M. Amouch; H. Zguitti B-Fredholm and Drazin invertible operators through localized SVEP

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 136 (2011), No. 1, 39-49

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/141448

# Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2011

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

# B-FREDHOLM AND DRAZIN INVERTIBLE OPERATORS THROUGH LOCALIZED SVEP

M. AMOUCH, Marrakech, H. ZGUITTI, Nador

(Received June 10, 2009)

Abstract. Let X be a Banach space and T be a bounded linear operator on X. We denote by S(T) the set of all complex  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such that T does not have the single-valued extension property at  $\lambda$ . In this note we prove equality up to S(T) between the left Drazin spectrum, the upper semi-B-Fredholm spectrum and the semi-essential approximate point spectrum. As applications, we investigate generalized Weyl's theorem for operator matrices and multiplier operators.

Keywords: B-Fredholm operator, Drazin invertible operator, single-valued extension property

MSC 2010: 47A53, 47A55, 47A10, 47A11

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, X and Y are Banach spaces and  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y)$  denotes the space of all bounded linear operators from X to Y. For Y = X we write  $\mathcal{B}(X, Y) = \mathcal{B}(X)$ . For  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ , let  $T^*$ , N(T), R(T),  $\sigma(T)$ ,  $\sigma_s(T)$ ,  $\sigma_p(T)$  and  $\sigma_a(T)$  denote the adjoint, the null space, the range, the spectrum, the surjective spectrum, the point spectrum and the approximate point spectrum of T, respectively. Let  $\alpha(T)$  and  $\beta(T)$  be the nullity and the deficiency of T defined by  $\alpha(T) = \dim N(T)$  and  $\beta(T) = \operatorname{codim} R(T)$ . If the range R(T) is closed and  $\alpha(T) < \infty$  (or  $\beta(T) < \infty$ ), then T is called an *upper* (a *lower*) *semi-Fredholm* operator. If  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  is either upper or lower semi-Fredholm, then T is called a *semi-Fredholm* operator, and the *index* of T is defined by  $\operatorname{ind}(T) = \alpha(T) - \beta(T)$ . If both  $\alpha(T)$  and  $\beta(T)$  are finite, then T is called a *Fredholm* operator. An operator T is called *Weyl* if it is Fredholm of index zero. The Weyl spectrum  $\sigma_W(T)$  is defined by  $\sigma_W(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \text{ is not Weyl}\}$ .

For  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  and a nonnegative integer *n* define  $T_{[n]}$  to be the restriction of *T* to  $R(T^n)$  viewed as a map from  $R(T^n)$  into  $R(T^n)$  (in particular  $T_{[0]} = T$ ). If for some

integer *n* the range space  $R(T^n)$  is closed and  $T_{[n]}$  is an upper (or a lower) semi-Fredholm operator, then *T* is called an *upper* (a *lower*) *semi-B-Fredholm* operator. In this case the *index* of *T* is defined to be the index of the semi-Fredholm operator  $T_{[n]}$ . Moreover, if  $T_{[n]}$  is a Fredholm operator, then *T* is called a *B-Fredholm* operator. A *semi-B-Fredholm* operator is an upper or a lower semi-B-Fredholm operator ([6], [8], [13]). The *upper semi-B-Fredholm spectrum*  $\sigma_{\text{UBF}}(T)$ , the *lower semi-B-Fredholm spectrum*  $\sigma_{\text{LBF}}(T)$  and the *B-Fredholm spectrum*  $\sigma_{\text{BF}}(T)$  of *T* are defined by

 $\sigma_{\text{UBF}}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \text{ is not an upper semi-B-Fredholm operator}\},\\ \sigma_{\text{LBF}}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \text{ is not a lower semi-B-Fredholm operator}\},\\ \sigma_{\text{BF}}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \text{ is not a B-Fredholm operator}\}.$ 

We have

$$\sigma_{\rm BF}(T) = \sigma_{\rm UBF}(T) \cup \sigma_{\rm LBF}(T).$$

An operator  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  is said to be a *B-Weyl* operator if it is a B-Fredholm operator of index zero. The *B-Weyl spectrum*  $\sigma_{BW}(T)$  of *T* is defined by

$$\sigma_{\rm BW}(T) = \{ \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \colon T - \lambda I \text{ is not a B-Weyl operator} \}.$$

From [8, Lemma 4.1], T is a B-Weyl operator if and only if  $T = F \oplus N$ , where F is a Fredholm operator of index zero and N is a nilpotent operator.

We shall denote by  $\text{SBF}^-_+(X)$  (or  $\text{SBF}^+_-(X)$ ) the class of all T upper semi-B-Fredholm operators (T lower semi-B-Fredholm operators) such that  $\text{ind}(T) \leq 0$ ( $\text{ind}(T) \geq 0$ ). The spectrum associated with  $\text{SBF}^-_+(X)$  is called the *semi-essential approximate point spectrum* and is denoted by  $\sigma_{\text{SBF}^+_+}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \colon T - \lambda I \notin \text{SBF}^+_+(X)\}$ , while the spectrum associated with  $\text{SBF}^+_-(X)$  is denoted by  $\sigma_{\text{SBF}^+_-}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} \colon T - \lambda I \notin \text{SBF}^+_-(T)\}$ .

The ascent a(T) and the descent d(T) of T are given by  $a(T) = \inf\{n: N(T^n) = N(T^{n+1})\}$  and  $d(T) = \inf\{n: R(T^n) = R(T^{n+1})\}$ , with  $\inf \emptyset = \infty$ . It is well-known that if a(T) and d(T) are both finite then they are equal, see [16, Proposition 38.3].

Recall that an operator T is *Drazin invertible* if it has a finite ascent and descent. It is well known that T is Drazin invertible if and only if  $T = R \oplus N$  where R is invertible and N is nilpotent (see [20, Corollary 2.2]). The Drazin spectrum is defined by  $\sigma_D(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \text{ is not Drazin invertible}\}$ . From [8, Lemma 4.1] and [20, Corollary 2.2] we have

$$\sigma_{\rm BW}(T) \subseteq \sigma_{\rm D}(T).$$

Define the set LD(X) as

$$LD(X) = \{T \in \mathcal{B}(X) \colon a(T) < \infty \text{ and } R(T^{a(T)+1}) \text{ is closed}\}.$$

From [21], LD(X) is a regularity and it is the dual version of the regularity RD(X) =  $\{T \in \mathcal{B}(X) : d(T) < \infty \text{ and } R(T^{d(T)}) \text{ is closed}\}$ . An operator  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  is said to be *left* (or *right*) *Drazin invertible* if  $T \in \text{LD}(X)$  ( $T \in \text{RD}(X)$ ). The *left Drazin spectrum*  $\sigma_{\text{ID}}(T)$  and the *right Drazin spectrum*  $\sigma_{\text{rD}}(T)$  are defined by  $\sigma_{\text{ID}}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \notin \text{LD}(X)\}$  and  $\sigma_{\text{rD}}(T) = \{\lambda \in \mathbb{C} : T - \lambda I \notin \text{RD}(X)\}$ . It is not difficult to see that

$$\sigma_{\rm D}(T) = \sigma_{\rm lD}(T) \cup \sigma_{\rm rD}(T).$$

#### 2. Preliminary results

An operator  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  has the single-valued extension property at  $\lambda_0 \in \mathbb{C}$  (the SVEP for short) if for every open disc  $D_{\lambda_0}$  centered at  $\lambda_0$ , the only analytic function  $f: D_{\lambda_0} \longrightarrow X$  which satisfies  $(T - \lambda I)f(\lambda) = 0$  for all  $\lambda \in D_{\lambda_0}$  is the function  $f \equiv 0$ . Trivially, every operator T has the SVEP at all points of the resolvent; also T has the SVEP at  $\lambda \in \text{iso } \sigma(T)$  (iso  $\sigma(T)$  is the set of all isolated points of  $\sigma(T)$ ). We say that T has SVEP if it has SVEP at every  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ , [15]. We denote by  $\mathcal{S}(T)$  the set of all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  such that T does not have the single-valued extension property at  $\lambda$ . Note that (see [15], [19])  $\mathcal{S}(T) \subseteq \sigma_{\mathrm{p}}(T)$  and  $\sigma(T) = \mathcal{S}(T) \cup \sigma_{\mathrm{s}}(T)$ . In particular, if T (or  $T^*$ ) has the SVEP then  $\sigma(T) = \sigma_{\mathrm{s}}(T)$  ( $\sigma(T) = \sigma_{\mathrm{a}}(T)$ ).

Recall that if  $T - \lambda I$  has a finite ascent then it has the SVEP ([18]). Thus we have

$$\mathcal{S}(T) \subseteq \sigma_{\mathrm{lD}}(T) \text{ and } \mathcal{S}(T^*) \subseteq \sigma_{\mathrm{rD}}(T).$$

In the following theorem, we prove equality up to  $\mathcal{S}(T)$  between the left Drazin spectrum, the upper semi-B-Fredholm spectrum and the semi-essential approximate point spectrum.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ . Then

$$\sigma_{\rm lD}(T) = \sigma_{\rm UBF}(T) \cup \mathcal{S}(T) = \sigma_{\rm SBF^-}(T) \cup \mathcal{S}(T).$$

Proof. Let  $\lambda \notin \sigma_{\mathrm{ID}}(T)$ , without loss of generality we assume that  $\lambda = 0$ . Then  $R(T^{a(T)+1})$  is closed. Hence  $R(T^{a(T)})$  is closed by [21, Lemma 12]. We shall prove that  $T_{[a(T)]}$  is upper semi-Fredholm. Let  $x \in N(T_{[a(T)]})$  then  $x \in N(T) \cap R(T^{a(T)})$ . Hence  $x = T^{a(T)}y$  for some  $y \in X$ . Then  $0 = Tx = T^{a(T)+1}y$ . Thus  $y \in N(T^{a(T)+1}) = N(T^{a(T)})$ . Therefore x = 0 and hence  $T_{[a(T-\lambda I)]}$  is injective. On the other hand,  $R(T_{[a(T)]}) = R(T^{a(T)+1})$  is closed. Thus  $T_{[a(T)]}$  is upper semi-Fredholm and hence  $0 \notin \sigma_{\text{UBF}}(T)$ . Since  $\mathcal{S}(T) \subseteq \sigma_{\text{ID}}(T)$  we have

$$\sigma_{\rm UBF}(T) \cup \mathcal{S}(T) \subseteq \sigma_{\rm lD}(T).$$

Now let  $0 \notin [\sigma_{\text{UBF}}(T) \cup (\mathcal{S}(T)]$ , then T is an upper semi-B-Fredholm operator. Hence it follows from [7, Proposition 3.2] that there exist n such that  $R(T^n)$  is closed and  $T_{[n]}$  is semi-regular. Since T has the SVEP at 0 then  $T_{[n]}$  has also the SVEP at 0. Then from [1, Theorem 3.14], we conclude that  $T_{[n]}$  is injective with closed range. Let  $x \in N(T^{n+1})$ , then  $TT^n x = 0$ . Hence  $T^n x \in N(T) \cap R(T^n) = N(T_{[n]}) = \{0\}$ . Thus  $x \in N(T^n)$ , and hence  $N(T^n) = N(T^{n+1})$ . So T is of finite ascent and  $a(T) \leq n$ . We have  $R(T^{n+1}) = R(T_{[n]})$  is closed with  $a(T) + 1 \leq n + 1$ . Hence  $R(T^{a(T)+1})$  is closed by [21, Lemma 12]. Thus T is left Drazin invertible. Therefore  $\sigma_{\text{ID}}(T) \subseteq \sigma_{\text{UBF}}(T) \cup \mathcal{S}(T)$ .

From [13, Lemma 2.12] we have  $\sigma_{\mathrm{SBF}^-_+}(T) \subseteq \sigma_{\mathrm{lD}}(T)$  and since  $\sigma_{\mathrm{UBF}}(T) \subseteq \sigma_{\mathrm{SBF}^-_+}(T)$  we infer  $\sigma_{\mathrm{lD}}(T) = \sigma_{\mathrm{UBF}}(T) \cup \mathcal{S}(T) = \sigma_{\mathrm{SBF}^-_+}(T) \cup \mathcal{S}(T)$ .  $\Box$ 

A useful consequence of the preceding result is that under the assumption of the SVEP for T, the spectra  $\sigma_{\text{ID}}(T)$ ,  $\sigma_{\text{UBF}}(T)$  and  $\sigma_{\text{SBF}^-_+}(T)$  are equal.

**Corollary 2.1.** If  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  has the SVEP then

$$\sigma_{\rm lD}(T) = \sigma_{\rm UBF}(T) = \sigma_{\rm SBF^-}(T).$$

By duality we get a similar result for the right Drazin spectrum.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ . Then

$$\sigma_{\rm rD}(T) = \sigma_{\rm LBF}(T) \cup \mathcal{S}(T^*) = \sigma_{\rm SBF^+}(T) \cup \mathcal{S}(T^*).$$

Proof. Since  $\sigma_{\text{LBF}}(T) = \sigma_{\text{UBF}}(T^*)$ ,  $\sigma_{\text{SBF}^+}(T) = \sigma_{\text{SBF}^+}(T^*)$  and  $\sigma_{\text{rD}}(T) = \sigma_{\text{lD}}(T^*)$  the assertion follows by Theorem 2.1.

**Corollary 2.2.** If  $T^* \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  has the SVEP then

$$\sigma_{\rm rD}(T) = \sigma_{\rm LBF}(T) = \sigma_{\rm SBF^+}(T).$$

From Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 we get the following corollary.

**Corollary 2.3.** Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ . Then

(2.1) 
$$\sigma_{\rm D}(T) = \sigma_{\rm BF}(T) \cup [\mathcal{S}(T) \cup \mathcal{S}(T^*)] = \sigma_{\rm BW}(T) \cup [\mathcal{S}(T) \cup \mathcal{S}(T^*)].$$

In particular if T and  $T^*$  have the SVEP then

$$\sigma_{\rm D}(T) = \sigma_{\rm BF}(T) = \sigma_{\rm BW}(T).$$

The equality in (2.1) may be refined for  $\sigma_{\rm D}(T)$  and  $\sigma_{\rm BW}(T)$ . More precisely, we have

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  then

$$\sigma_{\rm D}(T) = \sigma_{\rm BW}(T) \cup [\mathcal{S}(T) \cap \mathcal{S}(T^*)].$$

Proof. Since  $\sigma_{BW}(T) \cup (\mathcal{S}(T) \cap \mathcal{S}(T^*)) \subseteq \sigma_D(T)$  always holds, let  $\lambda \notin \sigma_{BW}(T) \cup (\mathcal{S}(T) \cap \mathcal{S}(T^*))$ . Without loss of generality we assume that  $\lambda = 0$ . Then T is a B-Fredholm operator of index zero.

Case 1. If  $0 \notin S(T)$ : Since T is a B-Fredholm operator of index zero, it follows from [8, Lemma 4.1] that there exists a Fredholm operator F of index zero and a nilpotent operator N such that  $T = F \oplus N$ . If  $0 \notin \sigma(F)$ , then F is invertible and hence T is Drazin invertible. Now assume that  $0 \in \sigma(F)$ . Since T has the SVEP at 0, F has also the SVEP at 0. Hence it follows from [1, Theorem 3.16] that a(F)is finite. F is a Fredholm operator of index zero, hence it follows from [1, Theorem 3.4] that d(F) is also finite. Then  $a(F) = d(F) < \infty$  which implies that 0 is a pole of F and hence an isolated point of  $\sigma(F)$ . Operator N is nilpotent, hence 0 is an isolated point of  $\sigma(T)$ . From [8, Theorem 4.2] we get  $0 \notin \sigma_D(T)$ .

Case 2. If  $0 \notin \mathcal{S}(T^*)$ , the proof goes similarly.

Corollary 2.4 ([12]). If T or  $T^*$  has the SVEP then

$$\sigma_{\rm D}(T) = \sigma_{\rm BW}(T).$$

Recall that T is a *Browder* operator if T is a Fredholm operator of finite ascent and descent. Let  $\sigma_{\rm B}(T)$  be the *Browder spectrum* defined as the set of all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ such that  $T - \lambda I$  is not Browder. Analogously, T is a B-*Browder* operator if for some integer  $n, R(T^n)$  is closed and  $T_{[n]}$  is Browder. Let  $\sigma_{\rm BB}(T)$  be the B-*Browder* spectrum. In [1, Corollary 3.53] it is proved that if T or  $T^*$  has the SVEP, then

$$\sigma_{\rm W}(T) = \sigma_{\rm B}(T).$$

From [7, Theorem 3.6] we have  $\sigma_{\rm D}(T) = \sigma_{\rm BB}(T)$ , hence by Corollary 2.4, if T or T\* has the SVEP then

$$\sigma_{\rm BW}(T) = \sigma_{\rm BB}(T).$$

43

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  and let f be an analytic function on some open neighborhood of  $\sigma(T)$  which is nonconstant on any connected component of  $\sigma(T)$ . Then

$$f(\sigma_{\rm BW}(T) \cup [\mathcal{S}(T) \cap \mathcal{S}(T^*)]) = \sigma_{\rm BW}(f(T)) \cup [\mathcal{S}(f(T)) \cap \mathcal{S}(f(T^*))].$$

Proof. According to [21] the Drazin spectrum satisfies the spectral mapping theorem for such a function f, hence the result follows at once from Theorem 2.3.  $\Box$ 

It is well known that if T has the SVEP then f(T) has also the SVEP [19]. Now we retrieve the result proved in [2], [23]:  $f(\sigma_{BW}(T)) = \sigma_{BW}(f(T))$  whenever T or  $T^*$  has the SVEP. Note that in [2], [23] the condition "f is nonconstant on any connected component of  $\sigma(T)$ " is dropped.

### 3. Applications

## 3.1. Perturbations.

**Lemma 3.1.** Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ . Let  $N \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  be a nilpotent operator such that TN = NT. Then

$$\mathcal{S}(T+N) = \mathcal{S}(T).$$

Proof. See for instance [5, Lemma 2.1].

**Lemma 3.2.** Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ . If  $N \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  is a nilpotent operator which commutes with T then

$$\sigma_{\rm lD}(T+N) = \sigma_{\rm lD}(T).$$

Proof. Assume that  $\lambda = 0 \notin \sigma_{\rm lD}(T)$ . Then a(T) is finite and  $R(T^{a(T)+1})$  is closed. Let m be the nonnegative integer such that  $N^m = 0 \neq N^{m-1}$ . Let  $s = \max(a(T), m)$ . Then

$$(T+N)^{2s} = \sum_{k=0}^{2s} \binom{k}{2s} T^k N^{2s-k}$$
  
=  $\binom{0}{2s} N^{2s} + \dots + \binom{s}{2s} T^s N^s + \binom{s+1}{2s} T^{s+1} N^{s-1} + \dots + \binom{2s}{2s} T^{2s}$   
=  $\binom{s+1}{2s} T^{s+1} N^{s-1} + \dots + \binom{2s}{2s} T^{2s}$   
=  $T^s \left[ \binom{s+1}{2s} T^1 N^{s-1} + \dots + \binom{2s}{2s} T^s \right].$ 

44

Now let  $x \in N(T)^{2s} = N(T)^s$  that is  $(T)^{2s}x = 0$ . Then it follows from the above equality that  $(T+N)^{2s}x = 0$ . Hence  $N(T)^{2s} \subseteq N(T+N)^{2s}$ . With the same argument for T+N and -N we have  $N(T+N)^{2s} \subseteq N(T)^{2s}$ . Thus  $N(T)^{2s} = N(T+N)^{2s}$ . Since  $N(T^s) = N(T^{2s}) = N(T^{2s+1})$ , we get  $N(T+N)^{2s} = N(T+N)^{2s+1}$ . Therefore T+N is of finite ascent. On the other hand,  $R(T+N)^{2s} \subseteq R(T^s)$  is closed. Hence by [21, Lemma 12]  $R(T+N)^{2s+1}$  is closed. Thus  $0 \notin \sigma_{\rm ID}(T+N)$ . Hence  $\sigma_{\rm ID}(T+N) \subseteq \sigma_{\rm ID}(T)$ . With the same argument for T+N and -N we get  $\sigma_{\rm ID}(T) \subseteq \sigma_{\rm ID}(T+N)$ .

The next result follows from Theorem 2.1, Lemma 3.1 and Lemma 3.2.

**Theorem 3.1.** Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ . Let  $N \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  be a nilpotent operator which commutes with T. Then

$$\sigma_{\mathrm{SBF}^-}(T+N)\cup\mathcal{S}(T)=\sigma_{\mathrm{SBF}^-}(T)\cup\mathcal{S}(T).$$

The following corollary which is proved in [3] gives an affirmative answer to the question posed by Berkani-Amouch [9] in the case when T has the SVEP.

**Corollary 3.1.** Let  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  have the SVEP. Let  $N \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  be a nilpotent operator which commutes with T. Then

$$\sigma_{\rm SBF^-}(T+N) = \sigma_{\rm SBF^-}(T).$$

**3.2. Generalized Weyl's theorem for operator matrices.** Berkani [8, Theorem 4.5] has shown that every normal operator T acting on a Hilbert space H satisfies

(3.1) 
$$\sigma(T) \setminus E(T) = \sigma_{\rm BW}(T),$$

where E(T) is the set of all isolated eigenvalues of T. We say that the generalized Weyl's theorem holds for T if equality (3.1) holds. This gives a generalization of the classical Weyl's theorem. Recall that  $T \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  obeys Weyl's theorem if

(3.2) 
$$\sigma(T) \setminus E_0(T) = \sigma_{\mathrm{W}}(T)$$

where  $E_0(T)$  denotes the set of the isolated points of  $\sigma(T)$  which are eigenvalues of finite multiplicity. By [13, Theorem 3.9] the generalized Weyl's theorem implies Weyl's theorem and generally the reverse is not true.

For  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$ ,  $B \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$  and  $C \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$  we denote by  $M_C$  the operator defined on  $X \oplus Y$  by

$$M_C = \begin{bmatrix} A & C \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix}$$

In general the fact that the generalized Weyl's theorem holds for A and B does not imply that the generalized Weyl's theorem holds for  $M_0 = \begin{bmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{bmatrix}$ . Indeed, let  $I_1$ and  $I_2$  be the identities on  $\mathbb{C}$  and  $l_2$ , respectively. Let  $S_1$  and  $S_2$  be defined on  $l_2$  by

$$S_1(x_1, x_2, \ldots) = (0, \frac{1}{3}x_1, \frac{1}{3}x_2, \ldots), \quad S_2(x_1, x_2, \ldots) = (0, \frac{1}{2}x_1, \frac{1}{3}x_2, \ldots).$$

Let  $T_1 = I_1 \oplus S_1$ ,  $T_2 = S_2 - I_2$ ,  $A = T_1^2$  and  $B = T_2^2$ , then from [23, Example 1] we have A and B obey the generalized Weyl's theorem but  $M_0$  does not obey it. It also may happen that  $M_C$  obeys the generalized Weyl's theorem while  $M_0$  does not obey it. Let A be the unilateral unweighted shift operator. For  $B = A^*$  and  $C = I - AA^*$ , we have that  $M_C$  is unitary without eigenvalues. Hence  $M_C$  satisfies the generalized Weyl's theorem (see [10, Remark 3.5]). But  $\sigma_W(M_0) = \{\lambda : |\lambda| = 1\}$ and  $\sigma(M_0) \setminus E_0(M_0) = \{\lambda : |\lambda| \leq 1\}$ . Hence  $M_0$  does not satisfy the Weyl's theorem and so by [13, Theorem 3.9] it does not satisfy the generalized Weyls theorem either.

A bounded linear operator T is said to be *isoloid* if every isolated point of  $\sigma(T)$  is an eigenvalue of T.

**Proposition 3.1.** Let A and B be isoloids. Assume that  $\sigma_{BW}(M_0) = \sigma_{BW}(A) \cup \sigma_{BW}(B)$ . If A and B obey the generalized Weyl's theorem, then  $M_0$  obeys the generalized Weyl's theorem.

Proof. Since A and B are isoloids, we have

$$E(M_0) = [E(A) \cap \varrho(B)] \cup [\varrho(A) \cap E(B)] \cup [E(A) \cap E(B)].$$

Now if A and B obey the generalized Weyl's theorem, then

$$E(M_0) = [\sigma(A) \cup \sigma(B)] \setminus [\sigma_{BW}(A) \cup \sigma_{BW}(B)]$$
  
=  $\sigma(M_0) \setminus \sigma_{BW}(M_0).$ 

Then  $M_0$  obeys the generalized Weyl's theorem.

**Lemma 3.3.** Let  $A \in \mathcal{B}(X)$  and  $B \in \mathcal{B}(Y)$  have the SVEP. Then

$$\sigma_{\rm BW}(M_C) = \sigma_{\rm BW}(A) \cup \sigma_{\rm BW}(B)$$

for all  $C \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$ .

Proof. Since A and B have the SVEP, then it follows from [17, Proposition 3.1] that  $M_C$  also has the SVEP. Hence  $\sigma_{BW}(M_C) = \sigma_D(M_C)$  by Corollary 2.4. Also since A and B have the SVEP, it follows from [24, Corollary 2.1] that  $\sigma_D(M_C) = \sigma_D(A) \cup \sigma_D(B)$ . Therefore  $\sigma_{BW}(M_C) = \sigma_{BW}(A) \cup \sigma_{BW}(B)$  by Corollary 2.4.

**Theorem 3.2.** Let A and B be isoloids with the SVEP. If A and B obey the generalized Weyl's theorem, then  $M_C$  obeys the generalized Weyl's theorem for every  $C \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$ .

Proof. It follows from Proposition 3.1 and Lemma 3.3 that

$$E(M_0) = \sigma(M_0) \setminus \sigma_{\rm BW}(M_0) = \sigma(M_C) \setminus \sigma_{\rm BW}(M_C).$$

Hence it is enough to show that  $E(M_0) = E(M_C)$ . Let  $\lambda \in E(M_C)$ . Then  $\lambda \in \sigma_p(M_C) \subseteq \sigma_p(A) \cup \sigma_p(B)$ . Hence  $\lambda \in \sigma_p(M_0)$ . Since  $\lambda \in iso \sigma(M_C) = iso \sigma(M_0)$  we have  $\lambda \in E(M_0)$ . Now let  $\lambda \in E(M_0)$ . If  $\lambda \in \sigma(A)$  then  $\lambda \in iso \sigma(A)$ . Since A is an isoloid, we have  $\lambda \in \sigma_p(A) \subseteq \sigma_p(M_C)$ . Hence  $\lambda \in E(M_C)$ . If  $\lambda \in \sigma(B) \setminus \sigma(A)$ , then  $\lambda \in \sigma_p(B)$ . Since A is invertible, we conclude that  $\lambda \in \sigma_p(M_C)$ . Thus  $\lambda \in E(M_C)$ . Therefore  $E(M_0) = E(M_C)$ .

Let  $\pi(T)$  be the set of all poles of the resolvent of T. Recall from [14] that T is a *polaroid* if iso  $\sigma(T) \subseteq \pi(T)$ . Since  $\pi(T) \subseteq E(T)$  holds without restriction on T, then if T is a polaroid then  $E(T) = \pi(T)$ .

**Corollary 3.2.** Let A and B be polaroids with the SVEP. Then  $M_C$  obeys the generalized Weyl's theorem for every  $C \in \mathcal{B}(Y, X)$ .

Proof. A and B are polaroids hence  $E(A) = \pi(A)$  and  $E(B) = \pi(B)$ . Since A and B have the SVEP, we have by [4] that A and B satisfy the generalized Weyl's theorem. Hence we complete the proof by Theorem 3.2.

**3.3.** Multipliers on a commutative Banach algebra. Let  $\mathcal{A}$  be a semi-simple commutative Banach algebra. A mapping  $T: \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$  is called a *multiplier* if

$$T(x)y = xT(y)$$
 for all  $x, y \in \mathcal{A}$ .

By semi-simplicity of  $\mathcal{A}$ , every multiplier is a bounded linear operator on  $\mathcal{A}$ . Also the semi-simplicity of  $\mathcal{A}$  implies that every multiplier has the SVEP (see [1], [19]).

By [1, Theorem 4.36], for every multiplier T on a semi-simple commutative Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}, E(T) = \pi(T)$  and since T has the SVEP we get from [4]

**Proposition 3.2.** Every multiplier on a semi-simple commutative Banach algebra  $\mathcal{A}$  obeys the generalized Weyl's theorem.

From Corollary 2.4 we have

**Proposition 3.3** ([11]). Let T be a multiplier on a semi-simple commutative Banach algebra A. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- i) T is B-Fredholm of index zero.
- ii) T is Drazin invertible.

Now if we assume in addition that  $\mathcal{A}$  is regular and Tauberian (see [19] for definition) then every multiplier T has the weak decomposition property ( $\delta_w$ ) and then  $T^*$  has also the SVEP (see [22] for definition and details). Hence we get from Corollary 2.3

**Proposition 3.4.** Let T be a multiplier on a semi-simple regular Tauberian commutative Banach algebra A. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

- i) T is B-Fredholm.
- ii) T is Drazin invertible.

For G a locally compact abelian group, let  $L^1(G)$  be the space of  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued functions on G integrable with respect to Haar measure and M(G) the Banach algebra of regular complex Borel measures on G. We recall that  $L^1(G)$  is a regular semi-simple Tauberian commutative Banach algebra. Then we have

**Corollary 3.3.** Let G be a locally compact abelian group,  $\mu \in M(G)$  and  $X = L^1(G)$ . Then every convolution operator  $T_{\mu} \colon X \longrightarrow X$ ,  $T_{\mu}(k) = \mu \star k$  is B-Fredholm if and only if it is Drazin invertible.

A c k n o w l e d g e m e n t. The authors are indebted to the referee for several helpful remarks and suggestions.

### References

- P. Aiena: Fredholm and Local Spectral Theory with Applications to Multipliers. Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 2004.
- [2] M. Amouch: Weyl type theorems for operators satisfying the single-valued extension property. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 326 (2007), 1476–1484.
- [3] *M. Amouch*: Polaroid operators with SVEP and perturbations of property (gw). Mediterr. J. Math. 6 (2009), 461–470.
- [4] M. Amouch, H. Zguitti: On the equivalence of Browder's and generalized Browder's theorem. Glasg. Math. J. 48 (2006), 179–185.
- [5] C. Benhida, E. H. Zerouali, H. Zguitti: Spectral properties of upper triangular block operators. Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 71 (2005), 681–690.
- [6] M. Berkani: On a class of quasi-Fredholm operators. Integral Equations Oper. Theory 34 (1999), 244–249.

- [7] M. Berkani: Restriction of an operator to the range of its powers. Stud. Math. 140 (2000), 163–175.
- [8] M. Berkani: Index of Fredholm operators and generalization of a Weyl theorem. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 130 (2002), 1717–1723.
- M. Berkani, M. Amouch: Preservation of property (gw) under perturbations. Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 74 (2008), 769–781.
- [10] M. Berkani, A. Arroud: Generalized Weyl's theorem and hyponormal operators. J. Aust. Math. Soc. 76 (2004), 291–302.
- [11] M. Berkani, A. Arroud: B-Fredholm and spectral properties for multipliers in Banach algebras. Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo 55 (2006), 385–397.
- [12] M. Berkani, N. Castro, S. V. Djordjević: Single valued extension property and generalized Weyl's theorem. Math. Bohem. 131 (2006), 29–38.
- [13] M. Berkani, J. J. Koliha: Weyl type theorems for bounded linear operators. Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged) 69 (2003), 359–376.
- [14] B. P. Duggal, R. Harte, I. H. Jeon: Polaroid operators and Weyl's theorem. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 132 (2004), 1345–1349.
- [15] J. K. Finch: The single valued extension property on a Banach space. Pacific J. Math. 58 (1975), 61–69.
- [16] H. G. Heuser: Functional Analysis. John Wiley, Chichester, 1982.
- [17] M. Houimdi, H. Zguitti: Propriétés spectrales locales d'une matrice carrée des opérateurs. Acta Math. Vietnam. 25 (2000), 137–144.
- [18] K. B. Laursen: Operators with finite ascent. Pacific J. Math. 152 (1992), 323-336.
- [19] K. B. Laursen, M. M. Neumann: An Introduction to Local Spectral Theory. Clarendon, Oxford, 2000.
- [20] D. C. Lay: Spectral analysis using ascent, descent, nullity and defect. Math. Ann. 184 (1970), 197–214.
- [21] M. Mbekhta, V. Müller: Axiomatic theory of spectrum II. Stud. Math. 119 (1996), 129–147.
- [22] E. H. Zerouali, H. Zguitti: On the weak decomposition property  $(\delta_w)$ . Stud. Math. 167 (2005), 17–28.
- [23] H. Zguitti: A note on generalized Weyl's theorem. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 316 (2006), 373–381.
- [24] H. Zguitti: On the Drazin inverse for upper triangular operator matrices. Bull. Math. Anal. Appl. 2 (2010), 27–33.

Authors' addresses: M. Amouch, Departement de Mathematiques, Faculte des Sciences Semlalia, B. P: 2390 Marrakech, Morocco, e-mail: m.amouch@ucam.ac.ma; H. Zguitti, Departement de Mathematiques et Informatique, Faculte Pluridisciplinaire de Nador, B. P: 300 Selouane, 62700 Nador, Morocco, e-mail: zguitti@hotmail.com.