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#### Abstract

Our previous research was devoted to the problem of determining the primitive periods of the sequences $\left(G_{n} \bmod p^{t}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ where $\left(G_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ is a Tribonacci sequence defined by an arbitrary triple of integers. The solution to this problem was found for the case of powers of an arbitrary prime $p \neq 2,11$. In this paper, which could be seen as a completion of our preceding investigation, we find solution for the case of singular primes $p=2,11$.
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## 1. Introduction

Having a linear recurrence formula of order $k$ with integer coefficients we can construct the corresponding characteristic polynomial $f(x)$. If $f(x)$ has no multiple roots then its discriminant is a non zero integer and so it is divisible by only a finite number of prime divisors. When investigating modular periodicity of the sequences defined by these formulas, the primes that divide the discriminant of $f(x)$ form exceptions and have to be considered separately. The exceptional primes $p$ correspond to the cases of $f(x)$ having multiple roots over the field $\mathbb{F}_{p}=\mathbb{Z} / p \mathbb{Z}$ of residue classes modulo $p$. In this paper, which could be seen as an extension of our previous paper [1], we focus on the Tribonacci case. It is well known, see for example [2, p.310], that the primes $p=2,11$ are the only primes for which the Tribonacci characteristic polynomial $g(x)=x^{3}-x^{2}-x-1$ has multiple roots.

Let us now review the notation introduced in [1]. Let $\left(g_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ denote a Tribonacci sequence defined by the recurrence formula $g_{n+3}=g_{n+2}+g_{n+1}+g_{n}$ and the triple of initial values $[0,0,1]$. Let further $\left(G_{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ denote the generalized Tribonacci sequence defined by an arbitrary triple $[a, b, c]$ of integers. We will denote the primitive periods of the sequences $\left(g_{n} \bmod m\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ and $\left(G_{n} \bmod m\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ by $h(m)$ and $h(m)[a, b, c]$
respectively. In 1978, M. E. Waddill [3, Theorem 8] proved that for any prime $p$ and $t \in \mathbb{N}=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$, we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { If } h(p) \neq h\left(p^{2}\right), \text { then } h\left(p^{t}\right)=p^{t-1} h(p) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

This paper aims at determining the numbers $h\left(p^{t}\right)[a, b, c]$ and find the relationships between $h\left(p^{t}\right)[a, b, c]$ and $h(p)[a, b, c]$ for the primes $p=2,11$. The case of $p \neq 2,11$ is solved in [1]. The methods used in proofs of this paper will mostly be based on matrix algebra. As usual, by $T$ we will denote the Tribonacci matrix

$$
T=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 0  \tag{1.2}\\
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 1
\end{array}\right] \quad \text { and } \quad T^{n}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
g_{n} & g_{n-1}+g_{n} & g_{n+1} \\
g_{n+1} & g_{n}+g_{n+1} & g_{n+2} \\
g_{n+2} & g_{n+1}+g_{n+2} & g_{n+3}
\end{array}\right] \text { for } n>1
$$

Put $x_{0}=[a, b, c]^{\tau}$ and $x_{n}=\left[G_{n+1}, G_{n+2}, G_{n+3}\right]^{\tau}$ where $\tau$ denotes transposition. Then the triple $x_{n}$ may be expressed by means of $x_{0}$ as follows: $x_{n}=T^{n} x_{0}$. Thus the primitive period of the sequence $\left(G_{n} \bmod m\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ defined by a triple $[a, b, c]$ for an arbitrary module $m>1$ is equal to the smallest number $h$ for which $T^{h} x_{0} \equiv x_{0}$ $(\bmod m)$. By $[1$, Lemma 2.1], the investigation of the primitive periods of Tribonacci sequences modulo $p^{t}$ is restricted to sequences beginning with the triples $[a, b, c] \not \equiv$ $[0,0,0](\bmod p)$. In the opposite case, for any $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and $1 \leqslant i \leqslant t$, we have $h\left(p^{t}\right)\left[p^{t-i} a, p^{t-i} b, p^{t-i} c\right]=h\left(p^{i}\right)[a, b, c]$. For this reason, we will investigate only the triples satisfying $[a, b, c] \not \equiv[0,0,0](\bmod p)$.

## 2. Tribonacci modulo $2^{t}$

We can easily calculate $h(2)=4$ and $h\left(2^{2}\right)=8$. By (1.1) we have $h\left(2^{t}\right)=$ $2^{t-1} h(2)=2^{t+1}$ and so $h\left(2^{t}\right)[a, b, c] \mid 2^{t+1}$ for any $[a, b, c]$. For $p=2$, the multiplicity of the root $\alpha=1$ of the polynomial $g(x)$ is greater than $\operatorname{char}\left(\mathbb{F}_{2}\right)=2$ and therefore $\left(G_{n} \bmod 2\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ cannot be expressed as $G_{n} \bmod 2=c_{1}+c_{2} n+c_{3} n^{2}$ as usual. The sequences $(1)_{n=1}^{\infty},(n)_{n=1}^{\infty},\left(n^{2}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are dependent over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$ and do not form a basis. Despite that, for some triples $[a, b, c] \not \equiv[0,0,0](\bmod 2)$, the numbers $h\left(2^{t}\right)[a, b, c]$ can be determined using the results derived in [1]. In the first place, it is proved in [1, Theorem 3.1] that, if $(D(a, b, c), m)=1$ where $D(a, b, c)$ is a cubic form defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D(a, b, c)=a^{3}+2 b^{3}+c^{3}-2 a b c+2 a^{2} b+2 a b^{2}-2 b c^{2}+a^{2} c-a c^{2} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $h(m)[a, b, c]=h(m)$ for any modulus $m>1$. The following theorem is an easy consequence of the above assertions.

Theorem 2.1. If $D(a, b, c)$ is an odd number, then $h\left(2^{t}\right)[a, b, c]=h\left(2^{t}\right)=2^{t+1}$. Hence, we have $h\left(2^{t}\right)[a, b, c]=2^{t-1} \cdot h(2)[a, b, c]$.

It is easy to verify that the premise of Theorem 2.1 is true if and only if $[a, b, c]$ is congruent modulo 2 with some of the triples $[0,0,1],[1,0,0],[1,1,0],[0,1,1]$. Therefore it suffices to investigate the cases of the triple $[a, b, c]$ being congruent modulo 2 with some of the triples $[0,1,0],[1,0,1],[1,1,1]$. The following assertions will be important for the proofs of the main theorems $2.4,2.5$ and 2.6.

Lemma 2.2. For any modulus of the form $2^{t}$ where $t \geqslant 5$, the following congruences hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{2^{t-1}-1} & \equiv-1\left(\bmod 2^{t}\right), \\
g_{2^{t-1}} & \equiv 2^{t-2}+1\left(\bmod 2^{t}\right), \\
g_{2^{t-1}+1} & \equiv 0\left(\bmod 2^{t}\right), \\
g_{2^{t-1}+2} & \equiv 2^{t-2}\left(\bmod 2^{t}\right), \\
g_{2^{t-1}+3} & \equiv 2^{t-1}+1\left(\bmod 2^{t}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Using methods of matrix algebra, we will prove all the congruences in (2.2) simultaneously. Let us consider a Tribonacci matrix $T$. Due to (1.2), it suffices to prove that for any $t \geqslant 5$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{2^{t-1}} & \equiv\left[\begin{array}{lll}
2^{t-2}+1 & 2^{t-2} & 0 \\
0 & 2^{t-2}+1 & 2^{t-2} \\
2^{t-2} & 2^{t-2} & 2^{t-1}+1
\end{array}\right] \\
& \equiv E+2^{t-2} A\left(\bmod 2^{t}\right), \text { where } A=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

and $E$ is an identity matrix. Let us first prove the congruence for $t=5$. By direct calculation, we can verify that

$$
T^{2^{4}}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
1705 & 2632 & 3136 \\
3136 & 4841 & 5768 \\
5768 & 8904 & 10609
\end{array}\right] \equiv\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
2^{3}+1 & 2^{3} & 0 \\
0 & 2^{3}+1 & 2^{3} \\
2^{3} & 2^{3} & 2^{4}+1
\end{array}\right]\left(\bmod 2^{5}\right)
$$

Let us further assume that the congruence holds for $t \geqslant 5$. Since $A E=E A$, we have $T^{2^{t}} \equiv\left(E+2^{t-2} A\right)^{2} \equiv E+2^{t-1} A\left(\bmod 2^{t+1}\right)$, which proves $(2.2)$.

Consequence 2.3. For any modulus of the form $2^{t}$ where $t \geqslant 3$, the following congruences hold:

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{2^{t}-1} & \equiv-1\left(\bmod 2^{t}\right), g_{2^{t}} \equiv 2^{t-1}+1\left(\bmod 2^{t}\right) \\
g_{2^{t}+1} & \equiv 0\left(\bmod 2^{t}\right), \quad g_{2^{t}+2} \equiv 2^{t-1}\left(\bmod 2^{t}\right)  \tag{2.3}\\
g_{2^{t}+3} & \equiv 1\left(\bmod 2^{t}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. For $t=3,(2.3)$ can be verified by direct calculation. For $t \geqslant 4,(2.3)$ follows from (2.2).

Theorem 2.4. If $[a, b, c] \equiv[0,1,0](\bmod 2)$, then for $t>1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(2^{t}\right)[a, b, c]=2^{t+1} . \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Clearly, it is sufficient to prove that $x_{2^{t}} \not \equiv x_{0}\left(\bmod 2^{t}\right)$, that is, that $2^{t}$ is not a period. The triple $[a, b, c]$ can be written as $x_{0}=\left[2 a_{1}, 1+2 b_{1}, 2 c_{1}\right]^{\tau}$ where $a_{1}, b_{1}, c_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}$. For $t=2$ we have

$$
T^{2^{2}} x_{0}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
1 & 2 & 2 \\
2 & 3 & 4 \\
4 & 6 & 7
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
2 a_{1} \\
1+2 b_{1} \\
2 c_{1}
\end{array}\right] \equiv\left[\begin{array}{l}
2+2 a_{1} \\
3+2 b_{1} \\
2+2 c_{1}
\end{array}\right]\left(\bmod 2^{2}\right)
$$

Suppose that $T^{2^{2}} x_{0} \equiv x_{0}\left(\bmod 2^{2}\right)$. Then we have

$$
\left[2+2 a_{1}, 3+2 b_{1}, 2+2 c_{1}\right] \equiv\left[2 a_{1}, 1+2 b_{1}, 2 c_{1}\right]\left(\bmod 2^{2}\right)
$$

Hence $[2,3,2] \equiv[0,1,0]\left(\bmod 2^{2}\right)$, which is a contradiction. If $t \geqslant 3$, then by (2.3) we have

$$
T^{2^{t}} x_{0} \equiv\left[\begin{array}{lll}
2^{t-1}+1 & 2^{t-1} & 0 \\
0 & 2^{t-1}+1 & 2^{t-1} \\
2^{t-1} & 2^{t-1} & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
2 a_{1} \\
1+2 b_{1} \\
2 c_{1}
\end{array}\right] \equiv\left[\begin{array}{c}
2 a_{1}+2^{t-1} \\
1+2 b_{1}+2^{t-1} \\
2 c_{1}+2^{t-1}
\end{array}\right]\left(\bmod 2^{t}\right)
$$

Suppose that $T^{2^{t}} x_{0} \equiv x_{0}\left(\bmod 2^{t}\right)$. Then we have

$$
\left[2 a_{1}+2^{t-1}, 2^{t-1}, 2 c_{1}+2^{t-1}\right] \equiv\left[2 a_{1}, 1+2 b_{1}, 2 c_{1}\right]\left(\bmod 2^{t}\right)
$$

By matching terms, we obtain $2^{t-1} \equiv 0\left(\bmod 2^{t}\right)$ and thus a contradiction.
It is not difficult to rephrase Theorem 2.4 to include the triples $[a, b, c] \equiv[1,0,1]$. Clearly, there is exactly one triple of the form $x_{0}=\left[2\left(c_{1}-a_{1}-b_{1}\right), 1+2 a_{1}, 2 b_{1}\right]^{\tau}$ corresponding to each triple $x_{1}=\left[1+2 a_{1}, 2 b_{1}, 1+2 c_{1}\right]^{\tau}$. Since $T x_{0}=x_{1}$, the triples $x_{0}$ and $x_{1}$ define sequences with identical primitive periods. By 2.4, this primitive period equals $2^{t+1}$. This proves the following theorem.

Theorem 2.5. If $[a, b, c] \equiv[1,0,1](\bmod 2)$, then for $t>1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(2^{t}\right)[a, b, c]=2^{t+1} . \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

We can also use the procedure from 2.4 to prove the following theorem:

Theorem 2.6. If $[a, b, c] \equiv[1,1,1](\bmod 2)$, then for $t>1$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(2^{t}\right)[a, b, c]=2^{t} . \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The triple $[a, b, c]$ can be written as $x_{0}=\left[1+2 a_{1}, 1+2 b_{1}, 1+2 c_{1}\right]^{\tau}$ where $a_{1}, b_{1}, c_{1} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Suppose $t \geqslant 5$. Then by Lemma 2.2 we have $T^{2^{t}} x_{0} \equiv x_{0}\left(\bmod 2^{t}\right)$ and so $h\left(2^{t}\right)[a, b, c] \mid 2^{t}$. It is now sufficient to prove that $x_{2^{t-1}} \not \equiv x_{0}\left(\bmod 2^{t}\right)$, that is, that $2^{t-1}$ is not a period. By (2.2) we have

$$
x_{2^{t-1}} \equiv T^{2^{t-1}} x_{0} \equiv\left[\begin{array}{lll}
2^{t-2}+1 & 2^{t-2} & 0 \\
0 & 2^{t-2}+1 & 2^{t-2} \\
2^{t-2} & 2^{t-2} & 2^{t-1}+1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
1+2 a_{1} \\
1+2 b_{1} \\
1+2 c_{1}
\end{array}\right]\left(\bmod 2^{t}\right)
$$

It follows that

$$
x_{2^{t-1}} \equiv\left[1+2 a_{1}+2^{t-1}\left(1+a_{1}+b_{1}\right), 1+2 b_{1}+2^{t-1}\left(1+b_{1}+c_{1}\right), 1+2 c_{1}+2^{t-1}\left(a_{1}+b_{1}\right)\right]^{\tau} .
$$

Suppose $x_{2^{t-1}} \equiv x_{0}\left(\bmod 2^{t}\right)$. Matching the terms yields that

$$
2^{t-1}\left(1+a_{1}+b_{1}\right) \equiv 0, \quad 2^{t-1}\left(1+b_{1}+c_{1}\right) \equiv 0, \quad 2^{t-1}\left(a_{1}+b_{1}\right) \equiv 0\left(\bmod 2^{t}\right)
$$

Hence $1 \equiv 0(\bmod 2)$ and a contradiction follows. To prove the cases of $t=2,3,4$ is easy and can be left to the reader.

Remark 2.7. Theorems 2.4, 2.5, and 2.6 are true for $t>1$. In particular, for $t=1$ we have $h(2)[1,1,1]=1$ and $h(2)[0,1,0]=h(2)[1,0,1]=2$.

Corollary 2.8. If a triple $[a, b, c]$ is congruent modulo 2 with some of the triples $[0,1,0],[1,0,1],[1,1,1]$, then for any $t>1$ we have $h\left(2^{t}\right)[a, b, c]=2^{t} \cdot h(2)[a, b, c]$.

## 3. Tribonacci modulo $11^{t}$

The determination of primitive periods modulo $11^{t}$ will be somewhat more complicated. We can directly verify that $h(11)=110$ and $h\left(11^{2}\right)=1210$. Now it follows from (1.1) that $h\left(11^{t}\right)=10 \cdot 11^{t}$ for any $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and thus, for any triple $[a, b, c]$, we have $h\left(11^{t}\right)[a, b, c] \mid 10 \cdot 11^{t}$. As $x^{3}-x^{2}-x-1 \equiv(x-9)(x-7)^{2}(\bmod 11)$ and $\left(9^{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty},\left(7^{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty},\left(n 7^{n}\right)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ are linearly independent over $\mathbb{F}_{11}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{n} \equiv c_{1} \cdot 9^{n}+\left(c_{2}+c_{3} n\right) \cdot 7^{n}(\bmod 11), \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the coefficients $c_{1}, c_{2}, c_{3}$ are uniquely determined by the triple $[a, b, c]$. Let $\operatorname{ord}_{11}(\varepsilon)$ denote the order of $\varepsilon \not \equiv 0(\bmod 11)$ in the multiplicative group of $\mathbb{F}_{11}$. It is easy to see that $\operatorname{ord}_{11}(9)=5$ and $\operatorname{ord}_{11}(7)=10$. Now yields (3.1) that for any $[a, b, c] \not \equiv[0,0,0](\bmod 11), h(11)[a, b, c]$ is equal exactly to one of the numbers 5,10 and 110. This, together with $h(11)[a, b, c] \mid h\left(11^{t}\right)[a, b, c]$, implies that for $[a, b, c] \not \equiv$ $[0,0,0](\bmod 11)$ the only forms of the periods $h\left(11^{t}\right)[a, b, c]$ are $5 \cdot 11^{i}$ and $10 \cdot 11^{i}$ where $i \in\{0,1, \ldots, t\}$. Consequently, there exists no triple $[a, b, c]$ for which $h\left(11^{t}\right)[a, b, c]=$ $2 \cdot 11^{i}$. In some cases, $h\left(11^{t}\right)[a, b, c]$ can be determined using the form $D(a, b, c)$. However, there are triples for which $h\left(11^{t}\right)[a, b, c]=h\left(11^{t}\right)$ and also $D(a, b, c) \equiv$ $0(\bmod 11)$. Thus $D(a, b, c)$ cannot be used to determine all the triples for which $h\left(11^{t}\right)[a, b, c]=h\left(11^{t}\right)$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $t \geqslant 3$ and $h=10 \cdot 11^{t-2}$. Then we have the following congruences:

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{h-1} & \equiv 25 \cdot 11^{t-2}-1\left(\bmod 11^{t}\right), \\
g_{h} & \equiv 65 \cdot 11^{t-2}+1\left(\bmod 11^{t}\right), \\
g_{h+1} & \equiv 26 \cdot 11^{t-2}\left(\bmod 11^{t}\right),  \tag{3.2}\\
g_{h+2} & \equiv 116 \cdot 11^{t-2}\left(\bmod 11^{t}\right), \\
g_{h+3} & \equiv 86 \cdot 11^{t-2}+1\left(\bmod 11^{t}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. By (1.2), it is sufficient to prove that

$$
T^{10 \cdot 11^{t-2}} \equiv\left[\begin{array}{clc}
65 \cdot 11^{t-2}+1 & 90 \cdot 11^{t-2} & 26 \cdot 11^{t-2} \\
26 \cdot 11^{t-2} & 91 \cdot 11^{t-2}+1 & 116 \cdot 11^{t-2} \\
116 \cdot 11^{t-2} & 21 \cdot 11^{t-2} & 86 \cdot 11^{t-2}+1
\end{array}\right]\left(\bmod 11^{t}\right)
$$

i.e.

$$
T^{10 \cdot 11^{t-2}} \equiv E+11^{t-2} A\left(\bmod 11^{t}\right), \quad \text { where } A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
65 & 90 & 26 \\
26 & 91 & 116 \\
116 & 21 & 86
\end{array}\right]
$$

In the first induction step, we verify that the congruence is true for $t=3$.

$$
T^{10 \cdot 11} \equiv\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
716 & 990 & 286 \\
286 & 1002 & 1276 \\
1276 & 231 & 947
\end{array}\right] \equiv E+11 A\left(\bmod 11^{3}\right)
$$

Suppose now that the assertion is true for a fixed $t \geqslant 3$ and let us prove it for $t+1$. Since $A, E$ commute, using the binomial expansion we obtain that

$$
\begin{aligned}
T^{10 \cdot 11^{t-1}} & \equiv\left(E+11^{t-2} A\right)^{11} \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{11}\binom{11}{i}\left(11^{t-2} A\right)^{i} \\
& \equiv E+11^{t-1} A+5 \cdot 11^{2 t-3} A^{2}\left(\bmod 11^{t+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and $A^{2} \equiv 0(\bmod 11)$ proves $(3.2)$.

Consequence 3.2. Let $t \geqslant 1$ and $h=10 \cdot 11^{t-1}$. Then for any modulus of the form $11^{t}$ the following congruences hold:

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
g_{h-1} \equiv 3 \cdot 11^{t-1}-1\left(\bmod 11^{t}\right), & g_{h} \equiv 10 \cdot 11^{t-1}+1\left(\bmod 11^{t}\right), \\
g_{h+1} \equiv 4 \cdot 11^{t-1}\left(\bmod 11^{t}\right), & g_{h+2} \equiv 6 \cdot 11^{t-1}\left(\bmod 11^{t}\right),  \tag{3.3}\\
g_{h+3} \equiv 9 \cdot 11^{t-1}+1\left(\bmod 11^{t}\right) . &
\end{array}
$$

Proof. For $t=1,(3.3)$ can be easily verified by direct calculation. For $t \geqslant 2$, (3.3) follows from (3.2).

Theorem 3.3. For any $t \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $h\left(11^{t}\right)[a, b, c] \mid 10 \cdot 11^{t-1}$ if and only if $c \equiv 3 a+5 b(\bmod 11)$. Moreover, for any $t>1$, if $h\left(11^{t}\right)[a, b, c] \mid 10 \cdot 11^{t-2}$ then $[a, b, c] \equiv[0,0,0](\bmod 11)$.

Proof. Let $h\left(11^{t}\right)[a, b, c] \mid 10 \cdot 11^{t-1}$. Then (3.3) implies

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lll}
10 \cdot 11^{t-1}+1 & 2 \cdot 11^{t-1} & 4 \cdot 11^{t-1} \\
4 \cdot 11^{t-1} & 3 \cdot 11^{t-1}+1 & 6 \cdot 11^{t-1} \\
6 \cdot 11^{t-1} & 10 \cdot 11^{t-1} & 9 \cdot 11^{t-1}+1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
a \\
b \\
c
\end{array}\right] \equiv\left[\begin{array}{l}
a \\
b \\
c
\end{array}\right]\left(\bmod 11^{t}\right)
$$

A simple modification of the system yields

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
10 & 2 & 4 \\
4 & 3 & 6 \\
6 & 10 & 9
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
a \\
b \\
c
\end{array}\right] \equiv\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right](\bmod 11)
$$

The congruences of this system are linearly dependent over $\mathbb{F}_{11}$ with the entire system being equivalent to the single congruence $10 a+2 b+4 c \equiv 0(\bmod 11)$. Hence, we have $c \equiv 3 a+5 b(\bmod 11)$.

Let $h\left(11^{t}\right)[a, b, c] \mid 10 \cdot 11^{t-2}$. The validity of the implication for $t=2$ is not difficult to verify by direct calculation. If $t \geqslant 3$, then by (3.2) we have

$$
\left[\begin{array}{llc}
65 \cdot 11^{t-2}+1 & 90 \cdot 11^{t-2} & 26 \cdot 11^{t-2} \\
26 \cdot 11^{t-2} & 91 \cdot 11^{t-2}+1 & 116 \cdot 11^{t-2} \\
116 \cdot 11^{t-2} & 21 \cdot 11^{t-2} & 86 \cdot 11^{t-2}+1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
a \\
b \\
c
\end{array}\right] \equiv\left[\begin{array}{l}
a \\
b \\
c
\end{array}\right]\left(\bmod 11^{t}\right)
$$

This system is equivalent to

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
65 & 90 & 26 \\
26 & 91 & 116 \\
116 & 21 & 86
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
a \\
b \\
c
\end{array}\right] \equiv\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]\left(\bmod 11^{2}\right)
$$

The last system has exactly 121 non-congruent solutions over $\mathbb{Z} / 11^{2} \mathbb{Z}$ that can be written as $[11 r, 11 s, 11(3 r+5 s)]$ where $r, s$ are integers.

Remark 3.4. It follows from 3.3 that, if $t \geqslant 1$ and $[a, b, c] \not \equiv[0,0,0](\bmod 11)$, then $h\left(11^{t}\right)[a, b, c]$ is equal to some of the numbers $5 \cdot 11^{t-1}, 10 \cdot 11^{t-1}, 5 \cdot 11^{t}, 10 \cdot 11^{t}$. The following lemmas will help us to determine which of the cases will occur for a given $[a, b, c]$. We will also prove that there exists no triple for which $h\left(11^{t}\right)[a, b, c]=$ $5 \cdot 11^{t}$.

Lemma 3.5. For any $t \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$
T^{5 \cdot 11^{t}} \equiv A(\bmod 11) \text { where } A=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
7 & 4 & 6  \tag{3.4}\\
6 & 2 & 10 \\
10 & 5 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

Moreover, $A^{2 t} \equiv E(\bmod 11)$.
Proof. For $t=1,(3.4)$ is true since

$$
T^{55}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
35731770264967 & 55158741162067 & 65720971788709 \\
65720971788709 & 101452742053676 & 120879712950776 \\
120879712950776 & 186600684739485 & 222332455004452
\end{array}\right] \equiv\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
7 & 4 & 6 \\
6 & 2 & 10 \\
10 & 5 & 1
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Let now (3.4) be true for a fixed $t \geqslant 1$. Then $T^{5 \cdot 11^{t+1}}=\left(T^{5 \cdot 11^{t}}\right)^{11} \equiv A^{11}(\bmod 11)$ and it suffices to prove that $A^{11} \equiv A(\bmod 11)$. Since $A^{2} \equiv E(\bmod 11)$, we have $A^{2 t} \equiv\left(A^{2}\right)^{t} \equiv E^{t} \equiv E(\bmod 11)$ for any $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Consequently, $A^{11} \equiv A(\bmod 11)$, which proves 3.5.

Lemma 3.6. For any $t \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\operatorname{det}\left(T^{5 \cdot 11^{t}}-E\right) \equiv 0\left(\bmod 11^{t+1}\right)$.
Proof. If $t=1$, then

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(T^{55}-E\right)=2 \cdot 11^{2} \cdot 397 \cdot 3742083511 \equiv 0\left(\bmod 11^{2}\right)
$$

Let the assertion be true for a fixed $t \geqslant 1$. First, it is evident that $T^{5 \cdot 11^{t+1}}-E$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{5 \cdot 11^{t+1}}-E=\left(T^{5 \cdot 11^{t}}-E\right) \cdot\left(E+T^{5 \cdot 11^{t}}+T^{2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11^{t}}+\ldots+T^{10 \cdot 5 \cdot 11^{t}}\right) \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now it follows from the induction hypothesis, from (3.5) and from Cauchy's theorem that it suffices to prove that

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(E+T^{5 \cdot 11^{t}}+T^{2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11^{t}}+\ldots+T^{10 \cdot 5 \cdot 11^{t}}\right) \equiv 0(\bmod 11)
$$

From (3.4) it follows that $E+T^{5 \cdot 11^{t}}+T^{2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11^{t}}+\ldots+T^{10 \cdot 5 \cdot 11^{t}} \equiv E+A+A^{2}+\ldots+A^{10} \equiv 6 E+5 A(\bmod 11)$.

As congruent matrices have congruent determinants, we have

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(E+T^{5 \cdot 11^{t}}+T^{2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11^{t}}+\ldots+T^{10 \cdot 5 \cdot 11^{t}}\right) \equiv \operatorname{det}(6 E+5 A)=132 \equiv 0(\bmod 11)
$$

This proves 3.6.

Theorem 3.7. For any $t \in \mathbb{N}$, the system of congruences

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(T^{5 \cdot 11^{t}}-E\right) x \equiv 0\left(\bmod 11^{t+1}\right) \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

has exactly $11^{t+1}$ solutions and the number of solutions satisfying $x \not \equiv 0(\bmod 11)$ is equal to $10 \cdot 11^{t}$. Moreover, if $\alpha_{t+1}$ is a solution of $g(x) \equiv 0\left(\bmod 11^{t+1}\right)$, then each solution of (3.6) can be expressed as $\left[q, q \alpha_{t+1}, q \alpha_{t+1}^{2}\right]$, where $q \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Proof. Put $W=T^{5 \cdot 11^{t}}-E\left(\bmod 11^{t+1}\right)$. From (3.4) it follows that all the entries of $W$, except for $w_{33}$, are units of the ring $\mathbb{Z} / 11^{t+1} \mathbb{Z}$. Since $11 \nmid \operatorname{det}\left[\begin{array}{ll}6 & 4 \\ 6 & 1\end{array}\right]$, there are coefficients $r, s$ that are also units of the ring $\mathbb{Z} / 11^{t+1} \mathbb{Z}$, for which

$$
r\left(w_{11}, w_{12}\right)+s\left(w_{21}, w_{22}\right) \equiv\left(w_{31}, w_{32}\right)\left(\bmod 11^{t+1}\right)
$$

Thus there is a linear combination of the first and second rows of $W$ transforming $W x \equiv 0\left(\bmod 11^{t+1}\right)$ to an equivalent form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
w_{11} & w_{12} & w_{13}  \tag{3.7}\\
w_{21} & w_{22} & w_{23} \\
0 & 0 & w_{33}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
a \\
b \\
c
\end{array}\right] \equiv\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]\left(\bmod 11^{t+1}\right)
$$

Let us now prove that $w_{33}^{\prime} \equiv 0\left(\bmod 11^{t+1}\right)$. Multiplying the first row in (3.7) by a suitable unit and, subsequently, adding it to the second row yields

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
w_{11} & w_{12} & w_{13}  \tag{3.8}\\
0 & w_{22}^{\prime} & w_{23}^{\prime} \\
0 & 0 & w_{33}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{l}
a \\
b \\
c
\end{array}\right] \equiv\left[\begin{array}{l}
0 \\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right]\left(\bmod 11^{t+1}\right)
$$

The determinant of the matrix of (3.8) is $w_{11} w_{22}^{\prime} w_{33}^{\prime}$ and, by Lemma 3.6 , we have $w_{11} w_{22}^{\prime} w_{33}^{\prime} \equiv 0\left(\bmod 11^{t+1}\right)$. Now it follows from (3.4) that $w_{11}$ and $w_{22}^{\prime}$ are units of $\mathbb{Z} / p^{t+1} \mathbb{Z}$ and thus $w_{33}^{\prime} \equiv 0\left(\bmod 11^{t+1}\right)$. This implies that the system $W x \equiv 0$ $\left(\bmod 11^{t+1}\right)$ is equivalent to the system

$$
\begin{align*}
w_{11} a+w_{12} b+w_{13} c & \equiv 0\left(\bmod 11^{t+1}\right),  \tag{3.9}\\
w_{21} a+w_{22} b+w_{23} c & \equiv 0\left(\bmod 11^{t+1}\right),
\end{align*}
$$

in which all the coefficients are units of $\mathbb{Z} / p^{t+1} \mathbb{Z}$. As no subdeterminant of the system matrix of (3.9) is divisible by 11 , any of the unknowns $a, b, c$ can be chosen as a parameter to express the other unknowns in a unique manner. Thus, each solution of $W x \equiv 0\left(\bmod 11^{t+1}\right)$ can be written as $\left[q u_{1}, q u_{2}, q u_{3}\right]$ for a fixed triple of units $u_{1}, u_{2}, u_{3}$ and a parameter $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. Therefore the number of non-congruent solutions to (3.6) is equal to the number of elements of the ring $\mathbb{Z} / 11^{t+1} \mathbb{Z}$, which is $11^{t+1}$, and the number of solutions of the form $x \not \equiv 0(\bmod 11)$ is equal to the number of units of this ring, which is $10 \cdot 11^{t}$.

Let us now prove that the solutions to (3.6) are exactly the triples $\left[q, q \alpha_{t+1}, q \alpha_{t+1}^{2}\right]$ where $q \in \mathbb{Z}$. As the number of non-congruent triples $\left[q, q \alpha_{t+1}, q \alpha_{t+1}^{2}\right]$ is equal to $11^{t+1}$, it suffices to show that $h\left(11^{t+1}\right)\left[q, q \alpha_{t+1}, q \alpha_{t+1}^{2}\right] \mid 5 \cdot 11^{t}$. As $\alpha=9$ is a simple root of $g(x) \equiv 0(\bmod 11)$, we obtain by Hensel's lemma, that for each $t \in \mathbb{N}$ there is $\alpha_{t}$, which is uniquely determined modulo $11^{t}$, satisfying $g(x) \equiv$ $0\left(\bmod 11^{t}\right)$ and such that $\alpha_{1}=\alpha$ and $\alpha_{t} \equiv \alpha_{t-1}\left(\bmod 11^{t-1}\right)$. Let $\operatorname{ord}_{11^{t}}(\varepsilon)$ for $\varepsilon \not \equiv 0(\bmod 11)$ denote the order of $\varepsilon$ in the multiplicative group of $\mathbb{Z} / 11^{t} \mathbb{Z}$. Clearly, $h\left(11^{t+1}\right)\left[q, q \alpha_{t+1}, q \alpha_{t+1}^{2}\right]=\operatorname{ord}_{1^{t+1}}\left(\alpha_{t+1}\right)$ for any $q \in \mathbb{Z}$ where $q \not \equiv 0(\bmod 11)$. From $\operatorname{ord}_{11}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)=5$ and $\alpha_{t+1} \equiv \alpha_{1}(\bmod 11)$ it now follows $\alpha_{t+1}^{5} \equiv 1(\bmod 11)$ for any $t \in \mathbb{N}$ and thus $\alpha_{t+1}^{5 \cdot 11^{t}} \equiv 1\left(\bmod 11^{t+1}\right)$. Hence $\operatorname{ord}_{11^{t+1}}\left(\alpha_{t+1}\right) \mid 5 \cdot 11^{t}$.

According to Theorem 3.7, the set of all non-congruent solutions to (3.6) can be written as $E\left(\alpha_{t+1}\right)=\left\{\left[q, q \alpha_{t+1}, q \alpha_{t+1}^{2}\right], q \in \mathbb{Z} / p^{t+1} \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ and viewed as the eigenspace associated with the eigenvalue $\alpha_{t+1}$.

Remark 3.8. The equality $\operatorname{ord}_{11^{t}}\left(\alpha_{t}\right)=5 \cdot 11^{t-1}$ is a non-trivial consequence of 3.3 and 3.7 for each $t \in \mathbb{N}$. See also Lemma 4.6 in [1].

Lemma 3.9. There exists no triple $[a, b, c]$ for which $h\left(11^{t}\right)[a, b, c]=5 \cdot 11^{t}$.
Proof. It suffices to prove that the systems $\left(T^{5 \cdot 11^{t-1}}-E\right) x \equiv 0\left(\bmod 11^{t}\right)$ and $\left(T^{5 \cdot 11^{t}}-E\right) x \equiv 0\left(\bmod 11^{t}\right)$ have identical solution sets for any $t \geqslant 1$. Denote by $X$ the set of all solutions of $\left(T^{5 \cdot 11^{t-1}}-E\right) x \equiv 0\left(\bmod 11^{t}\right)$ and by $Y$ the set of all solutions of $\left(T^{5 \cdot 11^{t}}-E\right) x \equiv 0\left(\bmod 11^{t}\right)$. The inclusion $X \subseteq Y$ follows immediately from the equality

$$
T^{5 \cdot 11^{t}}-E=\left(E+T^{5 \cdot 11^{t-1}}+T^{2 \cdot 5 \cdot 11^{t-1}}+\ldots+T^{10 \cdot 5 \cdot 11^{t-1}}\right) \cdot\left(T^{5 \cdot 11^{t-1}}-E\right)
$$

Modifying the proof of 3.7 , we can determine that $\left(T^{5 \cdot 11^{t}}-E\right) x \equiv 0\left(\bmod 11^{t}\right)$ has $11^{t}$ solutions, thus the same number as $\left(T^{5 \cdot 11^{t-1}}-E\right) x \equiv 0\left(\bmod 11^{t}\right)$. The equality of the sets $X$ and $Y$ follows from their finiteness.

Now we can summarize our results in the main theorem:

Theorem 3.10. For any triple $[a, b, c] \not \equiv[0,0,0](\bmod 11)$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { If }[a, b, c] \notin E\left(\alpha_{t}\right) \text { and } c \equiv 3 a+5 b(\bmod 11) \text {, then } h\left(11^{t}\right)[a, b, c]=10 \cdot 11^{t-1} . \\
& \text { If }[a, b, c] \notin E\left(\alpha_{t}\right) \text { and } c \not \equiv 3 a+5 b(\bmod 11) \text {, then } h\left(11^{t}\right)[a, b, c]=10 \cdot 11^{t} . \\
& \text { If }[a, b, c] \in E\left(\alpha_{t}\right) \text {, then } h\left(11^{t}\right)[a, b, c]=\operatorname{ord}_{11^{t}}\left(\alpha_{t}\right)=5 \cdot 11^{t-1} .
\end{aligned}
$$
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