

Stéphane Garnier; Matthias Kalus

A lossless reduction of geodesics on supermanifolds to non-graded differential geometry

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 50 (2014), No. 4, 205–218

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/144019>

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 2014

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

A LOSSLESS REDUCTION OF GEODESICS ON SUPERMANIFOLDS TO NON-GRADED DIFFERENTIAL GEOMETRY

STÉPHANE GARNIER AND MATTHIAS KALUS

ABSTRACT. Let $\mathcal{M} = (M, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})$ be a smooth supermanifold with connection ∇ and Batchelor model $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}} \cong \Gamma_{\wedge E^*}$. From (\mathcal{M}, ∇) we construct a connection on the total space of the vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$. This reduction of ∇ is well-defined independently of the isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}} \cong \Gamma_{\wedge E^*}$. It erases information, but however it turns out that the natural identification of supercurves in \mathcal{M} (as maps from $\mathbb{R}^{1|1}$ to \mathcal{M}) with curves in E restricts to a 1 to 1 correspondence on geodesics. This bijection is induced by a natural identification of initial conditions for geodesics on \mathcal{M} , resp. E . Furthermore a Riemannian metric on \mathcal{M} reduces to a symmetric bilinear form on the manifold E . Provided that the connection on \mathcal{M} is compatible with the metric, resp. torsion free, the reduced connection on E inherits these properties. For an odd metric, the reduction of a Levi-Civita connection on \mathcal{M} turns out to be a Levi-Civita connection on E .

1. INTRODUCTION

The analysis on supermanifolds of odd dimension one can be expressed in terms of the analysis on the associated Batchelor line bundle. Moreover morphisms from these objects to supermanifolds are determined by the induced pullback of numerical functions and sections in the associated Batchelor bundles. The study of geodesics regarded as supercurves with domain $\mathbb{R}^{1|1}$ on a supermanifold \mathcal{M} , can hence be completely described by classical differential geometry on the Batchelor bundle of \mathcal{M} . The aim of the present article is to make this description precise.

Provided that $E \rightarrow M$ is a Batchelor bundle for the supermanifold $\mathcal{M} = (M, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})$, there is a bijective correspondence between the set of supercurves $\mathbb{R}^{1|1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ and the set of curves $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow E$. We analyze this correspondence on the level of geodesics $\mathbb{R}^{1|1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ of a supermanifold with connection (\mathcal{M}, ∇) . In particular we construct a connection ∇^{TE} on the manifold E such that the above correspondence of supercurves and curves yields a bijection between geodesics of (\mathcal{M}, ∇) and geodesics of (E, ∇^{TE}) . Assuming that ∇ is torsion-free, resp. metric with respect to an even or odd Riemannian metric g , we prove that ∇^{TE}

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: primary 58A50; secondary 53C22, 53B21, 53C05.

Key words and phrases: supermanifolds, geodesics, Riemannian metrics, connections.

Received August 29, 2014. Editor J. Slovák.

DOI: 10.5817/AM2014-4-205

is torsion-free, resp. compatible with a constructed symmetric bilinear form g^{TE} on the manifold E . In the case $|g| = 1$ the form g^{TE} is non-degenerate, so a Levi-Civita connection ∇ on (\mathcal{M}, g) induces a Levi-Civita connection ∇^{TE} on the pseudo-Riemannian manifold (E, g^{TE}) .

Here is a detailed overview: In the second section we state the well-known bijective correspondence of supercurves, resp. initial conditions of geodesics on a supermanifold \mathcal{M} with curves, resp. initial conditions on the classical manifold E , defining a Batchelor bundle for \mathcal{M} (see [3]). Proofs are included for reasons of convenience of the reader.

The third section contains the explicit constructions of a connection and a symmetric bilinear form on E induced by the respective objects on \mathcal{M} . The main idea for the construction of ∇^{TE} and g^{TE} is a natural identification of superfunctions of \mathbb{Z} -grading 0 and 1 in the Batchelor model with smooth functions on E which are affine linear in fiber direction. Even (super-)derivations preserving these respective sets of functions, form Lie algebras. The identification of these Lie algebras enables us to transport a connection and an even or odd Riemannian metric from the graded to the classical setting. Furthermore we show that ∇^{TE} inherits zero torsion and metric compatibility if ∇ has these properties. We show that the reduction commutes with diffeomorphisms of supermanifolds with connection. In particular it is independent of the choice of a Batchelor model.

The fourth section then deduces the geodesics condition on (E, ∇^{TE}) from the geodesics condition on (\mathcal{M}, ∇) . We sum the results up to:

Theorem 1.1. *Let $\mathcal{M} = (M, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})$ be a smooth supermanifold with Batchelor bundle $E \rightarrow M$, i.e. $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}} \cong \Gamma_{\wedge E^*}$, and connection ∇ , resp. Riemannian metric g . For the associated connection ∇^{TE} , resp. symmetric bilinear form g^{TE} on E we have:*

- (1) *if ∇ is torsion free, resp. compatible with g , then ∇^{TE} is torsion free, resp. compatible with g^{TE} ,*
- (2) *if $\Phi \in \text{Mor}(\mathbb{R}^{1|1}, \mathcal{M})$ is a geodesic of (\mathcal{M}, ∇) with initial condition A , then the associated curve $\gamma_{\Phi} \in \text{Mor}(\mathbb{R}, E)$ is a geodesic of (E, ∇^{TE}) with initial condition α_A associated to A .*

Notation. In the following we will denote a smooth finite-dimensional supermanifold \mathcal{M} by the pair $(M, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})$ where $M = |\mathcal{M}|$ is the underlying classical manifold and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ is the sheaf of superfunctions. We denote by \tilde{f} the reduction of a superfunction f . Furthermore we will frequently identify a supermanifold \mathcal{M} with the associated functor of points or generalized supermanifold

$$Y(\mathcal{M}): \mathbf{SM}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}, \quad Y(\mathcal{M})(\mathcal{S}) = \mathbf{SM}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{M})$$

where \mathbf{SM} denotes the category of supermanifolds. We often write $\mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S})$ for $\mathbf{SM}(\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{M})$ for given supermanifolds \mathcal{S} and \mathcal{M} . Finally we recall the “inner Hom” functor associating to supermanifolds \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} the generalized supermanifold $\mathbf{SM}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M}): \mathbf{SM}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ defined by $\mathbf{SM}(\mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M})(\mathcal{S}) := \mathbf{SM}(\mathcal{S} \times \mathcal{N}, \mathcal{M})$.

By Π we denote the parity reversal on the category of locally free sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ -modules over \mathcal{M} . On classical vector bundles we define Π to be the Batchelor

functor

$$\mathbf{VB}_M \rightarrow \mathbf{SM}, \quad (E \rightarrow M) \mapsto \Pi E \hat{=} (M, \Gamma_{\wedge E^*}).$$

For certain calculations we use local coordinates of the bundle $\pi: E \rightarrow M$. Let $U \subset M$ be a coordinate domain for the coordinates (x_i) , let (e_α) be a basis of the vector space $F := \mathbb{R}^{\text{rank}(E)}$ inducing coordinates (e_α^*) on F and let $E|_U \cong U \times F$ be a local trivialization for the bundle $E \rightarrow M$ with coordinates (x_i, e_α^*) . The coordinates locally induce derivations on \mathcal{C}_E^∞ denoted by $\partial_i := \partial_{x_i}$ and $\partial_\alpha := \partial_{e_\alpha^*}$. Let \mathcal{T}_E denote the sheaf of derivations of \mathcal{C}_E^∞ . Furthermore the basis (e_α) induces by dualization a local frame also denoted (e_α^*) of the bundle $E^* \rightarrow M$ and hence local coordinates (x_i, e_α^*) for the supermanifold $\mathcal{M} = \Pi E$. We obtain local superderivations on $\Gamma_{\wedge E^*}$ by $\hat{\partial}_i := \partial_{x_i}$ acting only on the coefficient functions of the products of the e_α^* , and $\hat{\partial}_\alpha := \partial_{e_\alpha^*}$ mapping \mathcal{C}_M^∞ to zero.

2. SUPERCURVES AND INITIAL CONDITIONS

We recall the natural identification of (super-)curves and initial conditions for geodesics in $\mathcal{M} = \Pi E$, resp. E . Since we will use the notion of the tangent bundle of a supermanifold as well as the notion of the sheaf of superderivations on superfunctions, we first remind the correspondence of locally free sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{M}$ -modules of finite rank on the supermanifold \mathcal{M} (category $\mathbf{LFS}_\mathcal{M}$) with super vector bundles on \mathcal{M} (category $\mathbf{SVB}_\mathcal{M}$). We quote from [6]:

Proposition 2.1 ([6]). *The categories $\mathbf{LFS}_\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathbf{SVB}_\mathcal{M}$ are equivalent. An equivalence is given by*

$$\mathbf{LFS}_\mathcal{M} \longrightarrow \text{Fun}(\mathbf{SM}^{\text{op}}, \mathbf{Set})$$

$$\mathcal{P} \longmapsto \sigma_\mathcal{P} := (\mathcal{S} \longmapsto \{(f, h) \mid f \in \mathcal{M}(\mathcal{S}) \text{ and } h \in (f^*\mathcal{P})_{\bar{0}}(\mathcal{S})\})$$

and the morphism of supermanifolds $\sigma_\mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ induced by the projection $(f, h) \mapsto f$.

As usual we will denote the tangent, respectively cotangent bundles of a supermanifold \mathcal{M} by $T\mathcal{M} = (TM, \mathcal{O}_{T\mathcal{M}})$, resp. $T^*\mathcal{M} = (T^*M, \mathcal{O}_{T^*\mathcal{M}})$ and the associated locally free sheaves by $\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{M} = \text{Der}_\mathbb{R}(\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{M})$ and $\Omega_\mathcal{M}^1 = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{M}}(\mathcal{T}_\mathcal{M}, \mathcal{O}_\mathcal{M})$ respectively. The above proposition allows to make sense of Π in the category of super vector bundles.

2.1. Identification of curves. The main tool in comparing supercurves in \mathcal{M} with curves in E is the following theorem we quote (see, e.g. [3]):

Theorem 2.2. *For a supermanifold \mathcal{M} we have an isomorphism of generalized supermanifolds:*

$$(1) \quad \underline{\mathbf{SM}}(\mathbb{R}^{0|1}, \mathcal{M}) \cong \Pi T\mathcal{M}$$

We can further simplify the right side of equation (1) in the case of a fixed Batchelor model, i.e. an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}_\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \Gamma_{\wedge E^*}$:

Proposition 2.3. *Let $E \rightarrow M$ be a real vector bundle over a classical real manifold M . Then we have*

$$|\Pi T \Pi E| \cong E.$$

Remark 2.4. The left hand side must be interpreted as follows: $T\Pi E$ is the tangent bundle $T(\Pi E) \rightarrow \Pi E$ of the supermanifold ΠE and $\Pi T\Pi E$ is the parity reversal of the super vector bundle $T(\Pi E) \rightarrow \Pi E$.

Proof of Proposition 2.3. Denote the locally free sheaf associated to $\Pi T\Pi E$ by \mathcal{P} . It is locally spanned by superderivations with reversed parity. In local coordinates (x_i, e_α^*) of E , the even part of \mathcal{P} is spanned by $f_i \hat{\partial}_i$ and $f_\alpha \hat{\partial}_\alpha$ with $|f_i| = 1$ and $|f_\alpha| = 0$. The underlying manifold of $\Pi T\Pi E$ is given by the restriction of the functor $\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}$ in Proposition 2.1 to manifolds. For a manifold S the set $\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}(S)$ consists of pairs (f, h) for which f can be identified with a morphism in $M(S)$ and the pullback $(f^*\mathcal{P})_{\bar{0}}$ cancels the $f_i \hat{\partial}_i$ and reduces the coefficient functions in the $f_\alpha \hat{\partial}_\alpha$. So via dualization $h \in (f^*\mathcal{P})_{\bar{0}}$ is a morphism $S \rightarrow E$ over $f: S \rightarrow M$ with respect to the projection $E \rightarrow M$. We have $\sigma_{\mathcal{P}}(S) \cong E(S)$ globally and naturality in S can be easily shown as well. \square

We obtain a 1:1 correspondence between the set of supercurves in \mathcal{M} , i.e., morphisms from $\mathbb{R}^{1|1}$ to \mathcal{M} , and the set of curves in E :

Corollary 2.5. *Let \mathcal{M} be a supermanifold. If $E \rightarrow M$ is a real vector bundle such that \mathcal{M} is isomorphic to ΠE then $\mathcal{M}(S \times \mathbb{R}^{0|1}) \cong E(S)$ for any classical manifold S . In particular $\mathcal{M}(\mathbb{R}^{1|1}) \cong E(\mathbb{R})$.*

Proof. We have with Theorem 2.2

$$\mathcal{M}(S \times \mathbb{R}^{0|1}) = \mathbf{SM}(S \times \mathbb{R}^{0|1}, \mathcal{M}) = \mathbf{SM}(\mathbb{R}^{0|1}, \mathcal{M})(S) \cong \Pi T\mathcal{M}(S) \cong (\Pi T\Pi E)(S).$$

Since S is classical, the right hand side equals $|\Pi T\Pi E|(S)$ which is by Proposition 2.3 isomorphic to $E(S)$. \square

2.2. Identification of initial conditions. The initial condition of a geodesic is a morphism of supermanifolds from $\mathbb{R}^{0|1}$ to $T\mathcal{M}$ (see [1]). Hence we first analyze $T\mathcal{M}$ for a fixed Batchelor model $\mathcal{M} = \Pi E$.

Proposition 2.6. *Let $E \rightarrow M$ be a real vector bundle over a classical real manifold M . Then we have*

$$|\Pi T T \Pi E| \cong T E.$$

Remark 2.7. The left hand side must be interpreted as follows: $T\Pi E$ is the tangent bundle $T(\Pi E) \rightarrow \Pi E$ of the supermanifold ΠE and $\Pi T T \Pi E$ is the parity reversal of the super vector bundle $T(T\Pi E) \rightarrow T\Pi E$.

Proof of Proposition 2.6. We proceed analogously to the proof of Proposition 2.3. Denote the locally free sheaf associated to $\Pi T T \Pi E$ by $\hat{\mathcal{P}}$. Local coordinates (x_i, e_α^*) on E and the induced derivations on $\mathcal{O}_{\Pi E}$ induce coordinate functions $(x_i, e_\alpha^*, \hat{\partial}_j^*, \hat{\partial}_\beta^*)$ on $T\Pi E$ and associated super derivations $(\hat{\partial}_i, \hat{\partial}_\alpha, \partial_{\hat{\partial}_j}, \partial_{\hat{\partial}_\beta})$. The even part of $\hat{\mathcal{P}}$ is spanned by $\hat{\partial}_i$ and $\partial_{\hat{\partial}_j}$ with odd coefficient superfunctions in $\mathcal{O}_{T\Pi E}$ and $\hat{\partial}_\alpha$ and $\partial_{\hat{\partial}_\beta}$ with even coefficient functions. Again restricting the associated functor $\sigma_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}$ in Proposition 2.1 to manifolds, the set $\sigma_{\hat{\mathcal{P}}}(S)$ consists of pairs (f, h) for which f can be identified with a morphism in $T\mathcal{M}(S)$ and $h \in (f^*\hat{\mathcal{P}})_{\bar{0}}$. Via f^*

we lose the $\hat{\partial}_i$ and $\partial_{\hat{\partial}_j}$ components and reduce the coefficient functions of the $\hat{\partial}_\alpha$ and $\partial_{\hat{\partial}_\beta}$ components to functions in \mathcal{O}_{TM} . Recall the following classical result (see, e.g. [4]):

Let $E \xrightarrow{p} M$ be a real vector bundle over a classical manifold M with typical fiber F . Then $TE \xrightarrow{Tp} TM$ is a vector bundle with typical fiber $TF \cong F \oplus F$.

Hence we obtain for g the gluing properties for maps in $TE(S)$ over $f \in TM(S)$. Globally we obtain $\sigma_{\hat{p}}(S) \cong TE(S)$ and the identification is natural in S . \square

This leads to the identification of the later initial conditions for geodesics on \mathcal{M} , resp. geodesics on E :

Corollary 2.8. *Let \mathcal{M} be a supermanifold. If $E \rightarrow M$ is a real vector bundle such that \mathcal{M} is isomorphic to ΠE then $TM(S \times \mathbb{R}^{0|1}) \cong TE(S)$ for any classical manifold S . In particular $TM(\mathbb{R}^{0|1}) \cong TE$.*

Proof. Let S be a classical manifold, then using Theorem 2.2

$$TM(S \times \mathbb{R}^{0|1}) = \mathbf{SM}(S \times \mathbb{R}^{0|1}, T\mathcal{M}) = \underline{\mathbf{SM}}(\mathbb{R}^{0|1}, T\mathcal{M})(S) \cong (\Pi TTM)(S).$$

Since S is classical, the right hand side equals $|\Pi TTM|(S)$ which is by Proposition 2.6 isomorphic to $TE(S)$. \square

3. CONNECTIONS AND RIEMANNIAN METRICS

We first recall the basic definitions of connections and metrics on supermanifolds and later construct the associated objects on the total space of the Batchelor bundle. Let $\mathcal{M} = (M, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})$ be a supermanifold and \mathcal{P} be a locally free sheaf of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ -modules of finite rank on \mathcal{M} .

Definition 3.1. A **connection** on \mathcal{P} is an even morphism $\nabla: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \Omega_{\mathcal{M}}^1 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}} \mathcal{P}$ of sheaves of real super vector spaces that satisfies the Leibniz rule

$$\nabla(f \cdot v) = df \otimes v + f \cdot \nabla(v)$$

for all $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}(U)$, $v \in \mathcal{P}(U)$ and all open subsets $U \subset M$. Here $df(X) := (-1)^{|X||f|}X(f)$ for homogeneous $X \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}(U)$ and $f \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}(U)$. Often we will regard ∇ as a map $\nabla: \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ that is $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ -linear in the first argument. In the case $\mathcal{P} = \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}$, we call ∇ a connection on \mathcal{M} .

There is a natural graded analogue of **Christoffel symbols**: Let (q_s) be a system of homogeneous coordinates for \mathcal{M} on an open subset $U \subset M$. The expansion

$$\nabla_{\partial_s} \partial_u = \sum_r \Gamma_{su}^r \partial_r$$

gives elements $\Gamma_{su}^r \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}(U)$ of parity $|\Gamma_{su}^r| = |q_s| + |q_u| + |q_r|$. Furthermore the **torsion** of a connection ∇ on \mathcal{M} is defined by

$$T_{\nabla}(X, Y) := \nabla_X Y - (-1)^{|X||Y|} \nabla_Y X - [X, Y]$$

for $X, Y \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}(U)$.

Definition 3.2. An **even, resp. odd Riemannian metric** on a supermanifold \mathcal{M} is an even, resp. odd supersymmetric non-degenerate $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ -linear morphism of sheaves

$$g: \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}} \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}.$$

Here non-degeneracy means that the mapping $g^{\sharp}: X \mapsto g(X, \cdot)$ is an isomorphism from $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}$ to $\Omega_{\mathcal{M}}^1$, resp. $\Pi\Omega_{\mathcal{M}}^1$.

Remark 3.3. Note that an even Riemannian metric requires the odd dimension of \mathcal{M} to be even, while an odd Riemannian metric requires that even and odd dimension of \mathcal{M} are equal. Moreover $|g| = 1$ for $M = \Pi E$ requires $E \cong TM$ as is shown in Appendix A.

Let \mathcal{M} be equipped with an even or odd Riemannian metric g , then a connection ∇ on \mathcal{M} is called **metric** or compatible with g if $\nabla g = 0$, i.e.

$$\Theta_{\nabla, g}(X, Y, Z) := (-1)^{|g||X|} X(g(Y \otimes Z)) - g(\nabla_X Y \otimes Z) - (-1)^{|X||Y|} g(Y \otimes \nabla_X Z) = 0$$

for all homogeneous $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}(U)$ and all open $U \subset M$. Parallel to the classical case it can be shown that on a supermanifold \mathcal{M} with an even or odd Riemannian metric g , there exists a unique torsion free and metric connection ∇ (see [1]). It is called the **Levi-Civita connection** of the metric and its Christoffel symbols can be expressed explicitly in terms of the metric coefficients.

3.1. Construction of a connection and a bilinear form on E . In the following we assume $\mathcal{M} = \Pi E$ for a bundle $\pi: E \rightarrow M$, hence $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}} = \Gamma_{\Lambda E^*}$. Later in Section 3.3 we analyze the stability of our constructions with respect to automorphisms of supermanifolds.

For the transport of objects from \mathcal{M} to E we give an identification of sufficiently large subsheaves \mathcal{F} , resp. \mathcal{F}' of the algebras of superfunctions on \mathcal{M} , resp. smooth functions on E . Moreover we identify the sheaves $\mathcal{L}_{\bar{0}}$, resp. $\mathcal{L}'_{\bar{0}}$ of even (super-)derivations which preserve the subsheaves respectively. Regard for any open set $U \subset M$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{F}(U) &:= \Gamma_{\mathbb{R} \oplus E^*}(U) \subset \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}(U) \quad \text{and} \\ \mathcal{F}'(U) &:= \{f \in \mathcal{C}_E^\infty(\pi^{-1}(U)) \mid \exists w_f \in \mathcal{C}_M^\infty(U) \text{ such that} \\ &\quad \forall x \in U: f - \pi^* w_f \text{ is linear on } E_x\}. \end{aligned}$$

The sheaves \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{F}' (via the pullback $\pi^*: \mathcal{C}_M^\infty(U) \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_E^\infty(\pi^{-1}(U))$) are sheaves of \mathcal{C}_M^∞ -modules on M . By the following map they are isomorphic:

$$\begin{aligned} \psi: \mathcal{F}(U) &\rightarrow \mathcal{F}'(U), & s_{\bar{0}} + s_{\bar{1}} &\mapsto (e \mapsto s_{\bar{0}}(\pi(e)) + s_{\bar{1}}(\pi(e))(e)); \\ \psi^{-1}: \mathcal{F}'(U) &\rightarrow \mathcal{F}(U), & f &\mapsto (x \mapsto w_f(x) + (f - \pi^* w_f)|_{E_x}). \end{aligned}$$

Set further:

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(U) &:= \{X \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}(U) \mid X|_{\mathcal{F}(U)} \subset \mathcal{F}(U)\} \quad \text{and} \\ \mathcal{L}'(U) &:= \{X' \in \mathcal{T}_E(\pi^{-1}(U)) \mid X'|_{\mathcal{F}'(U)} \subset \mathcal{F}'(U)\}. \end{aligned}$$

Conjugation with the isomorphism $\psi: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'$ yields an isomorphism of sheaves of \mathcal{C}_M^∞ -modules $\Psi: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'$. We use ψ and Ψ to transport the notion of even and odd elements from $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}_0 \oplus \mathcal{F}_1$, resp. $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_0 \oplus \mathcal{L}_1$ to \mathcal{F}' , resp. \mathcal{L}' . The following lemma follows from the construction:

Lemma 3.4. $\Psi|_{\mathcal{L}_0}: \mathcal{L}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'_0$ is an isomorphism of sheaves of Lie algebras.

The projection $\text{pr}: \mathcal{O}_M = \mathcal{F} \oplus \Gamma_{\oplus_{k \geq 2} \Lambda^k E^*} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$ yields a morphism of sheaves of \mathcal{C}_M^∞ -modules $p: \mathcal{T}_M \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{R}}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{F})$ by $X \mapsto \text{pr} \circ X|_{\mathcal{F}}$. Note that for all $f \in \mathcal{C}_M^\infty(U)$, $s \in \mathcal{F}(U)$, $X \in \mathcal{T}_{M, \bar{0}}(U)$ and open $U \subset M$ it is due to reasons of the degree:

$$(\text{pr} \circ X|_{\mathcal{F}})(f \cdot s) = \text{pr}(X(f) \cdot s + f \cdot X(s)) = (\text{pr} \circ X)(f) \cdot s + f \cdot (\text{pr} \circ X)(s).$$

Hence $p(X)$ can be uniquely continued to \mathcal{O}_M by the graded Leibniz rule for the odd coordinates. So the image of the even map p lies in the sheaf \mathcal{L}_0 in a natural way.

We use the isomorphisms $\Psi|_{\mathcal{L}_0}$ and ψ and the projections p and pr to transport items like a connection and later metric from \mathcal{M} to the target E . In both cases we will find that $\mathcal{L}'_0 \subset \mathcal{T}_E$ is a subspace of sufficient extent to determine the associated item on the target uniquely. We proceed in the following four steps:

- (1) restrict the item on \mathcal{T}_M by restriction and projection to an object on \mathcal{L}_0 , resp. \mathcal{F}_0 ;
- (2) transport the item via the isomorphisms to \mathcal{L}'_0 , resp. \mathcal{F}'_0 ;
- (3) use auxiliary functions $h \in \mathcal{F}'$ to extend the item to \mathcal{L}' , resp. \mathcal{F}' ;
- (4) use that \mathcal{L}' generates \mathcal{T}_E as a \mathcal{C}_E^∞ -module to extend the item to \mathcal{T}_E .

The steps 3 and 4 need further notation. We introduce auxiliary functions for the third step in the following way: For homogeneous $R' \in \mathcal{L}'(U)$ with sufficiently small open $U \subset M$ there exists an auxiliary function $h_{R'} \in \mathcal{F}'(U)$ of the same parity that is non-zero everywhere outside of the zero section and satisfies $h_{R'} R' \in \mathcal{L}'_0(U)$.

For the fourth step note that for $V \subset E$ open and $U := \pi(V)$ we have the surjective multiplication map:

$$(2) \quad m: \mathcal{H}_E(V) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_E(V) \quad \text{on} \quad \mathcal{H}_E(V) := \mathcal{C}_E^\infty(V) \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{L}'(U).$$

Its kernel is generated (as a \mathcal{C}_E^∞ -module) by elements $1 \otimes (f \cdot Z') - f \otimes Z'$ with $Z' \in \mathcal{L}'_0(U)$ and $f \in \mathcal{F}'_0(U)$ or $Z' \in \mathcal{L}'_1(U)$ and $f \in \mathcal{F}'_1(U)$.

We apply the outlined procedure first for the transport of a connection:

Proposition 3.5. A connection ∇ on \mathcal{M} induces a well-defined connection ∇^{TE} on E .

Proof. Step 1: The map $\check{\nabla} := p \circ \nabla|_{\mathcal{L}_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{L}_0}: \mathcal{L}_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{L}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_0$ which is a morphism of sheaves of \mathbb{R} -modules satisfies

$$(3) \quad \check{\nabla}_{fX} Y = f \check{\nabla}_X Y$$

$$(4) \quad \check{\nabla}_X (fY) = X(f)Y + f \check{\nabla}_X Y$$

for all $U \subset M$ open, $X, Y \in \mathcal{L}_0(U)$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}_M^\infty(U)$.

Step 2: Via Ψ we obtain $\nabla' : \mathcal{L}'_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{L}'_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'_0$ satisfying (3) and (4) for $X, Y \in \mathcal{L}'_0(U)$, $f \in \pi^*(\mathcal{C}_M^\infty(U))$. Here we used $\Psi(fZ) = \psi(f)\Psi(Z)$ for $Z \in \mathcal{L}'_0(U)$, $f \in \mathcal{C}_M^\infty(U)$.

Step 3: For homogeneous $X', Y' \in \mathcal{L}'(U)$ and auxiliary functions $h_{X'}, h_{Y'} \in \mathcal{F}'(U)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla'_{h_{X'}, X'}(h_{Y'}, Y') &\stackrel{(\diamond)}{=} (\Psi \circ p)(\psi^{-1}(h_{X'})\Psi^{-1}(X')(\psi^{-1}(h_{Y'}))\Psi^{-1}(Y')) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{|X'|+|Y'|}\psi^{-1}(h_{X'})\psi^{-1}(h_{Y'})\nabla_{\Psi^{-1}(X')} \Psi^{-1}(Y') \\ &\stackrel{(*)}{=} h_{X'}X'(h_{Y'})Y' + \begin{cases} 0 & \text{for } |X'| = |Y'| = 1 \\ h_{X'}h_{Y'} \cdot (\Psi \circ p \circ \nabla_{\Psi^{-1}(X')} \Psi^{-1}(Y')) & \text{else .} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We have used for (\diamond) that $\Psi^{-1}(h_{Y'}, Y') = \psi^{-1}(h_{Y'})\Psi^{-1}(Y')$ and for $(*)$ additionally that ∇ is an even map. Hence we set in a unique and well-defined way

$$\nabla'_{X'}Y' := \frac{1}{h_{X'}h_{Y'}}(\nabla'_{h_{X'}, X'}(h_{Y'}, Y') - h_{X'}X'(h_{Y'})Y').$$

Note at this point that ∇' extended to $\mathcal{L}' \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{L}'$ really maps to \mathcal{L}' still satisfying (3) and (4) for $X', Y' \in \mathcal{L}'(U)$, $f \in \pi^*(\mathcal{C}_M^\infty(U))$.

Step 4: With the notion in (2) we continue ∇' to a map $m \circ \nabla' : \mathcal{H}_E \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{H}_E \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_E$ linearly in the first factor of the first and by Leibniz rule in the first factor of the second argument. By direct calculation this map is zero if any of the two arguments is in the kernel of m . (From Step 3 we see that only the case $1 \otimes (f \cdot Z') - f \otimes Z'$, $Z' \in \mathcal{L}'_1(U)$, $f \in \mathcal{F}'_1(U)$ needs to be considered in detail – here f is a suitable auxiliary function $h_{Z'}$.) Hence we finally obtain a well-defined map $\nabla^{TE} : \mathcal{T}_E \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \mathcal{T}_E \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_E$ satisfying (3) and (4) for $X, Y \in \mathcal{T}_E(U)$ and $f \in \mathcal{C}_E^\infty(U)$. \square

A transport analogue to Proposition 3.5 is possible for a metric yielding a symmetric bilinear form:

Proposition 3.6. *An even or odd Riemannian metric g on \mathcal{M} induces a well-defined symmetric bilinear form g^{TE} on E .*

Proof. Step 1: g induces a map $\check{g} := \text{pr} \circ g|_{\mathcal{L}'_0 \otimes \mathcal{L}'_0} : \mathcal{L}'_0 \otimes \mathcal{L}'_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}$.

Step 2: Via Ψ and ψ we obtain a map $g' : \mathcal{L}'_0 \otimes \mathcal{L}'_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{F}'$ which is \mathcal{C}_M^∞ -linear and symmetric.

Step 3: For homogeneous $X', Y' \in \mathcal{L}'(U)$ and $h_{X'}, h_{Y'} \in \mathcal{F}'(U)$ auxiliary functions we have

$$(5) \quad \begin{aligned} g'(h_{X'}X', h_{Y'}Y') &= (\psi \circ \text{pr})((-1)^{|g|(|X'|+|Y'|)})\psi^{-1}(h_{X'})\psi^{-1}(h_{Y'}) \\ &\quad \times g(\Psi^{-1}(X'), \Psi^{-1}(Y')) \\ &= \begin{cases} h_{X'}h_{Y'}(\psi \circ \text{pr})(g(\Psi^{-1}(X'), \Psi^{-1}(Y'))) & \text{if } |g| = |X'| = |Y'| = 0 \\ h_{X'}h_{Y'}(-1)^{(|X'|+|Y'|)}(\psi \circ \text{pr})(g(\Psi^{-1}(X'), \Psi^{-1}(Y'))) & \text{if } |g| \cdot (1 - |X'||Y'|) = 1 \\ 0 & \text{else} \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

So we set

$$g'(X', Y') := \frac{1}{h_{X'}h_{Y'}}g'(h_{X'}X', h_{Y'}Y').$$

Step 4: At last we \mathcal{C}_E^∞ -linearly continue g' to $\mathcal{H}_E \otimes_{\mathcal{C}_E^\infty} \mathcal{H}_E$ via (2). If any argument of g' is in the kernel of m , the result will vanish. Hence this construction yields a \mathcal{C}_E^∞ -linear symmetric map $g^{TE}: \mathcal{T}_E \otimes_{\mathcal{C}_E^\infty} \mathcal{T}_E \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_E^\infty$. \square

3.2. Properties of ∇^{TE} and g^{TE} . We determine the Christoffel symbols of ∇^{TE} and metric coefficients of g^{TE} in the notion of coordinates presented in the introduction and compare torsion and metric compatibility with the respective properties of ∇ and g . We denote the Christoffel symbols of ∇ with respect to $(\hat{\partial}_i, \hat{\partial}_\alpha)$ by $\Gamma_{u,r}^s \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}(U)$ and the metric coefficients of g by $g_{r,s}$ with s, u, r being any even or odd indexes.

Lemma 3.7. *The Christoffel symbols of ∇^{TE} with respect to $(\partial_i, \partial_\alpha)$ are:*

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma^{TEk}_{ij} &= \tilde{\Gamma}_{ij}^k \circ \pi, & \Gamma^{TE\alpha}_{ij} &= \sum_{\beta} e_{\beta}^* (\widetilde{\hat{\partial}_{\beta}} \Gamma_{ij}^{\alpha}) \circ \pi \\ \Gamma^{TE\gamma}_{i\alpha} &= \tilde{\Gamma}_{i\alpha}^{\gamma} \circ \pi, & \Gamma^{TE\gamma}_{\alpha i} &= \tilde{\Gamma}_{\alpha i}^{\gamma} \circ \pi, \\ \Gamma^{TEk}_{i\alpha} &= \Gamma^{TEk}_{\alpha i} = \Gamma^{TEk}_{\alpha\beta} = \Gamma^{TE\gamma}_{\alpha\beta} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Proof. Comparing the results from applying the above definition of ∇^{TE} on the appropriate derivations in \mathcal{L}'_0 with the results obtained by using linearity for the auxiliary functions in the lower and Leibniz rule in die upper argument, yields the Christoffel symbols. \square

By direct calculation we have for the metric coefficients:

Lemma 3.8. *The metric coefficients of g^{TE} with respect to $(\partial_i, \partial_\alpha)$ are:*

$$\begin{aligned} \text{for } |g| = 0: & \quad g_{ij}^{TE} = \tilde{g}_{ij} \circ \pi; \quad g_{i\alpha}^{TE} = 0; \quad g_{\alpha i}^{TE} = 0; \quad g_{\alpha\beta}^{TE} = 0; \\ \text{for } |g| = 1: & \quad g_{ij}^{TE} = \sum_{\beta} e_{\beta}^* (\widetilde{\hat{\partial}_{\beta}} g_{ij}) \circ \pi; \quad g_{i\alpha}^{TE} = -\tilde{g}_{i\alpha} \circ \pi; \quad g_{\alpha i}^{TE} = -\tilde{g}_{\alpha i} \circ \pi; \quad g_{\alpha\beta}^{TE} = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We conclude from the metric coefficients:

Corollary 3.9. *In the case $|g| = 1$ the symmetric bilinear form g^{TE} is a pseudo-Riemannian metric on the manifold E . In the case $|g| = 0$ the form g^{TE} is degenerate as soon as \mathcal{M} has positive odd dimension.*

Comparing graded and non-graded objects we find furthermore:

Lemma 3.10. *If ∇ is torsion-free then ∇^{TE} is torsion-free.*

Proof. The torsion tensor is bilinear in functions, so it is sufficient to calculate $T_{\nabla^{TE}}(X, Y)$ for $X, Y \in \mathcal{L}'_0$. It is $T_{\nabla^{TE}}(X, Y) = (\Psi \circ p)(T_{\nabla}(\Psi^{-1}(X), \Psi^{-1}(Y)))$ due to an analysis of the \mathbb{Z} -grading. \square

Lemma 3.11. *If ∇ is compatible with g then ∇^{TE} is compatible with g^{TE} .*

Proof. The identity $\Theta_{\nabla^{TE}, g^{TE}}(X, Y, Z) = (\Psi \circ p)(\Theta_{\nabla, g}(\Psi^{-1}(X), \Psi^{-1}(Y), \Psi^{-1}(Z)))$ for $X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{L}'_0$ holds with an analogue argument and suffices to prove the lemma. \square

Remark 3.12. The obvious correction to make g^{TE} non-degenerate in the case $|g| = 0$ by requiring $g_{\alpha\beta}^{TE} = \tilde{g}_{\alpha\beta} \circ \pi$ in Lemma 3.8, in general destroys compatibility of the connection. In Appendix A a different reduction yielding exactly the information of $\tilde{g}_{rs} \circ \pi$ for r, s even or odd indexes, is given.

The lemmas yield the first statement of Theorem 1.1 and include further:

Corollary 3.13. *In the case $|g| = 1$ we have: If ∇ is Levi-Civita for g then ∇^{TE} is Levi-Civita for g^{TE} .*

Remark 3.14. Note that the reduction map $M \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ and the zero section $M \rightarrow E$ allow pullbacks of ∇ , resp. ∇^{TE} to M . Both coincide as connections ∇^{TM} on M . In [1] it is shown that if ∇ is Levi-Civita with respect to an even Riemannian metric g , then ∇^{TM} is Levi-Civita with respect to the reduction g^{TM} of g on M .

As is evident from the Lemmas 3.7 and 3.8, the reduction $\nabla \mapsto \nabla^{TE}$ and $g \mapsto g^{TE}$ loses much information. Hence there is no canonical reconstruction of ∇ and g from the classical objects ∇^{TE} and g^{TE} .

3.3. Dependence on diffeomorphisms. So far we have identified \mathcal{M} with ΠE , but this identification is fixing one of possibly many isomorphisms $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}} \cong \Gamma_{\Lambda E^*}$. Here we analyze the dependence of our construction on this choice, so its behavior under diffeomorphisms. Let now $\mathcal{M} = (M, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})$, $\mathcal{N} = (N, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}})$ be two supermanifolds identified with their Batchelor models, so $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}} = \Gamma_{\Lambda E^*}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}} = \Gamma_{\Lambda F^*}$ for vector bundles $E \rightarrow M$ and $F \rightarrow N$. Let $\nabla^{\mathcal{M}}, \nabla^{\mathcal{N}}$ be connections on \mathcal{M} , respectively \mathcal{N} . We mark the sheaves and morphisms $\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{L}, \Psi, \dots$ with upper index \mathcal{M} , respectively \mathcal{N} . Furthermore let $\Phi : \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$ be a diffeomorphism of supermanifolds with underlying map $\varphi : M \rightarrow N$. Then we obtain an isomorphism of sheaves of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{N}}$ -modules on N given by $\Phi_* : \varphi_* \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{N}}$ via conjugation with Φ^* .

The composition $p^{\mathcal{N}} \circ \Phi_*$ yields via the identification $\mathcal{L}_1^{\mathcal{M}} \cong \Gamma_E$ and $\mathcal{L}_1^{\mathcal{N}} \cong \Gamma_F$, a vector bundle isomorphism $\hat{\Phi} := p^{\mathcal{N}} \circ \Phi_*|_{\mathcal{L}_1^{\mathcal{M}}} : E \rightarrow F$. The later satisfies $\hat{\Phi}^*(\mathcal{F}'^{\mathcal{N}}) = \varphi_*\mathcal{F}'^{\mathcal{M}}$ and hence induces $\hat{\Phi}_*|_{\varphi_*\mathcal{L}'_0^{\mathcal{M}}} : \varphi_*\mathcal{L}'_0^{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}'_0^{\mathcal{N}}$.

We call $\Phi : (\mathcal{M}, \nabla^{\mathcal{M}}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{N}, \nabla^{\mathcal{N}})$ a **diffeomorphism of supermanifolds with connection**, if it satisfies $\Phi_* \circ \nabla^{\mathcal{M}} = \nabla^{\mathcal{N}} \circ \otimes_{\mathbb{R}}^2 \Phi_*$. Analogously for the classical map $\hat{\Phi} : (E, \nabla^{TE}) \rightarrow (F, \nabla^{TF})$. We can follow:

Lemma 3.15. *If $\Phi : (\mathcal{M}, \nabla^{\mathcal{M}}) \rightarrow (\mathcal{N}, \nabla^{\mathcal{N}})$ is a diffeomorphism of supermanifolds with connection, then $\hat{\Phi} : (E, \nabla^{TE}) \rightarrow (F, \nabla^{TF})$ inherits this property.*

Proof. By Section 3.1 it is sufficient to prove $\hat{\Phi}_* \circ \nabla^{TE} = \nabla^{TF} \circ \otimes_{\mathbb{R}}^2 \hat{\Phi}_*$ on $\mathcal{L}'_0^{\mathcal{N}}$. According to the proof of Proposition 3.5 it remains to show:

$$(6) \quad p^{\mathcal{N}} \circ \Phi_* \circ p^{\mathcal{M}} \circ \nabla^{\mathcal{M}} = p^{\mathcal{N}} \circ \nabla^{\mathcal{N}} \circ \otimes^2(p^{\mathcal{N}} \circ \Phi_*).$$

In local coordinates Φ_* and $p^{\mathcal{N}} \circ \Phi_*$ only differ in terms increasing the \mathbb{Z} -degree by 2 or more. On the right hand side of (6) these terms are finally erased by $p^{\mathcal{N}}$. Hence it equals $p^{\mathcal{N}} \circ \Phi_* \circ \nabla^{\mathcal{M}}$. With analogue arguments it is possible to insert $p^{\mathcal{M}}$. □

Two different identifications of a supermanifold \mathcal{M} with its Batchelor model can be regarded as a diffeomorphism of supermanifolds with connections on the model $(M, \Gamma_{\wedge E^*})$ onto itself with underlying map id_M . Hence we can finally follow:

Corollary 3.16. *For a supermanifold \mathcal{M} with connection ∇ the associated manifold with connection (E, ∇^{TE}) is well defined up to diffeomorphisms of manifolds with connection induced by smooth bundle automorphisms of $\pi : E \rightarrow M$.*

4. GEODESICS

In this section we give the identifications of geodesics of (\mathcal{M}, ∇) and those of (E, ∇^{TE}) . Let $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{1|1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be a supercurve and ∇ be a connection on \mathcal{M} . It is shown in [1] that ∇ can be pulled back in a unique way to an \mathbb{R} -linear map

$$\hat{\nabla} : \Phi_*\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{R}^{1|1}} \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \text{Der}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}, \Phi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}^{1|1}}) \rightarrow \text{Der}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}, \Phi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}^{1|1}})$$

being $\Phi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}^{1|1}}$ -linear in the first argument and satisfying the appropriate Leibniz rule in the second argument. Filling in the vector field $\Phi_*\partial_t \in \Phi_*\mathcal{T}_{\mathbb{R}^{1|1}}$ in the first argument we obtain the even \mathbb{R} -linear operator $\frac{\nabla}{dt}$ satisfying

$$\frac{\nabla}{dt}(fX) = \frac{\partial f}{\partial t} \cdot X + (-1)^{|X||f|} f \cdot \frac{\nabla}{dt}(X)$$

for $f \in \Phi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}^{1|1}}$ and $X \in \text{Der}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}, \Phi_*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}^{1|1}})$.

Definition 4.1. Let \mathcal{M} be a supermanifold equipped with a connection ∇ . A morphism $\Phi : \mathbb{R}^{1|1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is called a geodesic with respect to ∇ if and only if

$$(7) \quad \frac{\nabla}{dt}(\Phi_*\partial_t) = 0.$$

Remark 4.2. (1) Note that besides this definition of a geodesic as it appears in [1], there exists a different definition analyzed by Goertsches (see [2]) regarding more than one parameter as dynamic parameters. Details on the difference can be found in [1].

(2) The above definition and the subsequently quoted proposition are given in [1] for the more general notion of curves $\Phi: \mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{S} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ with arbitrary supermanifold \mathcal{S} .

We quote from [1]:

Proposition 4.3 ([1]). *Let (\mathcal{M}, ∇) be a supermanifold with connection. For any initial condition in $TM(\mathbb{R}^{0|1})$ there exists a unique geodesic $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^{1|1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$. In local homogeneous coordinates (q_s) on \mathcal{M} a geodesic satisfies, and is well defined up to the initial condition, by the system of differential equations in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}^{1|1}}$:*

$$(8) \quad \partial_t^2 \Phi^*(q_s) + \sum_{u,r} \partial_t \Phi^*(q_u) \partial_t \Phi^*(q_r) \Phi^*(\Gamma_{ur}^s) = 0 \quad \forall s.$$

We identify $\mathcal{M} = (M, \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}})$ with a Batchelor model $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}} = \Gamma_{\Lambda E^*}$ as before. A supercurve $\Phi: \mathbb{R}^{1|1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ is then well defined by the even morphism of sheaves of \mathcal{C}_M^∞ -modules $\Phi^*|_{\mathcal{F}}: \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \Phi_* \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}^{1|1}}$. In local coordinates as given in the introduction, the equations (8) become due to the low odd dimension of $\mathbb{R}^{1|1}$:

$$(9) \quad \begin{aligned} \partial_t^2 \Phi^*(x_i) + \sum_{j,k} \partial_t \Phi^*(x_j) \partial_t \Phi^*(x_k) \Phi^*(\Gamma_{jk}^i) &= 0 \\ \partial_t^2 \Phi^*(e_\alpha^*) + \sum_{j,k} \partial_t \Phi^*(x_j) \partial_t \Phi^*(x_k) \Phi^*(\Gamma_{jk}^\alpha) \\ &+ \sum_{j,\beta} \partial_t \Phi^*(x_j) \partial_t \Phi^*(e_\beta^*) (\Phi^*(\Gamma_{j\beta}^\alpha) + \Phi^*(\Gamma_{\beta j}^\alpha)) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

for all i and α . Note further that by Lemma 3.7 and again due to the low odd dimension of $\mathbb{R}^{1|1}$, we can replace in (9)

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi^*(\Gamma_{jk}^i) &\text{ by } (\Phi^* \circ \psi^{-1})(\Gamma^{TE^i}_{jk}), & \Phi^*(\Gamma_{j\beta}^\alpha) &\text{ by } (\Phi^* \circ \psi^{-1})(\Gamma^{TE^\alpha}_{j\beta}), \\ \Phi^*(\Gamma_{\beta j}^\alpha) &\text{ by } (\Phi^* \circ \psi^{-1})(\Gamma^{TE^\alpha}_{\beta j}) & \text{ and } \Phi^*(\Gamma_{jk}^\alpha) &\text{ by } (\Phi^* \circ \psi^{-1})(\Gamma^{TE^\alpha}_{jk}) \end{aligned}$$

without modifying the differential equations for the $\Phi^*(x_i)$ and $\Phi^*(e_\alpha^*)$.

As it is mentioned in section 2.1, Φ can be associated to the curve $\gamma_\Phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow E$ which is well defined by the morphism of sheaves of \mathcal{C}_M^∞ -modules $\gamma_\Phi^*|_{\mathcal{F}'}: \mathcal{F}' \rightarrow \gamma_{\Phi_*} \mathcal{C}_\mathbb{R}^\infty$. The identification of curves Φ and γ_Φ is given on \mathcal{F} by $T \circ \Phi^*|_{\mathcal{F}} = \gamma_\Phi^*|_{\mathcal{F}'} \circ \psi$ with $T(f + h\tau) := f + h$, with τ being the odd parameter in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{R}^{1|1}}$. Replacing this information in (9), we obtain by Lemma 3.7 the classical defining equations in $\gamma_\Phi^*(x_i)$ and $\gamma_\Phi^*(e_\alpha^*)$ for geodesics of (E, ∇^{TE}) . An initial condition $A \in TM(\mathbb{R}^{0|1})$ reduces to a pair $\alpha_A = (\tilde{A}, \hat{A})$ consisting of the underlying point $\tilde{A} \in TM$ and the image \hat{A} of ∂_τ in $\text{Der}_{\tilde{A}}(\mathcal{O}_{T\mathcal{M}}, \mathbb{R})_{\bar{1}} \cong TE_{\tilde{A}}$. This gives the correspondence of initial conditions in Section 2.2. Together we obtain the second part of Theorem 1.1. More precisely we have:

Corollary 4.4. *The bijective identification of initial conditions for geodesics in (\mathcal{M}, ∇) , resp. in (E, ∇^{TE}) given in Corollary 2.8, induces a bijective identification of the geodesics on both objects. This identification is the restriction of the identification of curves in Corollary 2.5.*

APPENDIX A

A connection on a supermanifold $\mathcal{M} \cong \Pi E$ induces a decomposition of $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}$. Here we define reductions of a connection ∇ and a Riemannian metric g on \mathcal{M} associated to this decomposition. In the case $|g| = 1$ the reduction yields an isomorphism $E \cong TM$ as it is stated in Remark 3.3. In any case the reduction carries exactly the information $\tilde{g}_{rs} \circ \pi$ for r, s even or odd indexes mentioned in Remark 3.12.

Let $\beta: M \rightarrow \mathcal{M}$ be the reduction map and let g be an even or odd Riemannian metric on \mathcal{M} . Any connection ∇ on \mathcal{M} – notably the Levi-Civita connection on (\mathcal{M}, g) – induces a connection $\nabla^E: \mathcal{T}_M \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \Gamma_E \rightarrow \Gamma_E$ in the following way: for a section $s \in \Gamma_E(U)$ over U open in M let $\partial_s \in \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}(U)$ be the derivation given by contraction on $\Gamma_{\Lambda E^*}(U)$ with $s(x)$ at $x \in U$ and set

$$\nabla^E(X, s) := (p \circ \nabla)(\beta_*(X), \partial_s)$$

for $X \in \mathcal{T}_M(U)$ and p as in section 3.1. Note that $\beta_*(X)$ is only well defined in $\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}}$ up to an error term of degree at least two in the Grassmann algebra. This term is canceled by p . Since $(p \circ \nabla)(\beta_*(X), \partial_s) \in \mathcal{L}_{\bar{1}}$ it makes sense to regard $\nabla^E(X, s)$ as an element in Γ_E again. We quote a useful tool from [5]:

Theorem 4.5. [5] *A connection $\nabla^E: \mathcal{T}_M \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} \Gamma_E \rightarrow \Gamma_E$ on the vector bundle $E \rightarrow M$ induces on the supermanifold $\mathcal{M} = \Pi E^*$ an isomorphism*

$$\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}} \cong \Gamma_{\Lambda E^*} \otimes_{\mathcal{C}_M^{\infty}} (\mathcal{T}_M \oplus \Gamma_E).$$

So let (\mathcal{M}, g) be a Riemannian supermanifold with $g: \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{M}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{M}}$ and let ∇^E be the connection on the Batchelor model $E \rightarrow M$ induced by the Levi-Civita connection of g . We obtain from the composition $\beta^* \circ g$ via the isomorphism of the theorem:

- in the case $|g| = 0$ a pseudo-Riemannian metric g^{TM} on M and a non-degenerate 2-form $\omega^E: \Gamma_E \otimes_{\mathcal{C}_M^{\infty}} \Gamma_E \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_M^{\infty}$,
- in the case $|g| = 1$ a bundle isomorphism $B^E: E \rightarrow TM$

Note that in both situations there is a canonical converse: starting with an arbitrary choice of $(g^{TM}, \omega^E, \nabla^E)$, resp. (B^E, ∇^E) we can reconstruct via Theorem 4.5 a Riemannian metric g on \mathcal{M} . But since we loose information in the reduction we will not get back the original metric on \mathcal{M} in general.

Acknowledgement. The authors would like to thank Tilmann Wurzbacher for discussions and advice during the completion of this article. The authors gratefully acknowledge the support of the SFB/TR 12, Symmetry and Universality in Mesoscopic Systems, of the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft.

REFERENCES

- [1] Garnier, S., Wurzbacher, T., *The geodesic flow on a Riemannian supermanifold*, J. Geom. Phys. **62** (6) (2012), 1489–1508.
- [2] Goertsches, O., *Riemannian supergeometry*, Math. Z. **260** (3) (2008), 557–593.
- [3] Hohnhold, H., Kreck, M., Stolz, S., Teichner, P., *Differential forms and 0-dimensional supersymmetric field theories*, Quantum Topol. **2** (1) (2011), 1–14.
- [4] Michor, P., *Topics in Differential Geometry*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2008.
- [5] Monterde, J., Montesinos, A., *Integral curves of derivations*, Ann. Global Anal. Geom. **6** (2) (1988), 177–189.
- [6] Schmitt, Th., *Super differential geometry*, Tech. report, Report MATH, 84–5, Akademie der Wissenschaften der DDR, Institut für Mathematik, Berlin, 1984.

FAKULTÄT FÜR MATHEMATIK, RUHR-UNIVERSITÄT BOCHUM,
D-44780 BOCHUM, GERMANY

CORRESPONDING AUTHOR:
E-mail: `matthias.kalus@rub.de`