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# Some remarks on the interpolation spaces $A^{\theta}, A_{\theta}$ 

Mohammad Daher


#### Abstract

Let $\left(A_{0}, A_{1}\right)$ be a regular interpolation couple. Under several different assumptions on a fixed $A^{\beta}$, we show that $A^{\theta}=A_{\theta}$ for every $\theta \in(0,1)$. We also deal with assumptions on $\bar{A}^{\beta}$, the closure of $A^{\beta}$ in the dual of $\left(A_{0}^{*}, A_{1}^{*}\right)_{\beta}$.
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Classification: 46B70

## Introduction

We are looking for sufficient conditions on a regular interpolation couple ( $A_{0}, A_{1}$ ) implying that $A^{\theta}=A_{\theta}$ for every $\theta \in(0,1)$. We already considered such questions in [Da1] and [Da2]. Unhappily, there was a mistake in a crucial lemma at the beginning of [Da2]. A corrected version of this paper was put on arXiv as [Da3]. The present paper uses the same machinery, which we essentially reproduce in part 2, with simplifications.

In the first part we recall the definitions and some known properties of $A^{\theta}$ and $A_{\theta}$. In the second part, we collect results about the mapping $\tau \in \mathbb{R} \rightarrow$ $g^{\prime}(\theta+i \tau)$, where $g \in \mathcal{G}\left(A_{0}, A_{1}\right)$, and give in Theorem 5 a key abstract condition on a fixed $A^{\beta}$, stronger than $A^{\beta}=A_{\beta}$, implying that $A^{\theta}=A_{\theta}$ for every $\theta \in(0,1)$. We also define and study the maps $R^{\theta}: A^{\theta} \rightarrow\left[\left(A_{0}^{*}, A_{1}^{*}\right)_{\theta}\right]^{*}$.

In the third part we deduce that $A^{\theta}=A_{\theta}$ for every $\theta \in(0,1)$ under geometric conditions on a fixed $A^{\beta}$, or on $\bar{A}^{\beta}$, defined as the norm closure of $R^{\beta}\left(A^{\beta}\right)$ in the dual space of $\left(A_{0}^{*}, A_{1}^{*}\right)_{\beta}$.

## 1. Notation, definitions and properties of interpolation spaces

We denote by $X^{*}$ the dual of a Banach space $X$, by $\mathcal{C}_{0}(\mathbb{R}, X)$ the space of $X$-valued continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}$ that tend to 0 at infinity. We denote by $\mathcal{B}_{1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$ the space of first Baire class functions $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be the $\sigma$ algebra of Borel subsets of $\mathbb{R}$, completed by sets with Lebesgue measure zero. An a.s. defined map $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ is strongly measurable if there exists a sequence $\left(f_{n}\right)_{n}$ of finitely valued maps $f_{n}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ such that, for every open ball $B$ in $X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}, f_{n}^{-1}(B) \in \mathcal{B}$, and a.s. $\left\|f-f_{n}\right\|_{X} \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$.

Let $S=\{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid 0 \leq \operatorname{Re}(z) \leq 1\}$ and $S^{0}$ its interior. Given a map $f: S \rightarrow X$, we denote by $f(\theta+i \cdot): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ the restriction of $f$ to the line $\operatorname{Re} z=\theta, \theta \in[0,1]$ and by $f_{\tau}$ the translated map $f_{\tau}(z)=f(z+i \tau), \tau \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let $\bar{C}=\left(C_{0}, C_{1}\right)$ be a complex interpolation couple in the sense of [BL]. We first recall the definition of the interpolation space $C_{\theta}, \theta \in(0,1)$ [BL, Chapter 4]. Let $\mathcal{F}(\bar{C})$ be the space of functions $f$ with values in $C_{0}+C_{1}$, which are bounded and continuous on $S$, holomorphic on $S^{0}$, such that, for $j \in\{0,1\}$, the maps $f(j+i \cdot)$ lie in $\mathcal{C}_{0}\left(\mathbb{R}, C_{j}\right)$. We equip $\mathcal{F}(\bar{C})$ with the norm

$$
\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}(\bar{C})}=\max \left[\sup _{\tau \in \mathbb{R}}\|f(i \tau)\|_{C_{0}}, \sup _{\tau \in \mathbb{R}}\|f(1+i \tau)\|_{C_{1}}\right] .
$$

The space $C_{\theta}=\left(C_{0}, C_{1}\right)_{\theta}=\{f(\theta) \mid f \in \mathcal{F}(\bar{C})\}, 0<\theta<1$, is a Banach space [BL, Theorem 4.1.2] for the norm defined by

$$
\|a\|_{C_{\theta}}=\inf \left\{\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}(\bar{C})} \mid f(\theta)=a\right\} .
$$

We now recall the definition of the complex interpolation space $C^{\theta}[\mathrm{BL}$, Chapter 4]. Let $\mathcal{G}(\bar{C})$ be the space of functions $g$ with values in $C_{0}+C_{1}$, which are continuous on $S$, holomorphic on $S^{0}$, such that the map $z \rightarrow(1+|z|)^{-1}\|g(z)\|_{C_{0}+C_{1}}$ is bounded on $S$ (this condition will be denoted by (C)), such that $g(j+i \tau)-$ $g\left(j+i \tau^{\prime}\right) \in C_{j}$ for every $\tau, \tau^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}, j \in\{0,1\}$, and such that the following quantity is finite:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|g^{\cdot}\right\|_{Q \mathcal{G}(\bar{C})} \\
& \quad=\max \left[\sup _{\tau \neq \tau^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|\frac{g(i \tau)-g\left(i \tau^{\prime}\right)}{\tau-\tau^{\prime}}\right\|_{C_{0}}, \sup _{\tau \neq \tau^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|\frac{g(1+i \tau)-g\left(1+i \tau^{\prime}\right)}{\tau-\tau^{\prime}}\right\|_{C_{1}}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

This defines a norm on the space $Q \mathcal{G}(\bar{C})$, quotient of $\mathcal{G}(\bar{C})$ by the subspace of constant functions with values in $C_{0}+C_{1}$, and $Q \mathcal{G}(\bar{C})$ is complete with respect to this norm [BL, Lemma 4.1.3]. We recall [BL, proof of Lemma 4.1.3] that every $g \in \mathcal{G}(\bar{C})$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|g^{\prime}(z)\right\|_{C_{0}+C_{1}} \leq\left\|g^{\cdot}\right\|_{Q \mathcal{G}(\bar{C})}, \quad z \in S \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The space $C^{\theta}=\left\{a \in C_{0}+C_{1} \mid \exists g \in \mathcal{G}(\bar{C}), a=g^{\prime}(\theta)\right\}$ is a Banach space [BL, Theorem 4.1.4] with respect to the norm defined by:

$$
\|a\|_{C^{\theta}}=\inf \left\{\|g \cdot\|_{Q \mathcal{G}(\bar{C})} \mid g^{\prime}(\theta)=a\right\}
$$

By (1), the canonical map $C^{\theta} \rightarrow C_{0}+C_{1}$ is a one to one contraction. By [B], $C_{\theta}$ is isometrically identified with a subspace of $C^{\theta}$, and by [BL, Theorem 4.2.2], $C_{0} \cap C_{1}$ is dense in $C_{\theta}, 0<\theta<1$.

Every function $f \in \mathcal{F}(\bar{C})$ admits an integral representation involving the harmonic measure

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(i t) Q_{0}(z, t) d t+\int_{\mathbb{R}} f(1+i t) Q_{1}(z, t) d t, \quad z \in S^{0} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t \rightarrow \frac{Q_{0}(z, t)}{1-\operatorname{Re} z}$ and $\frac{Q_{1}(z, t)}{\operatorname{Re} z}, z \in S^{0}, t \in \mathbb{R}$ are probability densities. By [BL, Lemma 4.3.2], every $f \in \mathcal{F}(\bar{C})$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(\theta)\|_{C_{\theta}} \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\|f(i t)\|_{C_{0}} \frac{Q_{0}(\theta, t)}{1-\theta} d t\right)^{1-\theta}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\|f(1+i t)\|_{C_{1}} \frac{Q_{1}(\theta, t)}{\theta} d t\right)^{\theta} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $x \in C_{0} \cap C_{1}$, taking $f=\varphi \otimes x$ for a suitable $\varphi$, (3) implies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\|_{C_{\theta}} \leq\|x\|_{C_{0}}^{1-\theta}\|x\|_{C_{1}}^{\theta} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\bar{A}=\left(A_{0}, A_{1}\right)$ be an interpolation couple. If $A_{0} \cap A_{1}$ is dense in $A_{0}$ and $A_{1}$, $\bar{A}$ is called a regular interpolation couple. Then we have [BL, Theorem 2.7.1]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(A_{0} \cap A_{1}\right)^{*}=A_{0}^{*}+A_{1}^{*}, \quad A_{0}^{*} \cap A_{1}^{*}=\left(A_{0}+A_{1}\right)^{*} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(in general, there is only a canonical contraction $\left.A_{0}^{*}+A_{1}^{*} \rightarrow\left(A_{0} \cap A_{1}\right)^{*}\right)$. Moreover we may apply the reiteration theorem [BL, Theorem 4.6.1] and the dual of $A_{\theta}$ is the space $\left(A_{0}^{*}, A_{1}^{*}\right)^{\theta}, 0<\theta<1$ [BL, Theorem 4.5.1].

When $\bar{A}$ is a regular interpolation couple, let $B_{j}$ be the closure of $A_{0}^{*} \cap A_{1}^{*}$ in $A_{j}^{*}, j=0,1$. It is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{0} \cap B_{1}=A_{0}^{*} \cap A_{1}^{*} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

isometrically and the couple $\bar{B}=\left(B_{0}, B_{1}\right)$ is regular. By (5) and (6), isometrically,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{0}^{*}+B_{1}^{*}=\left(B_{0} \cap B_{1}\right)^{*}=\left(A_{0}^{*} \cap A_{1}^{*}\right)^{*}=\left(A_{0}+A_{1}\right)^{* *} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By [BL, Theorem 4.2.2 b] we have isometrically, for $0<\theta<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\theta}=\left(A_{0}^{*}, A_{1}^{*}\right)_{\theta} \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\bar{B}$ is regular, for $0<\theta<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(B_{\theta}\right)^{*}=\left(B_{0}^{*}, B_{1}^{*}\right)^{\theta} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now define maps $\widetilde{\rho}: \mathcal{G}\left(A_{0}, A_{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}\left(B_{0}^{*}, B_{1}^{*}\right)$ and $R: Q \mathcal{G}\left(A_{0}, A_{1}\right) \rightarrow$ $Q \mathcal{G}\left(B_{0}^{*}, B_{1}^{*}\right)$. Let $\rho$ be the canonical isometry $A_{0}+A_{1} \rightarrow\left(A_{0}+A_{1}\right)^{* *}$. By (7), $\rho$ is also an isometry $A_{0}+A_{1} \rightarrow B_{0}^{*}+B_{1}^{*}$. Since $A_{j}, j \in\{0,1\}$, embeds in $A_{0}+A_{1}$, for $a_{j} \in A_{j}, \rho\left(a_{j}\right)$ is well defined as a continuous linear form on $B_{0} \cap B_{1}=A_{0}^{*} \cap A_{1}^{*}$.

Let $i_{j}: B_{j} \rightarrow A_{j}^{*}$ be the canonical isometry and let $i_{j}^{*}: A_{j}^{* *} \rightarrow B_{j}^{*}$ be the conjugate onto contraction (which is not one to one in general). Note that $B_{j}^{*}$ embeds in $B_{0}^{*}+B_{1}^{*}$. If $a_{j} \in A_{j}, i_{j}^{*}\left(a_{j}\right)=\rho\left(a_{j}\right)$ is in $B_{0}^{*}+B_{1}^{*}$ (in particular $i_{j}^{*}$ is one
to one on $A_{j}$ ), hence $\rho$ is also a one to one contraction $A_{j} \rightarrow B_{j}^{*}$. Consequently the map $g(z) \rightarrow \rho(g(z))$ defines a one to one map $\widetilde{\rho}: \mathcal{G}\left(A_{0}, A_{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathcal{G}\left(B_{0}^{*}, B_{1}^{*}\right)$ and a one to one contraction $R: Q \mathcal{G}\left(A_{0}, A_{1}\right) \rightarrow Q \mathcal{G}\left(B_{0}^{*}, B_{1}^{*}\right)$. We shall see in Lemma 6 below that $R$ induces a one to one contraction $R^{\theta}: A^{\theta} \rightarrow\left(B_{0}^{*}, B_{1}^{*}\right)^{\theta}$, $0<\theta<1$.

## 2. Properties of $g^{\prime}(\theta+i \cdot), g \in \mathcal{G}\left(C_{0}, C_{1}\right)$; the map $R^{\theta}$

We first collect some basic properties.
Lemma 1. Let $\bar{C}=\left(C_{0}, C_{1}\right)$ be an interpolation couple.
a) Let $f \in \mathcal{F}(\bar{C})$. Then, for every $\theta \in(0,1), \tau \in \mathbb{R}$, we have that $\| f(\theta+$ $i \tau)\left\|_{C_{\theta}} \leq\right\| f \|_{\mathcal{F}(\bar{C})}$ and $f(\theta+i \cdot): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow C_{\theta}$ is continuous.
b) If moreover $f(\beta+i \cdot)$ lies in $\mathcal{C}_{0}\left(\mathbb{R}, C_{\beta}\right)$ and $f(\gamma+i \cdot)$ in $\mathcal{C}_{0}\left(\mathbb{R}, C_{\gamma}\right)$ for some $\beta, \gamma \in[0,1]$, then the map $F: z \rightarrow f((\gamma-\beta) z+\beta)$ belongs to $\mathcal{F}\left(C_{\beta}, C_{\gamma}\right)$, with norm less than $\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}(\bar{C})}$.
c) Let $G \in \mathcal{G}(\bar{C})$ be such that $G\left(j+i\right.$.) is valued in $C_{j}, j \in\{0,1\}$. Let $\delta \in(0,1]$. Then the map $f_{\delta}(z)=e^{\delta z^{2}} G(z), z \in S$, lies in $\mathcal{F}(\bar{C})$. In particular, for every $\theta \in(0,1), G(\theta+i \cdot): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow C_{\theta}$ is continuous.

Proof: a) Since $\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}(\bar{C})}=\left\|f_{\tau}\right\|_{\mathcal{F}(\bar{C})}$ for every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, the first assertion follows from the definition of $C_{\theta}$. By (3), for $\tau, \tau^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$
\left\|f_{\tau}(\theta)-f_{\tau^{\prime}}(\theta)\right\|_{C_{\theta}} \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left\|f_{\tau}(i t)-f_{\tau^{\prime}}(i t)\right\|_{C_{0}} \frac{Q_{0}(\theta, t)}{1-\theta} d t\right)^{1-\theta}\left(2\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}(\bar{C})}\right)^{\theta}
$$

Since functions in $\mathcal{C}_{0}\left(\mathbb{R}, C_{0}\right)$ are uniformly continuous, this implies the (uniform) continuity of $f(\theta+i \cdot): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow C_{\theta}$.
b) The function $F$ has on $S^{0}$ the integral representation, with values in $C_{0}+C_{1}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(z)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} F(i \tau) Q_{0}(z, \tau) d \tau+\int_{\mathbb{R}} F(1+i \tau) Q_{1}(z, \tau) d \tau \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, since $F(j+i \cdot)$ lies in $\mathcal{C}_{0}\left(\mathbb{R}, C_{0}+C_{1}\right)$, the RHS of (10) is well defined, harmonic, bounded: $S^{0} \rightarrow C_{0}+C_{1}$ and extends as a continuous function: $S \rightarrow$ $C_{0}+C_{1}$ (by conformal mapping this follows from the well known analogous result on the unit disk). It coincides with $F$ on the boundary of $S$, hence on $S^{0}$ since $F: S^{0} \rightarrow C_{0}+C_{1}$ is holomorphic (harmonic). Since $F(i \cdot)$ lies in $\mathcal{C}_{0}\left(\mathbb{R}, C_{\beta}\right)$ and $F(1+i \cdot)$ in $\mathcal{C}_{0}\left(\mathbb{R}, C_{\gamma}\right)$, with norm less than $\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}(\bar{C})}$, the RHS of (10) lies in $C_{\beta}+C_{\gamma}$, with norm less than $\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}(\bar{C})}$ and, as before, extends as a bounded continuous function: $S \rightarrow C_{\beta}+C_{\gamma}$.

Let us verify that $F: S^{0} \rightarrow C_{\beta}+C_{\gamma}$ is holomorphic. More generally, if a function $F: S^{0} \rightarrow X$ is holomorphic, bounded by $K$ as mapping: $S^{0} \rightarrow Y$ where $Y$ continuously embeds in $X$, then $F: S^{0} \rightarrow Y$ is holomorphic. Indeed let $\bar{D}\left(z_{0}, r\right) \subset S^{0}$ be a closed disk, with $0<r<1$. Since $F$ is holomorphic with
values in $X$, we have $F(z)=\sum_{k \geq 0} c_{k}\left(z-z_{0}\right)^{k}$ in $X$ for $z \in D\left(z_{0}, r\right)$. Since

$$
\left\|c_{k}\right\|_{Y}=\left\|\int_{0}^{2 \pi} F\left(z_{0}+r e^{i t}\right) e^{-i k t} \frac{d t}{2 \pi}\right\|_{Y} \leq K
$$

the series converges normally in $Y$ on $\bar{D}\left(z_{0}, r\right)$, hence its sum $F: D\left(z_{0}, r\right) \rightarrow Y$ is holomorphic. Taking $Y=C_{\beta}+C_{\gamma}, X=C_{0}+C_{1}, K=\|f\|_{\mathcal{F}(\bar{C})}$ ends the verification.
c) In order to show that $f_{\delta}$ lies in $\mathcal{F}(\bar{C})$ we only have to verify that $f_{\delta}(j+i \cdot)$ lies in $\mathcal{C}_{0}\left(\mathbb{R}, C_{j}\right), j \in\{0,1\}$, and that $f_{\delta}: S \rightarrow C_{0}+C_{1}$ is bounded. By assumption $G(j+i \cdot)$ is valued and Lipschitz in $C_{j}$, hence continuous: $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow C_{j}$. Moreover

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f_{\delta}(j+i \tau)\right\|_{C_{j}} & \leq e^{1-\tau^{2}}\left(\|G(j+i \tau)-G(j)\|_{C_{j}}+\|G(j)\|_{C_{j}}\right) \\
& \leq e^{1-\tau^{2}}\left(|\tau|\|G \cdot\|_{Q \mathcal{G}(\bar{C})}+\|G(j)\|_{C_{j}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves the first assertion. Condition (C) gives the desired boundedness since, for $z=\theta+i \tau \in S$,

$$
\left\|f_{\delta}(\theta+i \tau)\right\|_{C_{0}+C_{1}} \leq K(G) e^{1-\tau^{2}}\left(1+\sqrt{1+\tau^{2}}\right)
$$

By a), $f_{\delta}(\theta+i \cdot): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow C_{\theta}$ is continuous, hence so is $G(\theta+i \cdot)$.
Lemma 2. Let $\bar{C}=\left(C_{0}, C_{1}\right)$ be an interpolation couple and let $g \in \mathcal{G}(\bar{C})$. Let $F_{h}(z)=\frac{1}{h}[g(z+i h)-g(z)], z \in S^{0}$ and $h \neq 0$. Then, for every $0<\theta<1$, for every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$,
i) in $C_{0}+C_{1}$, one has that

$$
\begin{equation*}
h F_{h}(\theta+i \tau)=g(\theta+i \tau+i h)-g(\theta+i \tau)=i \int_{\tau}^{\tau+h} g^{\prime}(\theta+i t) d t \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$ and letting $n$ be in $\mathbb{N}^{*}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\frac{1}{n}}(\theta+i \tau) \rightarrow_{n} i g^{\prime}(\theta+i \tau) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

ii) $F_{h}(\theta+i \cdot): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow C_{\theta}$ is continuous (hence (11) holds in $C_{\theta}$ ) and is bounded by $\|g \cdot\|_{Q \mathcal{G}}(\bar{C})$.
iii) $\left\|g^{\prime}(\theta+i \tau)\right\|_{C^{\theta}} \leq\left\|g^{\cdot}\right\|_{Q \mathcal{G}(\bar{C})}$.

Note that in general the map $g^{\prime}(\theta+i \cdot): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow C^{\theta}$ is not strongly measurable.
Proof: i) The function $g: S^{0} \rightarrow C_{0}+C_{1}$ is holomorphic, which implies (11) and the continuity of $t \rightarrow g^{\prime}(\theta+i t): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow C_{0}+C_{1}$, hence (12).
ii) The map $F_{h}$ lies in $\mathcal{G}(\bar{C})$; on $\operatorname{Re} z=j$ its values in $C_{j}$ are bounded by $\|g \cdot\|_{Q \mathcal{G}(\bar{C})}, j \in\{0,1\}$. Lemma 1 c) applied to $G=F_{h}$ gives the first assertion.

Let $f_{h, \delta}(z)=e^{\delta z^{2}} F_{h}(z), z \in S, \delta>0$. By Lemma 1 c) again

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|F_{h}(\theta)\right\|_{C_{\theta}} & =\left\|e^{-\delta \theta^{2}} f_{h, \delta}(\theta)\right\|_{C_{\theta}} \leq\left\|f_{h, \delta}\right\|_{\mathcal{F}(\bar{C})} \\
& \leq \max \left(\sup _{\tau \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|F_{h}(i t)\right\|_{C_{0}}, e^{\delta} \sup _{\tau \in \mathbb{R}}\left\|F_{h}(1+i t)\right\|_{C_{1}}\right)  \tag{13}\\
& \leq e^{\delta}\left\|g^{\cdot}\right\|_{Q \mathcal{G}(\bar{C})}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $g_{\tau}(z)=g(z+i \tau)$, so that $\left\|g_{\tau}\right\|_{Q \mathcal{G}(\bar{C})}=\|g \cdot\|_{Q \mathcal{G}(\bar{C})}$, and $\left(g_{\tau}(z+i h)-g_{\tau}(z)\right) / h=$ $F_{h}(z+i \tau)$. By (13) applied to $g_{\tau}$ we get

$$
\left\|F_{h}(\theta+i \tau)\right\|_{C_{\theta}} \leq e^{\delta}\|g \cdot\|_{Q \mathcal{G}(\bar{C})}
$$

Taking $\delta \rightarrow 0$ ends the proof.
iii) Keeping the notation of ii), by definition,

$$
\left\|g^{\prime}(\theta+i t)\right\|_{C^{\theta}} \leq\left\|g_{\dot{t}}\right\|_{Q \mathcal{G}(\bar{C})}=\left\|g^{\cdot}\right\|_{Q \mathcal{G}(\bar{C})}
$$

Lemma 3. Let $\bar{A}$ be a regular interpolation couple.
a) Every $x^{*}$ in the unit ball of $\left(A_{\theta}\right)^{*}, 0<\theta<1$, is $w^{*}$-limit of a sequence in the unit ball of $\left(A_{0}^{*}, A_{1}^{*}\right)_{\theta}$.
b) Let $g \in \mathcal{G}(\bar{A})$ and assume that, for some $\beta \in(0,1)$, for every $t \in \mathbb{R}, g^{\prime}(\beta+$ it) $\in A_{\beta}$. Then, for every $x^{*} \in\left(A_{\beta}\right)^{*},\left\langle g^{\prime}(\beta+i \cdot), x^{*}\right\rangle$ lies in $\mathcal{B}_{1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$. In particular the function $g^{\prime}(\beta+i \cdot): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow A_{\beta}$ is weakly measurable.

Proof: a) Let $x^{*}$ be in the open unit ball of $\left(A_{\theta}\right)^{*}=\left(A_{0}^{*}, A_{1}^{*}\right)^{\theta}$ and let $h \in$ $\mathcal{G}\left(A_{0}^{*}, A_{1}^{*}\right)$ be such that $h^{\prime}(\theta)=x^{*}$ and $\|h \cdot\|_{Q \mathcal{G}\left(A_{0}^{*}, A_{1}^{*}\right)} \leq 1$. Let $H_{1 / n}$ be associated to $h$ as in Lemma 2. By Lemma 2 ii), i), the sequence $\left(H_{1 / n}(\theta)\right)_{n}$ lies in the closed unit ball of $\left(A_{0}^{*}, A_{1}^{*}\right)_{\theta}$, hence of $\left(A_{0}^{*}, A_{1}^{*}\right)^{\theta}$ and converges to $h^{\prime}(\theta)$ in $A_{0}^{*}+A_{1}^{*}$, hence $w^{*}$ on $A_{0} \cap A_{1}$. Since $A_{0} \cap A_{1}$ is dense in $A_{\theta},\left(H_{1 / n}(\theta)\right)_{n}$ converges $w^{*}$ in $\left(A_{\theta}\right)^{*}$ to $h^{\prime}(\theta)=x^{*}$.
b) The map $\phi_{\beta}=g^{\prime}(\beta+i \cdot): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow A_{0}+A_{1}$ is continuous, bounded: $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow A^{\beta}$ by Lemma 2 iii), hence by assumption it is bounded: $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow A_{\beta}$. Hence $\left\langle\phi_{\beta}(),. a^{*}\right\rangle$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}$ for every $a^{*} \in A_{0}^{*} \cap A_{1}^{*}$ and even for every $a^{*} \in\left(A_{0}^{*}, A_{1}^{*}\right)_{\beta}$, since $\left(A_{0}^{*}, A_{1}^{*}\right)_{\beta}$ is the closure of $A_{0}^{*} \cap A_{1}^{*}$ in $\left(A_{\beta}\right)^{*}=\left(A_{0}^{*}, A_{1}^{*}\right)^{\beta}$. Let $x^{*}$ be in the open unit ball of $\left(A_{\beta}\right)^{*}$. By a) there exists a sequence $\left(b_{n}^{*}\right)_{n}$ in the unit ball of $\left(A_{0}^{*}, A_{1}^{*}\right)_{\beta}$ such that

$$
\forall t \in \mathbb{R} \quad\left\langle\phi_{\beta}(t), b_{n}^{*}\right\rangle \underset{n}{\rightarrow}\left\langle\phi_{\beta}(t), x^{*}\right\rangle .
$$

The functions $\left\langle\phi_{\beta}(),. b_{n}^{*}\right\rangle$ are continuous and uniformly bounded on $\mathbb{R}$, hence $\left\langle\phi_{\beta}(),. x^{*}\right\rangle$ belongs to $\mathcal{B}_{1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$.
Lemma 4. Let $\bar{C}$ be an interpolation couple, $g \in \mathcal{G}(\bar{C})$, let $F_{\frac{1}{n}}$ be associated to $g$ as in Lemma 2, $0<\beta<1$. Let us consider the following properties:
a) for almost every $\tau$ the sequence $\left(F_{\frac{1}{n}}(\beta+i \tau)\right)$ converges in $C_{\beta}$,
b) $g^{\prime}(\beta+i \cdot): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow C_{\beta}$ is strongly measurable,
c) there is a closed separable subspace $E$ of $C_{\beta}$ such that $g^{\prime}(\beta+i t) \in E$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Then $b) \Leftrightarrow$ a). If $\bar{C}$ is a regular couple, then $c) \Rightarrow b$ ).
Let a'), b') be analogous to a), b) with $C^{\beta}$ instead of $C_{\beta}$. Then we have that $\left.\left.\left.b^{\prime}\right) \Leftrightarrow b\right) \Leftrightarrow a^{\prime}\right) \Leftrightarrow a$ ).

Comments. We shall prove in Theorem 5 that a) implies c) if $\bar{C}$ is regular.
The sequence $\left(F_{\frac{1}{n}}(\beta+i \tau)\right)$ always lies in $C_{\beta}$ by Lemma 2 ii$)$. Condition b) obviously implies that $g^{\prime}(\beta+i \cdot)$ is a.s. valued in a closed separable subspace $E$ of $C_{\beta}$, but b$\left.) \Rightarrow \mathrm{c}\right)$ is less obvious. In the proof of c$) \Rightarrow \mathrm{b}$ ) we actually use that $g^{\prime}(\beta+i t) \in C_{\beta}$ for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $g^{\prime}(\beta+i \cdot)$ is a.s. valued in a closed separable subspace of $C_{\beta}$. In the appendix we shall remove the regularity assumption in c) $\Rightarrow \mathrm{b}$ ) and the same proof will give $\left.\mathrm{c}^{\prime}\right) \Rightarrow \mathrm{b}^{\prime}$ ), where in $\left.\mathrm{c}^{\prime}\right) F$ is a closed subspace of $C^{\beta}$.

Proof: b) $\Rightarrow b^{\prime}$ ) and a$) \Rightarrow \mathrm{a}^{\prime}$ ) are obvious.
$\left.\left.\mathrm{b}^{\prime}\right) \Rightarrow \mathrm{a}\right)$ : By Lemma 2 iii$), \phi_{\beta}=g^{\prime}(\beta+i \cdot)$ is uniformly bounded in $C^{\beta}$. Hence, by assumption, $\phi_{\beta}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow C^{\beta}$ is locally Bochner integrable. By the Lebesgue differentiation theorem [DU, Chapter II, Theorem 9, p. 49] in $C^{\beta}$,

$$
\lim _{n} n \int_{\tau}^{\tau+\frac{1}{n}} \phi_{\beta}(t) d t=\phi_{\beta}(\tau), \quad \text { a.s. in } \tau
$$

By Lemma 2 i) and ii), the integral lies in $C_{\beta}$ for every $\tau$ and coincides with $-\frac{i}{n} F_{\frac{1}{n}}(\beta+i \tau)$. Since $C_{\beta}$ is closed in $C^{\beta}$, the limit holds in $C_{\beta}$, implying a).
a) $\Rightarrow$ b): The a.s. limit coincides a.s. with $i g^{\prime}(\beta+i \cdot)$ by (12). By Lemma 2 ii), $F_{\frac{1}{n}}(\beta+i \cdot): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow C_{\beta}$ is continuous, hence the a.s. limit is strongly measurable: $\mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow C_{\beta}$. The same argument shows that $\left.\left.\mathrm{a}^{\prime}\right) \Rightarrow \mathrm{b}^{\prime}\right)$.
c) $\Rightarrow \mathrm{b})$ : By assumption and Lemma 3 the map $g^{\prime}(\beta+i \cdot): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow C_{\beta}$ is weakly measurable and a.s. valued in a closed separable subspace of $C_{\beta}$. By Pettis' theorem [DU, Chapter II, p. 42] it is strongly measurable.

By the equivalence $a) \Leftrightarrow b$ ) in Lemma 4, the next theorem was proved in [Da3], in a more intricate way. The proof below closely follows the proof of [BL, Lemma 4.3.3].

Theorem 5. Let $\beta \in(0,1)$. Let $\bar{A}$ be a regular interpolation couple.
a) Let $g \in \mathcal{G}(\bar{A})$, let $F_{\frac{1}{n}}$ be associated to $g$ as in Lemma 2. Assume that for almost every $\tau$, the sequence $\left(F_{\frac{1}{n}}(\beta+i \tau)\right)_{n}$, which is valued in $A_{\beta}$ by Lemma 2 ii), converges in $A_{\beta}$ (necessarily to $i g^{\prime}(\beta+i \tau)$ by Lemma $\left.2 i\right)$ ). Then, for every $\theta \in(0,1)$ and every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$, the sequence $\left(F_{\frac{1}{n}}(\theta+i \tau)\right)_{n}$ converges in $A_{\theta}$ (necessarily to $i g^{\prime}(\theta+i \tau)$, which thus lies in $\left.A_{\theta}\right)$. Moreover $g^{\prime}(\theta+i \cdot)$ is valued in a closed separable subspace of $A_{\theta}$.
b) If the assumption of a) holds for every $g \in \mathcal{G}(\bar{A})$, then $A_{\theta}=A^{\theta}$ for every $\theta \in(0,1)$.

Proof: a) By Lemma 2 ii), the sequence $\left(F_{\frac{1}{n}}(\beta+i \cdot)\right)_{n}$ is uniformly bounded by $\|g \cdot\|_{Q \mathcal{G}(\bar{A})}$ and it is continuous: $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow A_{\beta}$. Let $f_{\frac{1}{n}}(z)=e^{z^{2}} F_{\frac{1}{n}}(z)$. Then $f_{\frac{1}{n}}(\beta+i \cdot)=e^{(\beta+i \cdot)^{2}} F_{\frac{1}{n}}(\beta+i \cdot)$ lies in $\mathcal{C}_{0}\left(\mathbb{R}, A_{\beta}\right)$. Let $\gamma \in\{0,1\}$. By Lemma 1, $f_{\frac{1}{n}}((\gamma-\beta) z+\beta)$ lies in $\mathcal{F}\left(A_{\beta}, A_{\gamma}\right)$, with norm less than $e\|g \cdot\|_{Q \mathcal{G}(\bar{A})}$. By (3) applied in $\mathcal{F}\left(A_{\beta}, A_{\gamma}\right)$, for $\eta \in(0,1)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|\left(f_{\frac{1}{n}}-f_{\frac{1}{m}}^{m}\right)((\gamma-\beta) \eta+\beta)\right\|_{\left(A_{\beta}, A_{\gamma}\right)_{\eta}} \\
& \quad \leq\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}}\left\|\left(f_{\frac{1}{n}}-f_{\frac{1}{m}}\right)((\gamma-\beta) i t+\beta)\right\|_{A_{\beta}} \frac{Q_{0}(\eta, t)}{1-\eta} d t\right)^{1-\eta}\left(2 e\|g\|_{Q \mathcal{G}(\bar{A})}\right)^{\eta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

By the assumption and Lebesgue's convergence theorem the above integral tends to 0 as $n, m \rightarrow \infty$, hence so does the LHS. Let $\theta=(1-\eta) \beta+\eta \gamma \in(\beta, \gamma)$ (so $\theta$ runs through $(0, \beta) \cup(\beta, 1))$. By the reiteration theorem [BL, Theorem 4.6.1] $\left(A_{\beta}, A_{\gamma}\right)_{\eta}=A_{\theta}$, and the LHS is $e^{\theta^{2}}\left\|\left(F_{\frac{1}{n}}-F_{\frac{1}{m}}\right)(\theta)\right\|_{A_{\theta}}$. Hence $\left(F_{\frac{1}{n}}(\theta)\right)_{n}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $A_{\theta}$, so it converges in $A_{\theta}$, to $i g^{\prime}(\theta)$ by Lemma 2 i). Applying this to $g_{\tau}, \tau \in \mathbb{R}$, instead of $g$, one gets $F_{\frac{1}{n}}(\theta+i \tau) \rightarrow i g^{\prime}(\theta+i \tau)$ in $A_{\theta}$. In particular the assumption of a) also holds at $\theta$ instead of $\beta$. Since $F_{\frac{1}{n}}(\theta+i \cdot): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow A_{\theta}$ is continuous by Lemma 2 ii), it takes values in a closed separable subspace $E_{n}$ of $A_{\theta}$ and $g^{\prime}(\theta+i \cdot)$ is valued in the (separable) closure of $\cup_{n} E_{n}$ in $A_{\theta}$. This proves a) for $\theta \neq \beta$. Since the assumption of a) holds at $\theta$, the conclusion also holds at $\beta$.
b) is obvious from a).

Lemma 6. Let $\bar{A}$ be a regular interpolation couple. Then the mapping $R$ : $Q \mathcal{G}\left(A_{0}, A_{1}\right) \rightarrow Q \mathcal{G}\left(B_{0}^{*}, B_{1}^{*}\right)$ (defined in part 1) induces a one to one contraction $R^{\theta}: A^{\theta} \rightarrow\left(B_{0}^{*}, B_{1}^{*}\right)^{\theta}$, for $\theta \in(0,1)$.
Proof: We identify $A^{\theta}$ and $\left(B_{0}^{*}, B_{1}^{*}\right)^{\theta}$ with quotients of

$$
Q \mathcal{G}\left(A_{0}, A_{1}\right) \text { and } Q \mathcal{G}\left(B_{0}^{*}, B_{1}^{*}\right)
$$

respectively. We define $R^{\theta}$ by $R^{\theta}\left(g^{\prime}(\theta)\right)=\left(R\left(g^{*}\right)\right)^{\prime}(\theta)$. Since $R$ is a contraction: $Q \mathcal{G}\left(A_{0}, A_{1}\right) \rightarrow Q \mathcal{G}\left(B_{0}^{*}, B_{1}^{*}\right), R^{\theta}$ is a contraction: $A^{\theta} \rightarrow\left(B_{0}^{*}, B_{1}^{*}\right)^{\theta}$. Let us verify that it is one to one. For $a \in A^{\theta}$ and $b \in B_{0} \cap B_{1}=A_{0}^{*} \cap A_{1}^{*}=\left(A_{0}+A_{1}\right)^{*}$, we have

$$
\left\langle R^{\theta}(a), b\right\rangle=\langle a, b\rangle .
$$

If $R^{\theta}(a)=0$ in $\left(B_{0}^{*}, B_{1}^{*}\right)^{\theta}=\left(B_{\theta}\right)^{*}$, then $\langle a, b\rangle=0$ for every $b$ as above, thus $a=0$ in $A_{0}+A_{1}$, hence in $A^{\theta}$.

We denote by $\bar{A}^{\theta}$ the norm closure of $R^{\theta}\left(A^{\theta}\right)$ in $\left(B_{0}^{*}, B_{1}^{*}\right)^{\theta}$. Note that $\bar{A}^{\theta}$ embeds in $A_{0}+A_{1}$ since $A^{\theta}$ does, and $\left(B_{0}^{*}, B_{1}^{*}\right)^{\theta}$ embeds in $B_{0}^{*}+B_{1}^{*}=\left(A_{0}+A_{1}\right)^{* *}$. Thus $A_{0}^{*} \cap A_{1}^{*}$ is a subspace of $\left(\bar{A}^{\theta}\right)^{*}$.

Let $\sigma_{\theta}: \bar{A}^{\theta} \rightarrow\left(B_{0}^{*}, B_{1}^{*}\right)^{\theta}=\left(B_{\theta}\right)^{*}$ be the isometric inclusion map. Its adjoint is onto, i.e. $\left(\bar{A}^{\theta}\right)^{*}=\sigma_{\theta}^{*}\left[\left(B_{\theta}\right)^{* *}\right]$. Let $U$, respectively $U_{0}$, be the unit balls of $\left(\bar{A}^{\theta}\right)^{*}$, respectively $B_{\theta}$. Since $B_{0} \cap B_{1}$ is dense in $B_{\theta}$, it follows that $\sigma_{\theta}^{*}\left(U_{0} \cap\left(B_{0} \cap B_{1}\right)\right)$ is $w^{*}$-dense in $U$. Since $\sigma_{\theta}^{*}$ coincides with the identity on $B_{0} \cap B_{1}=A_{0}^{*} \cap A_{1}^{*}$, we get that

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{0} \cap\left(A_{0}^{*} \cap A_{1}^{*}\right) \text { is } w^{*} \text { dense in } U \subset\left(\bar{A}^{\theta}\right)^{*} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 7. Let $\bar{A}$ be a regular interpolation couple. For every $\theta \in(0,1), R^{\theta}$ : $A_{\theta} \rightarrow\left(B_{0}^{*}, B_{1}^{*}\right)^{\theta}=\left[\left(A_{0}^{*}, A_{1}^{*}\right)_{\theta}\right]^{*}$ is an isometry. In particular $A_{\theta}$ is closed in $\bar{A}^{\theta}$.
Proof: By Lemma 3 the unit ball of $\left(A_{0}^{*}, A_{1}^{*}\right)_{\theta}=B_{\theta}$ is $w^{*}$-dense in the unit ball of $\left(A_{\theta}\right)^{*}$. Hence, for $a \in A_{0} \cap A_{1}$,

$$
\|a\|_{A_{\theta}}=\sup \left\{|\langle a, b\rangle| \mid\|b\|_{B_{\theta}} \leq 1\right\}=\left\|R^{\theta}(a)\right\|_{\left(B_{\theta}\right)^{*}} .
$$

Comment. Though we shall not use it, note that by Lemma $7, B_{\theta}$ may be isometrically identified with a (closed) subspace of $\left(\bar{A}^{\theta}\right)^{*}$, hence, with the notation of (14), $U_{0} \cap\left(A_{0}^{*} \cap A_{1}^{*}\right)=U \cap\left(A_{0}^{*} \cap A_{1}^{*}\right)$. Indeed, for $b \in B_{0} \cap B_{1}$, by (8) for the first equality and Lemma 7 for the first inequality,

$$
\|b\|_{B_{\theta}}=\|b\|_{\left(A_{\theta}\right)^{*}} \leq\|b\|_{\left(\bar{A}^{\theta}\right)^{*}} \leq\|b\|_{\left(B_{\theta}\right)^{* *}}=\|b\|_{B_{\theta}}
$$

Remark 8. Let $g \in \mathcal{G}(\bar{A})$ and let $F_{\frac{1}{n}}$ be associated to $g$ as in Lemma 2. Then, for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $b \in\left(A_{0}^{*}, A_{1}^{*}\right)_{\theta}=\stackrel{\stackrel{n}{B}}{\theta}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle F_{\frac{1}{n}}(\theta+i t), b\right\rangle \rightarrow_{n} i\left\langle R^{\theta} \circ g^{\prime}(\theta+i t), b\right\rangle . \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular the RHS of (15) lies in $\mathcal{B}_{1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$.
Indeed, by (12), (15) holds for every $t \in \mathbb{R}, a^{*} \in A_{0}^{*} \cap A_{1}^{*}$. By Lemma 2 ii) and Lemma 7, $\left\|F_{\frac{1}{n}}(\theta+i t)\right\|_{\left(B_{\theta}\right)^{*}} \leq\|g \cdot\|_{Q \mathcal{G}(\bar{C})}$. By Lemma 6 and Lemma 2 iii)

$$
\left\|R^{\theta} \circ g^{\prime}(\theta+i t)\right\|_{\left(B_{\theta}\right)^{*}} \leq\left\|g^{\prime}(\theta+i t)\right\|_{A^{\theta}} \leq\left\|g^{\cdot}\right\|_{Q \mathcal{G}(\bar{C})}
$$

Then a $3 \varepsilon$ argument proves the first claim since $A_{0}^{*} \cap A_{1}^{*}$ is norm dense in $B_{\theta}$. Lemma 2 ii) proves the second claim.
Lemma 9. Let $\bar{A}$ be a regular interpolation couple and let $g \in \mathcal{G}(\bar{A})$. If, for some $\beta, R^{\beta} \circ \phi_{\beta}=R^{\beta} \circ g^{\prime}(\beta+i \cdot): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \bar{A}^{\beta}$ is strongly measurable:, then $\phi_{\beta}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow A_{\beta}$ is strongly measurable.

Proof: It is similar to the proof of $\left.\mathrm{b}^{\prime}\right) \Rightarrow$ a) in Lemma 4, replacing $A^{\beta}$ by $\bar{A}^{\beta}$, since $A_{\beta}$ is closed in $\bar{A}^{\beta}$ by Lemma 7 .

The following lemma completes Lemma 9.

Lemma 10. a) Let $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X^{*}$ be a strongly measurable function such that for every $x \in X,\langle\varphi(), x\rangle=$.0 a.s.. Then $\varphi=0$ a.s..
b) In particular, let $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \bar{A}^{\beta}$ be a strongly measurable function and $g \in \mathcal{G}(\bar{A})$. Then $R^{\beta} \circ \phi_{\beta}=\varphi$ a.s. as soon as, for every $a^{*} \in A_{0}^{*} \cap A_{1}^{*}$, $\left\langle\varphi(),. a^{*}\right\rangle=\left\langle R^{\beta} \circ \phi_{\beta}(),. a^{*}\right\rangle$ a.s.
Proof: a) Since $\varphi$ is strongly measurable, $\varphi$ is a.s. valued in a closed separable subspace $E \subset X^{*}$. Then the closed unit ball of $E^{*}=X^{* *} / E^{\perp}$, being compact and metrizable for its $w^{*}$-topology, is separable for this topology. Hence there exists a countable set $\left(x_{k}\right)$ in the unit ball of $X$ whose image is $w^{*}$-dense in $X^{*}$. By assumption, a.s. in $t,\left\langle\varphi(t), x_{k}\right\rangle=0$ for every $k$. For such a $t, \varphi(t)=0$.
b) Since $R^{\beta}$ and the canonical map $\left(B_{0}^{*}, B_{1}^{*}\right)^{\beta} \rightarrow B_{0}^{*}+B_{1}^{*}$ are one to one, it is enough to show that $R^{\beta} \circ \phi_{\beta}=\varphi$ a.s. as functions with values in $B_{0}^{*}+B_{1}^{*}$. Note that $R^{\beta} \circ \phi_{\beta}=\phi_{\beta}$ is continuous: $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow B_{0}^{*}+B_{1}^{*}=\left(B_{0} \cap B_{1}\right)^{*}=\left(A_{0}+A_{1}\right)^{* *}$ (see (7)). The claim follows from the assumption and from a) applied to $X=$ $B_{0} \cap B_{1}=A_{0}^{*} \cap A_{1}^{*}$ and $R^{\beta} \circ \phi_{\beta}-\varphi$.

## 3. Conditions implying $A^{\theta}=A_{\theta}$ for every $\theta$

Proposition 11. Let $\bar{A}$ be a regular interpolation couple. Assume that $A_{\beta}$ has the Radon-Nikodym property [DU] for some $0<\beta<1$. Then $A^{\theta}=A_{\theta}$ for every $0<\theta<1$.

Proof: Since $A_{\beta}$ has the Radon-Nikodym property, Lipschitz maps: $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow A_{\beta}$ are a.s. differentiable [DU, Chapter IV, Theorem 2, p. 107]. Actually, the proof does not use the fact that the Lipschitz map $f$ under consideration is valued in a Radon-Nikodym space, but only that the differences $f(b)-f(a)$ are, for every $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$. So, for $g \in \mathcal{G}(\bar{A})$, by Lemma 2 ii), we may apply this result to $g(\beta+i \cdot)$ : it is a.s. differentiable: $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow A_{\beta}$. The conclusion follows from Theorem 5 .

Comment. Actually, for any interpolation couple $\bar{C}$ and $g \in \mathcal{G}(\bar{C})$, there exists $c \in$ $C_{0}+C_{1}$ such that $g(j+i t)+c$ lies in $C_{j}, j \in\{0,1\}, t \in \mathbb{R}$, which, by Lemma 1 c ), implies that $(g+c)(\theta+i \cdot)$ is valued in $C_{\theta}$. Indeed, let $g(1)-g(0)=c_{0}+c_{1}$, where $c_{j} \in C_{j}$ and where $\left\|c_{0}\right\|_{C_{0}}+\left\|c_{1}\right\|_{A_{1}} \leq\|g(1)-g(0)\|_{C_{0}+C_{1}}+\|g \cdot\|_{Q \mathcal{G}(\bar{C})}$. By (1), $\|g(1)-g(0)\|_{C_{0}+C_{1}} \leq\|g \cdot\|_{Q \mathcal{G}(\bar{C})}$, so that $\left\|c_{0}\right\|_{C_{0}}+\left\|c_{1}\right\|_{C_{1}} \leq 2\|g \cdot\|_{Q \mathcal{G}(\bar{C})}$, and we then let

$$
c=-g(0)-c_{0}=c_{1}-g(1)
$$

Theorem 12. Let $\bar{A}$ be a regular interpolation couple. Assume that, for some $\beta \in(0,1)$,

1) $A_{\beta}$ is weakly sequentially complete,
2) $\left(A_{0}^{*}, A_{1}^{*}\right)^{\beta}=\left(A_{0}^{*}, A_{1}^{*}\right)_{\beta}$.

Then $A^{\theta}=A_{\theta}$, for every $\theta \in(0,1)$.
Proof: Let $g \in \mathcal{G}(\bar{A})$. We claim that $g^{\prime}(\beta+i \cdot)$ is valued in a closed separable subspace of $A_{\beta}$. Indeed by Lemma 2 ii), the associated function $F_{1 / n}(\beta+i \cdot): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow$
$A_{\beta}$ is bounded and continuous, hence valued in a separable subspace $E_{n}$ of $A_{\beta}$. By Remark 8 , for every $t \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a^{*} \in\left(A_{0}^{*}, A_{1}^{*}\right)_{\beta}$, the sequence $\left(\left(F_{1 / n}(\beta+i t), a^{*}\right)\right)_{n}$ is Cauchy. By assumption 2), $\left(A_{0}^{*}, A_{1}^{*}\right)_{\beta}=\left(A_{\beta}\right)^{*}$. So, for every $t \in \mathbb{R},\left(F_{1 / n}(\beta+\right.$ $i t))_{n}$ is weak Cauchy in $A_{\beta}$, hence in $E$, the norm closure of $\cup_{n} E_{n}$ in $A_{\beta}$. By assumption 1) it converges weakly in $E$. Since the canonical map $A_{\beta} \rightarrow A_{0}+A_{1}$ is one to one, the limit point is $i g^{\prime}(\beta+i t)$, which thus lies in the separable space $E$. Then Lemma $4, \mathrm{c}) \Rightarrow$ a) and Theorem 5 end the proof.

In [Da1] we showed that if $A^{\beta}$ is a weakly compactly generated Banach space (in short WCG, see [DU, Chapter VIII, p. 251]) for some $\beta \in(0,1)$, then $A^{\theta}=A_{\theta}$, for every $\theta \in(0,1)$. The next theorem weakens the assumption. Two properties of a WCG space $X$ will be used:
$\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}\right)$ if a convex set $Z$ is $w^{*}$-dense in the unit ball $B_{X^{*}}$, then every $x^{*} \in B_{X^{*}}$ is the $w^{*}$-limit of a sequence in $Z$ (see e.g. [FHHMZ]),
$\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)$ if $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ is a weakly measurable function, then there exists a strongly measurable function $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow X$ such that, for every $a^{*} \in X^{*},\left\langle\phi(),. a^{*}\right\rangle=$ $\left\langle\varphi(),. a^{*}\right\rangle$ a.s. [DU, p. 642].

For the convenience of the reader we give a direct proof of $\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}\right)$ : Since $X$ is WCG, there exists, by the Davis-Figiel-Johnson-Pelczynski theorem (see e.g. [FHHMZ, Corollary 13.24]), a reflexive space $E$ and an injection with dense range $J: E \rightarrow X$. Let $x^{*}$ be in the unit ball of $X^{*}$. By assumption there is a net $\left(z_{\alpha}\right)$ in $Z$ such that $z_{\alpha} \rightarrow x^{*}$ in the $w^{*}$-topology of $X^{*}$. Then $J^{*}\left(z_{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow J^{*}\left(x^{*}\right)$ weakly in $E$. So there is a sequence $\left(y_{n}\right)$ in $Z$ such that $J^{*}\left(y_{n}\right) \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow \infty} J^{*}\left(x^{*}\right)$ in the norm of $E^{*}$. Then $y_{n} \rightarrow_{n \rightarrow \infty} x^{*}$ in the $w^{*}$-topology of $X^{*}$ because $J(E)$ is dense in $X$.
Theorem 13. Let $\bar{A}$ be a regular couple and let $\beta \in(0,1)$. Assume that $\bar{A}^{\beta}$ is $W C G$. Then $A^{\theta}=A_{\theta}$ for every $\theta \in(0,1)$.

The proof needs the following lemma:
Lemma 14. Let $\bar{A}$ be a regular couple, let $\beta \in(0,1)$ and assume that $\bar{A}^{\beta}$ is WCG. Let $g \in \mathcal{G}(\bar{A})$. Then the map $R^{\beta} \circ g^{\prime}(\beta+i \cdot)=R^{\beta} \circ \phi_{\beta}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \bar{A}^{\beta}$ is strongly measurable. Moreover, for every $x^{*} \in\left(\bar{A}^{\beta}\right)^{*},\left\langle R^{\beta} \circ \phi_{\beta}(),. x^{*}\right\rangle$ lies in $\mathcal{B}_{1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$.

Proof: By assumption $\bar{A}^{\beta}$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}\right)$ and $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)$. We first claim that $R^{\beta} \circ \phi_{\beta}$ : $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow \bar{A}^{\beta}$ is weakly measurable. Let $U$ be the closed unit ball of $\left(\bar{A}^{\beta}\right)^{*}$ and $U_{0}$ be the closed unit ball of $B_{\beta}$. Let $Z=U_{0} \cap\left(A_{0}^{*} \cap A_{1}^{*}\right)$. By (14), $Z$ is $w^{*}$-dense in $U$. Since $g^{\prime}(\beta+i$. $)$ is continuous: $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow A_{0}+A_{1}$, for every $a^{*} \in A_{0}^{*} \cap A_{1}^{*}=B_{0} \cap B_{1}$, $\left\langle R^{\beta} \circ \phi_{\beta}(),. a^{*}\right\rangle=\left\langle\phi_{\beta}(),. a^{*}\right\rangle$ is continuous. By $\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}\right)$, every $x^{*} \in U$ is the $w^{*}$-limit of a sequence in $Z$, hence $\left\langle R^{\beta} \circ \phi_{\beta}(),. x^{*}\right\rangle$ is in $\mathcal{B}_{1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$, which proves the claim and the last assertion of the lemma.

So, by $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)$, there exists a strongly measurable function $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \bar{A}^{\beta}$ such that, for every $x^{*} \in\left(\bar{A}^{\beta}\right)^{*},\left\langle R^{\beta} \circ \phi_{\beta}(),. x^{*}\right\rangle=\left\langle\varphi(),. a^{*}\right\rangle$ a.s. In particular this holds for
every $a^{*} \in B_{0} \cap B_{1}=A_{0}^{*} \cap A_{1}^{*}$. By Lemma 10 b$), R^{\beta} \circ \phi_{\beta}=\varphi$ a.s., which ends the proof.

Proof of Theorem 13: Let $g \in \mathcal{G}(\bar{A})$. By Lemma 14 and Lemma $9, g^{\prime}(\beta+i \cdot)$ : $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow A_{\beta}$ is strongly measurable. Lemma $\left.4, \mathrm{~b}\right) \Rightarrow$ a) and Theorem 5 end the proof.

Definition 15. A Banach space $X$ is weakly Lindelöf if every weakly open covering of $X$ has a countable subcovering.

For example a WCG space is weakly Lindelöf [FHHMZ, Theorem 14.31]. We shall only use the fact that weakly Lindelöf spaces have Property $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)$ [E, Proposition 5.4 and (4), p. 671].

Proposition 16. Let $\bar{A}$ be a regular couple. Assume that $A^{\beta}=A_{\beta}$ and that $A_{\beta}$ is weakly Lindelöf for some $\beta \in(0,1)$. Then $A^{\theta}=A_{\theta}$ for every $\theta \in(0,1)$.

Proof: The second assumption implies $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)$. Let $g \in \mathcal{G}(\bar{A})$. By the first assumption and Lemma 3 b$), \phi_{\beta}=g^{\prime}(\beta+i \cdot): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow A_{\beta}$ is weakly measurable. So, by $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)$, there exists a strongly measurable function $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow A_{\beta}$ such that, for every $x^{*} \in\left(A_{\beta}\right)^{*},\left\langle\phi_{\beta}(),. x^{*}\right\rangle=\left\langle\varphi(),. x^{*}\right\rangle$ a.s. This holds in particular for every $a^{*} \in A_{0}^{*} \cap A_{1}^{*}=\left(A_{0}+A_{1}\right)^{*}$. By Lemma $7, A_{\beta}=A^{\beta}$ implies $A_{\beta}=\bar{A}_{\beta}$. So, by Lemma $10, \phi_{\beta}=\varphi$ a.s., i.e. $\phi_{\beta}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow A_{\beta}$ is strongly measurable. Lemma 4 , b) $\Rightarrow$ a) and Theorem 5 end the proof.

The next theorem extends Proposition 16.
Theorem 17. Let $\bar{A}$ be a regular couple such that $A_{\beta}$ is weakly Lindelöf for some $\beta \in(0,1)$. Assume that

1) there exists a continuous projection $P: \bar{A}^{\beta} \rightarrow A_{\beta}$,
2) for every $g \in \mathcal{G}(\bar{A})$ and $y^{*} \in\left(\bar{A}^{\beta}\right)^{*}$, the map $\left\langle R^{\beta} \circ g^{\prime}(\beta+i \cdot)\right.$, $\left.y^{*}\right\rangle$ lies in $\mathcal{B}_{1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$.
Then $A_{\theta}=A^{\theta}$ for every $\theta \in(0,1)$.
Comment. Assumption 1) is consistent by Lemma 7. The conclusion of 2) is always true for $y^{*} \in\left(A_{0}^{*}, A_{1}^{*}\right)_{\beta}$ by Remark 8 . By the proof of Lemma 14, assumption 2) is verified if $\left(\bar{A}^{\beta}\right)^{*}$ satisfies $\left(\mathrm{P}_{1}\right)$.

Remark 18. Assume that $A_{\beta}$ is a weakly Lindelöf space. Then assumptions 1) and 2) in Theorem 17 are equivalent to $A^{\beta}=A_{\beta}$.

Indeed Theorem 17 gives one implication. Conversely, if $A^{\beta}=A_{\beta}$, then $\bar{A}^{\beta}=A_{\beta}$ by Lemma 7, and 2) follows from Lemma 3 b).

Proof of Theorem 17: Let $g \in \mathcal{G}(\bar{A})$ and let us denote $g^{\prime}(\beta+i \cdot)=\phi_{\beta}$.
Step 1: By both assumptions $P \circ R^{\beta} \circ \phi_{\beta}():. \mathbb{R} \rightarrow A_{\beta}$ is weakly measurable. Since $A_{\beta}$ is weakly Lindelöf, there exists by $\left(\mathrm{P}_{2}\right)$ a strongly measurable function
$\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow A_{\beta}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall x^{*} \in\left(A_{\beta}\right)^{*} \quad\left\langle P\left[R^{\beta} \circ \phi_{\beta}(.)\right], x^{*}\right\rangle=\left\langle\varphi(.), x^{*}\right\rangle \quad \text { a.s.. } \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall apply this only to $x^{*}=a^{*} \in A_{0}^{*} \cap A_{1}^{*}$. Note that $a^{*} \in\left(\bar{A}^{\beta}\right)^{*}($ see $(14))$, but we do not know a priori whether $P^{*} a^{*}=a^{*}$. If we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\forall a^{*} \in A_{0}^{*} \cap A_{1}^{*}=B_{0} \cap B_{1} \quad\left\langle\phi_{\beta}(.), a^{*}\right\rangle=\left\langle\varphi(.), a^{*}\right\rangle \quad \text { a.s. } \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 10 implies $R^{\beta} \circ \phi_{\beta}=\varphi$ a.s., i.e. $\phi_{\beta}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow A_{\beta}$ is strongly measurable. Then Lemma 4, b) $\Rightarrow$ a) and Theorem 5 will end the proof.

Step 2: We now show that (16) implies (17). Let $y^{*}$ be in the unit ball $U$ of $\left(\bar{A}^{\beta}\right)^{*}$. By $(14)$ there is a net $\left(a_{\alpha}^{*}\right)_{\alpha}$ in $U_{0} \cap\left(A_{0}^{*} \cap A_{1}^{*}\right)$ such that $a_{\alpha}^{*} \rightarrow y^{*}$ in the $w^{*}$-topology of $\left(\bar{A}^{\beta}\right)^{*}$. Let $F_{\frac{1}{n}}(\beta+i$.) be associated to $g$ as in Lemma 2 (and valued in $A_{\beta}$ ). By (11), for every $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ and every integer $n$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\tau}^{\tau+1 / n}\left\langle\phi_{\beta}(t), a_{\alpha}^{*}\right\rangle d t=-\frac{i}{n}\left\langle F_{\frac{1}{n}}(\beta+i \tau), a_{\alpha}^{*}\right\rangle \rightarrow_{\alpha}-\frac{i}{n}\left\langle F_{\frac{1}{n}}(\beta+i \tau), y^{*}\right\rangle \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall prove in Step 3 that, for every $\tau, n$, and $y^{*} \in\left(\bar{A}^{\beta}\right)^{*}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\tau}^{\tau+1 / n}\left\langle\phi_{\beta}(t), a_{\alpha}^{*}\right\rangle d t \rightarrow_{\alpha} \int_{\tau}^{\tau+1 / n}\left\langle R^{\beta} \circ \phi_{\beta}(t), y^{*}\right\rangle d t \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $R^{\beta} \circ \phi_{\beta}($.$) is bounded in \bar{A}^{\beta}$ by Lemma 2 iii), weakly measurable by assumption 2, hence $\left\langle R^{\beta} \circ \phi_{\beta}(),. y^{*}\right\rangle$ is locally integrable). By (18) and (19),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\tau}^{\tau+1 / n}\left\langle R^{\beta} \circ \phi_{\beta}(t), y^{*}\right\rangle d t=-\frac{i}{n}\left\langle F_{\frac{1}{n}}(\beta+i \tau), y^{*}\right\rangle \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (16) and (20) applied to $y^{*}=P^{*} a^{*}$, for $a^{*} \in A_{0}^{*} \cap A_{1}^{*}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
i n \int_{\tau}^{\tau+1 / n}\left\langle\varphi(t), a^{*}\right\rangle d t & =i n \int_{\tau}^{\tau+1 / n}\left\langle R^{\beta} \circ \phi_{\beta}(t), P^{*} a^{*}\right\rangle d t \\
& =\left\langle F_{\frac{1}{n}}(\beta+i \tau), P^{*} a^{*}\right\rangle=\left\langle F_{\frac{1}{n}}(\beta+i \tau), a^{*}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\left\langle\varphi(t), a^{*}\right\rangle$ is locally integrable since $\left\langle R^{\beta} \circ \phi_{\beta}(t), P^{*} a^{*}\right\rangle$ is. Taking limits when $n \rightarrow \infty$ (by Lebesgue's differentiation theorem on the LHS, by (12) on the RHS), we get (17), as desired.

Step 3: We prove the claim (19). Let $U, U_{0}$ be respectively the closed unit balls of $\left(\bar{A}^{\beta}\right)^{*}$ and $\left(A_{0}^{*}, A_{1}^{*}\right)_{\beta}$. By (14), $U_{0} \cap\left(A_{0}^{*} \cap A_{1}^{*}\right)$ is $w^{*}$-dense in $U$. The map $y^{*} \rightarrow\left\langle R^{\beta} \circ \phi_{\beta}(),. y^{*}\right\rangle$ is continuous from $\left(U, w^{*}\right)$ into the space of complex valued functions on $\mathbb{R}$ equipped with the topology of pointwise convergence. The image $K$ of $U$ is compact for this topology and the image $K_{0}$ of $U_{0} \cap\left(A_{0}^{*} \cap A_{1}^{*}\right)$
is dense in $K$. Moreover $K$ is bounded in $\ell^{\infty}(\mathbb{R})$ (see Step 2). By assumption 2), $K$ actually lies in $\mathcal{B}_{1}(\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C})$. Hence (19) follows from $[R$, Main Theorem b)].

Our last result does not deal with the equality between $A_{\theta}$ and $A^{\theta}$, but uses some of the machinery from part 2.

Proposition 19. Let $\left(A_{0}, A_{1}\right)$ be a regular couple such that $A_{0}$ is a subspace of $A_{1}$, and let $0<\theta<\beta<1$. Assume that the embedding $i: A_{0} \rightarrow A_{1}$ is compact. Then $i$ extends as a compact embedding $A_{\theta} \rightarrow A_{\beta}$.

Proof: Step 1: Since $A_{0}=A_{0} \cap A_{1}$ and $A_{1}=A_{0}+A_{1}$ we know that $i$ factors through $A_{\beta}$. We claim that the embedding $i_{\beta}: A_{0} \rightarrow A_{\beta}$ is compact. Indeed let $\left(x_{n}\right)_{n \geq 0}$ be a bounded sequence in $A_{0}$. Since $i: A_{0} \rightarrow A_{1}$ is compact, there exists a subsequence $\left(x_{n_{k}}\right)_{k \geq 0}$ such that $i\left(x_{n_{k}}\right)$ has a limit in $A_{1}$, hence $\left(x_{n_{k}}\right)_{k \geq 0}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $A_{1}$. By (4), for every $k, k^{\prime} \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\left\|x_{n_{k}}-x_{n_{k^{\prime}}}\right\|_{A_{\beta}} \leq\left\|x_{n_{k}}-x_{n_{k^{\prime}}}\right\|_{A_{0}}^{1-\beta}\left\|x_{n_{k}}-x_{n_{k^{\prime}}}\right\|_{A_{1}}^{\beta}
$$

so that the sequence $\left(i\left(x_{n_{k}}\right)\right)_{k \geq 0}$ is Cauchy in $A_{\beta}$. (This step does not need the regularity of the couple $\left(A_{0}, A_{1}\right)$ ).

Step 2: By assumption $A_{0}$ is dense in $A_{1}$ and in $A_{\beta}$. Hence $i^{*}: A_{1}^{*} \rightarrow A_{0}^{*}$ is an injection which factors through $\left(A_{\beta}\right)^{*}$. Let $B_{j}$ be the closure of $A_{0}^{*} \cap A_{1}^{*}=A_{1}^{*}$ in $A_{j}^{*}$, so that $i^{*}: B_{1}=A_{1}^{*} \rightarrow B_{0}$. By the regularity of $\left(A_{0}, A_{1}\right)$ and by Step 1 , $i_{\beta}^{*}:\left(A_{\beta}\right)^{*}=\left(A_{0}^{*}, A_{1}^{*}\right)^{\beta} \rightarrow A_{0}^{*}$ is a compact embedding. Hence so is its restriction $\left(A_{0}^{*}, A_{1}^{*}\right)_{\beta}=B_{\beta} \rightarrow A_{0}^{*}$, which is actually an embedding $B_{\beta} \rightarrow B_{0}$.

Applying Step 1 to the regular couple ( $B_{\beta}, B_{0}$ ), we get a compact embedding with dense range $j: B_{\beta} \rightarrow\left(B_{\beta}, B_{0}\right)_{\eta}, \eta \in(0,1)$. By [BL, Theorem 4.2.1] and the reiteration theorem [BL, Theorem 2.7.1], $\left(B_{\beta}, B_{0}\right)_{\eta}=\left(B_{0}, B_{\beta}\right)_{1-\eta}=B_{\theta}$ if $\theta=(1-\eta) \beta$.

Hence the adjoint $j^{*}: B_{\theta}^{*} \rightarrow B_{\beta}^{*}$ is a compact embedding. By Lemma $7, A_{\theta}$ and $A_{\beta}$ are respectively isometric subspaces of $B_{\theta}^{*}$ and $B_{\beta}^{*}$. The restriction of $j^{*}$ to $A_{\theta}$ is a compact embedding which is identity on $A_{0}$, hence sends $A_{\theta}$ into $A_{\beta}$ and coincides with $i_{\beta}$ on $A_{0}$.

Appendix: We give a variant of Lemma 4, which does not need regularity for c) $\Rightarrow \mathrm{b}$ ) and proves $\left.c^{\prime}\right) \Rightarrow b^{\prime}$ ). Lemma 3 is replaced by the following:

Lemma 20. Let $F$ be a separable Banach space which is a (non closed in general) subspace of a Banach space $E$, let $J: F \rightarrow E$ be the canonical map, and assume that $J$ is continuous. Let $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow F$ be a function such that $J \circ \varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow E$ is continuous. Then $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow F$ is strongly measurable.
Proof: Since $F$ is separable, $F$ and $\overline{J(F)}$ (the closed subspace of $E$ spanned by $J(F)$ ) are Polish spaces and $J: F \rightarrow \overline{J(F)}$ is one to one and continuous. By Souslin's theorem (see e.g. [A, Theorem 3.2.3 and its corollary]) the map $J^{-1}: J(F) \rightarrow F$ is Borel measurable. Since $\varphi=J^{-1} \circ J \circ \varphi$ and $J \circ \varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \overline{J(F)}$
is continuous, $\varphi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow F$ is Borel measurable. Since $F$ is separable, $\varphi$ is strongly measurable by Pettis' theorem [DU, Chapter II, p. 42].
Lemma 21. Let $\bar{C}$ be an interpolation couple, $g \in \mathcal{G}(\bar{C}), 0<\beta<1$. With the notation of Lemma $4, c) \Rightarrow b$ ) and $\left.c^{\prime}\right) \Rightarrow b^{\prime}$ ).
Proof: This follows from Lemma 20 since $F=C_{\beta}$ or $C^{\beta}$ embeds in $E=C_{0}+C_{1}$ and $g^{\prime}(\beta+i \cdot): \mathbb{R} \rightarrow C_{0}+C_{1}$ is continuous.
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