Zhanmin Zhu Some results on  $(n,d)\mbox{-injective modules, }(n,d)\mbox{-flat modules and $n$-coherent rings}$ 

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 56 (2015), No. 4, 505-513

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/144755

## Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2015

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

# Some results on (n, d)-injective modules, (n, d)-flat modules and *n*-coherent rings

ZHANMIN ZHU

Abstract. Let n, d be two non-negative integers. A left R-module M is called (n, d)-injective, if  $\operatorname{Ext}^{d+1}(N, M) = 0$  for every n-presented left R-module N. A right R-module V is called (n, d)-flat, if  $\operatorname{Tor}_{d+1}(V, N) = 0$  for every n-presented left R-module N. A left R-module M is called weakly n-FP-injective, if  $\operatorname{Ext}^n(N, M) = 0$  for every (n + 1)-presented left R-module N. A right R-module V is called weakly n-flat, if  $\operatorname{Tor}_n(V, N) = 0$  for every (n + 1)-presented left R-module N. In this paper, we give some characterizations and properties of (n, d)-injective modules and (n, d)-flat modules in the cases of  $n \ge d + 1$  or n > d + 1. Using the concepts of weakly n-FP-injectivity and weakly n-flatness of modules, we give some new characterizations of left n-coherent rings.

*Keywords:* (*n*, *d*)-injective modules; (*n*, *d*)-flat modules; *n*-coherent rings Classification: 16D40, 16D50, 16P70

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this paper, R denotes an associative ring with identity, all modules considered are unitary and n, d are non-negative integers unless otherwise specified. For any R-module  $M, M^+ = \text{Hom}(M, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$  will be the character module of M.

Recall that a left *R*-module *A* is said to be *finitely presented* if there is an exact sequence  $F_1 \to F_0 \to A \to 0$  in which  $F_1, F_0$  are finitely generated free left *R*-modules, or equivalently, if there is an exact sequence  $P_1 \to P_0 \to A \to 0$ , where  $P_1, P_0$  are finitely generated projective left *R*-modules. Let *n* be a positive integer. Then a left *R*-module *M* is called *n*-presented [2] if there is an exact sequence of left *R*-modules  $F_n \to F_{n-1} \to \cdots \to F_1 \to F_0 \to M \to 0$  in which every  $F_i$  is a finitely generated free (or equivalently projective) left *R*-module. A left *R*-module *M* is said to be FP-injective [7] if  $Ext^1(A, M) = 0$  for every finitely presented left *R*-module *A*. FP-injective modules are also called absolutely pure modules [5]. FP-injective modules and their generations have been studied by many authors. For example, following [1], a left *R*-module *M* is called *n*-flat if  $Tor_n(M, N) = 0$  for every *n*-presented left *R*-module *M* is called *n*-flat if  $Tor_n(M, N) = 0$  for every *n*-presented left *R*-module *M* is called *n*-flat if  $Tor_n(M, N) = 0$  for every *n*-presented left *R*-module *M* is called *n*-flat if  $Tor_n(M, N) = 0$  for every *n*-presented left *R*-module *M* is called *n*-flat if  $Tor_n(M, N) = 0$  for every *n*-presented left *R*-module *M* is called *n*-flat if  $Tor_n(M, N) = 0$  for every *n*-presented left *R*-module *M* is called *n*-flat if  $Tor_n(M, N) = 0$  for every *n*-presented left *R*-module *M* is called *n*-flat if  $Tor_n(M, N) = 0$  for every *n*-presented left *R*-module *M* is called *n*-flat if  $Tor_n(M, N) = 0$  for every *n*-presented left *R*-module *M* is called *n*-flat if  $Tor_n(M, N) = 0$  for every *n*-presented left *R*-module *M* is called *n*-flat if  $Tor_n(M, N) = 0$  for every *n*-presented left *R*-module *M* is called *n*-flat if  $Tor_n(M, N) = 0$  for every *n*-presented left *R*-module *M* is called *n*-flat if  $Tor_n(M, N) = 0$  for every *n*-presented left *R*-module *M* is called *n*-flat if  $Tor_n(M, N)$ 

DOI 10.14712/1213-7243.2015.133

 $\operatorname{Ext}^{d+1}(N,M) = 0$  for every *n*-presented left *R*-module *N*; a right *R*-module *V* is called (n,d)-flat, if  $\operatorname{Tor}_{d+1}(V,N) = 0$  for every *n*-presented left *R*-module *N*. We recall also that a ring *R* is called *left n*-coherent [2] if every *n*-presented left *R*-module is (n + 1)-presented. In [1], left *n*-coherent rings are characterized by *n*-*FP*-injective modules and *n*-flat modules. In this paper, we shall give some new characterizations and properties of (n, d)-injective modules and (n, d)-flat modules in the cases of  $n \ge d + 1$  or n > d + 1. Moreover, we shall extend the concepts of *n*-*FP*-injective modules and *n*-flat modules to weakly *n*-*FP*-injective modules and weakly *n*-flat modules, respectively. Using the concepts of weakly *n*-*FP*-injectivity and weakly *n*-flatness of modules, we shall give some new characterizations of left *n*-coherent rings.

### 2. Weakly *n*-*FP*-injective modules and weakly *n*-flat modules

We first extend the concepts of n-FP-injective modules and n-flat modules as follows.

**Definition 2.1.** Let *n* be a positive integer. Then a left *R*-module *M* is called weakly *n*-*FP*-injective, if  $\text{Ext}^n(N, M) = 0$  for every (n + 1)-presented left *R*-module *N*. A right *R*-module *V* is called weakly *n*-flat, if  $\text{Tor}_n(V, N) = 0$  for every (n + 1)-presented left *R*-module *N*.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let M be a left R-module and  $n \ge d + 1$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) M is (n, d)-injective;
- (2) if  $F_n \xrightarrow{f_n} F_{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} \cdots \to F_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{\epsilon} N \to 0$  is exact and each  $F_i$  is finitely generated and free, then  $\operatorname{Ext}^1(\operatorname{Ker}(f_{d-1}), M) = 0;$
- (3) if  $F_n \xrightarrow{f_n} F_{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} \cdots \to F_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{\epsilon} N \to 0$  is exact and each  $F_i$  is finitely generated and free, then every homomorphism from Ker $(f_d)$  to M extends to  $F_d$ .

**PROOF:** (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) It follows from the isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{d+1}(N, M) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^1(\operatorname{Ker}(f_{d-1}), M).$$

 $(2) \Leftrightarrow (3)$  It follows from the exact sequence

$$\operatorname{Hom}(F_d, M) \to \operatorname{Hom}(\operatorname{Ker}(f_d), M) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1(\operatorname{Ker}(f_{d-1}), M) \to 0.$$

**Corollary 2.3.** Let  $n \ge d+1$ . Then FP-injective module is (n, d)-injective. In particular, FP-injective module is n-FP-injective.

PROOF: Let M be FP-injective and let  $F_n \xrightarrow{f_n} F_{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{\epsilon} N \rightarrow 0$  be exact and each  $F_i$  be finitely generated and free. Then  $K_{d-1} = \text{Ker}(f_{d-1})$  is (n-d)-presented and so finitely presented since  $n \ge d+1$ . And thus  $\text{Ext}^1(K_{d-1}, M) = 0$ . By Theorem 2.2, M is (n, d)-injective.

Let B be a left R-module and A be a submodule of B, k be a positive integer. Recall that A is said to be a pure submodule of B if for right R-module M, the induced map  $M \otimes_R A \to M \otimes_R B$  is monic, or equivalently, every finitely presented left R-module is projective with respect to the exact sequence  $0 \to A \to B \to$  $B/A \to 0$ . In this case, the exact sequence  $0 \to A \to B \to B/A \to 0$  is called pure. It is well known that a left R-module M is FP-injective if and only if it is pure in every module containing it as a submodule. According to [9], A is said to be k-pure in B if every k-presented left R-module N is projective with respect to the exact sequence  $0 \to A \to B \to B/A \to 0$ . Clearly, a submodule A of a module B is pure in B if and only if A is 1-pure in B, and a k-pure submodule is (k + 1)-pure. By [9, Theorem 2.2], A is (k, 0)-injective if and only if A is k-pure in every module containing A if and only if A is k-pure in E(A).

**Proposition 2.4.** If  $n \ge d + 1$ , then the class of (n, d)-injective left *R*-modules is closed under (n - d)-pure submodules.

PROOF: Let A be an (n-d)-pure submodule of an (n, d)-injective left R-module B. Let  $F_n \xrightarrow{f_n} F_{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} \cdots \to F_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{\epsilon} N \to 0$  be exact with each  $F_i$  finitely generated and free. Write  $K_{d-1} = \text{Ker}(f_{d-1})$ . Then  $K_{d-1}$  is (n-d)-presented. Since B is (n, d)-injective,  $\text{Ext}^1(K_{d-1}, B) = 0$  by Theorem 2.2. So we have an exact sequence

$$\operatorname{Hom}(K_{d-1}, B) \to \operatorname{Hom}(K_{d-1}, B/A) \to \operatorname{Ext}^1(K_{d-1}, A) \to 0.$$

Observing that A is (n-d)-pure in B, the sequence

 $\operatorname{Hom}(K_{d-1}, B) \to \operatorname{Hom}(K_{d-1}, B/A) \to 0$ 

is exact. Hence  $\text{Ext}^1(K_{d-1}, A) = 0$ , and so A is (n, d)-injective by Theorem 2.2 again.

**Corollary 2.5** ([8, Proposition 2.4(1)]). If  $n \ge d+1$ , then every pure submodule of an (n, d)-injective left *R*-module is (n, d)-injective.

**Corollary 2.6.** Let R be any ring and n be a positive integer. Then

- (1) pure submodules of *n*-*FP*-injective *R*-modules are *n*-*FP*-injective. In particular, pure submodules of *FP*-injective *R*-modules are *FP*-injective;
- (2) 2-pure submodules of weakly n-FP-injective R-modules are weakly n-FP-injective. In particular, pure submodules of weakly n-FP-injective modules are weakly n-FP-injective.

**Corollary 2.7.** If  $n \ge d+1$ , then every (n-d, 0)-injective submodule of an (n, d)-injective module is (n, d)-injective.

**Proposition 2.8.** If n > d + 1, then the class of (n, d)-injective left *R*-modules is closed under direct limits.

PROOF: See [1, Lemma 2.9(2)].

507

**Corollary 2.9.** The class of weakly *n*-FP-injective left *R*-modules is closed under direct limits.

**Proposition 2.10.** Let  $\{M_i \mid i \in I\}$  be a family of left *R*-modules. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) each  $M_i$  is (n, d)-injective;
- (2)  $\prod_{i \in I} M_i$  is (n, d)-injective.

Moreover, if  $n \ge d+1$ , then the above two conditions are equivalent to

(3)  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$  is (n, d)-injective.

**PROOF:** (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) It follows from the isomorphism

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{d+1}(A, \prod_{i \in I} M_i) \cong \prod_{i \in I} \operatorname{Ext}^{d+1}(A, M_i).$$

(1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3) Let  $F_n \xrightarrow{f_n} F_{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} \cdots \rightarrow F_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{\epsilon} N \rightarrow 0$  be exact and each  $F_i$  be finitely generated and free. It is easy to see that Ker $(f_d)$  is (n-d-1)-presented. Since  $n \ge d+1$ , Ker $(f_d)$  is finitely generated, and so the result follows immediately from Theorem 2.2 (3).

**Corollary 2.11** ([8, Lemma 2.9]). If R is a left *n*-coherent ring, then every direct sum of (n, d)-injective left R-modules is (n, d)-injective.

PROOF: Let  $\{M_i \mid i \in I\}$  be a family of (n, d)-injective left R-modules. Then each  $M_i$  is (n+d+1, d)-injective. By Proposition 2.10,  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$  is (n+d+1, d)-injective. Since R is left n-coherent, every n-presented left R-module is (n+d+1)-presented. So every (n+d+1, d)-injective left R-module is (n, d)-injective, and thus  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$  is (n, d)-injective.

- **Corollary 2.12.** (1) If R is a left Noetherian ring, then every direct sum of (n, d)-injective left R-modules is (n, d)-injective for any non-negative integers n and d. In particular, if R is a left Noetherian ring, then for any non-negative integer d, the class of the left R-modules with injective dimensions at most d is closed under direct sums.
  - (2) If R is a left coherent ring, then every direct sum of (n, d)-injective left R-modules is (n, d)-injective for any positive integer n and any non-negative integer d.

Recall that a right *R*-module *V* is called (n, d)-flat [8] if  $\operatorname{Tor}_{d+1}(V, N) = 0$  for every *n*-presented left *R*-module *N*.

**Theorem 2.13.** Let V be a right R-module and  $n \ge d + 1$ . Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) V is (n, d)-flat;
- (2) if  $F_n \xrightarrow{f_n} F_{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} \cdots \to F_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{\epsilon} N \to 0$  is exact and each  $F_i$  is finitely generated and free, then  $\operatorname{Tor}_1(V, \operatorname{Ker}(f_{d-1})) = 0;$

(3) if  $F_n \xrightarrow{f_n} F_{n-1} \xrightarrow{f_{n-1}} \cdots \to F_1 \xrightarrow{f_1} F_0 \xrightarrow{\epsilon} N \to 0$  is exact and each  $F_i$  is finitely generated and free, then the canonical map  $V \otimes \operatorname{Ker}(f_d) \to V \otimes F_d$  is monic.

**PROOF:** (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) It follows from the isomorphism

$$\operatorname{For}_{d+1}(V, N) \cong \operatorname{Tor}_1(V, \operatorname{Ker}(f_{d-1})).$$

 $(2) \Leftrightarrow (3)$  It follows from the exact sequence

$$0 \to \operatorname{Tor}_1(V, \operatorname{Ker}(f_{d-1})) \to V \otimes \operatorname{Ker}(f_d) \to V \otimes F_d.$$

**Proposition 2.14.** Let  $\{V_i \mid i \in I\}$  be a family of right *R*-modules. Then the following statements are equivalent:

- (1) each  $V_i$  is (n, d)-flat;
- (2)  $\bigoplus_{i \in I} V_i$  is (n, d)-flat.

Moreover, if n > d + 1, then the above two conditions are equivalent to

(3)  $\prod_{i \in I} V_i$  is (n, d)-flat.

PROOF: (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) It follows from the isomorphism  $\operatorname{Tor}_{d+1}(\bigoplus_{i \in I} V_i, A) \cong \bigoplus_{i \in I} \operatorname{Tor}_{d+1}(V_i, A).$ 

(1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3) Since n > d+1, by [1, Lemma 2.10(2)], for any *n*-presented left *R*-module *A*, we have  $\operatorname{Tor}_{d+1}(\prod_{i \in I} V_i, A) \cong \prod_{i \in I} \operatorname{Tor}_{d+1}(V_i, A)$ , so the conditions (1) and (3) are equivalent.

**Corollary 2.15.** If R is a left n-coherent ring, then every direct product of (n, d)-flat right R-modules is (n, d)-flat.

PROOF: Let  $\{V_i \mid i \in I\}$  be a family of (n, d)-flat right *R*-modules. Then each  $V_i$  is (n + d + 2, d)-flat. By Proposition 2.14,  $\prod_{i \in I} V_i$  is (n + d + 2, d)-flat. Since *R* is left *n*-coherent, every *n*-presented left *R*-module is (n + d + 2)-presented. So every (n + d + 2, d)-flat right *R*-module is (n, d)-flat, and thus  $\prod_{i \in I} V_i$  is (n, d)-flat.  $\Box$ 

**Corollary 2.16.** If R is a left coherent ring, then the class of right R-modules with flat dimension at most d is closed under direct product. In particular, if R is a left coherent ring, then direct product of flat right R-modules is flat.

**Lemma 2.17** ([8, Proposition 2.3]). We have that V is an (n, d)-flat right R-module if and only if  $V^+$  is an (n, d)-injective left R-module.

**Proposition 2.18.** If n > d + 1, then the following are true for any ring R:

- (1) a left *R*-module *M* is (n, d)-injective if and only if  $M^+$  is (n, d)-flat;
- (2) the class of (n, d)-injective left R-modules is closed under pure submodules, pure quotients, direct sums, direct summands, direct products and direct limits;

(3) the class of (n, d)-flat right R-modules is closed under pure submodules, pure quotients, direct sums, direct summands, direct products and direct limits.

PROOF: (1) Let A be an n-presented left R-module. Since n > d + 1, by [1, Lemma 2.7(2)], we have

$$\operatorname{Tor}_{d+1}(M^+, A) \cong \operatorname{Ext}^{d+1}(A, M)^+,$$

and so (1) follows.

(2) By Corollary 2.5 and Proposition 2.10, we need only to prove that the class of (n, d)-injective left *R*-modules is closed under pure quotients and direct limits. Let  $0 \to A \to B \to C \to 0$  be a pure exact sequence of left *R*-modules with *B* being (n, d)-injective. Then we get the split exact sequence  $0 \to C^+ \to B^+ \to A^+ \to 0$  by [3, Proposition 5.3.8]. Since  $B^+$  is (n, d)-flat by  $(1), C^+$  is also (n, d)-flat, and so *C* is (n, d)-injective by (1) again. Moreover, since n > d+1, by [1, Lemma 2.9(2)], we have that

$$\operatorname{Ext}^{d+1}(N, \lim M_k) \cong \operatorname{lim}\operatorname{Ext}^{d+1}(N, M_k)$$

for every *n*-presented left *R*-module N, and so the class of (n, d)-injective left *R*-modules is closed under direct limits.

(3) Since n > d+1, by Proposition 2.14, the class of (n, d)-flat right *R*-modules is closed under direct sums, direct summands and direct products. Let  $0 \to A \to B \to C \to 0$  be a pure exact sequence of right *R*-modules with *B* being (n, d)-flat. Since  $B^+$  is (n, d)-injective by Lemma 2.17,  $A^+$  and  $C^+$  are also (n, d)-injective, and so *A* and *C* are (n, d)-flat by Lemma 2.17 again. So the class of (n, d)-flat right *R*-modules is closed under pure submodules and pure quotients. Moreover, by the isomorphism formula

$$\operatorname{Tor}_{d+1}(N, \lim M_k) \cong \lim \operatorname{Tor}_{d+1}(N, M_k)$$

we see that the class of (n, d)-flat right *R*-modules is closed under direct limits.  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 2.19.** Let n be a positive integer. Then the following statements are equivalent for a ring R:

- (1) R is left *n*-coherent;
- (2) for each  $m \ge n$  and each  $d \ge 0$ , every (m, d)-injective left R-module is (n, d)-injective;
- (3) for each  $m \ge n$  and each  $d \ge 0$ , every (m, d)-flat right R-module is (n, d)-flat;
- (4) every weakly n-FP-injective left R-module is n-FP-injective;
- (5) every weakly n-flat right R-module is n-flat.

**PROOF:**  $(1) \Rightarrow (2) \Rightarrow (4)$  and  $(1) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (5)$  are obvious.

 $(4) \Rightarrow (5)$  Let M be a weakly *n*-flat right R-module. Then by Lemma 2.17,  $M^+$  is weakly *n*-FP-injective, so  $M^+$  is *n*-FP-injective by (2). And thus M is *n*-flat by Lemma 2.17 again.

 $(5) \Rightarrow (1)$  Assume (5). Then since the direct products of weakly *n*-flat right *R*-modules are weakly *n*-flat by Proposition 2.14, the direct products of *n*-flat right *R*-modules are *n*-flat, and so *R* is left *n*-coherent by [1, Theorem 3.1].  $\Box$ 

Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a class of left (right) R-modules and M a left (right) R-module. Following [3], we say that a homomorphism  $\varphi : M \to F$  where  $F \in \mathcal{F}$  is an  $\mathcal{F}$ -preenvelope of M if for any morphism  $f : M \to F'$  with  $F' \in \mathcal{F}$ , there is a  $g : F \to F'$  such that  $g\varphi = f$ . An  $\mathcal{F}$ -preenvelope  $\varphi : M \to F$  is said to be an  $\mathcal{F}$ -envelope if every endomorphism  $g : F \to F$  such that  $g\varphi = \varphi$  is an isomorphism. Dually, we have the definitions of  $\mathcal{F}$ -precovers and  $\mathcal{F}$ -covers.  $\mathcal{F}$ -envelopes ( $\mathcal{F}$ -covers) may not exist in general, but if they exist, they are unique up to isomorphism.

**Theorem 2.20.** If n > d + 1, then the following hold for any ring R:

- (1) every left *R*-module has an (n, d)-injective cover and an (n, d)-injective preenvelope;
- (2) every right *R*-module has an (n, d)-flat cover and an (n, d)-flat preenvelope;
- (3) if A → B is an (n, d)-injective (resp. (n, d)-flat) preenvelope of a left (resp. right) R-module A, then B<sup>+</sup> → A<sup>+</sup> is an (n, d)-flat (resp. (n, d)-injective) precover of A<sup>+</sup>.

PROOF: (1) Since n > d + 1, the class of (n, d)-injective left *R*-modules is closed under direct sums and pure quotients by Proposition 2.18(2), and so every left *R*-module has an (n, d)-injective cover by [4, Theorem 2.5]. Since the class of (n, d)-injective left *R*-modules is closed under direct summands, direct products and pure submodules by Proposition 2.18(2), every left *R*-module has an (n, d)injective preenvelope by [6, Corollary 3.5(c)].

(2) is similar to (1).

(3) Let  $A \to B$  be an (n, d)-injective preenvelope of a left R-module A. Then  $B^+$  is (n, d)-flat by Proposition 2.18(1). For any (n, d)-flat right R-module V,  $V^+$  is an (n, d)-injective left R-module by Lemma 2.17, and so  $\operatorname{Hom}(B, V^+) \to \operatorname{Hom}(A, V^+)$  is epic. Consider the following commutative diagram:



Since  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are isomorphisms,  $\operatorname{Hom}(V, B^+) \to \operatorname{Hom}(V, A^+)$  is an epimorphism. So  $B^+ \to A^+$  is an (n, d)-flat precover of  $A^+$ . The other is similar.  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 2.21.** Let n > d + 1. Then the following statements are equivalent for a ring R:

- (1)  $_{R}R$  is (n, d)-injective;
- (2) every left R-module has an epic (n, d)-injective cover;
- (3) every right *R*-module has a monic (n, d)-flat preenvelope;
- (4) every injective right *R*-module is (n, d)-flat;
- (5) every FP-injective right R-module is (n, d)-flat.

PROOF: (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Let M be a left R-module. Then M has an (n, d)-injective cover  $\varphi: C \to M$  by Theorem 2.20(1). On the other hand, there is an exact sequence  $A \xrightarrow{\alpha} M \to 0$  with A free. Note that A is (n, d)-injective by (1), there exists a homomorphism  $\beta: A \to C$  such that  $\alpha = \varphi\beta$ . It shows that  $\varphi$  is epic.

 $(2) \Rightarrow (1)$  Let  $f: N \to {}_{R}R$  be an epic (n, d)-injective cover. Then the projectivity of  ${}_{R}R$  implies that  ${}_{R}R$  is isomorphic to a direct summand of N, and so  ${}_{R}R$  is (n, d)-injective.

 $(1) \Rightarrow (3)$  Let M be any right R-module. Then M has an (n, d)-flat preenvelope  $f: M \to F$  by Theorem 2.20(2). Since  $(_RR)^+$  is a cogenerator, there exists an exact sequence  $0 \to M \xrightarrow{g} \prod (_RR)^+$ . Since  $_RR$  is (n, d)-injective, by Proposition 2.18(1) and Proposition 2.18(3),  $\prod (_RR)^+$  is (n, d)-flat. So there exists a right R-homomorphism  $h: F \to \prod (_RR)^+$  such that g = hf, which shows that f is monic.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (4)$  Assume (3). Then for every injective right *R*-module *E*, *E* has a monic (n, d)-flat preenvelope *F*, so *E* is isomorphic to a direct summand of *F*, and thus *E* is (n, d)-flat.

 $(4) \Rightarrow (1)$  Since  $(_RR)^+$  is injective, by (4), it is (n, d)-flat. Thus  $_RR$  is (n, d)-injective by Proposition 2.18(1).

 $(4) \Rightarrow (5)$  Let M be an FP-injective right R-module. Then M is a pure submodule of its injective envelope E(M). By (4), E(M) is (n, d)-flat. So M is (n, d)-flat by Corollary 2.5.

 $(5) \Rightarrow (4)$  is clear.

**Remark 2.22.** It is easy to see that if R is a left n-coherent ring, then a left R-module M is (n, d)-injective if and only if M is (m, d)-injective for every m > n if and only if M is (m, d)-injective for some m > n. A right R-module V is (n, d)-flat if and only if V is (m, d)-flat for every m > n if and only if V is (m, d)-flat for some m > n. So, if R is a left n-coherent ring, then the results from Theorem 2.2 to Proposition 2.21 hold without the conditions " $n \ge d + 1$ " or "n > d + 1".

Acknowledgment. The author would like to thank the referee for the useful comments.

#### References

- [1] Chen J.L., Ding N.Q., On n-coherent rings, Comm. Algebra 24 (1996), 3211–3216.
- D.L. Costa, Parameterizing families of non-noetherian rings, Comm. Algebra 22 (1994), no. 10, 3997–4011.

 $\square$ 

Some results on (n, d)-injective modules, (n, d)-flat modules and n-coherent rings

- [3] Enochs E.E., Jenda O.M.G., *Relative Homological Algebra*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin-New York, 2000.
- [4] Holm H., Jørgensen P., Covers, precovers, and purity, Illinois J. Math. 52 (2008), 691–703.
- [5] Megibben C., Absolutely pure modules, Proc. Amer.Math. Soc. 26 (1970), 561-566.
- [6] Rada J., Saorin M., Rings characterized by (pre)envelopes and (pre)covers of their modules, Comm. Algebra 26 (1998), 899–912.
- [7] Stenström B., Coherent rings and FP-injective modules, J. London Math. Soc. 2 (1970), 323–329.
- [8] Zhou D.X., On n-coherent rings and (n,d)-rings, Comm. Algebra 32 (2004), 2425–2441.
- [9] Zhu Z., On n-coherent rings, n-hereditary rings and n-regular rings, Bull. Iranian Math. Soc. 37 (2011), 251–267.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, JIAXING UNIVERSITY, JIAXING, ZHEJIANG PROVINCE, 314001, P.R.CHINA

E-mail: zhuzhanminzjxu@hotmail.com

(Received July 10, 2014, revised May 19, 2015)