x) \to f(y)), \end{split}$$

therefore

(····) D

$$f(x) \to f(y) = f(f(x) \to f(y)) = x \to f(y) = f(x \to f(y))$$

(iii) By use of (i) and (i), we have  

$$f(x) \odot (f(x) \to f(0)) = f(x) \land f(0) = f(0) \implies f(x) \le (f(x) \to f(0)) \to f(0)$$

$$\implies f(x) \le (x \to f(0)) \to f(0).$$

(iv) By (ii), we obtain

$$0 \le f(0) \implies x^- = x \to 0 \le x \to f(0) = f(x) \to f(0),$$

 $_{\mathrm{thus}}$ 

$$f(x) \odot x^- \le f(x) \odot (f(x) \to f(0)) = f(x) \land f(0) = f(0).$$

(v) According to Remark 2, Lemma 1(12), (8) and the part (ii) consecutively,

$$\begin{aligned} x \oplus f(0) &= x^{--} \oplus f(0) = \left(x^{---} \odot f(0)^{-}\right)^{-} = x^{---} \to f(0)^{--} \\ &= x^{-} \to f(0)^{--} = f\left(x^{-} \to f(0)^{--}\right) \ge f\left(x^{-} \to f(0)\right) \ge f(x^{-} \to 0) \\ &= f(x^{--}) \ge f(x). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$x \oplus f(0) \ge f(x^{--}) \ge f(x).$$
(vi)  $f(x \lor y) \le f(x \lor f(y)) \le f(f(x) \lor f(y)) = f(f(x \lor y)) = f(x \lor y).$ 

**Remark 4.** By the definition of a modal operator and Proposition 3(i) every modal operator on an  $R\ell$ -monoid M is a closure operator on the lattice  $(M; \lor, \land)$ .

**Remark 5.** M. Galatos and C. Tsinakis introduced in [5] the notion of a *nucleus* of a residuated lattice L as a closure operator  $\gamma$  on L satisfying  $\gamma(a)\gamma(b) \leq \gamma(ab)$ , to represent generalizations of MV-algebras (dropping integrality, commutativity and the existence of bounds) by means of  $\ell$ -groups and nuclei of negative cones of  $\ell$ -groups. From this point of view, a modal operator f on an  $R\ell$ -monoid M is a nucleus of M satisfying  $f(x) \odot f(y) \geq f(x \odot y)$ .

**PROPOSITION 6.** If f is a strong modal operator on an Rl-monoid M and  $x, y \in M$ , then

(vii) 
$$f(x \oplus y) = f(f(x) \oplus f(y));$$

(viii)  $x \oplus f(0) = f(x^{--})$ .

Proof. Let us suppose that f is a strong modal operator. Then

(vii)  $f(x \oplus y) = f(x \oplus f(y)) = f(f(x) \oplus f(y));$ 

(viii) By Proposition 3(v),  $f(x \oplus f(0)) = f(x \oplus 0) = f(x^{--})$  implies  $f(x^{--}) = f(x \oplus f(0)) \ge x \oplus f(0) \ge f(x^{--})$ .

**THEOREM 7.** Let M be an  $R\ell$ -monoid and  $f: M \longrightarrow M$  be a mapping. Then f is a modal operator on M if and only if for any  $x, y \in M$  it is satisfied:

- (a)  $x \to f(y) = f(x) \to f(y);$
- (b)  $f(x) \odot f(y) \ge f(x \odot y)$ .

Proof. Let a mapping f fulfil conditions (a) and (b).

- 1. For any  $x \in M$  we have  $x \to f(x) = f(x) \to f(x) = 1$ . Therefore  $x \leq f(x)$ .
- 2. For all  $x \in M$  it holds  $1 = f(x) \to f(x) = f(f(x)) \to f(x)$ . This implies  $f(f(x)) \leq f(x)$ . Therefore, by 1, f(f(x)) = f(x).
- 3. For any  $x, y \in M$  it is true  $x \odot y \le f(x \odot y) \implies y \le x \to f(x \odot y) = f(x) \to f(x \odot y) \implies y \odot f(x) \le f(x \odot y) \implies f(x) \le y \to f(x \odot y) = f(y) \to f(x \odot y) \implies f(x) \odot f(y) \le f(x \odot y) \implies f(x) \odot f(y) = f(x \odot y).$

The converse implication is obvious.

**COROLLARY 8.** If M is an  $R\ell$ -monoid and  $f: M \longrightarrow M$  is a mapping, then f is a nucleus of M if and only if f satisfies (a) of Theorem 7 and it is isotone.

**Remark 9.** If M is a Heyting algebra and  $x, y \in M$ , then  $f(x) \odot f(y) = f(x) \wedge f(y) \geq f(x \wedge y) = f(x \odot y)$ . Therefore, by Theorem 7, f is a modal operator on M iff it satisfies condition (a) (see also [10]).

We say that an  $R\ell$ -monoid M is normal if M satisfies the identity

$$(x \odot y)^{--} = x^{--} \odot y^{--}.$$

**Remark 10.** By [15, Proposition 5], every BL-algebra and every Heyting algebra is normal, hence the variety of normal  $R\ell$ -monoids is considerably wide.

Let M be an  $R\ell$ -monoid. For arbitrary element  $a \in M$  we denote by  $\varphi_a \colon M \longrightarrow M$  the mapping such that  $\varphi_a(x) = a \oplus x$  for every  $x \in M$ .

Denote by

$$I(M) = \{a \in M : a \odot a = a\}$$

the set of all multiplicative idempotents in an  $R\ell$ -monoid M. It is obvious that  $0, 1 \in I(M)$ . By [9, Lemma 2.8.3],  $a \odot x = a \wedge x$  holds for any  $a \in I(M), x \in M$ . Further, if M is a normal  $R\ell$ -monoid and  $a \in I(M)$ , then also  $a^{--} \in I(M)$ .

**THEOREM 11.** If M is a normal  $\mathbb{R}\ell$ -monoid and  $a \in M$ , then  $\varphi_a$  is a strong modal operator on M if and only if  $a^-, a^{--} \in I(M)$ .

Proof.

- a) Let  $a, x, y \in M, a^{-}, a^{--} \in I(M)$ .
- 1.  $\varphi_a(x) = a \oplus x = (a^- \odot x^-)^- \ge x^{--} \ge x$ .
- 2.  $\varphi_a(\varphi_a(x)) = a \oplus (a \oplus x) = a \oplus (a^- \odot x^-)^- = (a^- \odot (a \to x^-)^-)^ (a^- \odot (a^- \odot x^-))^- = ((a^- \odot a^-) \odot x^-)^- = (a^- \to x^-)^ a \oplus x = \varphi_a(x).$
- 3. We first prove that  $a \oplus x = (a \lor x)^{--}$ . By Lemma 1(10), we obtain  $a \oplus x = (a^- \odot x^-)^- = (a^- \land x^-)$  $((a \lor x)^-)^- = (a \lor x)^{--}$ . We will now prove condition 3 from the definition of a modal operator.

We have  $\varphi_a(x) \odot \varphi_a(y) = (a \oplus x) \odot (a \oplus y) = (a^{--} \oplus x) \odot (a^{--} \oplus y)$   $= (a^{--} \lor x)^{--} \odot (a^{--} \lor y)^{--} = ((a^{--} \lor x) \cdot (a^{--} \lor y))^{--}$ 

$$= (a^{--} \lor x)^{--} \odot (a^{--} \lor y)^{--} = ((a^{--} \lor x) \cdot (a^{--} \lor y))^{--}$$
  
=  $((a^{--} \odot a^{--}) \lor (x \odot a^{--}) \lor (a^{--} \odot y) \lor (x \cdot y))^{--}$   
=  $(a^{--} \lor (x \odot y))^{--} = a^{--} \oplus (x \odot y) = a \oplus (x \cdot y)$   
=  $\varphi_a(x \odot y).$ 

4. According to [14, Proposition 2.10],  $(M; \oplus)$  is a commutative semigroup. For this reason

$$\varphi_a(x \oplus y) = a \oplus (x \oplus y) = a^{--} \oplus (x \oplus y) = (a \oplus a) \oplus (x \oplus y)$$
$$= a \oplus (x \oplus (a \oplus y)) = \varphi_a(x \oplus \varphi_a(y)).$$

b) Let  $\varphi_a$  be a strong modal operator on M. Then on account of condition 3, we have  $a \oplus (x \odot y) = (a \oplus x) \odot (a \oplus y)$ . Then for x = y = 0 we obtain  $a \oplus (0 \cdot 0) - (a \oplus 0) \odot (a \oplus 0)$ , hence  $a \oplus 0 = (a \oplus 0) \odot (a \oplus 0)$ . Since  $a \oplus 0 - a^-$  (see [14, Lemma 2.11]), we conclude that  $a^{--} = a^{--} \odot a^{--}$ , which yields  $a^{--} \in I(M)$ 

From condition 4 we have  $a \oplus (x \oplus y) = a \oplus (x \oplus (a \oplus y))$ . Then for x = y = 0it follows that  $a^{--} = a \oplus 0 = a \oplus (0 \oplus 0) = a \oplus (0 \oplus (a \oplus 0)) - (a \oplus 0) \oplus a^{--} - a^{--} \oplus a^{--}$ , thus  $a^{--} = a^{--} \oplus a^{--}$ . From this  $a^{--} = (a^- \cdot a^-)^-$ , hence  $a^- = (a^- \odot a^-)^{--}$ . Since M is normal, we obtain  $a^- = a^- \cdot a^-$  and so  $a^- \in I(M)$ .

**Remark 12.** If M is an MV-algebra and  $a \in M$ , then  $a \in I(M)$  if and only if  $a^-, a^{--} \in I(M)$ . Concurrently, by [7], in any MV-algebra it is true that  $\varphi_a$ is a modal operator on M if and only if  $\varphi_a$  is a strong modal operator on M(namely if and only if  $a \in I(M)$ ). The question, whether  $\varphi_a$  is a modal operator on M if and only if it is a strong modal operator also for any normal  $R\ell$ -monoid M, remains open. **COROLLARY 13.** Let M be a normal  $R\ell$ -monoid and f be a modal operator on M such that  $f(x) = f(x^{--})$  for all  $x \in M$ . Then f is strong if and only if  $f = \varphi_{f(0)}$  and  $f(0)^- \in I(M)$ .

Proof. Suppose that a modal operator f on M satisfies the condition  $f(x) = f(x^{--})$  for every  $x \in M$ . Then by Proposition 6 and Theorem 11, f is strong if and only if  $f = \varphi_a$  for some  $a \in M$  such that  $a^-, a^{--} \in I(M)$ .

If f is strong and  $x \in M$ , then  $f(x) = f(x^{--}) = f(0) \oplus x$ . Hence  $f = \varphi_{f(0)}$ and we have  $f(0), f(0)^{--} \in I(M)$ .

For any modal operator f we have  $f(0)^{--} \in I(M)$ . In fact,  $f(0)^{--} = f(0 \odot 0)^{--} = (f(0) \odot f(0))^{--} = f(0)^{--} \odot f(0)^{--}$ . Hence, if  $f = \varphi_{f(0)}$  and  $f(0)^{-} \in I(M)$ , then by Theorem 11, f is strong.

**COROLLARY 14.** Specially for MV-algebras, we obtain (see [7]): If M is an MV-algebra and f is a modal operator on M, then f is strong if and only if  $f = \varphi_{f(0)}$ .

Let M be an  $R\ell$ -monoid and  $a \in M$ . Consider mappings  $\psi_a \colon M \longrightarrow M$ and  $\chi_a \colon M \longrightarrow M$  such that  $\psi_a(x) \coloneqq a \to x$  and  $\chi_a(x) \coloneqq (x \to a) \to a$ for every  $x \in M$ . These mappings are significant modal operators in Heyting algebras (see [10]). We will now deal with the mappings  $\psi_a$  and  $\chi_a$  in arbitrary  $R\ell$ -monoids.

**PROPOSITION 15.** If M is an R*l*-monoid and  $a \in I(M)$ , then for any  $x, y \in M$  $x \to \psi_a(y) = \psi_a(x) \to \psi_a(y).$ 

Proof. By the definition of  $\psi_a$ ,  $x \to \psi_a(y) = x \to (a \to y)$  and  $\psi_a(x) \to \psi_a(y) = (a \to x) \to (a \to y)$ . At the same time, by Lemma 1(5),  $(a \to x) \to (a \to y) = ((a \to x) \odot a) \to y = (a \land x) \to y = (a \odot x) \to y = x \to (a \to y)$ , whence the assertion follows.

From Theorem 7 and Proposition 15 we obtain as an immediate consequence the following claim.

**COROLLARY 16.** Let M be an R*l*-monoid and  $a \in I(M)$ . Then  $\psi_a$  is a modal operator on M if and only if for any  $x, y \in M$ 

$$\psi_a(x) \odot \psi_a(y) \ge \psi_a(x \odot y).$$

**LEMMA 17.** If M is an  $R\ell$ -monoid and  $a \in M$ , then for any  $x, y \in M$ 

$$x o \chi_a(y) \le \chi_a(x) o \chi_a(y)$$

Proof. By the definition of  $\chi_a$  and by Lemma 1(5),  $x \to \chi_a(y) = x \to ((y \to a) \to a) = (y \to a) \to (x \to a), \ \chi_a(x) \to \chi_a(y) = ((x \to a) \to a) \to ((y \to a) \to a)$ . Since by [14, Lemma 2.3],  $(y \to a) \to (x \to a) \leq ((x \to a) \to a)$  $\to ((y \to a) \to a)$ , we have  $x \to \chi_a(y) \leq \chi_a(x) \to \chi_a(y)$ .

#### JIŘÍ RACHŮNEK — DANA ŠALOUNOVÁ

For any  $R\ell$ -monoid M, let us denote by B(M) the set of all elements from M having the complement in the lattice  $(M; \lor, \land, 0, 1)$ . Note that  $0, 1 \in B(M)$ . If  $a \in B(M)$  then its complement a' is equal to the element  $a^-$ . By [9, Lemma 2.8.8],  $B(M) \subseteq I(M)$ .

**PROPOSITION 18.** Let M be an Rl-monoid and  $a \in B(M)$ . Then for any  $x, y \in M$ 

$$x \to \chi_a(y) = \chi_a(x) \to \chi_a(y).$$

Proof. Let  $a \in B(M)$ ,  $x, y \in M$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} x \to \chi_a(y) &= x \to ((y \to a) \to a) = (y \to a) \to (x \to a), \\ \chi_a(x) \to \chi_a(y) &= ((x \to a) \to a) \to ((y \to a) \to a) \\ &= (y \to a) \to (((x \to a) \to a) \to a), \\ x \to a = x \to a^{--} = (x \odot a^{-})^{-}, \end{aligned}$$

$$(x \to a) \to a = ((x \to a) \odot a^{-})^{-} = ((x \odot a^{-})^{-} \odot a^{-})^{-} = ((x \land a^{-})^{-} \land a^{-})^{-} \\ = ((x \land a^{-}) \lor a)^{--} = ((x \lor a) \land (a^{-} \lor a))^{--} = (x \lor a)^{--} - x \oplus a,$$

$$((x \to a) \to a) \to a = (((x \to a) \to a) \odot a^{-})^{-} = ((x \oplus a) \odot a^{-})^{-} = (x \oplus a) \to a$$
$$= (x \lor a)^{--} \to a^{--} = ((x \lor a) \to a)^{--} = ((x \lor a) \cdot a^{-})^{-}$$
$$= ((x \odot a^{-}) \lor (a \odot a^{-}))^{-} = (x \odot a^{-})^{-} - x \to a.$$

Hence

$$\chi_a(x) \to \chi_a(y) = (y \to a) \to (((x \to a) \to a) \to a)$$
$$= (y \to a) \to (x \to a) = x \to \chi_a(y).$$

**COROLLARY 19.** Let M be an  $R\ell$ -monoid and  $a \in B(M)$ . Then  $\chi_a$  is a modal operator on M if and only if for any  $x, y \in M$ 

$$\chi_a(x) \odot \chi_a(y) \ge \chi_a(x \odot y).$$

Let M be an  $R\ell$ -monoid and f be a modal operator on M. Then  $Fix(f) - \{x \in M : f(x) = x\}$  will denote the set of all fixed elements of the operator f. By the definition of a modal operator it is obvious that Fix(f) = Im(f).

Since f is a closure operator on the lattice  $(M; \lor, \land)$ , we infer that  $(\operatorname{Fix}(f); \lor_F, \land)$ , where  $y \lor_F z = f(y \lor z)$  and " $\land$ " is the restriction of the corresponding operation from M on  $\operatorname{Fix}(f)$ , is a lattice.

**THEOREM 20.** If f is a modal operator on an  $R\ell$ -monoid M, then Fix(f) is closed under the operations " $\odot$ " and " $\rightarrow$ " and  $Fix(f) - (Fix(f); \cdot, \vee_F, \land, \rightarrow, f(0), 1)$  is an  $R\ell$ -monoid.

Proof.

(i) Let  $x, y \in \text{Fix}(f)$ . Then  $f(x \odot y) = f(x) \odot f(y) = x \odot y$ , thus  $x \odot y \in \text{Fix}(f)$ . (ii)  $(\text{Fix}(f); \lor_F, \land, f(0), 1)$  is a bounded lattice.

(iii) If  $y, z \in \text{Fix}(f)$ , then by Proposition 3 we have  $y \to z = f(y) \to f(z) = f(f(y) \to f(z)) = f(y \to z)$ , hence  $y \to z \in \text{Fix}(f)$ .

Therefore, if  $x, y, z \in Fix(f)$ , then  $x \odot y, y \to z \in Fix(f)$  and for this reason  $x \odot y \le z$  holds in Fix(f) if and only if  $x \le y \to z$ .

(iv) By foregoing, Fix(f) also satisfies the identity  $x \odot (x \to y) = x \land y$ .  $\Box$ 

**Remark 21.** The above theorem strengthens general Lemma 3.3 of [5] proved for any residuated lattices in our special case of bounded (commutative)  $R\ell$ -monoids.

**THEOREM 22.** Let M be an  $R\ell$ -monoid,  $a \in I(M)$  and

$$I(a) := [0, a] = \{ x \in M : 0 \le x \le a \}.$$

For any  $x, y \in I(a)$  we set  $x \odot_a y = x \odot y$  and  $x \to_a y := (x \to y) \land a$ . Then  $I(a) = (I(a); \odot_a, \lor, \land, \to_a, 0, a)$  is an  $R\ell$ -monoid.

#### Proof.

(i) If  $x, y \in I(a)$ , then  $x \odot y \in I(a)$  and  $x \odot a = x \land a = x$ , hence  $(I(a); \odot_a, a)$  is a commutative monoid.

(ii) Obviously,  $(I(a); \lor, \land, 0, a)$  is a bounded lattice.

(iii) Let  $x, y \in I(a)$ . It holds that  $x \to y$  is the greatest element  $z \in M$  such that  $x \odot z \leq y$ . Therefore  $(x \to y) \land a$  is the greatest element in I(a) with this property. That means,  $x \odot_a z \leq y$  if and only if  $z \leq (x \to y) \land a = x \to_a y$  for every  $z \in I(a)$ .

(iv) For any  $x, y \in I(a)$  we have  $x \odot_a (x \to_a y) = x \odot ((x \to y) \land a) = x \odot (x \to y) \odot a = (x \land y) \land a = x \land y.$ 

**Remark 23.** If for any  $x, y \in I(a)$  we denote by  $x^{-a}$  the negation of an element x and by  $x \oplus_a y$  the sum of elements x and y in the  $R\ell$ -monoid I(a), then it holds

$$x^{-a} = x^{-} \wedge a, \qquad x \oplus_a y = (x \oplus y) \wedge a.$$

Indeed

 $x^{-a} = x \to_a 0 = (x \to 0) \land a = x^- \land a,$ 

$$\begin{aligned} x \oplus_a y &= (x^{-a} \odot y^{-a})^- \land a = (x^- \odot a \odot y^- \odot a)^- \land a = (x^- \odot y^- \odot a)^- \land a \\ &= (a \to (x^- \odot y^-)^-) \odot a = a \land (x^- \odot y^-)^- = a \land (x \oplus y). \end{aligned}$$

Now, let M be arbitrary  $R\ell$ -monoid (still bounded and commutative),  $a \in I(M)$  and let f be a modal operator on M. Let us consider a mapping  $f^a: I(a) \longrightarrow I(a)$  such that  $f^a(x) = f(x) \wedge a$  (=  $f(x) \odot a$ ), for every  $x \in I(a)$ . **THEOREM 24.** Let M be an  $R\ell$ -monoid,  $a \in I(M)$  and f be a modal and strong modal, respectively, operator on M. Then  $f^a$  is a modal and strong modal, respectively, operator on the  $R\ell$ -monoid I(a).

Proof. Assume  $x, y \in I(a)$ .

1.  $x \leq a$  and  $x \leq f(x)$ , hence  $x \leq a \wedge f(x) = f^a(x)$ .

2. 
$$f^a(f^a(x)) = f(f(x) \land a) \land a = f(f(x) \odot a) \land a = (f(f(x)) \odot f(a)) \land a$$

$$= f(x) \wedge f(a) \wedge a = f(x) \wedge a = f^a(x)$$

3.  $f^{a}(x \odot y) = f(x \odot y) \land a = f(x) \odot f(y) \odot a \odot a = (f(x) \land a) \odot (f(y) \land a)$  $= f^{a}(x) \odot f^{a}(y).$ 

4. Let f be strong. Then  

$$f^{a}(x \oplus_{a} f^{a}(y)) = f^{a}((x \oplus (f(y) \land a)) \land a) = f((x \oplus (f(y) \land a)) \land a) \land a)$$

$$= f(x \oplus (f(y) \land a)) \land f(a) \land a = f(x \oplus f(f(y) \land a)) \land a$$

$$= f(x \oplus ((f(f(y)) \land f(a))) \land a = f(x \oplus (f(y) \land f(a))) \land a)$$

$$= f(x \oplus f(y \land a)) \land a = f(x \oplus f(y)) \land a = f(x \oplus y) \land a$$

$$= f^{a}(x \oplus y).$$

#### THEOREM 25.

a) Let M be an  $\mathbb{R}\ell$ -monoid, let f be a modal operator on M and  $\hat{f} = f|_{I(M)}$ . Then I(M) is a subalgebra of the reduct  $(M; \odot, \lor, \land, 0, 1)$  and  $\hat{f}$  is a mapping of I(M) into I(M) satisfying conditions 1, 2, 3 from the definition of a modal operator.

b) Let M be a normal  $\mathbb{R}\ell$ -monoid and let  $x^- \in I(M)$  for each  $x \in I(M)$ . Then I(M) is closed also under the operation " $\oplus$ ". Moreover, if f is a strong modal operator on M, then  $\hat{f}$  satisfies condition 4 from the definition of a strong modal operator.

c) Let M be a BL-algebra. Then I(M) is a subalgebra of the algebra M which is a Heyting algebra. If f is a modal operator on M, then  $\hat{f}$  is a modal operator on the Heyting algebra I(M). If  $x^- \in I(M)$  holds for each  $x \in I(M)$  and f is a strong modal operator on M, then  $\hat{f}$  is a strong modal operator on I(M).

Proof.

a) Let M be an  $R\ell$ -monoid and  $x, y \in I(M)$ . Then

$$(x \odot y) \odot (x \odot y) = (x \odot x) \odot (y \odot y) = x \odot y,$$

thus  $x \odot y = x \land y \in I(M)$ . Further,

 $(x \lor y) \odot (x \lor y) = (x \odot x) \lor (y \odot x) \lor (x \odot y) \lor (y \odot y) = x \lor y \lor (x \odot y) = x \lor y,$  therefore also  $x \lor y \in I(M)$ .

Obviously,  $0, 1 \in I(M)$ .

Finally, if f is a modal operator on M, then for each  $x \in I(M)$  we have

 $f(x) = f(x \odot x) = f(x) \odot f(x).$ 

It follows that  $f(x) \in I(M)$ . Therefore  $\hat{f}$  is a mapping of I(M) into I(M) satisfying conditions 1–3.

b) If  $x^- \in I(M)$  holds for every  $x \in I(M)$ , then (similarly to the third part of the proof of Theorem 11) for any  $x, y \in I(M)$  we obtain  $x \oplus y = (x \vee y)^{--}$ , and hence provided M is normal we have

$$(x \oplus y) \odot (x \oplus y) = (x \lor y)^{--} \odot (x \lor y)^{--} = ((x \lor y) \odot (x \lor y))^{--} = (x \lor y)^{--}$$
$$= x \oplus y,$$

therefore  $x \oplus y \in I(M)$ .

At the same time it is obvious that if f is a strong modal operator on M, then  $\hat{f}$  fulfills condition 4 as well.

c) By [13], an  $R\ell$ -monoid M is a BL-algebra if and only if M is isomorphic to a subdirect product of  $R\ell$ -chains (=BL-chains). Let now a BL-algebra M be a subdirect product of BL-chains  $M_{\alpha}, \alpha \in \Gamma$ . If  $a \in M$ , then  $a = (a_{\alpha}; \alpha \in \Gamma) \in$ I(M) if and only if  $a_{\alpha} \in I(M_{\alpha})$  for each  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Let  $x = (x_{\alpha}; \alpha \in \Gamma), y =$  $(y_{\alpha}; \alpha \in \Gamma) \in I(M)$ . Then  $x_{\alpha} \to y_{\alpha} = 1$  for  $y_{\alpha} \ge x_{\alpha}$  and  $x_{\alpha} \to y_{\alpha} = y_{\alpha}$  for  $x_{\alpha} > y_{\alpha}$ . Whence  $(x_{\alpha} \to y_{\alpha}; \alpha \in \Gamma) \in I(M)$  and it is equal to the element  $x \to y$ . By [13], furthermore, I(M) is a Heyting algebra.

Then it is clear that  $\hat{f}$  is a modal operator on I(M) for any modal operator f on M. Moreover, by [15, Proposition 5], every *BL*-algebra is a normal  $R\ell$ -monoid. Therefore, if  $x^- \in I(M)$  for each  $x \in I(M)$ , then  $\hat{f}$  is a strong modal operator on the Heyting algebra I(M) for every strong modal operator fon M.

**Remark 26.** For any  $a \in M$ , also mappings  $\pi_a \colon M \longrightarrow M$  (in our notation) defined by  $\pi_a(x) = a \lor x$  for each  $x \in M$  were introduced and studied for Heyting algebras in [10]. Evidently, if M is an arbitrary  $R\ell$ -monoid, then  $\pi_a$  satisfies conditions 1 and 2 from the definition of a modal operator on M. This begs the question if  $\pi_a$  fulfills condition 3 from this definition as well and in which cases  $\pi_a = \varphi_a$  holds, respectively.

a) If M is a Heyting algebra then  $x \odot y = x \wedge y$  for any  $x, y \in M$ . From the distributivity of the lattice  $(M; \lor, \land)$  it follows that condition 3 is satisfied for any  $a \in M$ . At the same time,  $a \oplus x = (a \lor x)^{--}$ , hence  $\pi_a$  need not generally be equal to  $\varphi_a$ . For example,  $\pi_0(x) = x$ ,  $\varphi_0(x) = x^{--}$ .

b) If M is an MV-algebra, then  $a \vee x = a \oplus x$  holds for any  $a \in I(M)$  and  $x \in M$ , and  $a \oplus (x \odot y) = (a \oplus x) \odot (a \oplus y)$ . Therefore, we have  $\varphi_a = \pi_a$  for each  $a \in I(M)$  and hence, for each  $a \in I(M)$ , moreover  $\pi_a$  is a strong modal operator on M.

### JIŘÍ RACHŮNEK — DANA ŠALOUNOVÁ

#### REFERENCES

- BALBES, R.—DWINGER, P.: Distributive Lattices, Univ. Missouri Press, Columbia, 1974.
- [2] BLOUNT, K.—TSINAKIS, C.: The structure of residuated lattices, Internat. J. Algebra Comput. 13 (2003), 437–461.
- [3] CIGNOLI, R. L. O.—D'OTTAVIANO, I. M. L.—MUNDICI, D.: Algebraic Foundation of Many-valued Reasoning, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht-Boston-London, 2000.
- [4] DVUREČENSKIJ, A.—RACHŮNEK, J.: Probabilistic averaging in bounded commutative residuated *l*-monoids, Discrete Math. **306** (2006), 1317–1326.
- [5] GALATOS, M.—TSINAKIS, C.: Generalized MV-algebras, J. Algebra 283 (2005), 254-291.
- [6] HÁJEK, P.: Metamathematics of Fuzzy Logic, Kluwer, Amsterdam, 1998.
- [7] HARLENDEROVÁ, M.—RACHŮNEK, J.: Modal operators on MV-algebras, Math. Bohem. 131 (2006), 39–48.
- [8] JIPSEN, P.—TSINAKIS, C.: A survey of residuated lattices. In: Ordered Algebraic Structures (J. Martinez, ed.), Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht, 2002, pp. 19–56.
- [9] KUHR, J.: Dually Residuated Lattice-Ordered Monoids. Ph.D. Thesis, Palacký Univ. Olomouc, 2003.
- [10] MACNAB, D. S.: Modal operators on Heyting algebras, Algebra Universalis 12 (1981), 5-29.
- [11] RACHŪNEK, J.: DRl-semigroups and MV-algebras, Czechoslovak Math. J. 48 (1998), 365-372.
- [12] RACHŮNEK, J.: MV-algebras are categorically equivalent to a class of DRl<sub>1(i)</sub>-semigroups, Math. Bohem. **123** (1998), 437–441.
- [13] RACHÜNEK, J.: A duality between algebras of basic logic and bounded representable DRl-monoids, Math. Bohem. 126 (2001), 561–569.
- [14] RACHŮNEK, J.—ŠALOUNOVÁ, D.: Local bounded commutative residuated l-monoids, Czechoslovak Math. J. 57 (2007), 395–406.
- [15] RACHŮNEK, J.—SLEZÁK, V.: Negation in bounded commutative DRl-monoids, Czechoslovak Math. J. 56 (2006), 755–763.
- SWAMY, K. L. N.: Dually residuated lattice ordered semigroups, Math. Ann. 159 (1965), 105-114.

Received 31. 8. 2005

\* Department of Algebra and Geometry Faculty of Sciences Palacký University Tomkova 40 CZ-779 00 Olomouc CZECH REPUBLIC E-mail: rachunek@inf.upol.cz

\*\* Department of Mathematical Methods in Economy Faculty of Economics VŠB-Technical University Ostrava Sokolská 33 CZ-701 21 Ostrava CZECH REPUBLIC E-mail: dana.salounova@vsb.cz

332