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# ON THE EIGENVALUES OF A ROBIN PROBLEM WITH A LARGE PARAMETER 

Alexey Filinovskiy, Moskva

(Received September 29, 2013)

Abstract. We consider the Robin eigenvalue problem $\Delta u+\lambda u=0$ in $\Omega, \partial u / \partial \nu+\alpha u=0$ on $\partial \Omega$ where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geqslant 2$ is a bounded domain and $\alpha$ is a real parameter. We investigate the behavior of the eigenvalues $\lambda_{k}(\alpha)$ of this problem as functions of the parameter $\alpha$. We analyze the monotonicity and convexity properties of the eigenvalues and give a variational proof of the formula for the derivative $\lambda_{1}^{\prime}(\alpha)$. Assuming that the boundary $\partial \Omega$ is of class $C^{2}$ we obtain estimates to the difference $\lambda_{k}^{D}-\lambda_{k}(\alpha)$ between the $k$-th eigenvalue of the Laplace operator with Dirichlet boundary condition in $\Omega$ and the corresponding Robin eigenvalue for positive values of $\alpha$ for every $k=1,2, \ldots$.
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## 1. Introduction

Let us consider the eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Delta u+\lambda u=0 & \text { in } \Omega \\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}+\alpha u=0 & \text { on } \Gamma \tag{2}
\end{array}
$$

where $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geqslant 2$ is a bounded domain with $C^{2}$ class boundary surface $\Gamma=\partial \Omega$. By $\nu$ we mean the outward unit normal vector to $\Gamma, \alpha$ is a real parameter.

The problem (1), (2) is usually referred to as the Robin problem for $\alpha>0$ (see [6], Chapter 7, Paragraph 7.2) and as the generalized Robin problem for all $\alpha$ ([5]).

We have the sequence of eigenvalues $\lambda_{1}(\alpha)<\lambda_{2}(\alpha) \leqslant \ldots \rightarrow \infty$ enumerated according to their multiplicities where $\lambda_{1}(\alpha)$ is simple with a positive eigenfunction.

The research was in part supported by RFBR Grant (no. 11-01-00989).

By the variational principle ([11], Chapter 4, Paragraph 1, no. 4) we have
(3) $\lambda_{k}(\alpha)=\sup _{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k-1} \in L_{2}(\Omega)} \inf _{\substack{\left.v \in H^{1}(\Omega) \\ v, v_{j}\right) \\ j=1, \ldots, k-1}} \frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\alpha \int_{\Gamma} v^{2} \mathrm{~d} s}{\int_{\Omega} v^{2} \mathrm{~d} x}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots$

Let $0<\lambda_{1}^{D}<\lambda_{2}^{D} \leqslant \ldots \rightarrow \infty$ be the sequence of eigenvalues of the Dirichlet eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\Delta u+\lambda u=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{4}\\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma . \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

Also, by the variational principle we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{k}^{D}=\sup _{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k-1} \in L_{2}(\Omega)} \inf _{\substack{v \in \dot{H}^{1}(\Omega) \\\left(v, v_{j}\right)_{2}(\Omega)=0 \\ j=1, \ldots, k-1}} \frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x}{\int_{\Omega} v^{2} \mathrm{~d} x}, \quad k=1,2, \ldots \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to show the inequality $\lambda_{1}(\alpha) \leqslant \lambda_{1}^{D}$ which gives an upper bound of $\lambda_{1}(\alpha)$ for all values of $\alpha$. It was noticed in ([2], Chapter 6, Paragraph 2, No. 1) that for $n=2$ and smooth boundary $\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow \infty} \lambda_{1}(\alpha)=\lambda_{1}^{D}$. Later in [12] for $n=2$ the two-side estimates

$$
\lambda_{1}^{D}\left(1+\frac{\lambda_{1}^{D}}{\alpha q_{1}}\right)^{-1} \leqslant \lambda_{1}(\alpha) \leqslant \lambda_{1}^{D}\left(1+\frac{4 \pi}{\alpha|\Gamma|}\right)^{-1}, \quad \alpha>0
$$

were obtained where $q_{1}$ is the first eigenvalue of the Steklov problem

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Delta^{2} u=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega, \\
u=0, \quad \Delta u-q \frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}=0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma .
\end{gathered}
$$

In [4] for any $n \geqslant 2$ we established the asymptotic expansion

$$
\lambda_{1}(\alpha)=\lambda_{1}^{D}-\frac{\int_{\Gamma}\left(\partial u_{1}^{D} / \partial \nu\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} s}{\int_{\Omega}\left(u_{1}^{D}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} x} \alpha^{-1}+o\left(\alpha^{-1}\right), \quad \alpha \rightarrow \infty,
$$

where $u_{1}^{D}$ is the first eigenfunction of the Dirichlet problem (4), (5).
The case $\alpha<0$ has received attention in the last years after [9]. It was shown in [9] that for piecewise- $C^{1}$ boundary $\liminf _{\alpha \rightarrow-\infty} \lambda_{1}(\alpha) /-\alpha^{2} \geqslant 1$. Later for $C^{1}$-class boundaries it was proved $([10],[5])$ that $\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow-\infty} \lambda_{1}(\alpha) /-\alpha^{2}=1$. Here the condition of $C^{1}$-class is optimal, in [9] plane triangle domains were prepared for which $\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow-\infty} \lambda_{1}(\alpha) /-\alpha^{2}>1$. In [3] authors proved that for $C^{1}$ boundaries $\lim _{\alpha \rightarrow-\infty} \lambda_{k}(\alpha) /-\alpha^{2}=1$ for all $k=$ $1,2, \ldots$.

## 2. Main Results

Theorem 1. The eigenvalues $\lambda_{k}(\alpha)$ have the following properties:
(i) $\lambda_{k}\left(\alpha_{1}\right) \leqslant \lambda_{k}\left(\alpha_{2}\right) \leqslant \lambda_{k}^{D}$ for $\alpha_{1}<\alpha_{2}, k=1,2, \ldots$;
(ii) $\lambda_{1}(\alpha)$ is differentiable and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}^{\prime}(\alpha)=\frac{\int_{\Gamma} u_{1, \alpha}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s}{\int_{\Omega} u_{1, \alpha}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x}>0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u_{1, \alpha}(x)$ is the corresponding eigenfunction;
(iii) $\lambda_{1}(\alpha)$ is a concave function of $\alpha$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{1}\left(\beta \alpha_{1}+(1-\beta) \alpha_{2}\right) \geqslant \beta \lambda_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)+(1-\beta) \lambda_{1}\left(\alpha_{2}\right), \quad 0<\beta<1 . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1 establishes some known properties of eigenvalues of the problem (1), and (2) (see [2], Chapter 6 for (i) and [9], [1] for (ii) and (iii) (in [1] planar domains with piecewise analytic boundaries were considered)).

Hence the behavior of eigenvalues can be illustrated by Figure 1:


Figure 1.

Theorem 2. The eigenvalues $\lambda_{k}(\alpha), k=1,2, \ldots$, satisfy the estimates

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqslant \lambda_{k}^{D}-\lambda_{k}(\alpha) \leqslant C_{1} \alpha^{-1 / 2}\left(\lambda_{k}^{D}\right)^{2}, \quad \alpha>0, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the constant $C_{1}$ does not depend on $k$.

## 3. Qualitative properties of eigenvalues

Pro of of Theorem 1. The increasing of $\lambda_{k}(\alpha)$ follows from (3). Using (6) and the inclusion $\dot{H}^{1}(\Omega) \subset H^{1}(\Omega)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{k}(\alpha) & =\sup _{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k-1} \in L_{2}(\Omega)} \inf _{\substack{v \in H^{1}(\Omega) \\
\left(v, v_{j}\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}=0 \\
j=1, \ldots, k-1}} \frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\alpha \int_{\Gamma} v^{2} \mathrm{~d} s}{\int_{\Omega} v^{2} \mathrm{~d} x} \\
& \leqslant \sup _{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k-1} \in L_{2}(\Omega)} \inf _{\substack{v \in \tilde{H}^{1}(\Omega) \\
\left(v, v_{j}\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \\
j=1, \ldots, k-1}} \frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\alpha \int_{\Gamma} v^{2} \mathrm{~d} s}{\int_{\Omega} v^{2} \mathrm{~d} x} \\
& =\sup _{v_{1}, \ldots, v_{k-1} \in L_{2}(\Omega)} \inf _{\substack{v \in \hat{H}^{1}(\Omega) \\
\left(v, v_{j}\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}=0 \\
j=1, \ldots, k-1}} \frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x}{\int_{\Omega} v^{2} \mathrm{~d} x}=\lambda_{k}^{D} .
\end{aligned}
$$

To obtain (7) we use the inequalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)-\lambda_{1}(\alpha) & =\lambda_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)-\inf _{v \in H^{1}(\Omega)} \frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\alpha \int_{\Gamma} v^{2} \mathrm{~d} s}{\int_{\Omega} v^{2} \mathrm{~d} x} \\
& \geqslant \lambda_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)-\frac{\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{1, \alpha_{1}}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\alpha \int_{\Gamma} u_{1, \alpha_{1}}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s}{\int_{\Omega} u_{1, \alpha_{1}}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x} \\
& =\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha\right) \frac{\int_{\Gamma} u_{1, \alpha_{1}}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s}{\int_{\Omega} u_{1, \alpha_{1}}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x}, \\
\lambda_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)-\lambda_{1}(\alpha) & =\inf _{v \in H^{1}(\Omega)} \frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\alpha_{1} \int_{\Gamma} v^{2} \mathrm{~d} s}{\int_{\Omega} v^{2} \mathrm{~d} x}-\lambda_{1}(\alpha) \\
& \leqslant \frac{\int_{\Omega}\left|\nabla u_{1, \alpha}\right|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\alpha_{1} \int_{\Gamma} u_{1, \alpha}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s}{\int_{\Omega} u_{1, \alpha}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x}-\lambda_{1}(\alpha) \\
& =\left(\alpha_{1}-\alpha\right) \frac{\int_{\Gamma} u_{1, \alpha}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s}{\int_{\Omega} u_{1, \alpha}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\int_{\Gamma} u_{1, \alpha_{1}}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s}{\int_{\Omega} u_{1, \alpha_{1}}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x} \leqslant \frac{\lambda_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)-\lambda_{1}(\alpha)}{\alpha_{1}-\alpha} \leqslant \frac{\int_{\Gamma} u_{1, \alpha}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s}{\int_{\Omega} u_{1, \alpha}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Considering the problem (1), (2) in the space $H^{1}(\Omega)$ we search the values of $\lambda$ for which there exists a nonzero function $u \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ satisfying the integral identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}(\nabla u, \nabla v) \mathrm{d} x+\alpha \int_{\Gamma} u v \mathrm{~d} s=\lambda \int_{\Omega} u v \mathrm{~d} x \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $v \in H^{1}(\Omega)$. The relation (11) can be rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}((\nabla u, \nabla v)+M u v) \mathrm{d} x+\alpha \int_{\Gamma} u v \mathrm{~d} s=(\lambda+M) \int_{\Omega} u v \mathrm{~d} x \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

with an arbitrary $M>0$. Let us define an equivalent scalar product in the space $H^{1}(\Omega)$ by the formula

$$
[u, v]_{M}=\int_{\Omega}((\nabla u, \nabla v)+M u v) \mathrm{d} x, \quad\|u\|_{M}^{2}=[u, u]_{M} .
$$

Now (12) transforms to

$$
[u, v]_{M}+\alpha[T u, v]_{M}=(\lambda+M)[B u, v]_{M},
$$

where self-adjoint nonnegative operators $T: H^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{1}(\Omega)$ and $B: H^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow$ $H^{1}(\Omega)$ were determined by bilinear forms

$$
\begin{equation*}
[T u, v]_{M}=\int_{\Gamma} u v \mathrm{~d} s, \quad[B u, v]_{M}=\int_{\Omega} u v \mathrm{~d} x, \quad u, v \in H^{1}(\Omega) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

So we have the following equation in the space $H^{1}(\Omega)$ with the norm $\|\cdot\|_{M}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(I+\alpha T) u=(\lambda+M) B u \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we use the inequality ([11], Chapter 3, Paragraph 5, Formula 19)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{L_{2}(\Gamma)}^{2} \leqslant \varepsilon\|\nabla v\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+C_{\varepsilon}\|v\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}^{2}, \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

valid for $v(x) \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ with an arbitrary $\varepsilon>0$. Using (13), (15), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\|T u\|_{M}^{2} & =[T u, T u]_{M}=\int_{\Gamma} u T u \mathrm{~d} s \leqslant\|u\|_{L_{2}(\Gamma)}\|T u\|_{L_{2}(\Gamma)}  \tag{16}\\
& \leqslant \varepsilon\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla T u|^{2}+\frac{C_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon}(T u)^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+\frac{C_{\varepsilon}}{\varepsilon} u^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \leqslant \varepsilon\|T u\|_{M}\|u\|_{M}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varepsilon>0, M=M_{\varepsilon}=C_{\varepsilon} / \varepsilon$. It follows from (16) that

$$
\|T u\|_{M_{\varepsilon}} \leqslant \varepsilon\|u\|_{M_{\varepsilon}},
$$

so for any $\varepsilon>0$ we have $\|\alpha T\|_{H^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{1}(\Omega)}<1$ for $|\alpha|<1 / \varepsilon$. Hence, the inverse operator $(I+\alpha T)^{-1}$ is bounded and $\left\|(I+\alpha T)^{-1}\right\| \leqslant(1-|\alpha|\|T\|)^{-1}$. Therefore the equation (14) is equivalent to

$$
\left(I-(\lambda+M)(I+\alpha T)^{-1} B\right) u=0
$$

The operator $B$ is compact ([11], Chapter 3, Paragraph 4, Theorem 3) and the operator $(I+\alpha T)^{-1} B: H^{1}(\Omega) \rightarrow H^{1}(\Omega)$ is compact too. So the spectrum of the problem (14) consists of eigenvalues $\lambda_{j}(\alpha) \in \mathbb{R}, j=1,2, \ldots$, of finite multiplicity with the only limit point at infinity. By (13), (14) we obtain the inequality

$$
\lambda_{j}(\alpha) \geqslant-M_{\varepsilon}+(1-|\alpha|\|T\|)\left(\frac{\left\|u_{j, \alpha}\right\|_{M_{\varepsilon}}}{\left\|u_{j, \alpha}\right\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}}\right)^{2} \geqslant-M_{\varepsilon}
$$

where $u_{j, \alpha}$ is the corresponding eigenfunction. Therefore $\lambda_{j}(\alpha) \rightarrow \infty, j \rightarrow \infty$.
The eigenvalue $\lambda_{1}$ is simple. So the self-adjoint operator $(I+\alpha T)^{-1} B$ satisfies the conditions of the asymptotic perturbation theory ([7], Chapter 8, Paragraph 2, Theorem 2.6). It means that the eigenfunction $u_{1, \alpha}$ depends continuously on $\alpha$ in the space $H^{1}(\Omega)$. By ( $[11]$, Chapter 3, Paragraph 5, Theorem 4) the trace of $u_{1, \alpha}$ on $\Gamma$ depends continuously on $\alpha$ in the space $L_{2}(\Gamma)$. Now it follows from (10) that

$$
\lambda_{1}^{\prime}(\alpha)=\lim _{\alpha_{1} \rightarrow \alpha} \frac{\lambda_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)-\lambda_{1}(\alpha)}{\alpha_{1}-\alpha}=\frac{\int_{\Gamma} u_{1, \alpha}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s}{\int_{\Omega} u_{1, \alpha}^{2} \mathrm{~d} x}
$$

By ([11], Chapter 4, Paragraph 2, Theorem 4) $u_{1, \alpha} \in H^{2}(\Omega)$ and satisfies equation (1) almost everywhere and the boundary condition in the sense of trace (the so-called strong solution). In the case $\int_{\Gamma} u_{1, \alpha}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s=0$ we have by (2)

$$
u_{1, \alpha}=\frac{\partial u_{1, \alpha}}{\partial \nu}=0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma .
$$

Applying the uniqueness theorem for the Cauchy problem for second-order elliptic equations ([8], Chapter 1, Paragraph 3), we get $u_{1, \alpha}=0$ in $\Omega$. So, $\int_{\Gamma} u_{1, \alpha}^{2} \mathrm{~d} s>0$ and we proved the inequality $\lambda_{1}^{\prime}(\alpha)>0$.

Taking into account (7), for $\alpha_{2}>\alpha_{1}$ we have $\lambda_{1}\left(\alpha_{2}\right)>\lambda_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)$ and $\lambda_{1}(\alpha)<\lambda_{1}^{D}$ for all $\alpha$.

To prove the concavity of $\lambda_{1}(\alpha)$ consider the inequality

$$
\begin{aligned}
\lambda_{1}\left(\beta \alpha_{1}+(1-\beta) \alpha_{2}\right)= & \inf _{v \in H^{1}(\Omega)} \frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\left(\beta \alpha_{1}+(1-\beta) \alpha_{2}\right) \int_{\Gamma} v^{2} \mathrm{~d} s}{\int_{\Omega} v^{2} \mathrm{~d} x} \\
\geqslant & \beta \inf _{v \in H^{1}(\Omega)} \frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\alpha_{1} \int_{\Gamma} v^{2} \mathrm{~d} s}{\int_{\Omega} v^{2} \mathrm{~d} x} \\
& +(1-\beta) \inf _{v \in H^{1}(\Omega)} \frac{\int_{\Omega}|\nabla v|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x+\alpha_{2} \int_{\Gamma} v^{2} \mathrm{~d} s}{\int_{\Omega} v^{2} \mathrm{~d} x} \\
= & \beta \lambda_{1}\left(\alpha_{1}\right)+(1-\beta) \lambda_{1}\left(\alpha_{2}\right), \quad 0<\beta<1 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.

## 4. Operator approach

The proof of Theorem 2 is based on an estimate with respect to the parameter $\alpha$ of the norm of a certain operator acting in the $L_{2}(\Omega)$ space. This operator is a difference between operators associated with the Robin and Dirichlet problems. Now, using compactness and positivity of these operators we can apply estimates to eigenvalues by the norm of a difference operator (Theorem 3 below).

Let us consider the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta u+u=h \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{17}\\
\frac{\partial u}{\partial \nu}+\alpha u=0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma, \alpha>0 . \tag{18}
\end{gather*}
$$

For $h(x) \in L_{2}(\Omega)$ a weak solution $u(x) \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ of the problem (17), (18) satisfying the integral identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}((\nabla u, \nabla v)+u v) \mathrm{d} x+\alpha \int_{\Gamma} u v \mathrm{~d} s=\int_{\Omega} h v \mathrm{~d} x \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $v \in H^{1}(\Omega)$. By definition, introduce a scalar product in the space $H^{1}(\Omega)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u, v)_{H^{1}(\Omega), \alpha}=\int_{\Omega}((\nabla u, \nabla v)+u v) \mathrm{d} x+\alpha \int_{\Gamma} u v \mathrm{~d} s \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the corresponding norm

$$
\|u\|_{H^{1}(\Omega), \alpha}^{2}=(u, u)_{H^{1}(\Omega), \alpha}
$$

Using (19), (20), we obtain the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u, v)_{H^{1}(\Omega), \alpha}=(h, v)_{L_{2}(\Omega)} . \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, consider a linear functional $l_{h}(v)=(h, v)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}$ in the $H^{1}(\Omega)$ space. The functional $l_{h}(v)$ is bounded: $\left|l_{h}(v)\right| \leqslant\|h\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}\|v\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}$. Now, by the Riesz lemma there exists a unique function $u \in H^{1}(\Omega)$ satisfying the integral identity (19). Applying (21) with $v=u$, we obtain $\|u\|_{H^{1}(\Omega), \alpha}^{2} \leqslant\|h\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}\|u\|_{H^{1}(\Omega), \alpha}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \leqslant\|u\|_{H^{1}(\Omega), \alpha} \leqslant\|h\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we can define a bounded linear operator $A_{\alpha}: L_{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{2}(\Omega)$ such that $u=A_{\alpha} h$ and $\left\|A_{\alpha}\right\| \leqslant 1$. Moreover, the space $H^{1}(\Omega)$ in a bounded domain $\Omega$ with $C^{2}$-class
boundary embeds compactly into the space $L_{2}(\Omega)$ ([6], Theorem 1.1.1). It means that the operator $A_{\alpha}$ is compact. Note that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(h, A_{\alpha} g\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)} & =\int_{\Omega} h A_{\alpha} g \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{\Omega} h v \mathrm{~d} x  \tag{23}\\
& =\int_{\Omega}((\nabla u, \nabla v)+u v) \mathrm{d} x+\alpha \int_{\Gamma} u v \mathrm{~d} s \\
& =\int_{\Omega} u g \mathrm{~d} x=\left(A_{\alpha} h, g\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}, \quad f, g \in L_{2}(\Omega),
\end{align*}
$$

with $u=A_{\alpha} h, v=A_{\alpha} g, u, v \in H^{1}(\Omega)$. The relation (23) means that $A_{\alpha}$ is a selfadjoint operator. Now, by the relation (23) we have
$\left(h, A_{\alpha} h\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}=\int_{\Omega} u h \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+u^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\alpha \int_{\Gamma} u^{2} \mathrm{~d} s=\|u\|_{H^{1}(\Omega), \alpha}^{2}>0, h \neq 0$.
Hence, the operator $A_{\alpha}$ is positive. Now, $A_{\alpha}$ is a self-adjoint positive compact operator in the Hilbert space $H=L_{2}(\Omega)$. By the well-known theorem ([6], Theorem 1.2.1), $A_{\alpha}$ has a sequence of eigenvalues $\left\{\mu_{k}(\alpha)\right\}, k=1,2, \ldots$ with finite multiplicities such that $0<\mu_{k}(\alpha) \leqslant 1, \mu_{k}(\alpha) \searrow 0, k \rightarrow \infty$. Let us denote by $u_{k, \alpha} \in L_{2}(\Omega)$ the corresponding eigenfunction satisfying $A_{\alpha} u_{k, \alpha}=\mu_{k}(\alpha) u_{k, \alpha}$. Thus, $\mu_{k}(\alpha)\left(u_{k, \alpha}, v\right)_{H^{1}(\Omega), \alpha}=\left(u_{k, \alpha}, v\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}$ and

$$
\mu_{k}(\alpha)\left(\int_{\Omega}\left(\left(\nabla u_{k, \alpha}, \nabla v\right)+u_{k, \alpha} v\right) \mathrm{d} x+\alpha \int_{\Gamma} u_{k, \alpha} v \mathrm{~d} s\right)=\int_{\Omega} u_{k, \alpha} v \mathrm{~d} x .
$$

It is readily seen that $\mu_{k}(\alpha)=\left(\lambda_{k}(\alpha)+1\right)^{-1}$. Let us note that for $\alpha>0$ we have $\mu_{k}(\alpha) \leqslant\left(\lambda_{1}(\alpha)+1\right)^{-1}<1$, so $\left\|A_{\alpha}\right\|<1$.

Furthermore, consider the Dirichlet problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta u+u=h \quad \text { in } \Omega,  \tag{24}\\
u=0 \quad \text { on } \Gamma . \tag{25}
\end{gather*}
$$

For $h \in L_{2}(\Omega)$ a weak solution $u(x) \in \dot{H}^{1}(\Omega)$ of the problem (24), (25) satisfies the integral identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}((\nabla u, \nabla v)+u v) \mathrm{d} x=\int_{\Omega} h v \mathrm{~d} x \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $v \in \dot{H}^{1}(\Omega)$. By definition, introduce a scalar product in the space $\stackrel{\circ}{H}^{1}(\Omega)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u, v)_{\dot{H}^{1}(\Omega)}=\int_{\Omega}((\nabla u, \nabla v)+u v) \mathrm{d} x \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the corresponding norm

$$
\|u\|_{\hat{H}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}=(u, u)_{\dot{H}^{1}(\Omega)} .
$$

Using (26), (27), we obtain the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u, v)_{\dot{H}^{1}(\Omega)}=(h, v)_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, consider a linear functional $l_{h}(v)=(h, v)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}$ in the $\dot{H}^{1}(\Omega)$ space. The functional $l_{h}(v)$ is bounded: $\left|l_{h}(v)\right| \leqslant\|h\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}\|v\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}$. Now, by the Riesz lemma there exists a unique function $u \in \dot{H}^{1}(\Omega)$ satisfying the integral identity (26). Using (26) with $v=u$, we obtain $\|u\|_{\dot{H}^{1}(\Omega)}^{2} \leqslant\|h\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}\|u\|_{\dot{H}^{1}(\Omega)}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \leqslant\|u\|_{\dot{H}^{1}(\Omega)} \leqslant\|h\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}, \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we can define the bounded linear operator $A^{D}: L_{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{2}(\Omega)$ such that $u=A^{D} h$ and $\|A\| \leqslant 1$. Moreover, the space $\stackrel{\circ}{H}^{1}(\Omega)$ in the bounded domain $\Omega$ embeds compactly into the space $L_{2}(\Omega)\left([6]\right.$, Theorem 1.1.1) so the operator $A^{D}$ is compact. Note that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(h, A^{D} g\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)} & =\int_{\Omega} h A^{D} g \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{\Omega} h v \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{\Omega}((\nabla u, \nabla v)+u v) \mathrm{d} x  \tag{30}\\
& =\int_{\Omega} u g \mathrm{~d} x=\left(A^{D} h, g\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}, \quad f, g \in L_{2}(\Omega)
\end{align*}
$$

with $u=A^{D} h, v=A^{D} g, u, v \in \stackrel{\circ}{H}^{1}(\Omega)$. The relation (30) means that $A^{D}$ is a self-adjoint operator. Now, by (30) we have

$$
\left(h, A^{D} h\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}=\int_{\Omega} u h \mathrm{~d} x=\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla u|^{2}+u^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x=\|u\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}^{2}>0, \quad h \neq 0 .
$$

Hence, the operator $A^{D}$ is positive. Now, $A^{D}$ is a self-adjoint positive compact operator in the Hilbert space $H=L_{2}(\Omega)$. By the well-known theorem ( $[6]$, Theorem 1.2.1) there exists a sequence of eigenvalues $\left\{\mu_{k}^{D}\right\}, k=1,2, \ldots$, with finite multiplicities such that $0<\mu_{k}^{D} \leqslant 1, \mu_{k}^{D} \searrow 0, k \rightarrow \infty$ of the operator $A^{D}$. Denote by $u_{k}^{D} \in L_{2}(\Omega)$ the corresponding eigenfunctions satisfying $A^{D} u_{k}^{D}=\mu_{k}^{D} u_{k}^{D}$. Thus, $\mu_{k}^{D}\left(u_{k}^{D}, v\right)_{\dot{H}^{1}(\Omega)}=\left(u_{k}^{D}, v\right)_{L_{2}(\Omega)}$ and

$$
\mu_{k}^{D} \int_{\Omega}\left(\left(\nabla u_{k}^{D}, \nabla v\right)+u_{k}^{D} v\right) \mathrm{d} x=\int_{\Omega} u_{k}^{D} v \mathrm{~d} x .
$$

Hence, $\mu_{k}^{D}=\left(\lambda_{k}^{D}+1\right)^{-1}$. Let us note that $\mu_{k}^{D} \leqslant\left(\lambda_{1}^{D}+1\right)^{-1}<1$ so $\left\|A^{D}\right\|<1$.

Now we obtain an estimate of the norm $\left\|A_{\alpha}-A^{D}\right\|_{L_{2}(\Omega) \rightarrow L_{2}(\Omega)}$ for large positive values of $\alpha$.

Let us remark that in domains with $C^{2}$-class boundary surface the functions $u=$ $A_{\alpha} h$ and $v=A^{D} h$ are strong solutions and belong to $H^{2}(\Omega)$ ([11], Chapter 4, Paragraph 2, Theorem 4). Moreover, the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)} \leqslant C_{2}\|h\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

holds. Now we use the estimate (15) with $\varepsilon=1$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{L_{2}(\Gamma)} \leqslant C_{3}\|v\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)} . \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (31) and (32) we have the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\nabla v\|_{L_{2}(\Gamma)} \leqslant C_{4}\|v\|_{H^{2}(\Omega)} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\left|\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}\right|_{\Gamma} \leqslant|\nabla v|$ on $\Gamma$, from (33) we obtain the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}\right\|_{L_{2}(\Gamma)} \leqslant C_{5}\|h\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)} . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $w=\left(A^{D}-A_{\alpha}\right) h$. By (17), (18), (24), (25) the function $w$ is a solution of the boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
-\Delta w+w=0 \quad \text { in } \Omega  \tag{35}\\
\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu}+\alpha w=\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} \tag{36}
\end{gather*} \quad \text { on } \Gamma . ~ \$
$$

Multiplying the equation (35) by $w$ and integrating on $\Omega$ with respect to the boundary condition (36), for $\alpha>0$ we get the relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(|\nabla w|^{2}+w^{2}\right) \mathrm{d} x+\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{\Gamma}\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu}\right)^{2} \mathrm{~d} s=\frac{1}{\alpha} \int_{\Gamma} \frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu} \frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu} \mathrm{~d} s . \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (37) we obtain the inequality

$$
\|w\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\frac{1}{\alpha}\left\|\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu}\right\|_{L_{2}(\Gamma)}^{2} \leqslant \frac{1}{\alpha}\left\|\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu}\right\|_{L_{2}(\Gamma)}\left\|\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}\right\|_{L_{2}(\Gamma)}
$$

and, consequently,

$$
\|w\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}^{2}+\frac{1}{\alpha}\left\|\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu}\right\|_{L_{2}(\Gamma)}^{2} \leqslant \frac{1}{2 \alpha}\left\|\frac{\partial w}{\partial \nu}\right\|_{L_{2}(\Gamma)}^{2}+\frac{1}{2 \alpha}\left\|\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}\right\|_{L_{2}(\Gamma)}^{2} .
$$

Therefore, we have the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|w\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \leqslant \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \alpha}}\left\|\frac{\partial v}{\partial \nu}\right\|_{L_{2}(\Gamma)}, \quad \alpha>0 . \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Combining (38) with (34), we get

$$
\|w\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \leqslant C_{6} \alpha^{-1 / 2}\|h\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}, \quad \alpha>0
$$

with the constant $C_{6}$ independent of $\alpha$. Thus, for all $h \in L_{2}(\Omega)$ we have the estimate

$$
\left\|\left(A^{D}-A_{\alpha}\right) h\right\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)} \leqslant C_{6} \alpha^{-1 / 2}\|h\|_{L_{2}(\Omega)}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A^{D}-A_{\alpha}\right\| \leqslant C_{6} \alpha^{-1 / 2}, \quad \alpha>0 . \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove the inequalities (9) we need the following statement (see [6], Theorem 2.3.1).

Theorem 3. Let $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ be two self-adjoint, compact and positive operators on a separable Hilbert space $H$. Let $\mu_{k}\left(T_{1}\right)$ and $\mu_{k}\left(T_{2}\right)$ be their $k$-th respective eigenvalues. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\mu_{k}\left(T_{1}\right)-\mu_{k}\left(T_{2}\right)\right| \leqslant\left\|T_{1}-T_{2}\right\|=\sup _{h \in H} \frac{\left\|\left(T_{1}-T_{2}\right) h\right\|}{\|h\|} . \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we apply this theorem to the operators $T_{1}=A_{\alpha}, T_{2}=A^{D}$. Then by the relations

$$
\mu_{k}(\alpha)=\frac{1}{\lambda_{k}(\alpha)+1}, \quad \mu_{k}^{D}=\frac{1}{\lambda_{k}^{D}+1},
$$

and inequalities (39), (40) we get the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1}{\lambda_{k}(\alpha)+1}-\frac{1}{\lambda_{k}^{D}+1}\right| \leqslant C_{6} \alpha^{-1 / 2} \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\lambda_{k}^{D}-\lambda_{k}(\alpha)\right| \leqslant C_{6} \alpha^{-1 / 2}\left(\lambda_{k}^{D}+1\right)\left(\lambda_{k}(\alpha)+1\right) \tag{42}
\end{equation*}
$$

and taking into account the inequalities $\lambda_{k}(\alpha) \leqslant \lambda_{k}^{D}$, we obtain the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leqslant \lambda_{k}^{D}-\lambda_{k}(\alpha) \leqslant C_{6} \alpha^{-1 / 2}\left(\lambda_{k}^{D}+1\right)^{2} \leqslant C_{1} \alpha^{-1 / 2}\left(\lambda_{k}^{D}\right)^{2} . \tag{43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof of Theorem 2 is completed.
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