# Mathematica Bohemica

Said Bouali; Youssef Bouhafsi On the range-kernel orthogonality of elementary operators

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 140 (2015), No. 3, 261-269

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/144393

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2015

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project  $\mathit{DML-CZ}$ : The Czech Digital Mathematics Library http://dml.cz

# ON THE RANGE-KERNEL ORTHOGONALITY OF ELEMENTARY OPERATORS

SAID BOUALI, Kénitra, Youssef Bouhafsi, Rabat

(Received January 16, 2013)

Abstract. Let L(H) denote the algebra of operators on a complex infinite dimensional Hilbert space H. For  $A, B \in L(H)$ , the generalized derivation  $\delta_{A,B}$  and the elementary operator  $\Delta_{A,B}$  are defined by  $\delta_{A,B}(X) = AX - XB$  and  $\Delta_{A,B}(X) = AXB - X$  for all  $X \in L(H)$ . In this paper, we exhibit pairs (A,B) of operators such that the range-kernel orthogonality of  $\delta_{A,B}$  holds for the usual operator norm. We generalize some recent results. We also establish some theorems on the orthogonality of the range and the kernel of  $\Delta_{A,B}$  with respect to the wider class of unitarily invariant norms on L(H).

Keywords: derivation; elementary operator; orthogonality; unitarily invariant norm; cyclic subnormal operator; Fuglede-Putnam property

MSC 2010: 47A30, 47A63, 47B15, 47B20, 47B47, 47B10

# 1. Introduction

Let H be a complex infinite dimensional Hilbert space, and let L(H) denote the algebra of all bounded linear operators acting on H into itself. Given  $A, B \in L(H)$ , we define the generalized derivation  $\delta_{A,B} \colon L(H) \to L(H)$  by  $\delta_{A,B}(X) = AX - XB$ , and the elementary operator  $\Delta_{A,B} \colon L(H) \to L(H)$  by  $\Delta_{A,B}(X) = AXB - X$ . Let  $\delta_{A,A} = \delta_A$  and  $\Delta_{A,A} = \Delta_A$ .

In [1], Anderson shows that if A is normal and commutes with T, then for all  $X \in L(H)$ 

where  $\|\cdot\|$  is the usual operator norm. In view of [1], Definition 1.2, the inequality (1.1) says that the range  $R(\delta_A)$  of  $\delta_A$  is orthogonal to its kernel  $\ker(\delta_A)$ , which is just the commutant  $\{A\}'$  of A.

If A and B are normal operators such that AT = TB for some  $T \in L(H)$ , notice that if we consider the operators  $A \oplus B$ ,  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & X \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  and  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & T \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  on  $H \oplus H$ , then for all  $X \in L(H)$  we have

$$\|\delta_{A,B}(X) + T\| \geqslant \|T\|.$$

Inequality (1.1) has a  $\Delta_A$  analogue. Thus, Duggal [6] proved that if A is a normal operator such that  $\Delta_A(T) = 0$  for some  $T \in L(H)$ , then for all  $X \in L(H)$  we have

$$\|\Delta_A(X) + T\| \geqslant \|T\|.$$

The orthogonality of the range and the kernel of elementary operators with respect to the wider class of unitarily invariant norms on L(H) has been considered by many authors [3], [5], [6], [8], [10] and [11].

The purpose of this paper is to study the range-kernel orthogonality of the operators  $\delta_{A,B}$  and  $\Delta_{A,B}$ . We give pairs (A,B) of operators such that the range and the kernel of  $\delta_{A,B}$  are orthogonal. We exhibit pairs (A,B) of operators such that  $R(\delta_{A,B})$  is orthogonal to  $\ker(\delta_{A,B})$ .

We investigate the orthogonality of the range and the kernel of  $\Delta_{A,B}$  in norm ideals. Related results on orthogonality for certain elementary operators are also given.

Given  $X \in L(H)$ , we shall denote the kernel, the orthogonal complement of the kernel and the closure of the range of X by  $\ker(X)$ ,  $\ker^{\perp}(X)$ , and  $\overline{R(X)}$ , respectively. The spectrum of X will be denoted by  $\sigma(X)$ , and X|M will denote the restriction of X to an invariant subspace M.

#### 2. Main results

**Definition 2.1.** Let E be a normed linear space and  $\mathbb{C}$  the complex numbers.

- 1) We say that  $x \in E$  is orthogonal to  $y \in E$  if  $||x \lambda y|| \ge ||\lambda y||$  for all  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ .
- 2) Let F and G be two subspaces in E. If  $||x+y|| \ge ||y||$  for all  $x \in F$  and for all  $y \in G$ , then F is said to be orthogonal to G.

Remark 2.1.

- $\triangleright$  Note that if x is orthogonal to y, then y need not be orthogonal to x.
- ▶ This definition generalizes the idea of orthogonality in Hilbert space.
- $\triangleright$  It is shown in [1] that if F is orthogonal to G, and F, G are closed subspaces of E, then the algebraic direct sum  $F \oplus G$  is a closed subspace in E.

**Theorem 2.1.** Let  $A, B \in L(H)$ . If B is invertible and  $||A|| \cdot ||B^{-1}|| \le 1$ , then

$$\|\delta_{A,B}(X) + T\| \geqslant \|T\|$$

for all  $X \in L(H)$  and for all  $T \in \ker(\delta_{A,B})$ .

Proof. Let  $T \in L(H)$ , such that AT = TB. This implies that  $ATB^{-1} = T$ . Since  $||A|| \cdot ||B^{-1}|| \le 1$ , it follows from [11], Corollary 1.4, that

$$||AYB^{-1} - Y + T|| \geqslant ||T||$$

for all  $Y \in L(H)$ . If we set  $X = YB^{-1}$ , then we get

$$||AX - XB + T|| \geqslant ||T||.$$

Hence  $\|\delta_{A,B}(X) + T\| \ge \|T\|$  for all  $T \in \ker(\delta_{A,B})$  and for all  $X \in L(H)$ .

**Theorem 2.2.** Let  $A, B \in L(H)$ . If either

- 1) A is an isometry and the operator B is a contraction or
- 2) A is a contraction and B is co-isometric, then

$$\|\delta_{A,B}(X) + T\| \geqslant \|T\|$$

for all  $X \in L(H)$  and for all  $T \in \ker(\delta_{A,B})$ .

Proof. 1) Given  $T \in \ker(\delta_{A,B})$ , we have

$$\delta_{A,B}(T) = 0 \Rightarrow T = A^*TB \Rightarrow A^*T = A^*(A^*T)B.$$

Moreover, we see that

$$\|\delta_{A,B}(X) + T\| \ge \|A^*(\delta_{A,B}(X) + T)\| = \|\Delta_{A^*,B}(X) - A^*T\|.$$

Since A is an isometry and B is a contraction, it follows from [11], Corollary 1.4, that

$$\|\delta_{A,B}(X) + T\| \ge \|\Delta_{A^*,B}(X) - A^*T\| \ge \|A^*T\| \ge \|A^*TB\| = \|T\|.$$

Then,  $\|\delta_{A,B}(X) + T\| \ge \|T\|$  for all  $X \in L(H)$ .

2) Let  $T \in \ker(\delta_{A,B})$  and  $X \in L(H)$ . By taking adjoints, observe that

$$\|\delta_{A B}(X) + T\| = \|\delta_{B^* A^*}(X^*) - T^*\|.$$

Since  $B^*$  is isometric and  $A^*$  is a contraction, the result follows from the first part of the proof.

As an application of Theorem 2.2 we have a well known result.

Corollary 2.1. Let U, V be isometries such that  $\delta_{U,V}(T) = 0$  for some  $T \in L(H)$ . Then

$$\|\delta_{U,V}(X) + T\| \geqslant \|T\|$$

for all  $X \in L(H)$ .

Remark 2.2. Let  $A, B \in L(H)$ . If A is an isometry and B is a contraction, then

$$\overline{R(\delta_{A,B})} \cap \ker(\delta_{A,B}) = \{0\}.$$

**Definition 2.2** ([7]). A proper two-sided ideal  $\mathcal{J}$  in L(H) is said to be a norm ideal if there is a norm on  $\mathcal{J}$  possessing the following properties:

- i)  $(\mathcal{J}, |||_{\mathcal{J}})$  is a Banach space.
- ii)  $||AXB||_{\mathcal{J}} \leq ||A|| ||X||_{\mathcal{J}} ||B||$  for all  $A, B \in L(H)$  and for all  $X \in \mathcal{J}$ .
- iii)  $||X||_{\mathcal{J}} = ||X||$  for X a rank one operator.

Remark 2.3. If  $(\mathcal{J}, |||_{\mathcal{J}})$  is a norm ideal, then the norm  $||||_{\mathcal{J}}$  is unitarily invariant, in the sense that  $||UAV||_{\mathcal{J}} = ||A||_{\mathcal{J}}$  for all  $A \in \mathcal{J}$  and for all unitary operators  $U, V \in L(H)$ .

**Corollary 2.2.** Let  $(\mathcal{J}, |||_{\mathcal{J}})$  be a norm ideal and  $A, B \in L(H)$ . If A is an isometry and the operator B is a contraction, then

$$\|\delta_{A,B}(X) + T\|_{\mathcal{J}} \geqslant \|T\|_{\mathcal{J}}$$

for all  $X \in \mathcal{J}$  and for all  $T \in \ker(\delta_{A,B}) \cap \mathcal{J}$ .

**Theorem 2.3.** Let  $(\mathcal{J}, |||_{\mathcal{J}})$  be a norm ideal and  $A \in L(H)$ . Suppose that f(A) is a cyclic subnormal operator, where f is a nonconstant analytic function on an open set containing  $\sigma(A)$ . Then

$$\|\delta_A(X) + T\|_{\mathcal{J}} \geqslant \|T\|_{\mathcal{J}}$$

for all  $X \in \mathcal{J}$  and for all  $T \in \{A\}' \cap \mathcal{J}$ .

Proof. Let  $T \in \mathcal{J}$  be such that AT = TA, then we have f(A)T = Tf(A) and Af(A) = f(A)A. Since f(A) is a cyclic subnormal operator, it follows from Yoshino's result [12] that T and A are subnormal. Therefore, every compact hyponormal operator is normal [2], hence T is normal.

Consequently, AT = TA implies that  $AT^* = T^*A$ . Hence we obtain that  $\overline{R(T)}$  and  $\ker^{\perp}(T)$  reduces A, and  $A_0 = A/\overline{R(T)}$  and  $B_0 = A/\ker^{\perp}(T)$  are normal operators.

Let  $A = A_0 \oplus A_1$  with respect to  $H_0 = H = \overline{R(T)} \oplus \overline{R(T)}^{\perp}$ , and let  $A = B_0 \oplus B_1$  with respect to  $H_1 = H = \ker^{\perp}(T) \oplus \ker(T)$ . Define the quasi-affinity  $T_0 \colon \ker^{\perp}(T) \to \overline{R(T)}$  by setting  $T_0 x = Tx$  for every  $x \in \ker^{\perp}(T)$ . Then it results that  $\delta_{A_0,B_0}(T_0) = \delta_{A_0^*,B_0^*}(T_0) = 0$ .

Also, we can write T and X on  $H_1$  into  $H_0$  as

$$T = \begin{pmatrix} T_0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad X = \begin{pmatrix} X_0 & X_1 \\ X_2 & X_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Consequently, we have

$$\|\delta_A(X) + T\|_{\mathcal{J}} = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{A_0, B_0}(X_0) + T_0 & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{J}} \ge \|\delta_{A_0, B_0}(X) + T\|_{\mathcal{J}}.$$

Since  $A_0$  and  $B_0$  are normal operators, we obtain from [4], Theorem 4, that

$$\|\delta_A(X) + T\|_{\mathcal{J}} \ge \|\delta_{A_0, B_0}(X_0) + T_0\|_{\mathcal{J}} \ge \|T_0\|_{\mathcal{J}} = \|T\|_{\mathcal{J}}.$$

Remark 2.4. Let  $A \in L(H)$  and let f be an analytic function on an open set containing  $\sigma(A)$ . If f(A) is cyclic subnormal and T is a compact operator such that AT = TA, then for all  $X \in L(H)$ ,

$$\|\delta_A(X) + T\| \geqslant \|T\|.$$

**Definition 2.3.** Let  $A, B \in L(H)$  and let  $\mathcal{J}$  be a two-sided ideal of L(H). We say that the pair (A, B) possesses the Fuglede-Putnam property  $PF(\Delta, \mathcal{J})$ , if  $\ker(\Delta_{A,B}|\mathcal{J}) \subseteq \ker(\Delta_{A^*,B^*}|\mathcal{J})$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $A, B \in L(H)$ . If the pair (A, B) possesses the  $PF(\Delta, \mathcal{J})$  property, then

$$\|\Delta_{A,B}(X) + T\|_{\mathcal{J}} \geqslant \|T\|_{\mathcal{J}}$$

for all  $X \in \mathcal{J}$ , and for all  $T \in \ker(\Delta_{A,B}) \cap \mathcal{J}$ .

Proof. Given  $T \in \mathcal{J}$  such that ATB = T. Since the pair (A, B) possesses the PF $(\Delta, \mathcal{J})$  property,  $\overline{R(T)}$  reduces A, and  $\ker^{\perp}(T)$  reduces B, and  $A_0 = A|\overline{R(T)}$ ,  $B_0 = B|\ker^{\perp}(T)$  are normal operators.

Let  $T_0$ :  $\ker^{\perp}(T) \to \overline{R(T)}$  be the quasi-affinity defined by setting  $T_0x = Tx$  for each  $x \in \ker^{\perp}(T)$ . Then we have  $\Delta_{A_0,B_0}(T_0) = 0 = \Delta_{A_0^*,B_0^*}(T_0)$ . Let  $A = A_0 \oplus A_1$ 

with respect to  $H_0 = H = \overline{R(T)} \oplus \overline{R(T)}^{\perp}$ , and  $B = B_0 \oplus B_1$  with respect to  $H_1 = H = \ker^{\perp}(T) \oplus \ker(T)$ . Let X on  $H_1$  into  $H_0$  have the matrix representation

$$X = \begin{pmatrix} X_0 & X_1 \\ X_2 & X_3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Hence

$$\|\Delta_{A,B}(X) + T\|_{\mathcal{J}} = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{A_0,B_0}(X_0) + T_0 & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{J}}.$$

It follows from [7] that the diagonal part of a block matrix always has smaller norm than that of the whole matrix. Consequently, we have

$$\|\Delta_{A,B}(X) + T\|_{\mathcal{J}} = \left\| \begin{pmatrix} \Delta_{A_0,B_0}(X_0) + T_0 & * \\ * & * \end{pmatrix} \right\|_{\mathcal{J}} \geqslant \|\Delta_{A_0,B_0}(X_0) + T_0\|_{\mathcal{J}}.$$

Since  $A_0$  and  $B_0$  are normal, it results from [6], Theorem 2, that

$$\|\Delta_{A,B}(X) + T\|_{\mathcal{J}} \ge \|\Delta_{A_0,B_0}(X_0) + T_0\|_{\mathcal{J}} \ge \|T_0\|_{\mathcal{J}} = \|T\|_{\mathcal{J}}.$$

The following corollaries are consequences of the above theorem.

Corollary 2.3. Let  $A, B \in L(H)$ . Let some of the following conditions be fulfilled:

- 1)  $A, B \in L(H)$  such that  $||Ax|| \ge ||x|| \ge ||Bx||$  for all  $x \in H$ .
- 2) A is invertible and B such that  $||A^{-1}|| ||B|| \leq 1$ .
- 3) A is dominant and  $B^*$  is M-hyponormal.

Then we have

$$\|\Delta_{A,B}(X) + T\|_{\mathcal{I}} \geqslant \|T\|_{\mathcal{I}}$$

for all  $X \in \mathcal{J}$  and for all  $T \in \ker(\Delta_{A,B}) \cap \mathcal{J}$ .

Proof. It is sufficient to show that the pair (A, B) has the Fuglede-Putnam property  $PF(\Delta, \mathcal{J})$  in each of the preceding cases (in particular (3)).

- 1) It follows from [9], Lemma 1, that for all  $T \in \ker(\Delta_{A,B}) \cap \mathcal{J}$ , we have  $\overline{R(T)}$  reduces A and  $\ker^{\perp}(T)$  reduces B, and  $A|\overline{R(T)}, B| \ker^{\perp}(T)$  are unitary operators. Hence, it results that the pair (A, B) has the property  $\operatorname{PF}(\Delta, \mathcal{J})$ .
- 2) In this case, let  $A_1 = ||B||^{-1}A$  and  $B_1 = ||B||^{-1}B$ , then  $||A_1x|| \ge ||x|| \ge ||B_1x||$  for all  $x \in H$ . Hence, the result holds due to (1.1).

**Corollary 2.4.** Let  $A, B \in L(H)$  be such that the pairs (A, A) and (B, B) have the  $PF(\Delta, \mathcal{J})$  property. If  $1 \notin \sigma(A)\sigma(B)$ , then

$$\|\Delta_{A,B}(X) + T\|_{\mathcal{J}} \geqslant \|T\|_{\mathcal{J}}$$

for all  $X \in \mathcal{J}$ , and for all  $T \in \ker(\Delta_{A,B}) \cap \mathcal{J}$ .

Proof. It is well known that if  $1 \notin \sigma(A)\sigma(B)$ , then the operators  $\Delta_{A,B}$  and  $\Delta_{B,A}$  are invertible. Thus, a simple calculation shows that the pair  $(A \oplus B, A \oplus B)$  possesses the PF $(\Delta, \mathcal{J})$  property.

Remark 2.5. If  $Se_n = \omega_n e_{n+1}$  is a unilateral (bilateral) weighted shift, then, it follows from [3] that the pair (S, S) has the property  $PF(\delta, \mathcal{J})$  if and only if

$$\sum_{k} \omega_k \omega_{k+1} \dots \omega_{k+n-1} = \infty.$$

Remark 2.6. 1) Let  $A, B \in L(H)$ , then  $\overline{R(\Delta_{A,B})} \cap \ker(\Delta_{A,B}) = \{0\}$  in each of the following cases:

- i) A and B are normal.
- ii) A and B are contraction.
- iii) A = B is cyclic subnormal.
- iv) A and  $B^*$  are hyponormal.
  - 2) If  $A^*$  and B are hyponormal, then  $\overline{R(\Delta_{A,B})} \cap \ker(\Delta_{A^*,B^*}) = \{0\}.$

**Corollary 2.5.** Let  $A, B \in L(H)$ . Then every operator in  $\overline{R(\Delta_{A \oplus B})} \cap \{\ker(\Delta_{A \oplus B}) \cup \ker(\Delta_{A^* \oplus B^*})\}$  is nilpotent of order not greater than 2, in each of the following cases:

- 1) A normal and B isometric.
- 2) A normal and B cyclic subnormal.
- 3) A cyclic subnormal and B co-isometric.

Proof. On  $H \oplus H$ , let T be the operator defined as  $T = \begin{pmatrix} P & Q \\ R & S \end{pmatrix}$ . A routine calculation shows that  $T \in \overline{R(\Delta_{A \oplus B})} \cap \ker(\Delta_{A \oplus B})$  implies

$$P \in \overline{R(\Delta_A)} \cap \ker(\Delta_A); \quad S \in \overline{R(\Delta_B)} \cap \ker(\Delta_B);$$

$$R \in \overline{R(\Delta_{B,A})} \cap \ker(\Delta_{B,A}); \quad Q \in \overline{R(\Delta_{A,B})} \cap \ker(\Delta_{A,B}).$$

Hence, if A is normal and B is isometric, it follows from [6], Corollary 1, [11], Corollary 1.4, that P=0, S=0 and R=0. Consequently, we obtain  $T=\begin{pmatrix} 0 & Q \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ , which ensures that T is nilpotent of order not greater than 2.

By using a similar argument we get the desired result.

Remark 2.7. 1) Note that Corollary 2.5 still holds if we consider the inner derivation  $\delta_A$  instead of  $\Delta_A$ .

2) Let  $\pi \colon L(H) \to L(H)|K(H)$  denote the Calkin map. Set

$$S = \{ T \in L(H) \colon \|\pi(T)\| = \|T\| \}.$$

If  $A \in L(H)$  satisfies one of the following conditions:

- i)  $A^*A AA^*$  is compact;
- ii)  $A^*A I$  or  $AA^* I$  is compact;

then  $R(d_A)$  is orthogonal to  $\ker(d_A) \cap \mathcal{S}$ , where  $d_A = \delta_A$  or  $d_A = \Delta_A$ .

## 3. A COMMENT AND SOME OPEN QUESTIONS

1) It is shown in [3] that if A is a cyclic subnormal operator, then  $R(\delta_A)$  is orthogonal to  $\{A\}'$ , and this orthogonality fails in the absence of the hypothesis that the subnormal A is cyclic.

It is easy to see that if A and B are cyclic subnormal operators such that  $A \oplus B$  is cyclic subnormal, then  $R(\delta_{A,B})$  is orthogonal to  $\ker(\delta_{A,B})$ .

Hence, it would be interesting to establish the range-kernel orthogonality of  $\delta_{A,B}$  in the general case.

2) Let  $\pi: L(H) \to L(H)/K(H) = \mathcal{C}(H)$  denote the Calkin map, and let

$$S = \{ A \in L(H) \colon \|\pi(A)\| = \|A\| \}.$$

Note that the result of Duggal [5] guarantees that if A and B are cyclic subnormal operators, then  $R(\delta_{A,B})$  is orthogonal to  $\ker(\delta_{A,B}) \cap \mathcal{S}$ , and  $R(\Delta_{A,B})$  is orthogonal to  $\ker(\Delta_{A,B}) \cap \mathcal{S}$ .

From this, the following question naturally arises:

If A and B are cyclic subnormal operators, is  $R(\Delta_{A,B})$  orthogonal to  $\ker(\Delta_{A,B})$  for the usual operator norm?

- 3) Let  $A \in L(H)$ , and suppose that f is an analytic function on an open set containing  $\sigma(A)$  such that f' does not vanish on some neighborhood of  $\sigma(A)$ .
- If f(A) is isometric or normal, what conditions on f ensure the range-kernel orthogonality of  $\delta_A$  with respect to the wider class of unitarily invariant norms on L(H)?

Acknowledgement. It is our great pleasure to thank the referee for careful reading of the paper and useful suggestions.

# References

- [1] J. Anderson: On normal derivations. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 38 (1973), 135–140.
- [2] C. A. Berger, B. I. Shaw: Selfcommutators of multicyclic hyponormal operators are always trace class. Bull. Am. Math. Soc. 79 (1974), 1193-1199.
- [3] S. Bouali, Y. Bouhafsi: On the range kernel orthogonality and P-symmetric operators. Math. Inequal. Appl. 9 (2006), 511–519.
- [4] M. B. Delai, S. Bouali, S. Cherki: A remark on the orthogonality of the image to the kernel of a generalized derivation. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 126 (1998), 167–171. (In French.)
- [5] B. P. Duggal: A perturbed elementary operator and range-kernel orthogonality. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 134 (2006), 1727–1734.
- [6] B. P. Duggal: A remark on normal derivations. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 126 (1998), 2047–2052.
- [7] I. C. Gohberg, M. G. Krežn: Introduction to the Theory of Linear Nonselfadjoint Operators. Translations of Mathematical Monographs 18, American Mathematical Society, Providence, 1969; translated from the Russian, Nauka, Moskva, 1965.
- [8] F. Kittaneh: Normal derivations in norm ideals. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 123 (1995), 1779–1785.
- [9] Y. Tong: Kernels of generalized derivations. Acta Sci. Math. 54 (1990), 159–169.
- [10] A. Turnšek: Orthogonality in  $\mathcal{C}_p$  classes. Monatsh. Math. 132 (2001), 349–354.
- [11] A. Turnšek: Elementary operators and orthogonality. Linear Algebra Appl. 317 (2000), 207–216.
- [12] T. Yoshino: Subnormal operator with a cyclic vector. Tôhoku Math. J. II. Ser. 21 (1969), 47–55.

Authors' addresses: Said Bouali, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Ibn Tofail University, B.P. 133, 24000 Kénitra, Morocco, e-mail: said.bouali@yahoo.fr; Youssef Bouhafsi, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science, Chouaib Doukkali University, Iben Maachou Street, P.O.Box 20, 24000 El Jadida, Morocco, e-mail: ybouhafsi@yahoo.fr.