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#### Abstract

In this paper we find certain equivalent formulations of Wall's question and derive two interesting criteria that can be used to resolve this question for particular primes.
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## 1. Introduction

In 1960, D. D. Wall published a well-known paper [6] concerning the modular periodicity of a Fibonacci sequence. In this paper an interesting problem was formulated, often referred to as Wall's question (see [6, p. 528]), which has remained unsolved up to the present. Let us outline this problem.

Let $\left(F_{n}\right)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ denote the Fibonacci sequence defined by $F_{n+2}=F_{n+1}+F_{n}$ with $F_{0}=0, F_{1}=1$. Let $m>0$ be an arbitrary integer. Reducing $F_{n}$ modulo $m$ and taking the least nonnegative residues, we obtain the sequence $\left(F_{n} \bmod m\right)_{n=0}^{\infty}$, which is periodic. A positive integer $k(m)$ is called the period of the Fibonacci sequence modulo $m$ if it is the smallest positive integer for which $F_{k(m)} \equiv 0(\bmod m)$ and $F_{k(m)+1} \equiv 1(\bmod m)$. For a fixed prime $p$, Wall proved that, if $k(p)=k\left(p^{s}\right) \neq$ $k\left(p^{s+1}\right)$, then $k\left(p^{t}\right)=p^{t-s} k(p)$ for $t \geqslant s>0$. Wall asked whether $k(p)=k\left(p^{2}\right)$ is possible. This is still an open question.

In [6] Wall noted that for $p<10^{4}$, a counterexample of $k(p) \neq k\left(p^{2}\right)$ does not exist. According to $[7], k(p) \neq k\left(p^{2}\right)$ for $p<10^{9}$. Using extensive search by computer, in [2] this result was extended to $p<10^{14}$. Finally, according to the last report from 2007 (see [4]) there exists no such prime $p<2 \times 10^{14}$. Finding the answer to Wall's question can be extremely difficult. In 1992, Zhi-Hong Sun and Zhi-Wei Sun [5]
showed that, if $p \nmid x y z$ and $x^{p}+y^{p}=z^{p}$, then $k(p)=k\left(p^{2}\right)$. Consequently, an affirmative answer to Wall's question implies the first case of Fermat's last theorem.

It is well known that $k(p)=k\left(p^{2}\right)$ if and only if $F_{p-(5 \mid p)} \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$ where $(a \mid b)$ denotes the Legendre symbol of $a$ and $b$. Crandall, Dilcher, and Pomerance [1] called primes $p>5$ satisfying $F_{p-(5 \mid p)} \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$ the Wall-Sun-Sun primes. These are sometimes also called Fibonacci-Wieferich primes. See [4] for example. It has been conjectured that there are infinitely many Wall-Sun-Sun primes, but the conjecture remains unproven.

## 2. Wall's question and its equivalent formulations

It is well known that $F_{n}$ can be computed by taking the powers of a matrix. Namely, if

$$
F=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
F_{0} & F_{1}  \tag{2.1}\\
F_{1} & F_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad \text { then } F^{n}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
F_{n-1} & F_{n} \\
F_{n} & F_{n+1}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Consequently, $k(p)$ is the period of $\left(F_{n} \bmod p\right)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ if and only if $k(p)$ is the smallest positive integer $k$ for which $F^{k} \equiv E(\bmod p)$ and $k\left(p^{2}\right)$ is the period of $\left(F_{n} \bmod p^{2}\right)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ if and only if $k\left(p^{2}\right)$ is the smallest positive integer $l$ satisfying $F^{l} \equiv E\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$, where $E$ is the $2 \times 2$ identity matrix. For any prime $p$, let us now define the integer matrix $A_{p}=\left[a_{i j}\right]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{p}=\frac{1}{p}\left(F^{k(p)}-E\right) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.1) it follows that

$$
A_{p}=\left[\begin{array}{cc}
a_{11} & a_{21}  \tag{2.3}\\
a_{21} & a_{11}+a_{21}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Lemma 2.1. For any prime $p$ we have $k(p) \neq k\left(p^{2}\right)$ if and only if $A_{p} \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. Proof. This follows from (2.2).

Lemma 2.2. Let $p \neq 5$. Then $A_{p} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ if and only if $\operatorname{det} A_{p} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$.
Proof. Let $p \neq 2$. Put $k=k(p)$. From (2.2) and (2.3) it follows that
(2.4) $\operatorname{det} F^{k}=1+p\left(2 a_{11}+a_{21}\right)+p^{2} \operatorname{det} A_{p} \quad$ where $\operatorname{det} A_{p}=a_{11}^{2}+a_{11} a_{21}-a_{21}^{2}$.

Since $\operatorname{det} F=-1,(2.4)$ implies $2 a_{11}+a_{21} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ and $\operatorname{det} A_{p} \equiv-5 a_{11}^{2}(\bmod p)$. Consequently, we have $a_{11} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ if and only if $a_{21} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$, and thus, $\operatorname{det} A_{p} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ implies $A_{p} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. The validity of the converse implication is evident. On the other hand, for $p=2$ we can easily verify that $A_{2} \not \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ and $\operatorname{det} A_{2} \not \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$.

Remark 2.3. For $p=5$ we have $A_{5} \not \equiv 0(\bmod 5)$ and $\operatorname{det} A_{5} \equiv 0(\bmod 5)$.
Our next considerations will take place in the following framework. Let $L_{p}$ be the splitting field of the Fibonacci characteristic polynomial $f(x)=x^{2}-x-1$ over the field of $p$-adic numbers $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$ and let $\alpha, \beta$ be the roots of $f(x)$ in $L_{p}$. Denote by $O_{p}$ the ring of integers of $L_{p}$. Clearly $\alpha, \beta \in O_{p}$. Since the discriminant of $f(x)$ is equal to 5 , it follows that, for $p \neq 5, L_{p} / \mathbb{Q}_{p}$ does not ramify and so the maximal ideal of $O_{p}$ is generated by $p$. Moreover, if $L_{p}=\mathbb{Q}_{p}$, then $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}$, where $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ is the ring of $p$-adic integers.

For a unit $\varepsilon \in O_{p}$ we denote by $\operatorname{ord}_{p^{t}}(\varepsilon)$ the least positive rational integer $h$ such that $\varepsilon^{h} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{t}\right)$. Since $\varepsilon^{h} \equiv 1(\bmod p)$ implies $\varepsilon^{p h} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { either } \operatorname{ord}_{p^{2}}(\varepsilon)=\operatorname{ord}_{p}(\varepsilon) \quad \text { or } \quad \operatorname{ord}_{p^{2}}(\varepsilon)=p \cdot \operatorname{ord}_{p}(\varepsilon) . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Furthermore, it is not difficult to prove that if $p>2$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{p}(\varepsilon) \neq \operatorname{ord}_{p^{2}}(\varepsilon)$, then for any $t \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\operatorname{ord}_{p^{t}}(\varepsilon)=p^{t-1} \operatorname{ord}_{p}(\varepsilon)$. More generally, if $\varepsilon \neq \pm 1$ and $s \in \mathbb{N}$ is the largest integer such that $\operatorname{ord}_{p^{s}}(\varepsilon)=\operatorname{ord}_{p}(\varepsilon)$, then for any $t \geqslant s$, we have $\operatorname{ord}_{p^{t}}(\varepsilon)=p^{t-s} \operatorname{ord}_{p}(\varepsilon)$.

Lemma 2.4. Let $p \neq 5$. We have either $\operatorname{ord}_{p^{t}}(\alpha)=\operatorname{ord}_{p^{t}}(\beta)$ or $\operatorname{ord}_{p^{t}}(\alpha)=$ $2 \operatorname{ord}_{p^{t}}(\beta)$ or $2 \operatorname{ord}_{p^{t}}(\alpha)=\operatorname{ord}_{p^{t}}(\beta)$.

Proof. From Viète's equation $\alpha \beta=-1$ in $L_{p}$ it follows that $\alpha= \pm 1$ if and only if $\beta= \pm 1$. Hence, if $\alpha^{r}=1$, then $\beta^{r}= \pm 1$, and consequently, $\beta^{2 r}=1$. This implies $\operatorname{ord}_{p^{t}}(\beta) \mid 2 \operatorname{ord}_{p^{t}}(\alpha)$. By analogy, we can obtain $\operatorname{ord}_{p^{t}}(\alpha) \mid 2 \operatorname{ord}_{p^{t}}(\beta)$.

Corollary 2.5. For any prime $p \neq 5$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ord}_{p^{2}}(\alpha) \equiv 0(\bmod p) \text { if and only if } \operatorname{ord}_{p^{2}}(\beta) \equiv 0(\bmod p) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. This is a consequence of Lemma 2.4 if $p \neq 2$. For $p=2$, the polynomial $f(x)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}_{2}$ and so $\operatorname{ord}_{2^{t}}(\alpha)=\operatorname{ord}_{2^{t}}(\beta)$.

In Theorem 2.6 we generalize [3, Lemma 2.4] also to the case of $f(x)$ being irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$.

Theorem 2.6. Let $p \neq 5$. Then $k\left(p^{t}\right)=\operatorname{lcm}\left(\operatorname{ord}_{p^{t}}(\alpha), \operatorname{ord}_{p^{t}}(\beta)\right)$ for any $t \in \mathbb{N}$.
Proof. Over $L_{p}$ we can write $F_{n}=A \alpha^{n}+B \beta^{n}$ for suitable $A, B \in L_{p}$. The coefficients $A, B$ are uniquely determined by the equations $A+B=0$ and $A \alpha+B \beta=1$ over $L_{p}$. The determinant of the matrix of this system is equal to $\beta-\alpha$. As $\alpha \not \equiv \beta(\bmod p)$, the Cramer rule gives $A=-(\beta-\alpha)^{-1}, B=(\beta-\alpha)^{-1}$. Moreover, $A, B$ are units in $O_{p}$. Let $k=k\left(p^{t}\right)$. Then $\left[A \alpha^{k}+B \beta^{k}, A \alpha^{k+1}+B \beta^{k+1}\right] \equiv$ $[A+B, A \alpha+B \beta]\left(\bmod p^{t}\right)$. This system can be reduced to an equivalent form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1  \tag{2.7}\\
\alpha & \beta
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
A\left(\alpha^{k}-1\right) \\
B\left(\beta^{k}-1\right)
\end{array}\right] \equiv\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]\left(\bmod p^{t}\right)
$$

As the determinant of the matrix in (2.7) is not divisible by $p,(2.7)$ has only one solution

$$
A\left(\alpha^{k}-1\right) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{t}\right), \quad B\left(\beta^{k}-1\right) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{t}\right)
$$

This implies $\alpha^{k} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{t}\right)$ and $\beta^{k} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{t}\right)$. Thus, we have $\operatorname{ord}_{p^{t}}(\alpha) \mid$ $k$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{p^{t}}(\beta) \mid k$, which implies $\operatorname{lcm}\left(\operatorname{ord}_{p^{t}}(\alpha), \operatorname{ord}_{p^{t}}(\beta)\right) \mid k$. As $A, B$ are not divisible by $p$, the periods of the sequences $\left(A \alpha^{n} \bmod p^{t}\right)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ and $\left(B \beta^{n} \bmod p^{t}\right)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ are $\operatorname{ord}_{p^{t}}(\alpha)$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{p^{t}}(\beta)$. Consequently, the period $k$ of $\left(A \alpha^{n}+B \beta^{n} \bmod p^{t}\right)_{n=0}^{\infty}$ divides $\operatorname{lcm}\left(\operatorname{ord}_{p^{t}}(\alpha), \operatorname{ord}_{p^{t}}(\beta)\right)$ and the theorem follows.

Theorem 2.7. Let $p \neq 5$. Then $k(p) \neq k\left(p^{2}\right)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ord}_{p^{2}}(\alpha) \equiv 0(\bmod p) \quad \text { and } \quad \operatorname{ord}_{p^{2}}(\beta) \equiv 0(\bmod p) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It follows from (2.8) that $\operatorname{lcm}\left(\operatorname{ord}_{p^{2}}(\alpha), \operatorname{ord}_{p^{2}}(\beta)\right) \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ and, by Theorem 2.6, we have $k\left(p^{2}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. Using Theorem 2.6 for $t=1$ and recalling that $(p)$ is the maximal ideal of $O_{p}$, we have $k(p) \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$, which together with $k\left(p^{2}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod p)$, gives $k(p) \neq k\left(p^{2}\right)$.

Conversely, if $k(p) \neq k\left(p^{2}\right)$, then $k\left(p^{2}\right)=p \cdot k(p)$. From Theorem 2.6 it now follows that $\operatorname{lcm}\left(\operatorname{ord}_{p^{2}}(\alpha), \operatorname{ord}_{p^{2}}(\beta)\right) \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. This implies that $\operatorname{ord}_{p^{2}}(\alpha) \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ or $\operatorname{ord}_{p^{2}}(\beta) \equiv 0(\bmod p)$, which together with (2.6) proves (2.8).

Remark 2.8. If $p=5$, then $k(p) \neq k\left(p^{2}\right)$ and $k\left(5^{t}\right)=4 \cdot 5^{t}$ for any $t \in \mathbb{N}$. See [6].
Our results can be summarized in the following theorem.

Theorem 2.9. Let $p \neq 5$ and let $s$ be the number of roots $\alpha, \beta$ of $f(x)$ in $O_{p}$ whose order modulo $p^{2}$ is divisible by $p$. Then there are the following possibilities:

Case $s=0: k(p)=k\left(p^{2}\right)$, or equivalently $A_{p} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$.
Case $s=1$ : This case is impossible.
Case $s=2: k(p) \neq k\left(p^{2}\right)$, or equivalently $\operatorname{det} A_{p} \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$.
Proof. By Theorem 2.6 we have that $s=0$ if and only if $k(p)=k\left(p^{2}\right)$. Lemma 2.1 states that $k(p)=k\left(p^{2}\right)$ if and only if $A_{p} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$, which is equivalent to $\operatorname{det} A_{p} \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ by Lemma 2.2. By Corollary 2.5 we see that the case of $k=1$ is impossible. The proof is complete.

Our results reduce Wall's question to solving the following equivalent problem. Is there at least one root $\alpha \in O_{p}$ of $f(x)$ for which $\operatorname{ord}_{p^{2}}(\alpha) \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ or is this never possible?

Now we derive two interesting criteria that can be used, without computing the roots of $f(x)$ in $O_{p}$, to decide whether $k(p)=k\left(p^{2}\right)$ or not. Let $p \neq 5$. Put $q=\left|O_{p} /(p)\right|$. Then $q=p^{t}$ where $t=\left[L_{p}: \mathbb{Q}_{p}\right] \in\{1,2\}$. If $f(x)$ is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$, then $O_{p} /(p)$ is a field with $p^{2}$ elements. If $f(x)$ is not irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}_{p}$, then $f(x)$ has both roots in the ring $\mathbb{Z}_{p}$ and $O_{p} /(p)$ is a field with $p$ elements. For the proof of our criteria, we shall need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.10. We have $\operatorname{ord}_{p^{2}}(\alpha) \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ if and only if $\alpha^{q-1} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$.
Proof. Put $s=\operatorname{ord}_{p^{2}}(\alpha)$. Clearly, $\left[O_{p} /\left(p^{2}\right)\right]^{\times}$has $q(q-1)$ elements and so $s \mid q(q-1)$. Let $p \nmid s$. As $q=p^{t}$, we have $s \mid q-1$, and $\alpha^{q-1} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$ follows. On the other hand, let $\alpha^{q-1} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$. Then $s \mid q-1$. As $p \nmid q-1$, we have $\operatorname{ord}_{p^{2}}(\alpha) \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$.

Theorem 2.11. Let $p \neq 5, u \in O_{p}$ be such that $f(u) \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. Then $k(p)=k\left(p^{2}\right)$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{2 q}-u^{q}-1 \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right), \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(u)+\left(u^{q}-u\right) f^{\prime}(u) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right), \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f^{\prime}$ is the derivative of the Fibonacci characteristic polynomial $f$.
Proof. Let $u \in O_{p}, u^{2}-u-1 \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. Then we have $u \equiv \alpha(\bmod p)$ or $u \equiv \beta(\bmod p)$. We can assume $u \equiv \alpha(\bmod p)$. Then $u^{q} \equiv \alpha^{q}\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$. If $k(p)=k\left(p^{2}\right)$, then $u^{q} \equiv \alpha^{q} \equiv \alpha\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$ and $u^{2 q}-u^{q}-1 \equiv \alpha^{2}-\alpha-1=0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$.

On the other hand, assume $u^{2 q}-u^{q}-1 \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$. Let $u^{q}=\alpha+p v$. Then $(\alpha+p v)^{2}-(\alpha+p v)-1 \equiv p v(2 \alpha-1) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$. Now $p \neq 5$ implies $2 \alpha-1 \not \equiv$ $0(\bmod p)$ and so $v \equiv 0(\bmod p)$. Consequently, $u^{q} \equiv \alpha\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$ and $\alpha^{q-1} \equiv$ $u^{q(q-1)} \equiv 1\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$. This, together with Lemma 2.10, yields $\operatorname{ord}_{p^{2}}(\alpha) \not \equiv 0(\bmod p)$ and $k(p)=k\left(p^{2}\right)$ follows by Theorem 2.7 and Corollary 2.5.

Furthermore, let $u=\alpha+p w$. Then (2.10) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\alpha^{q}-\alpha\right)(2 \alpha+2 p w-1) \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right) . \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $k(p)=k\left(p^{2}\right)$, then $\alpha^{q} \equiv \alpha\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$ and (2.11) follows.
Conversely, assume (2.11). As $p \neq 5$, we have $2 \alpha+2 p w-1 \equiv 2 u-1 \equiv f^{\prime}(\alpha) \not \equiv$ $0(\bmod p)$. Consequently, $(2.11)$ gives $\alpha^{q}-\alpha \equiv 0\left(\bmod p^{2}\right)$. This, together with Lemma 2.10, implies $k(p)=k\left(p^{2}\right)$ as required.

## References

[1] R. Crandall, K. Dilcher and C. Pomerance: A search for Wieferich and Wilson primes. Math. Comp. 66 (1997), 443-449.
[2] A.-S. Elsenhans and J. Jahnel: The Fibonacci sequence modulo $p^{2}-A n$ investigation by computer for $p<10^{14}$. The On-Line Encyclopedia of Integer Sequences (2004), 27.
[3] H. Ch. Li: Fibonacci primitive roots and Wall's question. Fibonacci Quart. 37 (1999), 77-84.
[4] R. J. McIntosh and E. L. Roettger: A search for Fibonacci-Wieferich and Wolstenholme primes. Math. Comp. 76 (2007), 2087-2094.
[5] Z.-H. Sun and Z.-W. Sun: Fibonacci numbers and Fermat's Last Theorem. Acta Arith. 60 (1992), 371-388.
[6] D. D. Wall: Fibonacci series modulo m. Amer. Math. Monthly 67 (1960), no. 6, 525-532.
[7] H. C. Williams: A Note on the Fibonacci quotient $F_{p-\varepsilon} / p$. Canad. Math. Bull. 25 (1982), 366-370.

Author's address: Jiří Klaška, Department of Mathematics, Brno University of Technology, Technická 2, 61669 Brno, Czech Republic, e-mail: klaska@fme.vutbr.cz.

