

Igor Ž. Milovanović; Emina I. Milovanović; Edin Glogić
On Laplacian eigenvalues of connected graphs

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 65 (2015), No. 2, 529–535

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/144285>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2015

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON LAPLACIAN EIGENVALUES OF CONNECTED GRAPHS

IGOR Ž. MILOVANOVIĆ, EMINA I. MILOVANOVIĆ, Niš,

EDIN GLOGIĆ, Novi Pazar

(Received August 13, 2014)

Abstract. Let G be an undirected connected graph with n , $n \geq 3$, vertices and m edges with Laplacian eigenvalues $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots \geq \mu_{n-1} > \mu_n = 0$. Denote by $\mu_I = \mu_{r_1} + \mu_{r_2} + \dots + \mu_{r_k}$, $1 \leq k \leq n-2$, $1 \leq r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_k \leq n-1$, the sum of k arbitrary Laplacian eigenvalues, with $\mu_{I_1} = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \dots + \mu_k$ and $\mu_{I_n} = \mu_{n-k} + \dots + \mu_{n-1}$. Lower bounds of graph invariants $\mu_{I_1} - \mu_{I_n}$ and μ_{I_1}/μ_{I_n} are obtained. Some known inequalities follow as a special case.

Keywords: Laplacian eigenvalues; linear spread; ratio spread

MSC 2010: 15A18, 05C50

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $G = (V, E)$, $V = \{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$, be an undirected connected graph with n , $n \geq 3$, vertices and m edges. Further, let $\mu_1 \geq \mu_2 \geq \dots \geq \mu_{n-1} > \mu_n = 0$ and $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \dots \geq d_n$, $d_i = d(v_i)$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, be the Laplacian eigenvalues and the vertex degree sequence of G , respectively. Denote by $S = \{1, 2, \dots, n-1\}$ an index set, and by $J = \{I = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k); 1 \leq r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_k \leq n-1\}$ the set of all subsets of S of cardinality k , $1 \leq k \leq n-2$. In addition, denote by $\mu_I = \mu_{r_1} + \mu_{r_2} + \dots + \mu_{r_k}$, $1 \leq k \leq n-2$, $1 \leq r_1 < r_2 < \dots < r_k \leq n-1$ the sum of k arbitrary Laplacian eigenvalues, where $\mu_{I_1} = \mu_1 + \mu_2 + \dots + \mu_k$ and $\mu_{I_n} = \mu_{n-k} + \dots + \mu_{n-1}$. It is easy to verify that $\mu_{I_n} \leq \mu_I \leq \mu_{I_1}$ for each I , $I \in J$. Many results have been obtained for invariants μ_{I_1} and μ_{I_n} (see for example [2], [4],

The research has been supported by the Serbian Ministry of Education, Science and Technological development, under grant No TR32012 and TR32009.

[5], [7], [11], [12], [15], [18]). In [15] the following inequalities were proved

$$\mu_{I_1} \leq \frac{2mk + \sqrt{k(n-k-1)((n-1)(M_1+2m) - 4m^2)}}{n-1}$$

and

$$\mu_{I_n} \geq \frac{2mk - \sqrt{k(n-k-1)((n-1)(M_1+2m) - 4m^2)}}{n-1}$$

where $M_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2$ is the first Zagreb index (see [9], [10]). From these inequalities, the following inequalities that determine upper bounds for $\mu_{I_1} - \mu_{I_n}$ and μ_{I_1}/μ_{I_n} can be derived

$$(1.1) \quad \mu_{I_1} - \mu_{I_n} \leq \frac{2\sqrt{k(n-k-1)((n-1)(M_1+2m) - 4m^2)}}{n-1}$$

and

$$(1.2) \quad \frac{\mu_{I_1}}{\mu_{I_n}} \leq \frac{2mk + \sqrt{k(n-k-1)((n-1)(M_1+2m) - 4m^2)}}{2mk - \sqrt{k(n-k-1)((n-1)(M_1+2m) - 4m^2)}}.$$

In this paper we are going to prove inequalities which are reverse to (1.1) and (1.2), i.e., which set lower bounds for invariants $\mu_{I_1} - \mu_{I_n}$ and μ_{I_1}/μ_{I_n} . We will also point out some inequalities, known the literature, which are obtained as a special case of inequalities proved in the current paper.

2. MAIN RESULT

We first prove an auxiliary result that will be needed in the subsequent considerations.

Lemma 2.1. *Let G be an undirected connected graph with n , $n \geq 3$, vertices and m edges. Then for each k , $1 \leq k \leq n-2$, the following is valid*

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \sum_{I \in J} 1 &= \binom{n-1}{k}, \\ \sum_{I \in J} \mu_I &= 2m \binom{n-2}{k-1}, \\ \sum_{I \in J} \mu_I^2 &= \frac{\binom{n-2}{k-1}}{n-2} ((n-k-1)(M_1+2m) + 4m^2(k-1)). \end{aligned}$$

P r o o f. The first two inequalities in (2.1) are obvious, so we prove only the last one.

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{I \in J} \mu_I^2 &= \binom{n-2}{k-1} (\mu_1^2 + \dots + \mu_{n-1}^2) + \binom{n-3}{k-2} (2\mu_1\mu_2 + \dots + 2\mu_{n-2}\mu_{n-1}) \\ &= \binom{n-2}{k-1} (\mu_1^2 + \dots + \mu_{n-1}^2) + \binom{n-3}{k-2} (\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_{n-1})^2 \\ &\quad - \binom{n-3}{k-2} (\mu_1^2 + \dots + \mu_{n-1}^2) \\ &= \binom{n-3}{k-1} (\mu_1^2 + \dots + \mu_{n-1}^2) + \binom{n-3}{k-2} (\mu_1 + \dots + \mu_{n-1})^2. \end{aligned}$$

Since (see for example [1], [13])

$$(2.2) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mu_i = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i = 2m \quad \text{and} \quad \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \mu_i^2 = \sum_{i=1}^n d_i^2 + \sum_{i=1}^n d_i = M_1 + 2m,$$

rearranging the last equality we obtain the desired result. □

Remark 2.1. For $k = 1$ from (2.1), the equalities (2.2) are obtained. Also, from the second equality in (2.1) it follows that $\mu_{I_1} \geq 2mk/(n-1)$ and $\mu_{I_n} \leq 2mk/(n-1)$, for each k , $1 \leq k \leq n-2$. For $k = 1$, these inequalities reduce to the well known inequalities $\mu_1 \geq 2m/(n-1)$ and $\mu_{n-1} \leq 2m/(n-1)$.

In the following theorem we prove an inequality reverse to (1.1), which establishes a lower bound for the invariant $\mu_{I_1} - \mu_{I_n}$ in terms of the parameters k, n, m and M_1 .

Theorem 2.1. *Let G be an undirected connected graph with n , $n \geq 3$, vertices and m edges. Then for each k , $1 \leq k \leq n-2$, the following is valid*

$$(2.3) \quad \mu_{I_1} - \mu_{I_n} \geq \frac{2}{n-1} \sqrt{\frac{k(n-k-1)((n-1)(M_1+2m) - 4m^2)}{n-2}}.$$

Equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_n$.

P r o o f. If in (see [14])

$$\sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2 - \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i \right)^2 \leq \frac{n^2}{4} (R_1 R_2 - r_1 r_2)^2$$

we substitute $n := \binom{n-1}{k}$, $1 \leq k \leq n-2$, $a_i := \mu_I$, $b_i := 1$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, \binom{n-1}{k}$, $R_1 = \mu_{I_1}$, $r_1 = \mu_{I_n}$, $r_2 = R_2 = 1$, it transforms into

$$(2.4) \quad \sum_{I \in J} \mu_I^2 \sum_{I \in J} 1 - \left(\sum_{I \in J} \mu_I \right)^2 \leq \frac{\binom{n-1}{k}^2}{4} (\mu_{I_1} - \mu_{I_n})^2.$$

Now, according to (2.1) the above inequality becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mu_{I_1} - \mu_{I_n})^2 \\ & \geq \frac{4}{\binom{n-1}{k}^2} \left(\binom{n-1}{k} \binom{n-3}{k} \left(M_1 + 2m + \frac{4m^2(k-1)}{n-k-1} \right) - 4m^2 \binom{n-2}{k-1} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging the last inequality yields the desired result.

Equality in (2.4) holds if and only if $\mu_I = \mu_{I_1} = \mu_{I_n}$, for each $I \in J$, i.e. when $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_{n-1}$. Consequently, equality in (2.3) holds if and only if $G \cong K_n$. \square

The following corollary of the inequality (2.3) sets a lower bound of the invariant $\mu_{I_1} - \mu_{I_n}$ in terms of the parameters k , n and m .

Corollary 2.1. *Let G be an undirected connected graph with n , $n \geq 3$, vertices and m edges. Then for each k , $1 \leq k \leq n-2$,*

$$(2.5) \quad \mu_{I_1} - \mu_{I_n} \geq \frac{2}{n-1} \sqrt{\frac{2mk(n-k-1)(n(n-1)-2m)}{n(n-2)}}.$$

Equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_n$.

Proof. In [5] it was proved that for each connected simple (n, m) -graph, $n \geq 3$, holds

$$(2.6) \quad M_1 \geq \frac{4m^2}{n}.$$

The inequality (2.5) is obtained from the inequalities (2.3) and (2.6). \square

Corollary 2.2. *Let G be an undirected connected r -regular, $2 \leq r \leq n-1$, graph with n , $n \geq 3$, vertices and m edges. Then for each k , $1 \leq k \leq n-2$*

$$(2.7) \quad \mu_{I_1} - \mu_{I_n} \geq \frac{2}{n-1} \sqrt{\frac{nkr(n-k-1)(n-r-1)}{n-2}}.$$

Equality holds if and only if $r = n-1$, i.e. if $G \cong K_n$.

Remark 2.2. For $k = 1$ from (2.3) the inequality proved in [6], Theorem 4, and [17], Theorem 3.4, is obtained. Also, for $k = 1$ from (2.7) the inequality proved in [17], Corollary 3.2 (see also [8]) is obtained.

The following theorem establishes a lower bound for the invariant μ_{I_1}/μ_{I_n} in terms of the parameters k, n, m and M_1 .

Theorem 2.2. *Let G be an undirected connected graph with $n, n \geq 3$, vertices and m edges. Then for each $k, 1 \leq k \leq n - 2$,*

$$(2.8) \quad \frac{\mu_{I_1}}{\mu_{I_n}} \geq \frac{1}{4m^2} \left(\sqrt{\frac{(n-1)((n-k-1)(M_1+2m)+4m^2(k-1))}{k(n-2)}} + \sqrt{\frac{(n-k-1)((n-1)(M_1+2m)-4m^2)}{k(n-2)}} \right)^2.$$

Equality holds if and only if $G \cong K_n$.

Proof. For $n := \binom{n-1}{k}, 1 \leq k \leq n - 2, a_i := 1, b_i := \mu_I, i = 1, 2, \dots, \binom{n-1}{k}, r = \mu_{I_n}, R = \mu_{I_1}$, the inequality (see [3])

$$\sum_{i=1}^n b_i^2 + rR \sum_{i=1}^n a_i^2 \leq (r+R) \sum_{i=1}^n a_i b_i$$

becomes

$$(2.9) \quad \sum_{I \in J} \mu_I^2 + \mu_{I_1} \mu_{I_n} \sum_{I \in J} 1 \leq (\mu_{I_1} + \mu_{I_n}) \sum_{I \in J} \mu_I.$$

Using the AG-inequality, i.e., the inequality between arithmetic and geometric means, from (2.9) we obtain

$$(2.10) \quad 2 \sqrt{\mu_{I_1} \mu_{I_n} \sum_{I \in J} 1 \sum_{I \in J} \mu_I^2} \leq (\mu_{I_1} + \mu_{I_n}) \sum_{I \in J} \mu_I.$$

Bearing in mind the equality (2.1), the above inequality transforms into

$$(2.11) \quad \sqrt{\frac{\mu_{I_1}}{\mu_{I_n}}} + \sqrt{\frac{\mu_{I_n}}{\mu_{I_1}}} \geq \frac{1}{m} \sqrt{\frac{(n-1)((n-k-1)(M_1+2m)+4m^2(k-1))}{k(n-2)}}.$$

Since

$$\left(\sqrt{\frac{\mu_{I_1}}{\mu_{I_n}}} + \sqrt{\frac{\mu_{I_n}}{\mu_{I_1}}} \right)^2 = \left(\sqrt{\frac{\mu_{I_1}}{\mu_{I_n}}} - \sqrt{\frac{\mu_{I_n}}{\mu_{I_1}}} \right)^2 + 4,$$

based on (2.11) we have that

$$(2.12) \quad \sqrt{\frac{\mu_{I_1}}{\mu_{I_n}}} - \sqrt{\frac{\mu_{I_n}}{\mu_{I_1}}} \geq \frac{1}{m} \sqrt{\frac{(n-k-1)((n-1)(M_1+2m)-4m^2)}{k(n-2)}}.$$

The inequality (2.8) is obtained according to (2.11) and (2.12).

Equality in (2.10) holds if and only if $\mu_I = \mu_{I_1} = \mu_{I_n}$, for each $I \in J$, i.e. for $\mu_1 = \mu_2 = \dots = \mu_{n-1}$. Consequently, equality in (2.8) holds if and only if $G \cong K_n$. \square

Remark 2.3. It is easy to verify that the inequality obtained by rearranging (2.9), using (2.1), is stronger than (2.11) and (2.12).

Remark 2.4. For $k = 1$ from (2.8), the inequality obtained in [16], Theorem 2.3, is recovered. For $k = 1$, from (2.11) the inequality proved in [6], Theorem 3, and [16], Theorem 2.1, is obtained. Also, for $k = 1$ from (2.12) we arrive at the inequality obtained in [16], Theorem 2.2.

References

- [1] *N. Biggs*: Algebraic Graph Theory. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1974.
- [2] *K. Ch. Das, I. Gutman, A. S. Çevik, B. Zhou*: On Laplacian energy. MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. *70* (2013), 689–696.
- [3] *J. B. Diaz, F. T. Matcalf*: Stronger forms of a class of inequalities of G. Pólya-G. Szegő and L. V. Kantorovich. Bull. Am. Math. Soc. *69* (1963), 415–418.
- [4] *Z. Du, B. Zhou*: Upper bounds for the sum of Laplacian eigenvalues of graphs. Linear Algebra Appl. *436* (2012), 3672–3683.
- [5] *C. S. Edwards*: The largest vertex degree sum for a triangle in a graph. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. *9* (1977), 203–208.
- [6] *G. H. Fath-Tabar, A. R. Ashrafi*: Some remarks on Laplacian eigenvalues and Laplacian energy of graphs. Math. Commun. *15* (2010), 443–451.
- [7] *E. Fritsher, C. Hoppen, I. Rocha, V. Trevisan*: On the sum of the Laplacian eigenvalues of a tree. Linear Algebra Appl. *435* (2011), 371–399.
- [8] *F. Goldberg*: Bounding the gap between extremal Laplacian eigenvalues of graphs. Linear Algebra Appl. *416* (2006), 68–74.
- [9] *I. Gutman, K. Ch. Das*: The first Zagreb index 30 years after. MATCH Commun. Math. Comput. Chem. *50* (2004), 83–92.
- [10] *I. Gutman, N. Trinajstić*: Graph theory and molecular orbitals. Total π -electron energy of alternant hydrocarbons. Chem. Phys. Lett. *17* (1972), 535–538.
- [11] *W. H. Haemers, A. Mohammadian, B. Tayfeh-Rezaie*: On the sum of Laplacian eigenvalues of graphs. Linear Algebra Appl. *432* (2010), 2214–2221.
- [12] *R. Li*: Inequalities on vertex degrees, eigenvalues and (singless) Laplacian eigenvalues of graphs. Int. Math. Forum *5* (2010), 1855–1860.
- [13] *R. Merris*: Laplacian matrices of graphs: A survey. Linear Algebra Appl. *197–198* (1994), 143–176.
- [14] *N. Ozeki*: On the estimation of the inequality by the maximum, or minimum values. J. College Arts Sci. Chiba Univ. *5* (1968), 199–203. (In Japanese.)
- [15] *O. Rojo, R. Soto, H. Rojo*: Bounds for sums of eigenvalues and applications. Comput. Math. Appl. *39* (2000), 1–15.
- [16] *Z. You, B. Liu*: On the Laplacian spectral ratio of connected graphs. Appl. Math. Lett. *25* (2012), 1245–1250.
- [17] *Z. You, B. Liu*: The Laplacian spread of graphs. Czech. Math. J. *62* (2012), 155–168.

[18] *B. Zhou*: On Laplacian eigenvalues of a graph. *Z. Naturforsch.* 59a (2004), 181–184.

Authors' addresses: Igor Ž. Milovanović, Emina I. Milovanović, Faculty of Electronic Engineering, A. Medvedeva 14, P.O.Box 73, 18000 Niš, Serbia, e-mail: igor@elfak.ni.ac.rs, ema@elfak.ni.ac.rs; Edin Glogić, State University of Novi Pazar, Vuka Karadžića bb, 36300 Novi Pazar, Serbia, e-mail: edin_gl@hotmail.com.