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#### Abstract

We show that the rings of constants of generic four-variable Lotka-Volterra derivations are finitely generated polynomial rings. We explicitly determine these rings, and we give a description of all polynomial first integrals of their corresponding systems of differential equations. Besides, we characterize cofactors of Darboux polynomials of arbitrary four-variable Lotka-Volterra systems. These cofactors are linear forms with coefficients in the set of nonnegative integers. Lotka-Volterra systems have various applications in such branches of science as population biology and plasma physics, among many others.
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## 1. InTRODUCTION

Throughout this paper, $k$ is a field of characteristic zero. By $k[X]$ we denote $k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$, the polynomial ring in $n$ variables. For $n \leqslant 3$ the ring of constants of any derivation of $k[X]$ is finitely generated (see [7]). For $n=4$ the ring of constants may not be finitely generated. An example was given in [3]. There is no general procedure for determining the ring of constants, nor even deciding whether it is finitely generated. Even for a given specific derivation of $k[X]$ the problem may be difficult, see various counterexamples to Hilbert's fourteenth problem (for example [3]) and the three-variable Lotka-Volterra derivation (for example [5]). Such problems are closely linked to the invariant theory, namely for every connected algebraic group $G \subseteq \mathrm{Gl}_{n}(k)$ there exists a derivation $d$ such that $k[X]^{G}=k[X]^{d}$ (see, for instance, [6]).

It is well known that Lotka-Volterra systems play a significant role in population biology. They also have many applications in other branches of science, for
instance in plasma physics (for more details we refer the reader to [1] and its extensive bibliography). Moreover, they play an important part in the derivation theory itself. A derivation $d: k[X] \rightarrow k[X]$ is said to be factorizable if $d\left(x_{i}\right)=x_{i} f_{i}$, where the polynomials $f_{i}$ are of degree 1 for $i=1, \ldots, n$. Examples of such derivations are Lotka-Volterra derivations. How to associate a factorizable derivation with any given derivation is shown in [10]. The construction helps to establish new facts on constants of the initial derivation (see, for instance, [8]). We have thus a special interest in describing constants of factorizable derivations.

Section 3 provides some facts on Darboux polynomials of Lotka-Volterra derivations in 4 variables with arbitrary coefficients. Section 4 contains several properties of Lotka-Volterra derivations for $n$ variables, which supply potential tools for further studies. In Section 5, we prove Theorem 5.1, which gives a full description of the ring of polynomial constants of the derivation $d: k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right] \rightarrow k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right]$ defined by

$$
d=\sum_{i=1}^{4} x_{i}\left(x_{i-1}-C_{i} x_{i+1}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{i}},
$$

for $C_{i}$ not belonging to the set of positive rationals. It is the main result of the paper. As a consequence we obtain that a generic four-variable Lotka-Volterra system has a finitely generated ring of constants.

## 2. Notation and preliminaries

If $R$ is a commutative $k$-algebra, then a $k$-linear map $d: R \rightarrow R$ is called a derivation of $R$ if $d(a b)=a d(b)+d(a) b$ for all $a, b \in R$. We call $R^{d}=\operatorname{ker} d$ the ring of constants of the derivation $d$. If $f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n} \in k[X]$, then there exists exactly one derivation $d: k[X] \rightarrow k[X]$ such that $d\left(x_{1}\right)=f_{1}, \ldots, d\left(x_{n}\right)=f_{n}$. The set $k[X]^{d} \backslash k$ is equal to the set of all polynomial first integrals of the corresponding system of ordinary differential equations (see [6] for more details).

A derivation $d: k[X] \rightarrow k[X]$ is called homogeneous of degree $s$ if the image of a homogeneous form of degree $t$ under $d$ is a homogeneous form of degree $s+t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Since $k$ is a field of characteristic zero, we have $\mathbb{Q} \subseteq k$. Let $\mathbb{Q}_{+}$ denote the set of positive rationals and $\mathbb{N}$ denote the set of nonnegative integers. For $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$, we denote by $X^{\alpha}$ the monomial $x_{1}^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots x_{n}^{\alpha_{n}} \in k[X]$ and by $|\alpha|$ the sum $\alpha_{1}+\ldots+\alpha_{n}$.

Let $n \geqslant 3$. Throughout the rest of this paper, $R=k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ and $d: R \rightarrow R$ is a derivation of the form

$$
d\left(x_{i}\right)=x_{i}\left(x_{i-1}-C_{i} x_{i+1}\right)
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, n$, and we adhere to the convention that $x_{n+1}=x_{1}$ and $x_{0}=x_{n}$. All our considerations are in the cyclic sense; for example, $\{i, i+1\}$ admits also $\{n, 1\}$. We write a minus sign before $C_{i}$ just to simplify further computations. Denote by $R_{(m)}$ the homogeneous component of $R$ of degree $m$. Let $R_{(m)}^{d}=R_{(m)} \cap R^{d}$. Since $d$ is homogeneous, we have $R^{d}=\underset{m=0}{\infty} R_{(m)}^{d}$ and we need only to determine the homogeneous constants.

## 3. Darboux polynomials

A nonzero polynomial $f$ is said to be a Darboux polynomial of a derivation $\delta: R \rightarrow$ $R$ if $\delta(f)=\Lambda f$ for some $\Lambda \in R$. We will call $\Lambda$ a cofactor of $f$. Since $R$ is a domain, $\Lambda$ is unique. The product $f_{1} f_{2}$ of Darboux polynomials is a Darboux polynomial and its cofactor equals the sum of the cofactors of $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$.

Proposition 3.1 is well known (see [6], Proposition 2.2.1). It is true for $k$ being any unique factorization domain and any derivation $\delta$ of $k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$.

Proposition 3.1. If $f \in R$ is a Darboux polynomial of $\delta$, then all factors of $f$ are also Darboux polynomials of $\delta$.

We call a polynomial $g \in R$ strict if it is nonzero, homogeneous and not divisible by the variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. Every nonzero homogeneous polynomial $f \in R$ has a unique presentation $f=X^{\alpha} g$, where $X^{\alpha}$ is a monomial and $g$ is strict.

If $f$ is a Darboux polynomial of a homogeneous derivation $\delta$ with a cofactor $\Lambda$, then every homogeneous part of $f$ is a Darboux polynomial of $\delta$ with the same cofactor $\Lambda$ (see [6], Proposition 2.2.3).

If $f=X^{\alpha} g$ is a Darboux polynomial of the derivation $d$, then it is easy to compute the cofactor of the monomial $X^{\alpha}$ (see the proof of Lemma 3.4). Thus we are going to characterize cofactors of strict Darboux polynomials (Lemma 3.2 and Corollary 3.3). Such a characterization for 3 variables was done in [4]. Since $d$ is a homogeneous derivation of degree 1, the cofactor of any homogeneous Darboux polynomial is a homogeneous form of degree 1.

Lemma 3.2. Let $n=4$. Let $g \in R_{(m)}$ be a Darboux polynomial of $d$ with the cofactor $\lambda_{1} x_{1}+\ldots+\lambda_{4} x_{4}$. Let $i \in\{1,2,3,4\}$. If $g$ is not divisible by $x_{i}$, then $\lambda_{i+1} \in \mathbb{N}$. More precisely, if $g\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{i-1}, 0, x_{i+1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right)=x_{i+2}^{\beta_{i+2}} \bar{G}$ and $x_{i+2} \nmid \bar{G}$, then $\lambda_{i+1}=\beta_{i+2}$ and $\lambda_{i+3}=-C_{i+2} \lambda_{i+1}$.

Proof. Without loss of generality we can assume that $i=4$. Since $g$ is a Darboux polynomial, we have

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{4} x_{i}\left(x_{i-1}-C_{i} x_{i+1}\right) \frac{\partial g}{\partial x_{i}}=\left(\lambda_{1} x_{1}+\ldots+\lambda_{4} x_{4}\right) g
$$

We put $x_{4}=0$ in the equation above and obtain

$$
-x_{1} C_{1} x_{2} \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_{1}}+x_{2}\left(x_{1}-C_{2} x_{3}\right) \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_{2}}+x_{3} x_{2} \frac{\partial G}{\partial x_{3}}=\left(\lambda_{1} x_{1}+\lambda_{2} x_{2}+\lambda_{3} x_{3}\right) G,
$$

where $G=g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, 0\right) \neq 0$, since $x_{4} \nmid g$.
Let $G=x_{2}^{\beta_{2}} \bar{G}$, where $x_{2} \nmid \bar{G}$ and $\beta_{2} \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$
\begin{gather*}
-C_{1} x_{1} x_{2} x_{2}^{\beta_{2}} \frac{\partial \bar{G}}{\partial x_{1}}+x_{2}\left(x_{1}-C_{2} x_{3}\right)\left(\beta_{2} x_{2}^{\beta_{2}-1} \bar{G}+x_{2}^{\beta_{2}} \frac{\partial \bar{G}}{\partial x_{2}}\right)+x_{3} x_{2} x_{2}^{\beta_{2}} \frac{\partial \bar{G}}{\partial x_{3}}  \tag{3.1}\\
=\left(\lambda_{1} x_{1}+\lambda_{2} x_{2}+\lambda_{3} x_{3}\right) x_{2}^{\beta_{2}} \bar{G}
\end{gather*}
$$

(if $\beta_{2}=0$, then we assume that expression $\beta_{2} x_{2}^{\beta_{2}-1}$ is equal to 0 ). We divide both sides of (3.1) by $x_{2}^{\beta_{2}}$, then we add $\left(C_{2} x_{3}-x_{1}\right) \beta_{2} \bar{G}$ to both sides of (3.1) and we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
-C_{1} x_{1} x_{2} & \frac{\partial \bar{G}}{\partial x_{1}}+x_{2}\left(x_{1}-C_{2} x_{3}\right) \frac{\partial \bar{G}}{\partial x_{2}}+x_{3} x_{2} \frac{\partial \bar{G}}{\partial x_{3}}  \tag{3.2}\\
& =\left(\left(\lambda_{1}-\beta_{2}\right) x_{1}+\lambda_{2} x_{2}+\left(\lambda_{3}+C_{2} \beta_{2}\right) x_{3}\right) \bar{G}
\end{align*}
$$

The left-hand side of (3.2) is the divisible by $x_{2}$, so also is the right-hand side of (3.2). Since $x_{2} \nmid \bar{G}$, we get

$$
x_{2} \mid\left(\lambda_{1}-\beta_{2}\right) x_{1}+\lambda_{2} x_{2}+\left(\lambda_{3}+C_{2} \beta_{2}\right) x_{3}
$$

Hence $\lambda_{1}-\beta_{2}=0$ and $\lambda_{3}+C_{2} \beta_{2}=0$. Finally, $\lambda_{1}=\beta_{2}$ and $\lambda_{3}=-C_{2} \beta_{2}=-C_{2} \lambda_{1}$.

Corollary 3.3. Let $n=4$. If $g \in R_{(m)}$ is a strict Darboux polynomial, then its cofactor is a linear form with coefficients in $\mathbb{N}$.

Lemma 3.4. Let $n=4$. If $d(f)=0$ and $f=X^{\alpha} g$, where $g$ is strict, then $d\left(X^{\alpha}\right)=0$ and $d(g)=0$.

Proof. If $d(f)=0$, then $f$ is a Darboux polynomial. In view of Proposition 3.1, also $X^{\alpha}$ and $g$ are Darboux polynomials. If $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{4}\right)$, then a short computation shows that the cofactor of $X^{\alpha}$ equals $\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{4} C_{4}\right) x_{1}+\left(\alpha_{3}-\alpha_{1} C_{1}\right) x_{2}+$ $\left(\alpha_{4}-\alpha_{2} C_{2}\right) x_{3}+\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{3} C_{3}\right) x_{4}$. The polynomial $g$ is strict, therefore by Lemma 3.2, if $\lambda_{1} x_{1}+\ldots+\lambda_{4} x_{4}$ is the cofactor of $g$, then $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}, \lambda_{4} \in \mathbb{N}$ and $\lambda_{1}=-C_{4} \lambda_{3}$, $\lambda_{2}=-C_{1} \lambda_{4}, \lambda_{3}=-C_{2} \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{4}=-C_{3} \lambda_{2}$. The cofactor of the product $X^{\alpha} g$ is the sum of the cofactors of $X^{\alpha}$ and $g$, that is, equals

$$
\left(\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{4} C_{4}+\lambda_{1}\right) x_{1}+\left(\alpha_{3}-\alpha_{1} C_{1}+\lambda_{2}\right) x_{2}+\left(\alpha_{4}-\alpha_{2} C_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right) x_{3}+\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha_{3} C_{3}+\lambda_{4}\right) x_{4} .
$$

On the other hand, by assumption, this cofactor is equal to 0 . Thus

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \alpha_{2}-\alpha_{4} C_{4}+\lambda_{1}=0, \\
& \alpha_{3}-\alpha_{1} C_{1}+\lambda_{2}=0, \\
& \alpha_{4}-\alpha_{2} C_{2}+\lambda_{3}=0, \\
& \alpha_{1}-\alpha_{3} C_{3}+\lambda_{4}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose $g$ is not a constant of $d$. Then $\lambda_{i} \neq 0$ for some $i \in\{1, \ldots, 4\}$. There is no loss of generality in assuming that $i=1$. Then $\lambda_{1}=-C_{4} \lambda_{3}$ implies that also $\lambda_{3} \neq 0$. Hence $C_{4}=-\lambda_{1} / \lambda_{3}<0$. Then $\alpha_{2} \geqslant 0,-\alpha_{4} C_{4} \geqslant 0$ and $\lambda_{1}>0$. Therefore $\alpha_{2}-\alpha_{4} C_{4}+\lambda_{1}>0$, which is a contradiction. This proves that $d(g)=0$.

If $d\left(X^{\alpha} g\right)=0$ and $d(g)=0$, then obviously $d\left(X^{\alpha}\right)=0$.

## 4. Restrictions of polynomials

Let $\varphi \in R$ and $1 \leqslant q \leqslant n$. Then for every subset $\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right\} \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\}$ we denote by $\varphi^{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right\}}$ the sum of terms of $\varphi$ that depend on variables $x_{i_{1}}, \ldots, x_{i_{q}}$, that is, $\varphi^{\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right\}}=\varphi_{\left.\right|_{x_{j}=0} \text { for } j \notin\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{q}\right\}}$. We noticed that for inductive purposes it is more convenient to deal with polynomials $\varphi$ such that $d\left(\varphi^{A}\right)^{A}=0$ for a given $A \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\}$, than with the constants themselves.

The first three results, that is 4.1, 4.2 and 4.3, are similar to those for $C_{1}=\ldots=$ $C_{n}=1$ of our paper [9]. As an obvious consequence of the fact that $x_{i} \mid d\left(x_{i}\right)$, for $i=1, \ldots, n$, we obtain the following proposition.

Proposition 4.1. If $A \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\}$, then for every homogeneous polynomial $\varphi \in R_{(m)}$, we have $d\left(\varphi^{A}\right)^{A}=d(\varphi)^{A}$.

Corollary 4.2. If $A \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\}$, then for every $\varphi \in R_{(m)}^{d}$ we have $d\left(\varphi^{A}\right)^{A}=0$.

Lemma 4.3. If $B \subseteq A \subseteq\{1, \ldots, n\}$ and $d\left(\varphi^{A}\right)^{A}=0$, then also $d\left(\varphi^{B}\right)^{B}=0$.
Proof. Let $\varphi^{A}=\varphi^{B}+\psi$, where each monomial in $\psi$ has $x_{j}$ in a positive power for some $j \in A \backslash B$. Then $d\left(\varphi^{A}\right)=d\left(\varphi^{B}\right)+d(\psi)$. If $d\left(\varphi^{A}\right)^{A}=0$, then clearly $d\left(\varphi^{A}\right)^{B}=0$. Therefore $0=d\left(\varphi^{A}\right)^{B}=d\left(\varphi^{B}\right)^{B}+d(\psi)^{B}$. Moreover $d(\psi)^{B}=0$, because every monomial in $d(\psi)$ has $x_{j}$ in positive a power for some $j \in A \backslash B$, by the definition of $d$. Finally, $d\left(\varphi^{B}\right)^{B}=0$.

We formulated Lemma 4.4 in [9] without a proof. Note that there is no assumption on the coefficients $C_{i}$ in this lemma.

Lemma 4.4. Let $\varphi \in R_{(m)}$ and $A=\{i, i+1\} \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}$. If $d\left(\varphi^{A}\right)^{A}=0$, then $\varphi^{A}=a\left(x_{i}+C_{i} x_{i+1}\right)^{m}$, for $a \in k$.

Proof. Let $\varphi^{A}=\sum_{r=0}^{m} b_{r} x_{i}^{m-r} x_{i+1}^{r}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(\varphi^{A}\right) & =\sum_{r=0}^{m} b_{r}\left(d\left(x_{i}^{m-r}\right) x_{i+1}^{r}+x_{i}^{m-r} d\left(x_{i+1}^{r}\right)\right) \\
& =\sum_{r=0}^{m} b_{r} x_{i}^{m-r} x_{i+1}^{r}\left((m-r)\left(x_{i-1}-C_{i} x_{i+1}\right)+r\left(x_{i}-C_{i+1} x_{i+2}\right)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(\varphi^{A}\right)^{A} & =\sum_{r=0}^{m} b_{r}\left(r x_{i}^{m-r+1} x_{i+1}^{r}-C_{i}(m-r) x_{i}^{m-r} x_{i+1}^{r+1}\right) \\
& =\sum_{r=1}^{m} r b_{r} x_{i}^{m-r+1} x_{i+1}^{r}-C_{i} \sum_{r=0}^{m-1}(m-r) b_{r} x_{i}^{m-r} x_{i+1}^{r+1} \\
& =\sum_{r=1}^{m} r b_{r} x_{i}^{m-r+1} x_{i+1}^{r}-C_{i} \sum_{r=1}^{m}(m-r+1) b_{r-1} x_{i}^{m-r+1} x_{i+1}^{r} \\
& =\sum_{r=1}^{m}\left(r b_{r}-C_{i}(m-r+1) b_{r-1}\right) x_{i}^{m-r+1} x_{i+1}^{r}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence for $r=1, \ldots, m$ we have $r b_{r}=C_{i}(m-r+1) b_{r-1}$, that is, $b_{r}=\frac{m-r+1}{r} C_{i} b_{r-1}$. Thus an easy induction on $r$ shows that $b_{r}=\binom{m}{r} C_{i}^{r} b_{0}$ for $r=0, \ldots, m$. Consequently, $\varphi^{A}=b_{0}\left(x_{i}+C_{i} x_{i+1}\right)^{m}$.

Note that the above $a=b_{0}$ may be equal to 0 . Here and throughout, by the support of $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{N}^{n}$ we mean the set $\operatorname{supp}(\alpha)=\left\{i: \alpha_{i} \neq 0\right\}$. Observe that there is an assumption on only one coefficient $C_{i}$ in Lemma 4.5.

Lemma 4.5. Let $n \geqslant 4, \varphi \in R_{(m)}$ and $A=\{i, i+1, i+2\} \subset\{1, \ldots, n\}$. If $d\left(\varphi^{A}\right)^{A}=0$ and $C_{i} \notin \mathbb{Q}_{+}$, then $\varphi^{A} \in k\left[x_{i}+C_{i} x_{i+1}+C_{i} C_{i+1} x_{i+2}\right]$.

Proof. Let $m=1$. By assumption and Lemma 4.3, $d\left(\varphi^{\{i, i+1\}}\right)^{\{i, i+1\}}=0$. In view of Lemma 4.4, we have $\varphi^{\{i, i+1\}}=a_{1}\left(x_{i}+C_{i} x_{i+1}\right)$. Similarly, we obtain $\varphi^{\{i+1, i+2\}}=a_{2}\left(x_{i+1}+C_{i+1} x_{i+2}\right)$. Thus $a_{2}=a_{1} C_{i}$ and $\varphi^{A}=a_{1}\left(x_{i}+C_{i} x_{i+1}+\right.$ $C_{i} C_{i+1} x_{i+2}$ ). Now let $m=2$. Since $d\left(\varphi^{\{i, i+1\}}\right)^{\{i, i+1\}}=0$, it follows that $\varphi^{\{i, i+1\}}=$ $a_{1}\left(x_{i}+C_{i} x_{i+1}\right)^{2}$. Analogously $\varphi^{\{i+1, i+2\}}=a_{2}\left(x_{i+1}+C_{i+1} x_{i+2}\right)^{2}$. Hence $a_{2}=a_{1} C_{i}^{2}$ and $\varphi^{\{i+1, i+2\}}=a_{1}\left(C_{i} x_{i+1}+C_{i} C_{i+1} x_{i+2}\right)^{2}$. Therefore, $\varphi^{A}=a_{1}\left(x_{i}+C_{i} x_{i+1}+\right.$ $\left.C_{i} C_{i+1} x_{i+2}\right)^{2}+b x_{i} x_{i+2}$ for some $b \in k$. Applying first $d(\cdot)$ and then $(\cdot)^{A}$ to both sides of the last equation we get $0=b\left(1-C_{i}\right) x_{i} x_{i+1} x_{i+2}$. Since $C_{i} \neq 1$, we have $b=0$.

Assume $m \geqslant 3$. Then $\varphi^{A}$ is a linear combination of monomials $X^{\alpha}$ such that $|\alpha|=m$ and $\operatorname{supp}(\alpha) \subseteq\{i, i+1, i+2\}$. We have $\varphi^{\{i, i+1\}}=a_{1}\left(x_{i}+C_{i} x_{i+1}\right)^{m}$ and $\varphi^{\{i+1, i+2\}}=a_{2}\left(x_{i+1}+C_{i+1} x_{i+2}\right)^{m}$, for $a_{1}, a_{2} \in k$. Thus $a_{2}=a_{1} C_{i}^{m}$ and $\varphi^{\{i+1, i+2\}}=$ $a_{1}\left(C_{i} x_{i+1}+C_{i} C_{i+1} x_{i+2}\right)^{m}$. The terms of the form $x_{i}^{r} x_{i+1}^{m-r}$ and $x_{i+1}^{r} x_{i+2}^{m-r}$ for $r=$ $0, \ldots, m$ have the same coefficients in $\varphi^{A}$ and in $a_{1}\left(x_{i}+C_{i} x_{i+1}+C_{i} C_{i+1} x_{i+2}\right)^{m}$. Therefore

$$
\varphi^{A}=a_{1}\left(x_{i}+C_{i} x_{i+1}+C_{i} C_{i+1} x_{i+2}\right)^{m}+\sum_{\operatorname{supp}(\alpha)=\{i, i+2\}} b_{\alpha} X^{\alpha}+\sum_{\operatorname{supp}(\alpha)=\{i, i+1, i+2\}} b_{\alpha} X^{\alpha},
$$

that is, $\varphi^{A}=a_{1}\left(x_{i}+C_{i} x_{i+1}+C_{i} C_{i+1} x_{i+2}\right)^{m}+x_{i} x_{i+2} \psi$, where $\psi \in R_{(m-2)}$ and $\psi$ depends on the variables $x_{i}, x_{i+1}, x_{i+2}$ only. We show that $\psi=0$. First,

$$
\begin{aligned}
d\left(\varphi^{A}\right)= & a_{1} d\left(\left(x_{i}+C_{i} x_{i+1}+C_{i} C_{i+1} x_{i+2}\right)^{m}\right)+d\left(x_{i} x_{i+2}\right) \psi+x_{i} x_{i+2} d(\psi) \\
= & a_{1} m\left(x_{i}+C_{i} x_{i+1}+C_{i} C_{i+1} x_{i+2}\right)^{m-1}\left(x_{i} x_{i-1}-C_{i} C_{i+1} C_{i+2} x_{i+2} x_{i+3}\right) \\
& +\left(x_{i-1}+\left(1-C_{i}\right) x_{i+1}-C_{i+2} x_{i+3}\right) x_{i} x_{i+2} \psi+x_{i} x_{i+2} d(\psi) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, $\psi^{A}=\psi$. Therefore,

$$
0=d\left(\varphi^{A}\right)^{A}=\left(1-C_{i}\right) x_{i} x_{i+1} x_{i+2} \psi+x_{i} x_{i+2} d(\psi)^{A}
$$

Hence $d(\psi)^{A}=\left(C_{i}-1\right) x_{i+1} \psi$.
Suppose $\psi \neq 0$. Let $s=\operatorname{deg}_{x_{i+1}} \psi$. Let $b x_{i}^{r} x_{i+1}^{s} x_{i+2}^{t}$ be a term of $\psi$ with $b \in k \backslash\{0\}$ (we fix one of the terms of $\psi$ that are divisible by $x_{i+1}^{s}$ ). Then the coefficient of the monomial $x_{i}^{r} x_{i+1}^{s+1} x_{i+2}^{t}$ in the expansion of $\left(C_{i}-1\right) x_{i+1} \psi$ equals $\left(C_{i}-1\right) b$. The coefficient of $x_{i}^{r} x_{i+1}^{s+1} x_{i+2}^{t}$ in the expansion of $d(\psi)^{A}$ is equal to $b\left(t-r C_{i}\right)$ (because in all terms of the $d$-image of any term the exponent of only one variable may be increased). Therefore $C_{i}=(t+1) /(r+1) \in \mathbb{Q}_{+}$. The contradiction obtained proves that $\psi=0$.

Thus $\varphi^{A}=a_{1}\left(x_{i}+C_{i} x_{i+1}+C_{i} C_{i+1} x_{i+2}\right)^{m} \in k\left[x_{i}+C_{i} x_{i+1}+C_{i} C_{i+1} x_{i+2}\right]$.

## 5. Rings of constants

Theorem 5.1. Let $R=k\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{4}\right]$ and $C_{1}, \ldots, C_{4} \notin \mathbb{Q}_{+}$. Let $d: R \rightarrow R$ be a derivation of the form

$$
d\left(x_{i}\right)=x_{i}\left(x_{i-1}-C_{i} x_{i+1}\right),
$$

for $i=1, \ldots, 4$. If $C_{1} C_{2} C_{3} C_{4}=1$, then

$$
R^{d}=k\left[x_{1}+C_{1} x_{2}+C_{1} C_{2} x_{3}+C_{1} C_{2} C_{3} x_{4}\right] .
$$

If $C_{1} C_{2} C_{3} C_{4} \neq 1$, then $R^{d}=k$.
Proof. First we show that $R_{(m)}^{d} \subseteq k\left[x_{1}+C_{1} x_{2}+C_{1} C_{2} x_{3}+C_{1} C_{2} C_{3} x_{4}\right]$, for all $m \geqslant$ 0 . Let $A_{1}=\{2,3,4\}, A_{2}=\{1,3,4\}, A_{3}=\{1,2,4\}, A_{4}=\{1,2,3\}$ and let $\varphi \in R_{(m)}^{d}$. By Corollary 4.2 and Lemma 4.5, $\varphi^{A_{i}}=a_{i+1}\left(x_{i+1}+C_{i+1} x_{i+2}+C_{i+1} C_{i+2} x_{i+3}\right)^{m}$, for $i=1, \ldots, 4$. Comparison of the coefficients of $x_{2}^{m}$ in $\varphi^{A_{1}}$ and $\varphi^{A_{4}}$ gives $a_{2}=$ $a_{1} C_{1}^{m}$. Analogously, $a_{3}=a_{2} C_{2}^{m}=a_{1} C_{1}^{m} C_{2}^{m}$ and $a_{4}=a_{3} C_{3}^{m}=a_{1} C_{1}^{m} C_{2}^{m} C_{3}^{m}$. Let $\psi=a_{1}\left(x_{1}+C_{1} x_{2}+C_{1} C_{2} x_{3}+C_{1} C_{2} C_{3} x_{4}\right)^{m}$. Then $\varphi^{A_{i}}=\psi^{A_{i}}$, for $i=1, \ldots, 4$. This means that the polynomials $\varphi$ and $\psi$ have the same terms that depend on at most three variables. Therefore

$$
\varphi=a_{1}\left(x_{1}+C_{1} x_{2}+C_{1} C_{2} x_{3}+C_{1} C_{2} C_{3} x_{4}\right)^{m}+\eta,
$$

where each term of the polynomial $\eta$ has all four variables in positive powers, that is, $\eta$ is divisible by $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}$.

We show that $\eta$ is a constant of the derivation $d$. If $m<4$, then $\eta=0$, since $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4} \mid \eta$. Assume, then, that $m \geqslant 4$. If $C_{1} C_{2} C_{3} C_{4}=1$, then $\varphi$ and $x_{1}+C_{1} x_{2}+$ $C_{1} C_{2} x_{3}+C_{1} C_{2} C_{3} x_{4}$ are constants of $d$, so also is $\eta$. If $C_{1} C_{2} C_{3} C_{4} \neq 1$, then
$0=d(\varphi)=a_{1} m\left(x_{1}+C_{1} x_{2}+C_{1} C_{2} x_{3}+C_{1} C_{2} C_{3} x_{4}\right)^{m-1} x_{1} x_{4}\left(1-C_{1} C_{2} C_{3} C_{4}\right)+d(\eta)$.
The derivation $d$ is factorizable, hence $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4} \mid \eta$ implies $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4} \mid d(\eta)$. Therefore, the coefficient of $x_{1}^{m} x_{4}$ in $d(\varphi)$ equals 0 , on the one hand, and is equal to $a_{1} m\left(1-C_{1} C_{2} C_{3} C_{4}\right)$, on the other hand. Thus $a_{1}=0$ and $\varphi=\eta$. In particular, $\eta$ is a constant of $d$.

We show that $\eta=0$. Suppose that $\eta$ is a monomial. Let $\eta=c x_{1}^{r} x_{2}^{s} x_{3}^{t} x_{4}^{u}$, where $r, s, t, u \geqslant 1$. Then

$$
0=d(\eta)=c x_{1}^{r} x_{2}^{s} x_{3}^{t} x_{4}^{u}\left(\left(s-u C_{4}\right) x_{1}+\left(t-r C_{1}\right) x_{2}+\left(u-s C_{2}\right) x_{3}+\left(r-t C_{3}\right) x_{4}\right) .
$$

If $c \neq 0$, then $C_{4}=s / u \in \mathbb{Q}_{+}$, which is a contradiction. Then $c=0$ and $\eta=0$.

Suppose that $\eta$ is not a term. Then $\eta=X^{\alpha} g$, where $X^{\alpha}$ is a monomial and $g$ is strict. Since $\eta$ is divisible by $x_{1} x_{2} x_{3} x_{4}$, the monomial $X^{\alpha}$ has positive exponents. Since $\eta$ is a constant, by Lemma 3.4 also $X^{\alpha}$ and $g$ are. However, the considerations above prove that no monomial of positive exponents is a constant of $d$.

Thus $\eta=0$ and $\varphi=a_{1}\left(x_{1}+C_{1} x_{2}+C_{1} C_{2} x_{3}+C_{1} C_{2} C_{3} x_{4}\right)^{m} \in k\left[x_{1}+C_{1} x_{2}+\right.$ $\left.C_{1} C_{2} x_{3}+C_{1} C_{2} C_{3} x_{4}\right]$. Consequently, $R^{d} \subseteq k\left[x_{1}+C_{1} x_{2}+C_{1} C_{2} x_{3}+C_{1} C_{2} C_{3} x_{4}\right]$.

Case $C_{1} C_{2} C_{3} C_{4}=1$. Since $d\left(x_{1}+C_{1} x_{2}+C_{1} C_{2} x_{3}+C_{1} C_{2} C_{3} x_{4}\right)=x_{1} x_{4}-$ $C_{1} C_{2} C_{3} C_{4} x_{1} x_{4}=0$, we have $k\left[x_{1}+C_{1} x_{2}+C_{1} C_{2} x_{3}+C_{1} C_{2} C_{3} x_{4}\right] \subseteq R^{d}$.

Case $C_{1} C_{2} C_{3} C_{4} \neq 1$. Let $a \in k \backslash\{0\}$ and $m \in\{1,2, \ldots\}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d\left(a\left(x_{1}+C_{1} x_{2}+C_{1} C_{2} x_{3}+C_{1} C_{2} C_{3} x_{4}\right)^{m}\right) \\
& \quad=a m\left(x_{1}+C_{1} x_{2}+C_{1} C_{2} x_{3}+C_{1} C_{2} C_{3} x_{4}\right)^{m-1}\left(x_{1} x_{4}-C_{1} C_{2} C_{3} C_{4} x_{1} x_{4}\right) \neq 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus $a=0$ or $m=0$. Hence, $R^{d}=k$.
Corollary 5.2. If $k=\mathbb{R}$ or $k=\mathbb{C}$, then in the generic case a four-variable Lotka-Volterra derivation has a finitely generated (even trivial) ring of constants.

Lotka-Volterra derivations with positive rational coefficients are investigated for instance in [4], [5], [9], [11].

Note that if we consider a field $k$ of a positive characteristic $p$, then all elements of the form $x_{i}^{p}$ are constants of any polynomial derivation. For more information on this case we refer the reader to [2] and its bibliography.
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