

Daniel K. McNeill

H-closed extensions with countable remainder

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 53 (2012), No. 1, 123--137

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/141830>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2012

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://project.dml.cz>

H-closed extensions with countable remainder

DANIEL K. MCNEILL

Abstract. This paper investigates necessary and sufficient conditions for a space to have an H-closed extension with countable remainder. For countable spaces we are able to give two characterizations of those spaces admitting an H-closed extension with countable remainder.

The general case is more difficult, however, we arrive at a necessary condition — a generalization of Čech completeness, and several sufficient conditions for a space to have an H-closed extension with countable remainder. In particular, using the notation of Császár, we show that a space X is a Čech g -space if and only if X is G_δ in σX or equivalently if EX is Čech complete. An example of a space which is a Čech f -space but not a Čech g -space is given answering a couple of questions of Császár. We show that if X is a Čech g -space and $R(EX)$, the residue of EX , is Lindelöf, then X has an H-closed extension with countable remainder. Finally, we investigate some natural generalizations of the residue to the class of all Hausdorff spaces.

Keywords: Čech complete, H-closed, extension

Classification: 54A25, 54D35, 54D40

In this paper we will concern ourselves with finding H-closed extensions with countable remainder, i.e. the smallest H-closed extensions. Our topic is a generalization of a question of Morita [11]: characterize those spaces which have compactifications with countable remainder — an area studied in depth by Henriksen [7], Hoshina [8], [9], [10], Terada [16] and Charalambous [1] but still not entirely resolved.

The question of which spaces allow H-closed extensions with countable remainder is an obvious generalization of the question of compactifications with countable remainder, and has been considered by Porter and Vermeer [13] and Tikoo [17]. Much of the background for this paper can be found in [13], [17] and [15].

Recall that the Iliadis absolute of a Hausdorff space X is the pair (EX, k) — where EX is a zero-dimensional, extremally disconnected Hausdorff space and $k : EX \rightarrow X$ is a perfect, irreducible and θ -continuous surjection. Also recall that the space σX is the largest strict H-closed extension of X .

The bulk of the results in this paper are informed by the following facts.

Theorem 1 ([12], [14], [15]). *Let X be a Hausdorff space.*

- (1) *Then $\sigma X \setminus X$ is homeomorphic to $\beta EX \setminus EX$.*

- (2) For each H -closed extension hX of X , there is a θ -continuous function $f_h : \sigma X \rightarrow hX$ such that $f_h = \text{id}_X$ and $\{f_h^{\leftarrow}(y) : y \in hX \setminus X\}$ is a partition of compact subsets of $\sigma X \setminus X$.
- (3) For each partition \mathcal{P} of nonempty compact sets of $\sigma X \setminus X$, there is an H -closed extension hX of X such that $\mathcal{P} = \{f_h^{\leftarrow}(y) : y \in hX \setminus X\}$.
- (4) Let η be a cardinal. There is an H -closed extension hX of X with $|hX \setminus X| = \eta$ iff $\sigma X \setminus X$ can be partitioned into η many compact sets.

Corollary 2. *The space X has an H -closed extension with countable remainder iff $\sigma X \setminus X \cong \beta EX \setminus EX$ has a countable partition of compact sets.*

A few more facts about the Iliadis absolute will be useful in this paper. First recall the definition of the small image of a set.

Definition 3. Given a function $f : X \rightarrow Y$ where X and Y are sets, we define

$$f^\# [A] = \{y \in Y : f^{\leftarrow}(y) \subseteq A\}.$$

Fact 4. *Let X be a Hausdorff space and $k : EX \rightarrow X$ be the absolute map.*

- (1) [15] *If $U \in \tau(X)$, $OU = O(\text{int}_X \text{cl}_X U)$, $k[OU] = \text{cl}_X U$ and $\text{cl}_{EX} k^{\leftarrow}[U] = OU$.*
- (2) [15] *For $x \in X$ and $U \in \tau(X)$, $k^{\leftarrow}(x) \subseteq OU$ iff $x \in \text{int}_X \text{cl}_X U$, in particular, $k^\#[OU] = \text{int}_X \text{cl}_X U$.*
- (3) *If T is clopen in EX then $T = O(k^\#[T])$.*

PROOF: Since T is clopen in EX , $T = OU$ for some $U \in \tau(X)$. By the above $k^\#[T] = \text{int}_X \text{cl}_X U$ and so $T = OU = O(\text{int}_X \text{cl}_X U) = O(k^\#[T])$. □

1. Countable spaces

Our goal is to determine which spaces have H -closed extensions with a countable remainder. As a sub-goal we first consider which countable spaces have countable H -closed extensions.

Fact 5. *A countable space X with a countable H -closed extension is Katětov.*

PROOF: By 1.4 of [13], it suffices to show X has an infinite closed discrete subspace. If X has no infinite closed discrete subspaces, then every infinite subset of X has a derived point. This means X is countably compact. As X is countable, it follows that X is compact — hence Katětov. □

The other direction is to determine which countable spaces have a countable H -closed extension. We start with a countable, first countable, semiregular, Katětov space X . We may also assume X is not countably compact; that is, X contains an infinite, closed discrete subspace A .

Theorem 6. *A countable Hausdorff space X has a countable H -closed extension iff X is Katětov and X_s is first countable.*

PROOF: Suppose a countable space X is Katětov and X_s is first countable. We want to show X has an H-closed extension with countable remainder. By Theorem 1, it suffices to show $\beta EX \setminus EX$ has a countable partition of compact sets.

Let X' denote X with the coarser H-closed topology. So we have that the identity function $\text{id}_X : X \rightarrow X'$ is continuous.

- (1) By [3], there is a continuous function $f : EX \rightarrow EX'$ such that $k_{X'} \circ f = \text{id}_X \circ k_X$. That is, the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 EX & \xrightarrow{f} & EX' \\
 k_X \downarrow & & \downarrow k_{X'} \\
 X & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_X} & X'
 \end{array}$$

As X' is H-closed, EX' is compact Hausdorff by 1. Also, there is a continuous extension $\beta f : \beta EX \rightarrow EX'$ and the following diagram commutes.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \beta EX & \\
 & \uparrow & \searrow \beta f \\
 EX & \xrightarrow{f} & EX' \\
 k_X \downarrow & & \downarrow k_{X'} \\
 X & \xrightarrow{\text{id}_X} & X'
 \end{array}$$

Let $X = \{p_n : n \in \omega\}$ and $X' = \{p'_n : n \in \omega\}$ where $\text{id}_X(p_n) = p'_n$ for $n \in \omega$. Since k_X is perfect, we have that $\{k_X^{\leftarrow}(p_n) : n \in \omega\}$ is a partition of EX into compact subsets, $\{k_{X'}^{\leftarrow}(p'_n) : n \in \omega\}$ is a partition of EX' into compact subsets, and $\{(k_{X'} \circ \beta f)^{\leftarrow}(p'_n) : n \in \omega\}$ is a partition of βEX into compact subsets. By commutativity of the diagram, it follows that $k_X^{\leftarrow}(p_n) = (k_{X'} \circ f)^{\leftarrow}(p'_n) \subseteq (k_{X'} \circ \beta f)^{\leftarrow}(p'_n)$ and $(k_{X'} \circ \beta f)^{\leftarrow}(p'_n) \cap EX = k_X^{\leftarrow}(p_n)$ for $n \in \omega$.

- (2) As X_s is first countable, for each $x \in X$ there is a countable neighborhood base $\{U_n\}_\omega$ of regular open sets for $x \in X_s$. We now show $\{\text{cl}_{\beta EX} OU_n\}_\omega$ is a countable family of clopen sets for which if $k_X^{\leftarrow}(x) \subseteq T \in \tau(\beta EX)$ then there is some $m \in \omega$ such that $\text{cl}_{\beta EX} OU_m \subseteq T$. Let T be an open set in βEX such that $k_X^{\leftarrow}(x) \subseteq T$. As the clopen family $\{\text{cl}_{\beta EX} S : S \text{ is clopen in } EX\}$ is a base for βEX which is closed under finite unions and $k_X^{\leftarrow}(x)$ is compact, we can suppose $T = \text{cl}_{\beta EX} S$ for some clopen set S of EX . By 4, $S = OU$ for some $U \in \tau(X)$. As $k_X^{\leftarrow}(x) \subseteq OU$, it follows that $x \in \text{int}_X \text{cl}_X U$ and so for some $n \in \omega$, $x \in U_n \subseteq \text{int}_X \text{cl}_X U$. Hence we have $k_X^{\leftarrow}(x) \subseteq OU_n \subseteq O(\text{int}_X \text{cl}_X U) = OU = S$ and $k_X^{\leftarrow}(x) \subseteq$

$\text{cl}_{\beta EX} OU_n \subseteq T$. Thus, $k_X^{\leftarrow}(x) = \bigcap_{\omega} \text{cl}_{\beta EX} OU_n$, and we can suppose

$$\text{cl}_{\beta EX} OU_{n+1} \subseteq \text{cl}_{\beta EX} OU_n$$

for $n \in \omega$.

- (3) Using the notation of 1, for each $n \in \omega$ we have $k_X^{\leftarrow}(p_n) \subseteq (k_{X'} \circ \beta f)^{\leftarrow}(p'_n)$ and $(k_{X'} \circ \beta f)^{\leftarrow}(p'_n) \setminus k_X^{\leftarrow}(p_n) \subseteq \beta EX \setminus EX$ and finally

$$\bigcup_{\omega} ((k_{X'} \circ \beta f)^{\leftarrow}(p'_n) \setminus k_X^{\leftarrow}(p_n)) = \beta EX \setminus EX.$$

Note

$$[(k_{X'} \circ \beta f)^{\leftarrow}(p'_n) \setminus k_X^{\leftarrow}(p_n)] \cap [\text{cl}_{\beta EX} OU_k \setminus \text{cl}_{\beta EX} OU_{k+1}] = K_{nk}$$

is a compact subset of $\beta EX \setminus EX$. Now, $\bigcup_{k \in \omega} K_{nk} = (k_{X'} \circ \beta f)^{\leftarrow}(p'_n) \setminus k_X^{\leftarrow}(p_n)$, $\beta EX \setminus EX = \bigcup_{n,k \in \omega} K_{nk}$ and $\{K_{nk} : n, k \in \omega\}$ is a partition of $\beta EX \setminus EX$. By 1, as $\beta EX \setminus EX$ has a countable partition of compact subsets, both EX and X have H-closed extensions with countable remainder.

Conversely, suppose the countable Hausdorff space X has a countable H-closed extension hX . By 1, $\sigma X \setminus X$ has a countable partition of compact sets. If X is not countably compact, X has a countably infinite closed discrete subspace. By 5, X is Katětov. If the countable space X is countably compact, then X is also compact and hence Katětov. As hX is countable and H-closed, hX_s is a countable minimal Hausdorff extension of X_s . But countable minimal Hausdorff spaces are first countable. Thus, X_s is first countable as well. □

2. Generalizations of Čech completeness

We recall some basic definitions before considering the question of how generalizations of Čech completeness relate to finding H-closed extensions with countable remainder.

Definition 7. A Tychonoff space X is Čech complete if it is G_δ in every Hausdorff extension.

The following theorem is well-known and provides two important characterizations of Čech completeness. The first allows us a reduction in the number of compact Hausdorff extensions we must consider, and the second provides an internal characterization of the property.

Theorem 8 ([5], [4]). *The following are equivalent for a Tychonoff space X .*

- (1) *The space X is Čech complete.*
- (2) *The space X is G_δ in βX .*
- (3) *There exists a sequence $(\mathcal{C}_n)_\omega$ of open covers of X such that every filter base of closed sets subordinate to $(\mathcal{C}_n)_\omega$ has non-empty intersection.*

The following corollary is immediate.

Corollary 9. *If a space X has an H-closed extension with countable remainder then EX is Čech complete.*

PROOF: Recall from 1 that a space X has an H-closed extension with countable remainder iff $\beta EX \setminus EX$ has a countable partition of compact sets. Of course, a prerequisite for $\beta EX \setminus EX$ to be the countable partition of compact sets is that it actually be the union of countably many compact sets. So if $\beta EX \setminus EX = \bigcup_{\omega} K_n$ where K_n is compact, then $G_n = \beta EX \setminus K_n$ is a family of open sets of βEX and $EX \subseteq G_n$ for all $n \in \omega$. Since $\bigcup_{\omega} K_n = \beta EX \setminus EX$, we have $\bigcap_{\omega} G_n = EX$. Hence EX is Čech complete. \square

Though Čech completeness of the absolute is a necessary condition for the existence of an H-closed extension with countable remainder, we will see that it is not sufficient — some additional property is required.

For metric space, restrictions related to the following definitions (along with Čech completeness) are sufficient to allow a compactification with countable remainder.

Notation 10 ([13]). For a Tychonoff space X , let $R(X) = [\text{cl}_{\beta X}(\beta X \setminus X)] \cap X$. We call $R(X)$ the residue of X .

Definition 11. A space X called rim-compact (or semicompact) if X has a basis of open sets each of which has a compact boundary.

Definition 12. A space X is called Lindelöf if every open cover of X has a countable subfamily which covers.

The characterization of metric spaces allowing compactification with countable remainder is due to Hoshina.

Theorem 13 ([8]). *A metrizable space X has a compactification with countable remainder iff X is Čech complete, rim-compact and $R(X)$ is Lindelöf.*

For compactifications of Tychonoff spaces with countable remainder Hoshina also provides a sufficient condition.

Theorem 14 ([8]). *Let X be a Čech complete, rim-compact space. If $R(X)$ is separable metrizable then X has a compactification with countable remainder.*

We quote the following lemma of Hoshina [9], which is necessary for the next example.

Lemma 15. *If X has a compactification with countable remainder and \mathcal{U} is a collection of pairwise disjoint open sets of X with $U \cap R(X) \neq \emptyset$ for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$, then \mathcal{U} is countable.*

First we consider an example of Charalambous [1] showing that Čech completeness is not enough to guarantee that a space has a compact extension with countable remainder; moreover there exist two spaces X and X_1 with homeomorphic residues, $R(X) \cong R(X_1)$, one of which has a compactification with countable remainder — while the other does not.

Example 16 ([1]). The construction starts with the following setup due to Terada [16]. Note $X = \beta\mathbb{R} \setminus \mathbb{N}$ has a compactification with countable remainder, namely $\beta\mathbb{R}$, and $R(X) = \beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$.

Now let $Z = \mathbb{N} \cup \{\infty\}$, the one point compactification of \mathbb{N} , $Y = Z \times Z \times (\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N})$ and $X_1 = Y \setminus [\{\infty\} \times \mathbb{N} \times (\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N})]$. Since Y is compact and $Y \setminus X_1$ is σ -compact and zero-dimensional, then X_1 is Čech complete and rim-compact. In addition, $R(X_1) = \{\infty\} \times \{\infty\} \times (\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N})$ is homeomorphic with $R(X)$. But X_1 has no compactification with countable remainder. For let \mathcal{U} be an uncountable collection of pairwise disjoint nonempty open subsets of $\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N}$. For each $U \in \mathcal{U}$ let $U' = Z \times Z \times U$, then $\{U' \cap X_1 : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is an uncountable collection of pairwise disjoint open sets of X_1 with $U' \cap X_1 \cap R(X_1) \neq \emptyset$ for each $U \in \mathcal{U}$. So by the lemma above, X_1 has no compactification with countable remainder.

We note here, however, that X_1 *does* have an H-closed extension with countable remainder, since $Y \setminus X_1 = \{\infty\} \times \mathbb{N} \times (\beta\mathbb{N} \setminus \mathbb{N})$ is zero-dimensional and the countable union of compact G_δ sets.

We now consider how it may be possible to partition the space $\beta EX \setminus EX$ into countably many compact sets — which would allow us to construct an H-closed extension of X with countable remainder. Since $\beta EX \setminus EX$ is zero-dimensional, the following proposition, communicated to Porter and Vermeer by F. Galvin, will be very useful.

Proposition 17 ([13]). *A zero-dimensional space Y can be partitioned into a countable number of compact sets iff Y is the countable union of compact G_δ -sets.*

Seeking to generalize Hoshina’s characterization of metrizable spaces allowing compactifications with countable remainder, Porter and Vermeer found the following sufficient conditions for an H-closed extension with countable remainder.

Theorem 18 ([13]). *If cX is a zero-dimensional compactification of a Čech complete space X and $R(X)$ is Lindelöf, then $cX \setminus X$ has a countable partition of compact sets.*

Corollary 19 ([13]). *Let X be a space.*

- (1) *If X is not countably compact, EX is Čech complete, and $R(EX)$ is Lindelöf, then X has an H-closed extension with countable remainder and is Katětov.*
- (2) *If X is Tychonoff and Čech complete and $R(X)$ is Lindelöf, then X has an H-closed extension with a countable remainder.*

Noting that Čech completeness of the absolute is necessary for a space to have an H-closed extension with countable remainder — we seek a generalization of Čech completeness to Hausdorff spaces which we may be able use directly. K. Császár in [2] modifies the internal characterization of a Čech complete space to obtain three different generalizations, two of which we will consider in depth.

Before we begin we will need the following definition also due to Császár:

Definition 20. A subset A of a topological space X is said to regularly embedded in X if whenever $x \in A \subseteq G$ and G is open, then there exists an open set V such that $x \in V \subseteq \text{cl}_X V \subseteq G$.

Proposition 21 ([2]). *Suppose $A \subseteq X \subseteq Y$ are spaces. If A is regularly embedded in Y , then A is regularly embedded in X .*

Theorem 22 ([2]). *If X is a Hausdorff space, then X is regularly embedded in σX .*

The following definitions generalize the internal characterization of Čech completeness for Tychonoff spaces to all Hausdorff spaces.

Definition 23. Let $(\mathcal{C}_n)_\omega$ be a sequence of families of sets of a set X and \mathcal{A} a family of sets. The family \mathcal{A} is subordinate to the sequence $(\mathcal{C}_n)_\omega$ if, for every $m \in \omega$, there is some set $A \in \mathcal{A}$ and also a set $C \in \mathcal{C}_m$ such that $A \subseteq C$.

Definition 24. Let X be a topological space. A Čech sequence (Čech f -sequence, Čech g -sequence) in X is a sequence $(\mathcal{C}_n)_\omega$ of open covers of X such that every filter base \mathcal{A} (of closed sets, of open sets) subordinate to $(\mathcal{C}_n)_\omega$ has an adherent point.

Definition 25. A Hausdorff space X is a Čech space (Čech g -space, Čech f -space) if there is a Čech sequence (Čech g -sequence, Čech f -sequence) in X .

Notice that for a Tychonoff space the concepts of Čech space, Čech g -space, Čech f -space, and Čech complete space coincide.

Theorem 26 ([2]). *A regularly embedded open subspace of a Čech g -space is a Čech g -space.*

Theorem 27 ([2]). *A regularly embedded, dense G_δ subspace of a Čech g -space is a Čech g -space.*

Definition 28. A sequence of open covers $(\mathcal{C}_n)_\omega$ is said to be monotone if \mathcal{C}_{n+1} refines \mathcal{C}_n .

Proposition 29 ([2]). *If there exists a Čech sequence (g -sequence, f -sequence) for a space X , then there exists a monotone Čech sequence (g -sequence, f -sequence).*

The following proposition provides an external characterization of a Čech g -space comparable to that of a Čech complete space.

Proposition 30 ([2]). *For a space X the following are equivalent.*

- (1) X is G_δ in every Hausdorff extension.
- (2) X is G_δ in σX .
- (3) X is a Čech g -space.

With regard to finding H-closed extensions with countable remainder, the previous proposition indicates that Čech g -spaces may be the generalization of Čech complete spaces we should consider. The next proposition provides more support for this observation. We begin with the following lemma which generalizes a theorem appearing in [14].

Lemma 31. *Let X be a space. If $A \subseteq \sigma X \setminus X$ and A is closed in $\sigma X \setminus X$, then $\text{cl}_{\sigma X} A$ is an H-set of σX .*

PROOF: Let \mathcal{U} be an open cover of $\text{cl}_{\sigma X} A$. Extend, and possibly refine, \mathcal{U} to an open cover, \mathcal{C} , of all of σX with basic open sets of the form oU where $U \in \tau(X)$. Since σX is H-closed we can find a finite subfamily of \mathcal{C} with the closures covering σX , and since $\text{cl}_{\sigma X} oU = \text{cl}_X U \cup oU$ we get a finite subfamily covering A , hence finite subfamily whose closures cover $\text{cl}_{\sigma X} A$. □

Corollary 32 ([14]). *Let X be a space. If $A \subseteq \sigma X \setminus X$ and A is closed in σX , then A is compact.*

Proposition 33. *A space X is a Čech g -space iff EX is Čech complete.*

PROOF: The space X is a Čech g -space iff X is G_δ in σX , i.e. $X = \bigcap_\omega U_n$ where $U_n \in \tau(\sigma X)$. Let $K_n = \sigma X \setminus U_n$, so $\sigma X \setminus X = \bigcup_\omega K_n$ and each K_n is compact. Now recall $\sigma X \setminus X \cong \sigma EX \setminus EX$. Consider $K_n \subseteq \sigma EX \setminus EX$, and let $\hat{U}_n = \sigma EX \setminus K_n$. Note $EX \subseteq \hat{U}_n$, and since $\bigcup_\omega K_n = \sigma EX \setminus EX$, then $EX = \bigcap_\omega \hat{U}_n$ and EX is G_δ in σEX and hence Čech complete. □

The argument can also be reversed. □

Corollary 34. *A space X is a Čech g -space iff X_s is a Čech g -space.*

PROOF: This follows from $EX = EX_s$. □

The following proposition is another characterization of countable spaces admitting an H-closed extension with countable remainder. First we note that if X is countable then EX is Lindelöf.

Lemma 35. *Let X be a countable space, then EX is Lindelöf.*

PROOF: Since $k : EX \rightarrow X$ is compact, $EX = \bigcup \{k^{\leftarrow}(x) : x \in X\}$ is the countable union of compact sets — hence Lindelöf. □

Proposition 36. *A countable space X admits an H-closed extension with countable remainder iff X is a Čech g -space.*

PROOF: Clearly if X admits an H-closed extension with countable remainder, then X is a Čech g -space.

Now suppose X is countable and a Čech g -space, then EX is Tychonoff and Čech complete. Also note since X is countable that X is Lindelöf. Therefore EX is Lindelöf. Since $R(EX)$ is a closed subset of EX , it is Lindelöf as well. By 18, EX has an H-closed extension with countable remainder. Therefore X does as well. \square

Combining the above with 6 we have the following.

Theorem 37. *For a countable space X the following are equivalent.*

- (1) X has an H-closed extension with countable remainder.
- (2) X is Katětov and X_s is first countable.
- (3) X is a Čech g -space.

The following provides a characterization of all Hausdorff spaces having an H-closed extension with countable remainder in terms of a special class of Čech g -sequences.

Proposition 38. *The space X has an H-closed extension with countable remainder iff X admits a Čech g -sequence $(\mathcal{C}_n)_\omega$ for which each free open ultrafilter p is not subordinate to \mathcal{C}_m only for $m = N_p$ for some $N_p \in \omega$.*

PROOF: Recall X has an H-closed extension with countable remainder iff $\sigma X \setminus X = \beta EX \setminus EX$ has a countable partition of compact sets $\{K_n\}$. Let $G_n = \sigma X \setminus K_n$, then G_n is open in σX and so $G_n = \bigcup oU$ where $oU \subseteq G_n$ and $U \in \tau(X)$. Since $X \subseteq G_n$ and $oU \cap X = U$, $X = \bigcup \{U : oU \subseteq G_n\}$, i.e. $\{U : oU \subseteq G_n\}$ is an open cover of X . Note for each $p \in \sigma X \setminus X$, $p \in K_n$ implies $p \notin K_m$ for $m \neq n$, i.e. $p \notin \sigma X \setminus G_n$ implies $p \in \sigma X \setminus G_m$ for $m \neq n$. Finally we get $U \notin p$ for all U such that $oU \subseteq G_m$ implies $V \in p$ for all V such that $oV \subseteq G_m$ for $m \neq n$. Let $\mathcal{C}_n = \{U : oU \subseteq G_n\}$, then $(\mathcal{C}_n)_\omega$ is a sequence of open covers of X . Also, for each $p \in \sigma X \setminus X$ there is an $N \in \omega$ such that $U \notin p$ for all $U \in \mathcal{C}_N$ (i.e. $p \in K_N$). In addition, for all $p \in \sigma X \setminus X$, p (as an open filter) is subordinate to all \mathcal{C}_n where $n \neq N$. Hence no free open ultrafilter on X is subordinate to (\mathcal{C}_n) and (\mathcal{C}_n) is a Čech g -sequence on X — one in which each open ultrafilter is excluded at exactly one level.

The argument above can be reversed. That is given a special Čech g -sequence $(\mathcal{C}_n)_\omega$, we simply notice that $\{K_n : K_n = \sigma X \setminus \bigcup \{oU : U \in \mathcal{C}_n\}\}$ is a countable compact partition of $\sigma X \setminus X$. \square

Császár [2] gives an example showing not all Čech g -spaces are Čech f -spaces, a somewhat simpler example is provided by the following.

Example 39. Let X be the unit interval with the topology generated by open sets of the form $I \setminus M$ where I is an interval and M is countable. Then X is a Hausdorff Čech g -space which is not a Čech f -space.

PROOF: Since X is H-closed, it is a Čech g -space.

To show X is not a Čech f -space, let (\mathcal{C}_n) be a sequence of open covers of X . Select $C_n \in \mathcal{C}_n$ such that $0 \in C_n$ and then I_n and M_n such that $0 \in I_n \setminus M_n \subseteq C_n$.

Define

$$M_0 = \bigcup_1^\infty M_n \cup \{0\},$$

find some

$$x_k \in \left(\left(\bigcap_1^\infty I_n \right) \cap \left[0, \frac{1}{k} \right] \right) \setminus M_0,$$

and finally let

$$A_n = \{x_k : k \geq n\}.$$

After noting that A_n is closed by virtue of being countable, by $A_n \subseteq I_n \setminus M_0 \subseteq I_n \setminus M_n \subseteq C_n$ the system $\mathcal{A} = \{A_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ is a closed filter base subordinate to (\mathcal{C}_n) . So since $\bigcap A_n = \emptyset$, X is not a Čech f -space. \square

Császár goes on to ask whether every Čech f -space is also a Čech g -space. This is not the case.

Theorem 40. *There is a space which is a Čech f -space but not a Čech g -space.*

The following lemma is well known and can be found in Chapter 9 of [6].

Lemma 41. *If X is locally compact and realcompact, then every infinite closed subset of $\beta X \setminus X$ has cardinality at least 2^c .*

We now construct a special subset of $\beta\omega \setminus \omega$.

Lemma 42. *There is a set $D \subseteq \beta\omega \setminus \omega = \omega^*$ for which D intersects every infinite compact subset of ω^* and $\omega^* \setminus D$ also intersects every infinite compact subset of ω^* .*

PROOF: Note any infinite compact subset of ω^* has a countably infinite subset. We consider the family of sets $\mathcal{C} = \{C : C \text{ is a countably infinite subset of } \omega^*\}$. Note $|\mathcal{C}| = (2^c)^\omega = 2^c$. Hence if $\mathcal{K} = \{K : K = \text{cl}_{\beta\omega} C \text{ for some } C \in \mathcal{C}\}$, then $|\mathcal{K}| \leq 2^c$. We construct D recursively; begin by well-ordering $\mathcal{K} = \{K_\beta : \beta < 2^c\}$. Let $p \in D_0$ and $q \in E_0$ where $p, q \in K_0$ and $p \neq q$.

For $\alpha + 1$ a successor ordinal, let $D_{\alpha+1} = D_\alpha \cup \{p\}$ and $E_{\alpha+1} = E_\alpha \cup \{q\}$ where $p, q \in K_{\alpha+1} \setminus (D_\alpha \cup E_\alpha)$ and $p \neq q$. Note $K_{\alpha+1} \setminus (D_\alpha \cup E_\alpha) \neq \emptyset$ since $|K_{\alpha+1}| = 2^c$ but $|D_\alpha \cup E_\alpha| < 2^c$.

For α a limit ordinal, let $D_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} D_\beta \cup \{p\}$ and $E_\alpha = \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} E_\beta \cup \{q\}$ where $p, q \in K_\alpha \setminus (\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} D_\beta \cup \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} E_\beta)$ and $p \neq q$. Note $K_\alpha \setminus (\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} D_\beta \cup \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} E_\beta) \neq \emptyset$ since $|K_\alpha| = 2^c$ but still $|\bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} D_\beta \cup \bigcup_{\beta < \alpha} E_\beta| < 2^c$.

Let $D = \bigcup_{2^c} D_\alpha$ and $E = \bigcup_{2^c} E_\alpha$. Note $D \cap E = \emptyset$ and for each infinite compact subset K of ω^* , $K \cap D \neq \emptyset$ and $K \cap E \neq \emptyset$. \square

PROOF OF 40: Consider the set D constructed above as a subset of $\kappa\omega$. Let $X = \kappa\omega \setminus D$, then X is a Čech f -space but not a Čech g -space.

To show X is a Čech f -space we must find a sequence of open covers $(\mathcal{C}_n)_\omega$ of X for which every subordinate closed filter base has nonempty adherence. The

sequence $(\mathcal{C}_n)_\omega$ where $\mathcal{C}_n = \mathcal{C} = \{\{p\} \cup \omega : p \in X \setminus \omega\}$ suffices. For suppose \mathcal{F} is a subordinate closed filter base, then there is some $F \in \mathcal{F}$ and $U \in \mathcal{C}$ for which $F \subseteq U$. Now F cannot contain an infinite subset V of ω because then $oV \cap X \subseteq \text{cl}_X V \subseteq F$, but $oV \cap X \not\subseteq U$. So $F \cap \omega$ is finite, and hence F is finite. Now \mathcal{F} contains a compact set and hence has nonempty adherence.

To show X is not a Čech g -space we consider the following diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \omega = E\omega & \xrightarrow{\subset} & EX = X_s & \xrightarrow{\subset} & E(\kappa\omega) = \beta\omega \\
 \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 \omega & \xrightarrow{\subset} & X & \xrightarrow{\subset} & \kappa\omega.
 \end{array}$$

In this case if X is a Čech g -space then $EX = X_s$ is Čech complete. But then EX is G_δ in every Hausdorff extension, in particular $\beta\omega$ — contradicting the construction of D . □

From the above a space must be a Čech g -space if it is to have an H-closed extension with countable remainder. By 18, if we also have that the residue of EX , $R(EX)$, is Lindelöf, then this is sufficient to guarantee an H-closed extension of the space with countable remainder. Hence we have the following corollary.

Corollary 43. *If a space X is a Čech g -space and $R(EX)$ is Lindelöf, then X has an H-closed extension with countable remainder.*

It seems that the next step would be to generalize the condition on $R(EX)$ to a condition on the original space X . What follows are several theorems and examples obtained while trying to find conditions both necessary and sufficient for a space to have an H-closed extension with countable remainder.

Lemma 44. *The countable intersection of σ -compact subspaces in a regular space is Lindelöf.*

PROOF: Let X be a regular space, $B_n \subseteq X$ where B_n is σ -compact for $n \in \omega$, and $A = \bigcap_\omega B_n$. Note $\prod_\omega B_n$ is Lindelöf. The function $e : A \rightarrow \prod_\omega B_n$ defined by $e(x)(n) = x$ is an embedding and $e[A]$ is closed in the product. Therefore A is Lindelöf. □

Proposition 45 ([13]). *Let X be a Tychonoff, nowhere locally compact space. If X has an H-closed extension with countable remainder, then X has a dense Lindelöf subspace.*

Fact 46 ([13]). *A complete metric space is Katětov.*

Example 47 ([13]). Let D be the discrete space of cardinality \aleph_1 , and \mathbb{P} be the irrationals. Note both D and \mathbb{P} have compact extensions with countable remainder. Also, the space $D \times \mathbb{P}$ is locally Lindelöf and a complete metric space — hence Čech complete, first countable and Katětov. Recall \mathbb{P} has a coarser compact Hausdorff topology. In particular, $\mathbb{P} \cong \prod_\omega \omega$, and there is a continuous bijection

$f : \prod_{\omega} \omega \rightarrow \prod_{\omega} (\omega \cup \{\infty\})$. Let \mathbb{P}' denote \mathbb{P} with this coarser compact Hausdorff topology, then $D \times \mathbb{P}'$ is locally compact and Hausdorff. Thus, $D \times \mathbb{P}$ has a coarser compact Hausdorff topology. However, since the space is nowhere locally compact and has no dense Lindelöf subspace, $D \times \mathbb{P}$ has no H-closed extension with countable remainder.

The converse of 45 is false, for consider the space \mathbb{Q} . Also consider the following example, which has a dense subspace admitting an H-closed extension with countable remainder, but has none itself.

Example 48. Again let D be the discrete space of cardinality \aleph_1 and let D^* be the one point compactification of D . Let \mathbb{R} denote the real numbers with the usual topology and let \mathbb{R}^+ denote the two point compactification of \mathbb{R} . Let $X = \mathbb{P} \times D^* \times \mathbb{R}^+$ and note that $cX = \mathbb{R}^+ \times D^* \times \mathbb{R}^+$ is a compactification of X where $cX \setminus X = \mathbb{Q} \times D^* \times \mathbb{R}^+$ has a countable partition into compact sets. So X has an H-closed extension with countable remainder. Let $Y = X \cup (\mathbb{Q} \times D \times \mathbb{P})$, then cX is also a compactification of Y . However $cX \setminus Y = \mathbb{Q} \times [(D^* \times \mathbb{R}^+) \setminus (D \times \mathbb{P})]$ does not have a countable partition of compact sets, so Y has no H-closed extension with countable remainder. This is despite the fact Y is nowhere locally compact, X is a dense Lindelöf subspace of Y , and X itself has an H-closed extension with countable remainder.

Example 49. The space $X = \mathbb{P} \times 2$ with the lexicographic order has an H-closed extension with countable remainder, namely $Y = \mathbb{R}^+ \times 2$ with the lexicographic order, since X is both a Čech g -space and Lindelöf. The space X^2 also has an H-closed extension with countable remainder, though X^2 is not Lindelöf. In particular, notice Y^2 is a zero-dimensional compactification of X^2 , which has a remainder that can be expressed as the countable union of compact G_δ sets. Namely,

$$Y^2 \setminus X^2 = \bigcup_{q \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \mathbb{P}} [(\{q\} \times 2) \times (\mathbb{R} \times 2)] \cup \bigcup_{q' \in \mathbb{R}^+ \setminus \mathbb{P}} [(\mathbb{R} \times 2) \times (\{q'\} \times 2)].$$

Consider the following fact.

Fact 50. *Let a Tychonoff space X have an H-closed extension hX with a countable remainder. If \mathcal{U} is a family of pairwise disjoint open sets in X , then $\{U \in \mathcal{U} : U \cap R(X) \neq \emptyset\}$ is countable.*

PROOF: If U is an open set of X we denote by $o_h U$ the largest open set in hX such that $o_h U \cap X = U$. By the denseness of X in hX , $\{o_h U : U \in \mathcal{U}\}$ is a family of pairwise disjoint open sets in hX . If $U \cap R(X) \neq \emptyset$, then $o_h U \setminus X \neq \emptyset$. As $hX \setminus X$ is countable, $\{U \in \mathcal{U} : U \cap R(X) \neq \emptyset\}$ is countable. \square

We define the *relative cellularity* of a space X relative to a subspace A as follows: $c(A, X) = \sup\{\mathcal{U} : \mathcal{U} \text{ is a family of pairwise disjoint nonempty open subsets of } X \text{ such that } U \cap A \neq \emptyset \text{ for all } U \in \mathcal{U}\}$.

Thus by the fact above, if X is a Tychonoff space with an H-closed extension with countable remainder, then $c(R(X), X) = \omega$.

Corollary 51. *If X is Tychonoff, nowhere locally compact and has an H-closed extension with a countable remainder then $c(X) = \omega$.*

Remark 52. As the space $D \times \mathbb{P}$ described in 47 is nowhere locally compact and $c(X) = \omega_1$, it follows from the above that X has no H-closed extension with a countable remainder.

The next result extends a result of Hoshina [9] which states that if a paracompact space X has a compactification with a countable remainder then $R(X)$ is Lindelöf, and answers a question of Porter and Vermeer [13].

Proposition 53. *Let X be a paracompact Tychonoff space which has an H-closed extension hX with a countable remainder, then $R(X)$ is Lindelöf.*

PROOF: Let \mathcal{C} be an open cover of $R(X)$. Extend each $C \in \mathcal{C}$ to an open set C' of X such that $C' \cap R(X) = C$. Now $\{C' : C \in \mathcal{C}\} \cup \{X \setminus R(X)\}$ is an open cover of X and has an open refinement $\{\mathcal{U}_n\}_\omega$, where each \mathcal{U}_n is a pairwise disjoint family. Also, $\{U \cap R(X) : U \in \mathcal{U}_n, n \in \omega, U \cap R(X) \neq \emptyset\}$ is a refinement of \mathcal{C} . By 50, for each $n \in \omega$, $\{U \cap R(X) : U \in \mathcal{U}_n, U \cap R(X) \neq \emptyset\}$ is also countable. Hence \mathcal{C} has a countable subcover. \square

Considering the importance $R(X)$ seems to play in finding extension with countable remainder for Tychonoff spaces, we seek to generalize it all Hausdorff spaces. There are a few possibilities to consider. To begin we make the following notational definitions.

Definition 54. Given a space X set $R_\sigma(X) = X \cap \text{cl}_{\sigma X}(\sigma X \setminus X)$.

Notice that $x \in R_\sigma(X)$ iff for every open neighborhood U of x in σX there is some $p \in \sigma X \setminus X$ such that $U \in p$.

Definition 55. Given a space X , set $R_{EX}(X) = k[R(EX)]$.

Another characterization of $R_{EX}(X)$ is: $x \in R_{EX}(X)$ iff for each $U \in \tau(X)$ with $x \in \text{cl}_X U$ there is some $p \in \sigma X \setminus X$ such that $U \in p$.

Definition 56. Given a space X let

$$R_H(X) = \{x \in X : x \text{ has no H-closed neighborhood}\}.$$

Note that if $U \in \tau(X)$, A is an H-set of X and $U \subseteq A$ then $\text{cl}_X U$ is H-closed, so replacing “H-closed” with “H-set” in the previous definition does not obtain a larger set.

Proposition 57. *For a space X , $R_{EX}(X) \subseteq R_\sigma(X) = R_H(X)$.*

PROOF: Suppose $x \in R_{EX}(X)$, then there is some $p \in R(EX)$ such that $k(p) = x$. Now $p \in R(EX)$ iff for each $U \in p$ there is some $q \in \sigma EX \setminus EX$ such that $U \in q$.

Since $k(p) = x$ then $\mathcal{N}_p \subseteq p$. So for every open neighborhood U of there is some $q \in \sigma X \setminus X$ such that $U \in q$.

Now suppose $x \notin R_H(X)$, then there is some $U \in \mathcal{N}_x$ such that $\text{cl}_X U$ is H-closed. Now if p is an open ultrafilter on X then $\text{ad}(p) = \bigcap_p \text{cl}_X V = \bigcap_p \text{cl}_X (U \cap V) \neq \emptyset$. So every open ultrafilter containing U is fixed and $x \notin R_\sigma(X)$. Therefore $R_\sigma(X) \subseteq R_H(X)$.

Finally suppose $x \notin X \setminus R_\sigma(X)$, then there is some $U \in \mathcal{N}_x$ for which if p is a open ultrafilter and $U \in p$, then $\text{ad}(p) \neq \emptyset$. This means every open filter on $\text{cl}_X U$ has nonempty adherence and hence $\text{cl}_X U$ is H-closed. \square

The next example shows that the containment in the previous proposition can be strict.

Example 58. Let $X = [0, 1] \cup ([1, 2] \cap \mathbb{Q})$ with the usual topology as a subspace of \mathbb{R} . Let $x = 1$, then x has no H-closed neighborhood so $1 \notin R_\sigma(X)$. But $1 \in \text{cl}_X(0, 1)$ so $1 \in R_{EX}(X)$.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank his advisor Professor Jack Porter for his guidance and the referee for several corrections of style and content.

REFERENCES

- [1] Charalambous M.G., *Compactifications with countable remainder*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **78** (1980), no. 1, 127–131.
- [2] Császár K., *Generalized Čech-complete spaces*, Ann. Univ. Sci. Budapest Eötvös Sect. Math. **25** (1982), 229–238.
- [3] Dickman R.F., Jr., Porter J.R., Rubin L.R., *Completely regular absolutes and projective objects*, Pacific J. Math. **94** (1981), 277–295.
- [4] Engelking R., *General Topology*, Polish Scientific Publishers, Warsaw, 1977.
- [5] Frolík Z., *Generalization of the G_δ -property of complete metric spaces*, Czechoslovak Math. J. **10** (1960), 359–379.
- [6] Gillman L., Jerison M., *Rings of Continuous Functions*, Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 1960.
- [7] Henriksen M., *Tychonoff spaces that have a compactification with a countable remainder*, General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra, IV (Proc. Fourth Prague Topological Sympos. Prague, 1976), Part B, Soc. Czech. Mathematicians and Physicists, Prague, 1977, pp. 164–167.
- [8] Hoshina T., *Compactifications by adding a countable number of points*, General Topology and its Relations to Modern Analysis and Algebra, IV Part B, Soc. Czech. Mathematicians and Physicists, Prague, 1977, pp. 168–169.
- [9] Hoshina T., *Countable-points compactifications for metric spaces*, Fund. Math. **103** (1979), 123–132.
- [10] Hoshina T., *Countable-points compactifications of product spaces*, Tsukuba J. Math. **6** (1982), 231–236.
- [11] Morita K., *On bicompatifications of semibicompat spaces*, Sci. R. Tokyo Bunrika Daigaku Sect. A **4** (1952), 200–207.
- [12] Porter J.R., *Lattices of H-closed extensions*, Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Sér. Sci. Math. Astronom. Phys. **22** (1974), 831–837.
- [13] Porter J.R., Vermeer J., *Spaces with coarser Hausdorff topologies*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **289** (1985), no. 1, 59–71.

- [14] Porter J.R., Votaw C., *H-closed extensions. II*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **202** (1975), 193–209.
- [15] Porter J.R., Woods R.G., *Extensions and Absolutes of Hausdorff Spaces*, Springer, Berlin, 1988.
- [16] Terada T., *On countable discrete compactifications*, General Topology Appl. **7** (1977), 321–327.
- [17] Tikoo M.L., *Remainders of H-closed extensions*, Topology Appl. **23** (1986), 117–128.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF KANSAS, LAWRENCE, KS 66045,
USA

E-mail: dmcneill@math.ku.edu

(Received August 22, 2011, revised January 23, 2012)