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#### Abstract

For a finite commutative ring $R$ and a positive integer $k \geqslant 2$, we construct an iteration digraph $G(R, k)$ whose vertex set is $R$ and for which there is a directed edge from $a \in R$ to $b \in R$ if $b=a^{k}$. Let $R=R_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus R_{s}$, where $s>1$ and $R_{i}$ is a finite commutative local ring for $i \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$. Let $N$ be a subset of $\left\{R_{1}, \ldots, R_{s}\right\}$ (it is possible that $N$ is the empty set $\emptyset$ ). We define the fundamental constituents $G_{N}^{*}(R, k)$ of $G(R, k)$ induced by the vertices which are of the form $\left\{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{s}\right) \in R: a_{i} \in \mathrm{D}\left(R_{i}\right)\right.$ if $R_{i} \in N$, otherwise $\left.a_{i} \in \mathrm{U}\left(R_{i}\right), i=1, \ldots, s\right\}$, where $\mathrm{U}(R)$ denotes the unit group of $R$ and $\mathrm{D}(R)$ denotes the zero-divisor set of $R$. We investigate the structure of $G_{N}^{*}(R, k)$ and state some conditions for the trees attached to cycle vertices in distinct fundamental constituents to be isomorphic.
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## 1. Introduction

Let $R$ be a finite commutative ring. The graph $G(R, k)(k \geqslant 2$ is a positive integer) is a digraph whose vertices are the elements of $R$ and for which there is a directed edge from $a \in R$ to $b \in R$ if $b=a^{k}$. It is well known that if $R$ is a finite commutative ring with identity 1 , then $R$ can be uniquely expressed as a direct sum of local rings:

$$
R=R_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus R_{s}, \quad s \geqslant 1,
$$

where $R_{i}$ is a local ring for $i=1, \ldots, s$ (see [1, Theorem 3.1.4]). Let $N$ be a subset of $\left\{R_{1}, \ldots, R_{s}\right\}$ (it is possible that $N$ is the empty set $\emptyset$ ). We define the subdigraph
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$G_{N}^{*}(R, k)$ of $G(R, k)$ induced by the vertices which are of the form

$$
\left\{\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{s}\right) \in R: a_{i} \in \mathrm{D}\left(R_{i}\right) \text { if } R_{i} \in N \text {, otherwise } a_{i} \in \mathrm{U}\left(R_{i}\right), i=1, \ldots, s\right\}
$$

where $\mathrm{U}(R)$ denotes the unit group of $R$ and $\mathrm{D}(R)$ denotes the zero-divisor set of $R$. Then $G_{N}^{*}(R, k)$ is called a fundamental constituent of $G(R, k)$. Since the number of subsets of $\left\{R_{1}, \ldots, R_{s}\right\}$ is $2^{s}$ (including the empty set $\emptyset$ ), there are exactly $2^{s}$ fundamental constituents in $G(R, k)$, and the disjoint union of these $2^{s}$ fundamental constituents is precisely the digraph $G(R, k)$. The fundamental constituents of $G\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}, k\right)$, where $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$ is the ring of integers modulo $n$, were introduced by Wilson in [8] and were investigated by Somer et al. in [5] and [6].

A component of a digraph is a directed subgraph which is a maximal connected subgraph of the associated undirected graph. If $\alpha$ is a vertex of a component in $G(R, k)$, we use $\operatorname{Com}_{R}(\alpha)$ to denote this component.

Suppose $\alpha$ is a vertex of $G(R, k)$. The indegree of $\alpha$, denoted by $\operatorname{indeg}_{R}(\alpha)$, is the number of directed edges entering $\alpha$. We will simply write indeg $(\alpha)$ when it is understood that $\alpha$ is a vertex in $G(R, k)$. A digraph is regular if all its vertices have the same indegree, while the digraph $G(R, k)$ is said to be semiregular if there exists a positive integer $d$ such that each vertex of $G(R, k)$ either has indegree 0 or $d$.

Cycles of length $t$ are called $t$-cycles, and cycles of length one are called fixed points. For an isolated fixed point $\alpha$, the indegree and outdegree (i.e., the number of edges leaving $\alpha$ ) are both one. Attached to each cycle vertex $\alpha$ of $G(R, k)$ is a tree $T_{R}(\alpha)$ whose root is $\alpha$ and whose additional vertices are the noncycle vertices $\beta$ for which $\beta^{k^{i}}=\alpha$ for some positive integers $i$, but $\beta^{k^{i-1}}$ is not a cycle vertex. Moreover, we specify two particular subdigraphs $G_{1}(R, k)$ and $G_{2}(R, k)$ of $G(R, k)$, i.e., $G_{1}(R, k)$ is induced by all the vertices of $\mathrm{U}(R)$, and $G_{2}(R, k)$ is induced by all the vertices of $\mathrm{D}(R)$.

Similarly to the proof of [3, Theorem 29], it is easy to show the following lemma.
Lemma 1.1. Let $R$ be a finite commutative ring. Let $\beta \in \mathrm{U}(R)$ be a cycle vertex of $G(R, k), k \geqslant 2$. Then the tree $T_{R}(1)$ is isomorphic to the tree $T_{R}(\beta)$.

Given two digraphs $\Gamma_{1}$ and $\Gamma_{2}$, let $\Gamma_{1} \times \Gamma_{2}$ denote the digraph whose vertices are the ordered pairs $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$, where $a_{i}$ is an arbitrary vertex of $\Gamma_{i}$ for $i=1,2$. In addition, there is a directed edge in $\Gamma_{1} \times \Gamma_{2}$ from $\left(a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$ to $\left(b_{1}, b_{2}\right)$ if and only if there is a directed edge in $\Gamma_{1}$ from $a_{1}$ to $b_{1}$ and there is a directed edge in $\Gamma_{2}$ from $a_{2}$ to $b_{2}$. In general, if $S \cong S_{1} \oplus \ldots \oplus S_{t}$, where $S, S_{1}, \ldots, S_{t}$ are rings, then $G(S, k) \cong G\left(S_{1}, k\right) \times \ldots \times G\left(S_{t}, k\right)$. The following lemma is obvious.

Lemma 1.2. Let $\Gamma_{i}$ be digraphs, $i=1,2,3,4$, where $\Gamma_{1} \cong \Gamma_{2}$ and $\Gamma_{3} \cong \Gamma_{4}$. Then $\Gamma_{1} \times \Gamma_{3} \cong \Gamma_{2} \times \Gamma_{4}$.

Lemma 1.3 ([4, Theorem 2]). Let $R$ be a finite local ring with an identity element 1 which is not necessarily commutative. Let $M$ be the unique maximal ideal of $R$. Then $|R|=p^{n r},|M|=p^{(n-1) r}, M^{n}=\{0\}$ and $\operatorname{char}(R)=p^{k}$, where char $(R)$ is the characteristic of $R, p$ is a prime, $n, r, k$ are positive integers and $1 \leqslant k \leqslant n$.

## 2. The fundamental constituents of $G(R, k)$

In the following two examples, we denote by $\mathbb{F}_{q}$ the finite field of order $q$.
Example 2.1. Let $R=\mathbb{F}_{4} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{4}$, where $\mathbb{F}_{4}=\left\{0,1, a, a^{2}\right\}$ with $o(a)=3$. There are precisely 4 fundamental constituents $G_{N_{i}}^{*}(R, 3)$ in $G(R, 3)$, where $N_{1}=\left\{\mathbb{F}_{4}\right\}, N_{2}=$ $\left\{\mathbb{F}_{4}, \mathbb{Z}_{4}\right\}, N_{3}=\left\{\mathbb{Z}_{4}\right\}$, and $N_{4}=\emptyset$. Figure 1 shows the fundamental constituents of $G(R, 3)$.

$G_{N_{3}}^{*}(R, 3)$

$G_{N_{2}}^{*}(R, 3)$

$G_{N_{4}}^{*}(R, 3)$

Figure 1. The four fundamental constituents of $G\left(\mathbb{F}_{4} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{4}, 3\right)$.
Example 2.2. Let $R=\mathbb{F}_{4} \oplus \mathbb{F}_{3} \oplus \mathbb{F}_{5}$, where $\mathbb{F}_{4}=\left\{0,1, a, a^{2}\right\}$ with $o(a)=3$. There are precisely 8 fundamental constituents $G_{N_{i}}^{*}(R, 2)$ in $G(R, 2)$, where $N_{1}=$ $\left\{\mathbb{F}_{4}, \mathbb{F}_{3}, \mathbb{F}_{5}\right\}, N_{2}=\left\{\mathbb{F}_{3}, \mathbb{F}_{5}\right\}, N_{3}=\left\{\mathbb{F}_{4}, \mathbb{F}_{5}\right\}, N_{4}=\left\{\mathbb{F}_{5}\right\}, N_{5}=\left\{\mathbb{F}_{4}, \mathbb{F}_{3}\right\}, N_{6}=\left\{\mathbb{F}_{3}\right\}$, $N_{7}=\left\{\mathbb{F}_{4}\right\}$, and $N_{8}=\emptyset$. Figure 2 shows the fundamental constituents of $G(R, 2)$.

Remark 2.1. Let $R$ be the direct sum of finite commutative local rings $R_{1}, \ldots, R_{s}$. If $N$ is a subset of $\left\{R_{1}, \ldots, R_{s}\right\}$, then by the definition of digraphs products, we have

$$
G_{N}^{*}(R, k)=\prod_{R_{i} \in N} G_{2}\left(R_{i}, k\right) \times \prod_{R_{j} \notin N} G_{1}\left(R_{j}, k\right) .
$$


$G_{N_{1}}^{*}(R, 2)$
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G_{N_{3}}^{*}(R, 2)
$$



$$
G_{N_{5}}^{*}(R, 2)
$$



$$
G_{N_{2}}^{*}(R, 2)
$$



$$
G_{N_{6}}^{*}(R, 2)
$$



$$
G_{N_{7}}^{*}(R, 2) \quad G_{N_{8}}^{*}(R, 2)
$$



$$
G_{N_{8}}^{*}(R, 2)
$$

Figure 2. The eight fundamental constituents of $G\left(\mathbb{F}_{4} \oplus \mathbb{F}_{3} \oplus \mathbb{F}_{5}, 2\right)$.
In particular, if $N=\left\{R_{1}, \ldots, R_{s}\right\}$, then $G_{N}^{*}(R, k)$ has precisely one component, i.e.,

$$
G_{N}^{*}(R, k)=G_{2}\left(R_{1}, k\right) \times \ldots \times G_{2}\left(R_{s}, k\right)=\operatorname{Com}_{R}(0)
$$

If $N=\emptyset$, then $G_{N}^{*}(R, k)=G_{1}(R, k)$. Note that $G_{2}\left(R_{i}, k\right)=\operatorname{Com}_{R_{i}}(0)$, since $R_{i}$ is a local ring. We can write $G_{N}^{*}(R, k)=\prod_{R_{i} \in N} \operatorname{Com}_{R_{i}}(0) \times \prod_{R_{j} \notin N} G_{1}\left(R_{j}, k\right)$.

Somer and Křizzek obtained the semiregularity and regularity of the fundamental constituents of $G\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}, k\right)$, see [5, Theorems 5.1, 5.4]. Analogously, we present the following Theorems 2.1 and 2.2 for any finite commutative ring.

Theorem 2.1. Let $R$ be the direct sum of finite commutative local rings $R_{1}, \ldots, R_{s}$. Let $N$ be a subset of $\left\{R_{1}, \ldots, R_{s}\right\}$. Then $G_{N}^{*}(R, k)$ is semiregular if and only if $G_{2}\left(R_{i}, k\right)$ is semiregular for $R_{i} \in N$.

Proof. Suppose that $G_{N}^{*}(R, k)$ is semiregular. By way of contradiction, we can assume without loss of generality that $R_{1} \in N$ while $G_{2}\left(R_{1}, k\right)$ is not semiregular. Then there exists a vertex $g$ of $G_{2}\left(R_{1}, k\right)$ with $\operatorname{indeg}_{R_{1}}(0) \neq \operatorname{indeg}_{R_{1}}(g)>0$. Now let $\gamma=\left(g, a_{2}, \ldots, a_{s}\right) \in G_{N}^{*}(R, k)$, where for $j \in\{2, \ldots, s\}, a_{j}=0$ if $R_{j} \in N$, while $a_{j}=1$ if $R_{j} \notin N$. Further, let $\beta=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s}\right) \in G_{N}^{*}(R, k)$, where for $i \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$, $b_{i}=0$ if $R_{i} \in N$, while $b_{i}=1$ if $R_{i} \notin N$. Then we can see that both the vertices $\gamma$ and $\beta$ have positive indegree in $G_{N}^{*}(R, k)$. However, $\operatorname{indeg}_{R}(\gamma) \neq \operatorname{indeg}_{R}(\beta)$, since

$$
\operatorname{indeg}_{R_{1}}(g) \neq \operatorname{indeg}_{R_{1}}(0)=\operatorname{indeg}_{R_{1}}\left(b_{1}\right)
$$

but $\operatorname{indeg}_{R_{j}}\left(a_{j}\right)=\operatorname{indeg}_{R_{j}}\left(b_{j}\right)$ for $j \in\{2, \ldots, s\}$. This is impossible, as $G_{N}^{*}(R, k)$ is semiregular and $\gamma, \beta \in G_{N}^{*}(R, k)$. Hence, $G_{2}\left(R_{i}, k\right)$ is semiregular for $R_{i} \in N$.

Conversely, assume that $G_{2}\left(R_{i}, k\right)$ is semiregular for $R_{i} \in N$. Let $\alpha=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{s}\right)$ and $\beta=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s}\right)$ be two distinct vertices in $G_{N}^{*}(R, k)$ such that indeg ${ }_{R}(\alpha)>0$ and $\operatorname{indeg}_{R}(\beta)>0$. By assumption, $\operatorname{indeg}_{R_{i}}\left(a_{i}\right)=\operatorname{indeg}_{R_{i}}\left(b_{i}\right)$ for $R_{i} \in N$. Moreover, by a proof similar to that of [7, Theorem 3.2], we derive that $G_{1}(R, k)$ is semiregular for any finite commutative ring $R$, so indeg ${ }_{R_{j}}\left(a_{j}\right)=\operatorname{indeg}_{R_{j}}\left(b_{j}\right)$ for $R_{j} \notin N$. Thus

$$
\operatorname{indeg}_{R}(\alpha)=\prod_{i=1}^{s} \operatorname{indeg}_{R_{i}}\left(a_{i}\right)=\prod_{i=1}^{s} \operatorname{indeg}_{R_{i}}\left(b_{i}\right)=\operatorname{indeg}_{R}(\beta)
$$

Hence, $G_{N}^{*}(R, k)$ is semiregular.
Theorem 2.2. Let $R$ be the direct sum of finite commutative local rings $R_{1}, \ldots, R_{s}$. Let $N$ be a subset of $\left\{R_{1}, \ldots, R_{s}\right\}$. Then $G_{N}^{*}(R, k)$ is regular if and only if $R_{i}$ is a field for $R_{i} \in N$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\left|\mathrm{U}\left(R_{j}\right)\right|, k\right)=1$ for $R_{j} \notin N$.

Proof. Suppose that $G_{N}^{*}(R, k)$ is regular. Then for $\alpha=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{s}\right) \in G_{N}^{*}(R, k)$, the indegree of $\alpha$ is 1 . Hence, $\operatorname{indeg}_{R_{i}}\left(a_{i}\right)=1$ for any $a_{i} \in \mathrm{D}\left(R_{i}\right)$ if $R_{i} \in N$. Thus, $\mathrm{D}\left(R_{i}\right)$ has only one element, i.e., 0 . So $R_{i}$ is a field for $R_{i} \in N$. On the other hand,
we also have indeg ${ }_{R_{j}}\left(a_{j}\right)=1$ for any $a_{j} \in \mathrm{U}\left(R_{j}\right)$ if $R_{j} \notin N$, which implies that $G_{1}\left(R_{j}, k\right)$ is regular if $R_{j} \notin N$. So $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\left|\mathrm{U}\left(R_{j}\right)\right|, k\right)=1$ for $R_{j} \notin N$.

Conversely, assume that $R_{i}$ is a field for $R_{i} \in N$ and $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\left|\mathrm{U}\left(R_{j}\right)\right|, k\right)=1$ for $R_{j} \notin$ $N$. Let $\alpha=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{s}\right) \in G_{N}^{*}(R, k)$. So we have $a_{i}=0$ and hence indeg $R_{R_{i}}\left(a_{i}\right)=1$ if $R_{i} \in N$. Moreover, since $\operatorname{gcd}\left(\left|\mathrm{U}\left(R_{j}\right)\right|, k\right)=1$ for $R_{j} \notin N, R_{j}$ is regular. So $\operatorname{indeg}_{R_{j}}\left(a_{j}\right)=1$ if $R_{j} \notin N$. Therefore, the indegree of $\alpha$ in $G_{N}^{*}(R, k)$ is equal to $\prod_{i=1}^{s} \operatorname{indeg}_{R_{i}}\left(a_{i}\right)=1$. Consequently, $G_{N}^{*}(R, k)$ is regular.

Somer and Křižek showed in [6, Theorem 6.1] that all trees attached to cycle vertices in a fundamental constituent of $G\left(\mathbb{Z}_{n}, k\right)$ are isomorphic. In general, we have the following result.

Theorem 2.3. Let $R$ be the direct sum of finite commutative local rings $R_{1}, \ldots, R_{s}$. Let $N$ be a subset of $\left\{R_{1}, \ldots, R_{s}\right\}$. If $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are two cycle vertices in $G_{N}^{*}(R, k)$, then the tree $T_{R}(\alpha)$ is isomorphic to the tree $T_{R}(\beta)$.

Proof. By Remark 2.1, if $N=\emptyset$, then $G_{N}^{*}(R, k)=G_{1}(R, k)$, and the assertion follows from Lemma 1.1. If $N=\left\{R_{1}, \ldots, R_{s}\right\}$, then $G_{N}^{*}(R, k)=\operatorname{Com}_{R}(0)$. Since the only cycle vertex in $\operatorname{Com}_{R}(0)$ is 0 , there is only one tree in $G_{N}^{*}(R, k)$, and the theorem follows trivially.

Now suppose $\emptyset \neq N \neq\left\{R_{1}, \ldots, R_{s}\right\}$. We can suppose without loss of generality that $N=\left\{R_{1}, R_{2}, \ldots, R_{t}\right\}$, where $1 \leqslant t \leqslant s-1$. Then by Remark 2.1,

$$
G_{N}^{*}(R, k)=G_{2}\left(R_{1}, k\right) \times \ldots \times G_{2}\left(R_{t}, k\right) \times G_{1}\left(R_{t+1}, k\right) \times \ldots \times G_{1}\left(R_{s}, k\right)
$$

Therefore, if $\alpha=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{s}\right)$ is a cycle vertex in $G_{N}^{*}(R, k)$, then it is evident that $a_{1}=\ldots=a_{t}=0$, while $a_{j}$ is a cycle vertex in $G_{1}\left(R_{j}, k\right)$ for $j \in\{t+1, \ldots, s\}$. In particular, $\gamma=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{s}\right)$ is also a cycle vertex in $G_{N}^{*}(R, k)$, where $b_{1}=\ldots=b_{t}=0$ and $b_{t+1}=\ldots=b_{s}=1$. Hence, we will complete the proof by showing that $T_{R}(\alpha) \cong T_{R}(\gamma)$. By the definition of digraphs products, it is easy to show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{R}(\alpha)=T_{R_{1}}(0) \times \ldots \times T_{R_{t}}(0) \times T_{R_{t+1}}\left(a_{t+1}\right) \times \ldots \times T_{R_{s}}\left(a_{s}\right) \\
& T_{R}(\gamma)=T_{R_{1}}(0) \times \ldots \times T_{R_{t}}(0) \times T_{R_{t+1}}(1) \times \ldots \times T_{R_{s}}(1)
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 1.1, $T_{R_{j}}\left(a_{j}\right) \cong T_{R_{j}}(1)$ for any cycle vertex $a_{j}$ in $G_{1}\left(R_{j}, k\right)$. So we can conclude by Lemma 1.2 that $T_{R}(\alpha) \cong T_{R}(\gamma)$, as desired.

In Figure 1, we observe that trees attached to cycle vertices in different fundamental constituents are not isomorphic, whereas from Figure 2 we can see that the fundamental constituents $G_{N_{3}}^{*}(R, 2)$ and $G_{N_{4}}^{*}(R, 2)$ (as well as $G_{N_{5}}^{*}(R, 2)$ and
$G_{N_{6}}^{*}(R, 2), G_{N_{7}}^{*}(R, 2)$ and $\left.G_{N_{8}}^{*}(R, 2)\right)$ have isomorphic nontrivial trees attached to their cycle vertices. In the following theorem, we present some conditions for trees attached to cycle vertices in different fundamental constituents to be isomorphic.

Theorem 2.4. Let $R$ be the direct sum of finite commutative local rings $R_{1}, \ldots, R_{s}$. There are two distinct subsets $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ of $\left\{R_{1}, \ldots, R_{s}\right\}$ such that $G_{N_{1}}^{*}(R, k)$ and $G_{N_{2}}^{*}(R, k)$ have isomorphic trees attached to their cycle vertices, provided one of the following conditions holds:
(1) There exists $i \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$ such that $\operatorname{Com}_{R_{i}}(0) \cong \operatorname{Com}_{R_{i}}(1)$.
(2) There exist $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, s\}(i \neq j)$ such that $\operatorname{Com}_{R_{i}}(0) \cong \operatorname{Com}_{R_{j}}(0)$ and $\operatorname{Com}_{R_{i}}(1) \cong \operatorname{Com}_{R_{j}}(1)$.
(3) There exist $i, j \in\{1, \ldots, s\}(i \neq j)$ such that $\operatorname{Com}_{R_{i}}(0) \cong \operatorname{Com}_{R_{j}}(1)$ and $\operatorname{Com}_{R_{i}}(1) \cong \operatorname{Com}_{R_{j}}(0)$.

Proof. (1) We can suppose without loss of generality that $\operatorname{Com}_{R_{1}}(0) \cong$ $\operatorname{Com}_{R_{1}}(1)$. Let $N_{1}=\left\{R_{1}, \ldots, R_{s}\right\}$ and $N_{2}=\left\{R_{2}, \ldots, R_{s}\right\}$ (if $s=1$ then let $N_{2}=\emptyset$ ). Clearly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& G_{N_{1}}^{*}(R, k)=\operatorname{Com}_{R_{1}}(0) \times \ldots \times \operatorname{Com}_{R_{s}}(0), \\
& G_{N_{2}}^{*}(R, k)=G_{1}\left(R_{1}, k\right) \times \operatorname{Com}_{R_{2}}(0) \times \ldots \times \operatorname{Com}_{R_{s}}(0) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We observe that $G_{N_{1}}^{*}(R, k)$ has precisely one component. In addition,

$$
L_{N_{2}}=\operatorname{Com}_{R_{1}}(1) \times \operatorname{Com}_{R_{2}}(0) \times \ldots \times \operatorname{Com}_{R_{s}}(0)
$$

is one component of $G_{N_{2}}^{*}(R, k)$. By the hypothesis and Lemma 1.2, we have $G_{N_{1}}^{*}(R, k) \cong L_{N_{2}}$. Hence, the result follows by Theorem 2.3.
(2) We can suppose without loss of generality that

$$
\operatorname{Com}_{R_{1}}(0) \cong \operatorname{Com}_{R_{2}}(0), \quad \operatorname{Com}_{R_{1}}(1) \cong \operatorname{Com}_{R_{2}}(1)
$$

Let $N_{1}=\left\{R_{1}\right\}, N_{2}=\left\{R_{2}\right\}$. Then clearly

$$
L_{N_{1}}=\operatorname{Com}_{R_{1}}(0) \times \operatorname{Com}_{R_{2}}(1) \times \prod_{j=3}^{s} \operatorname{Com}_{R_{j}}(1)
$$

is one component of $G_{N_{1}}^{*}(R, k)$, while

$$
L_{N_{2}}=\operatorname{Com}_{R_{1}}(1) \times \operatorname{Com}_{R_{2}}(0) \times \prod_{j=3}^{s} \operatorname{Com}_{R_{j}}(1)
$$

is one component of $G_{N_{2}}^{*}(R, k)$. By the hypothesis and Lemma 1.2, $L_{N_{1}} \cong L_{N_{2}}$. Accordingly, the result follows by Theorem 2.3.
(3) We can suppose without loss of generality that

$$
\operatorname{Com}_{R_{1}}(0) \cong \operatorname{Com}_{R_{2}}(1), \quad \operatorname{Com}_{R_{1}}(1) \cong \operatorname{Com}_{R_{2}}(0)
$$

Let $N_{1}=\left\{R_{1}, R_{2}\right\}, N_{2}=\emptyset$. Then clearly

$$
L_{N_{1}}=\operatorname{Com}_{R_{1}}(0) \times \operatorname{Com}_{R_{2}}(0) \times \prod_{j=3}^{s} \operatorname{Com}_{R_{j}}(1)
$$

is one component of $G_{N_{1}}^{*}(R, k)$, while

$$
L_{N_{2}}=\operatorname{Com}_{R_{1}}(1) \times \operatorname{Com}_{R_{2}}(1) \times \prod_{j=3}^{s} \operatorname{Com}_{R_{j}}(1)
$$

is one component of $G_{N_{2}}^{*}(R, k)$. By the hypothesis and Lemma 1.2, $L_{N_{1}} \cong L_{N_{2}}$. Thus, by Theorem 2.3, the result follows.

Theorem 2.5. Let $R$ be the direct sum of finite commutative local rings $R_{1}, \ldots, R_{s}$. If there exists $i \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$ such that $\operatorname{Com}_{R_{i}}(0) \cong \operatorname{Com}_{R_{i}}(1)$, then for any subset $N$ of $\left\{R_{1}, \ldots, R_{s}\right\}$, there exists a subset $N_{0}\left(N_{0} \neq N\right)$ of $\left\{R_{1}, \ldots, R_{s}\right\}$ such that $G_{N}^{*}(R, k)$ and $G_{N_{0}}^{*}(R, k)$ have isomorphic trees attached to their cycle vertices.

Proof. We can suppose without loss of generality that $\operatorname{Com}_{R_{1}}(0) \cong \operatorname{Com}_{R_{1}}(1)$. If $R_{1} \in N$, let $N_{0}=N-\left\{R_{1}\right\}$. If $R_{1} \notin N$, let $N_{0}=N \cup\left\{R_{1}\right\}$. Then by the proof of Theorem 2.4 (1), the result follows.

Finally, we state some conditions for $\operatorname{Com}_{R}(0) \cong \operatorname{Com}_{R}(1)$, where $R$ is a finite commutative local ring.

Theorem 2.6. Let $R$ be a finite commutative local ring with a unique maximal ideal $M$. Then $\operatorname{Com}_{R}(0) \cong \operatorname{Com}_{R}(1)$ if one of the following conditions holds:
(1) $|R|=2|M|=2^{n}, n \leqslant 2 \leqslant k$ and $2 \mid k$.
(2) $|R|=2|M|=2^{n}, n=3$ and $4 \mid k$.
(3) $|R|=2|M|=2^{n}, n \geqslant 4$ and $2^{n-2} \mid k$.
(4) $|R|=p|M|=p^{n}, p$ is an odd prime, $n \geqslant 1, p-1 \mid k-1$ and $p^{n-1} \mid k$.

Proof. (1) If $n=1$, then $R=\mathbb{F}_{2}$. So $G_{1}(R, k) \cong G_{2}(R, k)$, i.e., $\operatorname{Com}_{R}(0) \cong$ $\operatorname{Com}_{R}(1)$ for $k \geqslant 2$.

If $n=2$, then $R=\mathbb{Z}_{4}$ if $\operatorname{char}(R)=2^{2}$. Otherwise, if $\operatorname{char}(R)=2$, then by [4, Theorem 3], $R$ is isomorphic to the ring of upper triangular matrices $R^{*}$ over $\mathbb{F}_{2}$, where

$$
R^{*}=\left\{\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 1 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right),\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)\right\}
$$

Obviously, $R^{*} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}[x] /\left\langle x^{2}\right\rangle$ and $R^{*}$ is commutative. Hence, for $\alpha \in R$, either $\alpha^{k}=0$ or $\alpha^{k}=1$ if $2 \mid k$. Thus $G(R, k)$ has precisely two components, one with fixed point 0 and the other with fixed point 1 , and both components are isomorphic.
(2) Suppose $n=3$ and $4 \mid k$. Clearly $\alpha^{k}=0$ or $\alpha^{k}=1$ for $\alpha \in R$, since $|M|=|\mathrm{U}(R)|=4$. Hence, $\operatorname{Com}_{R}(0) \cong \operatorname{Com}_{R}(1)$.
(3) Suppose that $n \geqslant 4$ and $2^{n-2} \mid k$. By assumption, $|M|=|\mathrm{U}(R)|=2^{n-1}$ and by Lemma $1.3, M^{n}=\{0\}$. Note that $k \geqslant n$, since $n \geqslant 4$ and $2^{n-2} \mid k$. We see that $\alpha^{k}=0$ for $\alpha \in M$. Furthermore, by the work of Gilmer in [2], if $|S|=2^{t}$, where $S$ is a local ring and $t \geqslant 4$, then $\mathrm{U}(S)$ is not a cyclic group. So $\mathrm{U}(R) \cong C_{2^{n_{1}}} \times \ldots \times C_{2^{n_{s}}}$, where $s \geqslant 2,1 \leqslant n_{i} \leqslant n-2, C_{2^{n_{i}}}$ is a cyclic group with order $2^{n_{i}}$ for $i \in\{1, \ldots, s\}$, and $n_{1}+\ldots+n_{s}=n-1$. Therefore, $\beta^{2^{n-2}}=1$ for $\beta \in \mathrm{U}(R)$. Moreover, since $2^{n-2} \mid k$, we have $\beta^{k}=1$ for $\beta \in \mathrm{U}(R)$. Thus $G(R, k)$ has precisely two components, and the two components are isomorphic.
(4) By hypothesis, $|\mathrm{U}(R)|=p^{n-1}(p-1)$. So $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{R}) \cong H_{1} \times H_{2}$, where $H_{1}$ and $H_{2}$ are abelian groups, $\left|H_{1}\right|=p^{n-1}$ and $\left|H_{2}\right|=p-1$. Thus, $\alpha^{p^{n-1}}=1$ and hence $\alpha^{k}=1$ for $\alpha \in H_{1}$, since $p^{n-1} \mid k$. Therefore, $G\left(H_{1}, k\right)$ has exactly one component and $\operatorname{indeg}_{H_{1}}(1)=p^{n-1}$. On the other hand, for $\beta \in H_{2}$ we have $\beta^{p-1}=1$ and hence $\beta^{k}=\beta^{k-1} \beta=\beta$, since $p-1 \mid k-1$. So we can conclude that each vertex of $G\left(H_{2}, k\right)$ is an isolated fixed point. By the definition of digraphs products, we have

$$
G_{1}(R, k)=G(\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{R}), k) \cong G\left(H_{1}, k\right) \times G\left(H_{2}, k\right) .
$$

So $G_{1}(R, k)$ has precisely $p-1$ components and each cycle is of length one, while the indegree of each cycle vertex is $p^{n-1}$. Moreover, by Lemma 1.3, $M^{n}=\{0\}$. Since $p^{n-1} \mid k$, we derive that $k>n$. Thus for $\gamma \in M, \gamma^{k}=0$. So indeg ${ }_{R}(0)=|M|=p^{n-1}$. Hence we can see that $G(R, k)$ has precisely $p$ components, and all these components are isomorphic.
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