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# A Note on the Endomorphism Ring of a Module Artinian with Respect to a Preradical 

JOSEF JIRÁSKO*)
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Endomorphism rings of some artinian and torsion modules are studied.
Studují se okruhy endomorfizmů některých artinovských a torzních modulủ.
Изучаются кольца эндоморфизмов некоторых артиновых модулей с кручением.

In what follows $R$ stands for an associative ring with unity and $R$-mod denotes the category of all unitary left $R$-modules. Let us denote by $S$ the endomorphism ring of $M \in R$-mod. Let $P(S)$ denote the ideal of $S$ consisting of all endomorphisms with small images. Our aim is to investigate the nilpotency of $P(S)$ for a module $M$ artinian and torsion with respect to a preradical.

The results as well as the methods used here are dual to those presented by J. S. Golan in [4].

We start with some basic definitions from the theory of preradicals. A preradical $r$ for $R$-mod is a subfunctor of the identity functor. A preradical $r$ is idempotent if $r(r(M))=r(M)$ for every $M \in R$-mod, and is a radical if $r(M / r(M))=0$ for every $M \in R$-mod. A preradical $r$ is called -hereditary if $r$ is left exact as a functor, cohereditary if $r$ preserves epimorphisms. For preradicals $r, s$, the preradical $r \circ s$ is defined by $(r \circ s)(M)=r(s(M))$. For a preradical $r$ and for every ordinal number $a \geqq 1$ let us define the preradical $r^{a}$ as follows: $r^{1}=r, r^{a+1}=r \circ r^{a}, r^{a}=\bigcap r^{b} ; 1 \leqq b<a$ for $a$ limit. As it is very well known $\bar{r}=\bigcap r^{a}$ is the idempotent core of a preradical $r$. For each left $R$-module $M$ there is the least ordinal $h=h(r, M)$ with $r^{h}(M)=$ $=r^{h+1}(M)=\ldots$. The ordinal $h$ is called the $r$-colength of $M$.

For a nonempty class of modules $\mathscr{A}$ the radical $p^{\mathscr{A}}$ is defined by $p^{\mathscr{A}}(M)=$ $=\bigcap \operatorname{Ker} f ; f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, A), A \in \mathscr{A}$.

In what follows $\mathscr{T}_{r}, \mathscr{F}_{r}$ denote the class of all $r$-torsion, $r$-torsionfree modules respectively.

The fact that $N$ is a small submodule of a module $M$ will be denoted by $N \ll M$.
Let $N$ be a submodule of a module $M$. A cocomplement of $N$ in $M$ is a submodule $S$ of $M$ with $N+S=M$ and $N \cap S \ll M$. A module $M$ is called cocomplemented if each submodule of $M$ has a cocomplement.

[^0]Recall a module $M$ hollow if each proper submodule of $M$ is small in $M$.
Let $r$ be a preradical. A nonzero module $M \in \mathscr{T}_{r}$ is called $r$-cosupporting if each proper submodule of $M$ is $r$-torsionfree.

Remark: Let $r$ be a preradical and $M \in \mathscr{T}_{r}$. Then
(i) if $r$ is hereditary then $M$ is $r$-cosupporting if and only if $M$ is simple,
(ii) if $r$ is cohereditary and $M$ is $r$-cosupporting then $M$ is hollow.

Let $r$ be a preradical. A module $M \in \mathscr{T}_{r}$ is called $r$-cofull if $N \in \mathscr{F}_{r}$ whenever $N \lll M$.

Remark: Let $r$ be a hereditary preradical and $M \in \mathscr{T}_{r}$. Then $M$ is $r$-cofull if and only if $J(M)=0$.

In the following Lemma we present without the proof elementary properties of cofull modules.

Lemma 1: Let $r$ be a preradical and $N$ be a submodule of a module $M$. Then:
(i) If $M$ is $r$-cofull and $N \in \mathscr{T}_{r}$ then $N$ is $r$-cofull;
(ii) If $M \in \mathscr{T}_{r},\left\{M_{i} ; i \in I\right\}$ is the family of submodules of $M$ with $M / M_{i} r$-cofull for each $i \in I$ then $M / \bigcap_{i \in I} M_{i}$ is $r$-cofull;
(iii) If $r$ is idempotent $M \in \mathscr{T}_{r}, N \in \mathscr{F}_{r}$, and $M / N$ is $r$-cofull then $M$ is $r$-cofull;
(iv) If $r$ is cohereditary and $M$ is cocomplemented $r$-cofull then $M / N$ is $r$-cofull.

Let $r$ be a preradical. A module $M$ is called $r$-semicosupporting if $M$ is an epimorphic image of a direct sum of finitely many $r$-cosupporting modules.

Remark: For a left perfect ring and an idempotent cohereditary radical a module $M$ is $r$-semicosupporting if and only if $M$ is $r$-cofull and $M$ has a finite corank in the sense of [7].

Let $r$ be a preradical. A module $M$ is called $r$-artinian if $M$ satisfies the descending chain condition on $r$-torsion submodules.

Remark: (i) If $r$ is a hereditary preradical then a module $M$ is $r$-artinian if and only if $r(M)$ is artinian.
(ii) If $R$ is a left perfect ring, $r$ is an idempotent cohereditary radical and $M$ is an $r$-torsion $r$-artinian module then $M$ is $r$-cofull if and only if $M$ is $r$-semicosupporting.

Let $r$ be a preradical. In what follows $\mathscr{A}_{r}$ will denote the class of all $r$-cofull modules.

For a preradical $r$ consider the following transfinite sequence of idempotent preradicals as follows:

$$
r_{0}=\bar{r}, \quad r_{a+1}=p^{\bar{s} r_{a} \circ r_{a}}, \quad r_{a}=\overline{\bigcap r_{b}} ; \quad 0 \leqq b<a \text { for } a \text { limit } .
$$

Let $r$ be a preradical and $M \in R$-mod. We say that $M$ has $r$-codimension if there is an ordinal number $a$ with $r_{a}(M)=0$.

Proposition 1: Let $r$ be an idempotent preradical and $s=p^{\mathscr{A} r} \circ r$. Any $r$-artinian module has finite $s$-colength.

Proof: Let $M$ be an $r$-artinian module. Put $M_{a}=\left(p^{\mathscr{A} r} \circ r\right)^{a}(M)$ for each ordinal number $a$. Then $r\left(M_{a+1}\right) \subseteq r\left(M_{a}\right)$ for every $a \geqq 1$ and hence there is a natural number $i$ with $r\left(M_{i}\right)=r\left(M_{i+1}\right)=\ldots, M$ being $r$-artinian. Thus $M_{i+2}=\left(p^{\mathscr{d} r} \circ r\right)$ $\left(M_{i+1}\right)=M_{i+1}$.

Let $r$ be a preradical and $M \in R$-mod having the $r$-codimenion. Then we have the descending sequence

$$
0=r_{a}(M) \subseteq \ldots \subseteq r_{1}(M) \subseteq r_{0}(M)=\bar{r}(M)
$$

of $r$-torsion submodules of $M$. If $M$ is $r$-artinian then only finitely many of these inclusions are proper. In this case there is a finite sequence of nonlimit ordinals $\langle n(0), \ldots, n(k)\rangle$ such that $($ i) $n(0)=0$, (ii) if $0 \leqq j .<k$ then $n(j+1)=\inf \{i>n(j)$; $\left.r_{i}(M) \neq r_{n(j)}(M)\right\}$ and (iii) $r_{n(k)}(M)=0$.

We will say that the module $M$ is of $r$-type $\langle n(0), \ldots, n(k)\rangle$.
Let $M \in R-\bmod$ and $S=\operatorname{End}_{R}(M)$. As it is very well known $P(S)=\{f \in S$; $\operatorname{Im} f \ll M\}$ is an ideal of $S$ and $P(S)=J(S)$ if $M$ is quasi-projective.

Remark: Let $r$ be a preradical. If $M$ is a $r$-torsion $r$-artinian module then $P(S)$ is a nil ideal.

Lemma 2: Let $r$ be a preradical, $M, N \in R-\bmod , N \in \mathscr{T}_{r}$ and $f \in \operatorname{Hom}_{R}(M, N)$ with $\operatorname{Im} f \ll N$. Then $\bar{r}(M) f \subseteq p^{\cdot \mathscr{q} r}(N)$.

Proof: By Lemma 1 (i), (ii) $N / p^{, Q r}(N)$ is $r$-cofull. Let us denote $X=(\bar{r}(M) f+$ $\left.+p^{\mathscr{\alpha r}}(N)\right) / p^{\alpha d r}(N)$. Then $X \in \mathscr{T}_{r}$ and $X \ll N / p^{\Delta \ell_{r}}(N)$ implies $X=0, N / p^{\mathscr{\alpha r}}(N)$ being $r$-cofull. Thus $\bar{r}(M) f \subseteq p^{s a r}(N)$.

Proposition 2: Let $r$ be a preradical and $M \in \mathscr{T}_{r}$. Then:
(i) $M P(S)^{i} \subseteq\left(p^{\alpha q_{r}} \circ \bar{r}\right)^{i}(M)$ for each positive integer $i$;
(ii) If $r$ is a radical, $M_{k}=\left(p^{\mathscr{Q} r} \circ \bar{r}\right)^{k}(M), S_{k}=\operatorname{End}_{R}\left(M / M_{k}\right), P_{k}=P\left(S_{k}\right)$, $k$ natural then $P_{k}^{k}=0$.

Proof: (i) Let us denote $M_{i}=\left(p^{\mathscr{S r} r} \circ \bar{r}\right)^{i}(M)$ for each positive integer $i$. By Lemma 2 we have $M P(S) \subseteq M_{1}$. Suppose $M P(S)^{k} \subseteq M_{k}, k \geqq 1, h \in P(S)^{k}$ and $g \in P(S)$. Then $M g \subseteq p^{\mathscr{\alpha} r}(M)$ and $p^{\mathscr{A} r}(M) h \subseteq\left(p^{\mathscr{A} r} \circ \bar{r}\right)\left(M_{k}\right)=M_{k+1}$ give $M g h \subseteq$ $\subseteq M_{k+1}$.
(ii) By induction similarly as in (i).

Theorem: Let $r$ be a preradical. Let $M$ be an $r$-torsion $r$-artinian left $R$-module with endomorphism ring $S$, having $r$-codimension and of $r$-type $\langle n(0), \ldots, n(k)\rangle$.


Then $P(S)$ is a nilpotent ideal of $S$ the index of nilpotency of which is not greater than the sum of the nonleading coefficients of the polynomial $\prod_{i=0}^{k-1}\left(x+h^{\prime}(i)\right)$.

Proof: By Proposition $1 h^{\prime} i$ ) is finite for $i=0,1, \ldots, k-1$. By Proposition 2 (i) $M P(S)^{h(0)} \subseteq r_{n(1)}(M)$. As it is easy to see $\operatorname{Im} g \ll r_{n(1)}(M)$ for $g \in P(S)^{h(0)+1}$. Let us suppose $M P(S)^{s(i)} \subseteq r_{n(i)}(M)$, where $1 \leqq i \leqq k-1$ and $\left.s_{( }^{\prime} i\right)$ is the sum of the nonleading coefficients of $\prod_{n=0}^{i-1}(x+h(n))$. Let us denote $\left.s=s_{( }^{\prime} i\right), t=$ $=n(i+1)-1$ and let us suppose $\operatorname{Im} h \ll r_{n(i)}(M)$ for $h \in P(S)^{s+1}$. Let us denote $M_{m}=\left(p^{s r_{t}} \circ r_{t}\right)^{m}(M)$ for each positive integer $m$. If $h \in P^{\prime}(S)^{s+1}$ then $r_{t}{ }_{t}(M) h \subseteq M_{1}$ by Lemma 2. Further, $M g \subseteq r_{t}(M)$ for $g \in P(S)^{s}$ by assumption and consequently $M P(S)^{2 s+1} \subseteq M_{1}$. Let us suppose $M P(S)^{j s+j-1} \subseteq M_{j-1}, j>1$. If $h \in P(S)^{s+1}$ then $r_{t}(M) h \subseteq M_{1}$ implies $M_{j-1} h \subseteq M_{j}$. Therefore $M P(S)^{(j+1) s+j} \subseteq M_{j}$. Hence $M P(S)^{(h(i)+1) s+h(i)} \subseteq r_{n(i+1)}(M)$. The sum of the nonleading coefficients of $(x+h(i)) \prod_{n=0}^{i-1}(x+h(n))$ is equal to $s+h(i)+h(i) s=(h(i)+1) s+h(i)$. Let us put $s^{\prime}=(h(i)+1) s+h(i)$. By assumption $\operatorname{Im} h \ll r_{t}(M)$ for $h \in P(S)^{s+1}$. If $\left.g \in P_{( }^{\prime} S\right)^{s+1}$ then $\operatorname{Im} h g \ll r_{t}(M) g \subseteq M_{1}$ and consequently $\operatorname{Im} h g \ll M_{1}$. Continue in this manner to prove $\operatorname{Im} f \ll M_{h(i)}=r_{n(i+1)}(M)$ for $f \in P(S)^{s^{\prime}+1}$.
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