W. Weinelt A method of BAZLEY-FOX type for the eingenvalues of the LAPLACE operator

Acta Universitatis Carolinae. Mathematica et Physica, Vol. 15 (1974), No. 1-2, 167--169

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/142350

Terms of use:

© Univerzita Karlova v Praze, 1974

Institute of Mathematics of the Academy of Sciences of the Czech Republic provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This paper has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://project.dml.cz

A Method of BAZLEY-FOX Type for the Eigenvalues of the LAPLACE Operator

W. WEINELT

Technical University, Department of Mathematics, Karl-Marx-Stadt

For determining lower bounds to eigenvalues of the Laplacian in bounded domains of the Euklidian space $R_m(m \ge 2)$ with boundary conditions of the first kind there will be given a method of intermediate problems. The method leads to an eigenvalue problem for matrices.

1. Let be $G \subset R_m$ $(m \ge 2)$ a bounded domain with a piecewise smooth boundary Γ . We consider the eigenvalue problem

$$-\Delta u = -\sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x_i^2} = \lambda u(x) (x = (x_1, ..., x_m) \in G), \ u(x) = 0 \ (x \in \Gamma).$$
(1')

With the selfadjoint extension A of the negative Laplacian in the Hilbert space $H = L_2(G)$ we describe (1') by

$$Au = \lambda u . \tag{1}$$

The eigenvalues of A (each according to its multiplicity) let by designed by

$$0 < \lambda_1 \leq \lambda_2 \leq \dots \leq \lambda_n \leq \dots$$
 (2)

In comparison with other methods [6], [7], [1], [2], [5], [4] our device will be applicable not only for special domains G, do not need special series of functions from the range of definition D(A) and will lead to a finite matrix eigenvalue problem.

2. First we construct a bounded domain $G_0 \supset G$ with the boundary Γ_0 . The only demand is, that the eigenvalue problem (1') for the domain G_0 is solvable (we can take as G_0 , for instance, a sphere or a cube of dimension *m*). Let be A_0 the corresponding selfadjoint operator in $H_0 = L_2(G_0)$, its eigenvalues

$$0 < \lambda_1^0 \leq \lambda_2^0 \leq \ldots \leq \lambda_n^0 \leq \ldots$$
(3)

and its orthonormed in H_0 eigenfunctions

$$u_1^0, u_2^0, \dots, u_n^0, \dots$$
 (4)

We have (see, for instance, [3])

$$\lambda_i^0 \leq \lambda_i \ (i=1,2,\ldots) \ . \tag{5}$$

167

Instead of the operator A we now consider the operator

$$A^{(k)} = A_0 + (1 + k\Theta(x)) I = A_0 + A', \quad I \le A' \le (1 + k) I$$
(6)

in H_0 . Here are k = const > 0, I the identical operator and

$$\Theta(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & G_0 - G \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in \\ 0 & G \end{cases}$$

Theorem 1. For any k > 0 the operators $A^{(k)}$ are symmetric and positive definite in H_0 and have a point spectrum only. If $\lambda_i^{(k)}$ denote the eigenvalues of $A^{(k)}$ (i = 1, 2, ...), then hold

$$\lambda_{i}^{(k)} \leq \lambda_{i}^{(k')} \leq \lambda_{i} + 1 \quad (k \leq k', \ i = 1, 2, ...),$$
$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \lambda_{i}^{(k)} = \lambda_{i} + 1 \quad (i = 1, 2, ...).$$
(7)

Now we construct, as in the work [1], from (6) the intermediate operators

$$A_n^{(k)} = A_0 + A' P_n , (8)$$

where P_n are the orthogonal projectors in the energetic Hilbert space H_A , (see, for instance, [5]) onto the span of linearly independent elements $p_1, p_2, ..., p_n \in H_{A'}$. If we choose $A'p_i = u_i^0$, that is

$$p_i(x) = u_i^0(x) (1 + k\Theta(x))^{-1},$$
 (9)

then holds

Theorem 2. The eigenvalues $\lambda_{i,n}^{(k)}$ of $A_n^{(k)}$ from (8) with fulfilling (9) are

(i) the eigenvalues of the symmetric matrix

$$\Lambda_n^0 + (S_n^{(k)})^{-1}, (10)$$

where

$$egin{aligned} & arLambda_n^0 = (\lambda_i^0 \delta_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n \,, \,\, S_n^{(k)} = (s_{ij}^{(k)})_{i,j=1}^n \,, \ & s_{ij}^{(k)} = rac{1}{1+k} \,\, \delta_{ij} + \,\, rac{k}{1+k} \,\, (u_i^0, \, u_j^0)_{L_2(G)} \,, \end{aligned}$$

(ii) the values λ_{n+1}^0 , λ_{n+2}^0 ,

These eigenvalues $\lambda_{i,n}^{(k)}$ are lower bounds to $\lambda_i + 1$ (i = 1, 2, ...). Since for fixed *i* and *n*, $\lambda_{i,n}^{(k)}$ increases with *k*, this parameter *k* may be choosen as large as possible, especially $k \to \infty$.

In relation to the convergence of the method, we have

Theorem 3. For any i = 1, 2, ... and any $\varepsilon > 0$ there exist a $k_0(\varepsilon)$ and a $n_0(k, \varepsilon)$ such that

$$0 \leq \lambda_i + 1 - \lambda_{i,n}^{(k)} < \varepsilon$$
 for $k > k_0(\varepsilon)$ and $n > n_0(k, \varepsilon)$.

References

- [1] BAZLEY, N. W., FOX, D. W.: J. Res. Nat. Bur. St. B65, 105 (1961).
- [2] BAZLEY, N. W., FOX, D. W., STADTER, J. T.: ZAMP 18, 445 (1967).
- [3] COURANT, R., HILBERT, D.: Methoden der Mathematischen Physik, Berlin (1931).
- [4] LEHMANN, N. J.: Ž. vyč. mat. i mat. fiz. 11, 1374 (1971).
- [5] MICHLIN, S. G.: Variazionnyje metody v matematičeskoj fisike. Moskva (1970).
- [6] TREFFTZ, E.: Math. Ann. 108, 595 (1933).
- 7] WEINSTEIN, A.: J. London Math. Soc. 10, 184 (1935).