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# Deformations of Metrics and Biharmonic Maps 

Aicha Benkartab, Ahmed Mohammed Cherif


#### Abstract

We construct biharmonic non-harmonic maps between Riemannian manifolds $(M, g)$ and $(N, h)$ by first making the ansatz that $\varphi:(M, g) \rightarrow$ ( $N, h$ ) be a harmonic map and then deforming the metric on $N$ by $$
\tilde{h}_{\alpha}=\alpha h+(1-\alpha) \mathrm{d} f \otimes \mathrm{~d} f
$$ to render $\varphi$ biharmonic, where $f$ is a smooth function with gradient of constant norm on ( $N, h$ ) and $\alpha \in(0,1)$. We construct new examples of biharmonic non-harmonic maps, and we characterize the biharmonicity of some curves on Riemannian manifolds.


## 1 Introduction

Let $(M, g)$ and $(N, h)$ be two Riemannian manifolds. The energy functional of a $\operatorname{map} \varphi \in C^{\infty}(M, N)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(\varphi)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{M}|\mathrm{~d} \varphi|^{2} v^{g}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|\mathrm{d} \varphi|$ is the Hilbert-Schmidt norm of the differential $\mathrm{d} \varphi$ and $v^{g}$ is the volume element on $(M, g)$. A map $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(M, N)$ is called harmonic if it is a critical point of the energy functional, that is, if it is a solution of the Euler Lagrange equation associated to (1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(\varphi)=\operatorname{trace} \nabla \mathrm{d} \varphi=\nabla_{e_{i}}^{\varphi} \mathrm{d} \varphi\left(e_{i}\right)-\mathrm{d} \varphi\left(\nabla_{e_{i}}^{M} e_{i}\right)=0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m}$ is an orthonormal frame on $(M, g), m=\operatorname{dim} M, \nabla^{M}$ is the Levi--Civita connection of $(M, g)$, and $\nabla^{\varphi}$ denote the pull-back connection on $\varphi^{-1} T N$.
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Harmonic maps are solutions of a second order nonlinear elliptic system and they play a very important role in many branches of mathematics and physics where they may serve as a model for liquid crystal (see [9]). One can refer to [6], [7], [8] for background on harmonic maps. A natural generalization of harmonic maps is given by integrating the square of the norm of the tension field. More precisely, the bi-energy functional of a map $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(M, N)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{2}(\varphi)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{M}|\tau(\varphi)|^{2} v^{g} . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

A map $\varphi \in C^{\infty}(M, N)$ is called biharmonic if it is a critical point of the bi-energy functional, that is, if it is a solution of the Euler Lagrange equation associated to (3)

$$
\begin{align*}
\tau_{2}(\varphi) & =-\operatorname{trace} R^{N}(\tau(\varphi), \mathrm{d} \varphi) \mathrm{d} \varphi-\operatorname{trace}\left(\nabla^{\varphi}\right)^{2} \tau(\varphi) \\
& =-R^{N}\left(\tau(\varphi), \mathrm{d} \varphi\left(e_{i}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \varphi\left(e_{i}\right)-\nabla_{e_{i}}^{\varphi} \nabla_{e_{i}}^{\varphi} \tau(\varphi)+\nabla_{\nabla_{e_{i}}^{M} e_{i}}^{\varphi} \tau(\varphi)=0, \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $R^{N}$ is the curvature tensor of ( $N, h$ ) (see [5], [12]). Clearly, harmonic maps are biharmonic. G.Y. Jiang [12] proved that if $M$ is compact without boundary and the sectional curvature of $(N, h)$ is negative, then any biharmonic map $\varphi \in$ $C^{\infty}(M, N)$ is harmonic. So it is interesting to construct biharmonic non-harmonic maps. We refer the reader to [2], [5], [10], [11] for other examples and different approaches to their construction.

In this paper, we deform the codomain metric by $\tilde{h}_{\alpha}=\alpha h+(1-\alpha) \mathrm{d} f \otimes \mathrm{~d} f$, where $\alpha \in(0,1)$ and $f \in C^{\infty}(N)$, in order to render a map biharmonic non-harmonic with respect to the new metric, we give a necessary and sufficient condition on $f$ and $\alpha$ such that $\varphi:(M, g) \rightarrow\left(N, \tilde{h}_{\alpha}\right)$ is biharmonic non-harmonic. So by suitable choices of $f$, we are able to give new examples of biharmonic non-harmonic maps.

## 2 Deformations of Metrics

Let $M$ be a Riemannian manifold equipped with Riemannian metric $g$, and $f$ a smooth function on $M$. We define on $M$ a Riemannian metric, denoted $\tilde{g}_{\alpha}$, by

$$
\tilde{g}_{\alpha}=\alpha g+(1-\alpha) \mathrm{d} f \otimes \mathrm{~d} f
$$

for some constant $\alpha \in(0,1)$. In the seminal work [4], we obtain the following results.

Theorem 1. Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold and $\widetilde{\nabla}$ denote the Levi-Civita connection of $\left(M, \tilde{g}_{\alpha}\right)$. Then

$$
\widetilde{\nabla}_{X} Y=\nabla_{X} Y+\frac{(1-\alpha) \operatorname{Hess}_{f}(X, Y)}{\alpha+(1-\alpha)\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2}} \operatorname{grad} f
$$

where $\nabla$ is the Levi-Civita connection of $(M, g), \operatorname{Hess}_{f}($ resp. $\operatorname{grad} f)$ is the Hessian (resp. the gradient vector) of $f$ with respect to $g$, and

$$
\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2}=g(\operatorname{grad} f, \operatorname{grad} f)
$$

Proof. Let $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(T M)$. From the Koszul formula (see [13]), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \tilde{g}_{\alpha}\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{X} Y, Z\right)= & 2 \alpha g\left(\nabla_{X} Y, Z\right)+(1-\alpha)\{X(Y(f) Z(f))+Y(Z(f) X(f)) \\
& -Z(X(f) Y(f))+Z(f)[X, Y](f)+Y(f)[Z, X](f) \\
& -X(f)[Y, Z](f)\} \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m}$ be a geodesic frame on $(M, g)$ at $x \in M$ (see [3]), where $m=\operatorname{dim} M$. By (5) we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
2 \tilde{g}_{\alpha}\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{X} Y, e_{i}\right)= & 2 \alpha g\left(\nabla_{X} Y, e_{i}\right)+(1-\alpha)\left\{X\left(Y(f) g\left(e_{i}, \operatorname{grad} f\right)\right)\right. \\
& +Y\left(X(f) g\left(e_{i}, \operatorname{grad} f\right)\right)-e_{i}(g(X, \operatorname{grad} f) g(Y, \operatorname{grad} f)) \\
& \left.+e_{i}(f)[X, Y](f)+Y(f)\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} X\right)(f)+X(f)\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} Y\right)(f)\right\}, \tag{6}
\end{align*}
$$

from equation (6), and the definition of Hessian (see [13]), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{g}_{\alpha}\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{X} Y, e_{i}\right)= & \alpha g\left(\nabla_{X} Y, e_{i}\right)+(1-\alpha) g\left(\nabla_{X} Y, \operatorname{grad} f\right) g\left(e_{i}, \operatorname{grad} f\right) \\
& +(1-\alpha) \operatorname{Hess}_{f}(X, Y) g\left(e_{i}, \operatorname{grad} f\right) \tag{7}
\end{align*}
$$

from equation (7), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{g}_{\alpha}\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{X} Y, Z\right)= & \alpha g\left(\nabla_{X} Y, Z\right)+(1-\alpha) g\left(\nabla_{X} Y, \operatorname{grad} f\right) g(Z, \operatorname{grad} f) \\
& +(1-\alpha) \operatorname{Hess}_{f}(X, Y) g(Z, \operatorname{grad} f) \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

by the definition of the Riemannian metric $\tilde{g}_{\alpha}$, and (8) we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{g}_{\alpha}\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{X} Y, Z\right)=\tilde{g}_{\alpha}\left(\nabla_{X} Y, Z\right)+(1-\alpha) \operatorname{Hess}_{f}(X, Y) Z(f) . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence Theorem 1 follows from (9), with the following

$$
Z(f)=\frac{1}{\alpha+(1-\alpha)\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2}} \tilde{g}_{\alpha}(Z, \operatorname{grad} f) .
$$

Now consider the curvature tensor $\widetilde{R}$ of $\left(M, \tilde{g}_{\alpha}\right)$, writing $R$ for the curvature tensor of $(M, g)$. We have the following result:
Theorem 2. For all $X, Y, Z \in \Gamma(T M)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{R}(X, Y) Z= & R(X, Y) Z+\frac{(1-\alpha) g(R(X, Y) \operatorname{grad} f, Z)}{\alpha+(1-\alpha)\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2}} \operatorname{grad} f \\
& -\frac{(1-\alpha)^{2} \operatorname{Hess}_{f}(X, \operatorname{grad} f) \operatorname{Hess}_{f}(Y, Z)}{\left(\alpha+(1-\alpha)\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2}\right)^{2}} \operatorname{grad} f \\
& +\frac{(1-\alpha)^{2} \operatorname{Hess}_{f}(Y, \operatorname{grad} f) \operatorname{Hess}_{f}(X, Z)}{\left(\alpha+(1-\alpha)\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2}\right)^{2}} \operatorname{grad} f \\
& +\frac{(1-\alpha) \operatorname{Hess}_{f}(Y, Z)}{\alpha+(1-\alpha)\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2}} \nabla_{X} \operatorname{grad} f \\
& -\frac{(1-\alpha) \operatorname{Hess}_{f}(X, Z)}{\alpha+(1-\alpha)\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2}} \nabla_{Y} \operatorname{grad} f .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. By the definition of the curvature tensor $\widetilde{R}$,

$$
\widetilde{R}(X, Y) Z=\widetilde{\nabla}_{X} \widetilde{\nabla}_{Y} Z-\widetilde{\nabla}_{Y} \widetilde{\nabla}_{X} Z-\widetilde{\nabla}_{[X, Y]} Z
$$

and Theorem 1 we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{R}(X, Y) Z= & \widetilde{\nabla}_{X}\left(\nabla_{Y} Z+\frac{(1-\alpha) \operatorname{Hess}_{f}(Y, Z)}{\alpha+(1-\alpha)\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2}} \operatorname{grad} f\right) \\
& -\widetilde{\nabla}_{Y}\left(\nabla_{X} Z+\frac{(1-\alpha) \operatorname{Hess}_{f}(X, Z)}{\alpha+(1-\alpha)\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2}} \operatorname{grad} f\right) \\
& -\left(\nabla_{[X, Y]} Z+\frac{(1-\alpha) \operatorname{Hess}_{f}([X, Y], Z)}{\alpha+(1-\alpha)\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2}} \operatorname{grad} f\right) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

the first term of (10) is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{\nabla}_{X}\left(\nabla_{Y} Z+\right. & \left.\frac{(1-\alpha) \operatorname{Hess}_{f}(Y, Z)}{\alpha+(1-\alpha)\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2}} \operatorname{grad} f\right) \\
= & \nabla_{X}\left(\nabla_{Y} Z+\frac{(1-\alpha) \operatorname{Hess}_{f}(Y, Z)}{\alpha+(1-\alpha)\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2}} \operatorname{grad} f\right) \\
& +\frac{(1-\alpha) \operatorname{Hess}_{f}\left(X, \nabla_{Y} Z+\frac{(1-\alpha) \operatorname{Hess}_{f}(Y, Z)}{\alpha+(1-\alpha)\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2}} \operatorname{grad} f\right)}{\alpha+(1-\alpha)\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2}} \operatorname{grad} f \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

by equation (11), and the definition of Hessian, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{\nabla}_{X}( & \left.\nabla_{Y} Z+\frac{(1-\alpha) \operatorname{Hess}_{f}(Y, Z)}{\alpha+(1-\alpha)\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2}} \operatorname{grad} f\right) \\
& =\nabla_{X} \nabla_{Y} Z+\frac{(1-\alpha) g\left(\nabla_{X} \nabla_{Y} \operatorname{grad} f, Z\right)}{\alpha+(1-\alpha)\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2}} \operatorname{grad} f \\
& +\frac{(1-\alpha) \operatorname{Hess}_{f}\left(Y, \nabla_{X} Z\right)}{\alpha+(1-\alpha)\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2}} \operatorname{grad} f \\
& -\frac{(1-\alpha)^{2} \operatorname{Hess}_{f}(X, \operatorname{grad} f) \operatorname{Hess}_{f}(Y, Z)}{\left(\alpha+(1-\alpha)\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2}\right)^{2}} \operatorname{grad} f \\
& +\frac{(1-\alpha) \operatorname{Hess}_{f}(Y, Z)}{\alpha+(1-\alpha) \|{\operatorname{grad} f \|^{2}}^{2}} \nabla_{X} \operatorname{grad} f \\
& +\frac{(1-\alpha) \operatorname{Hess}_{f}\left(X, \nabla_{Y} Z\right)}{\alpha+(1-\alpha)\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2}} \operatorname{grad} f \tag{12}
\end{align*}
$$

Using the similar method, the second term of (10) is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
-\widetilde{\nabla}_{Y}\left(\nabla_{X} Z+\right. & \left.\frac{(1-\alpha) \operatorname{Hess}_{f}(X, Z)}{\alpha+(1-\alpha)\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2}} \operatorname{grad} f\right) \\
= & -\nabla_{Y} \nabla_{X} Z-\frac{(1-\alpha) g\left(\nabla_{Y} \nabla_{X} \operatorname{grad} f, Z\right)}{\alpha+(1-\alpha)\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2}} \operatorname{grad} f \\
& -\frac{(1-\alpha) \operatorname{Hess}_{f}\left(X, \nabla_{Y} Z\right)}{\alpha+(1-\alpha)\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2}} \operatorname{grad} f \\
& +\frac{(1-\alpha)^{2} \operatorname{Hess}_{f}(Y, \operatorname{grad} f) \operatorname{Hess}_{f}(X, Z)}{\left(\alpha+(1-\alpha)\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2}\right)^{2}} \operatorname{grad} f \\
& -\frac{(1-\alpha) \operatorname{Hess}_{f}(X, Z)}{\alpha+(1-\alpha)\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2}} \nabla_{Y} \operatorname{grad} f \\
& -\frac{(1-\alpha) \operatorname{Hess}_{f}\left(Y, \nabla_{X} Z\right)}{\alpha+(1-\alpha)\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2}} \operatorname{grad} f \tag{13}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 2 follows from equations (10), (12) and (13).

## 3 The biharmonicity of $\varphi:(M, g) \rightarrow\left(N, \tilde{h}_{\alpha}\right)$

We now consider the effects of a deformation of the codomain metric, as regards harmonic and biharmonic mappings.

Theorem 3. Let $\varphi:(M, g) \rightarrow(N, h)$ be a harmonic map between two Riemannian manifolds and let the Riemannian metric $\tilde{h}_{\alpha}=\alpha h+(1-\alpha) \mathrm{d} f \otimes \mathrm{~d} f$, where $\alpha \in(0,1)$ and $f \in C^{\infty}(N)$. We suppose that $\|\operatorname{grad} f\|=1$. If the function $\Delta(f \circ \varphi)$ is a non--null constant on $M$, then the map $\varphi:(M, g) \rightarrow\left(N, \tilde{h}_{\alpha}\right)$ is proper biharmonic if and only if the gradient vector of $f$ is Jacobi field along $\varphi$, i.e. $(\operatorname{grad} f) \circ \varphi \in \operatorname{ker} J_{\varphi}$ where $J_{\varphi}$ is a Jacobi operator corresponding to $\varphi$.

Proof. Let $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m}$ be a normal orthonormal frame on $(M, g)$ at $x$, where $m=\operatorname{dim} M$. Then the map $\varphi:(M, g) \rightarrow\left(N, \tilde{h}_{\alpha}\right)$ is biharmonic if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\tau}_{2}(\varphi)=-\tilde{R}^{N}\left(\tilde{\tau}(\varphi), \mathrm{d} \varphi\left(e_{i}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \varphi\left(e_{i}\right)-\tilde{\nabla}_{e_{i}}^{\varphi} \tilde{\nabla}_{e_{i}}^{\varphi} \tilde{\tau}(\varphi)=0, \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{R}^{N}$ is the Riemannian curvature with respect to $\tilde{h}_{\alpha}, \tilde{\tau}(\varphi)$ denotes the tension field of the map $\varphi$ with respect to $\tilde{h}_{\alpha}$, and $\tilde{\nabla}^{\varphi}$ is the pull-back connection with respect to the metric $\tilde{h}_{\alpha}$. First, we compute the tension field $\tilde{\tau}(\varphi)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{\tau}(\varphi) & =\tilde{\nabla}_{e_{i}}^{\varphi} \mathrm{d} \varphi\left(e_{i}\right)=\widetilde{\nabla}_{\mathrm{d} \varphi\left(e_{i}\right)}^{N} \mathrm{~d} \varphi\left(e_{i}\right) \\
& =\tau(\varphi)+\frac{(1-\alpha) \operatorname{Hess}_{f}\left(\mathrm{~d} \varphi\left(e_{i}\right), \mathrm{d} \varphi\left(e_{i}\right)\right)}{\alpha+(1-\alpha)\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2} \circ \varphi}(\operatorname{grad} f) \circ \varphi \\
& =(1-\alpha) \operatorname{Hess}_{f}\left(\mathrm{~d} \varphi\left(e_{i}\right), \mathrm{d} \varphi\left(e_{i}\right)\right)(\operatorname{grad} f) \circ \varphi,
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\Delta(f \circ \varphi)=\mathrm{d} f(\tau(\varphi))+\operatorname{trace}^{\operatorname{Hess}_{f}(\mathrm{~d} \varphi, \mathrm{~d} \varphi)}$ (see [3]), and $\tau(\varphi)=0$, we have $\tilde{\tau}(\varphi)=\lambda(\operatorname{grad} f) \circ \varphi$, with $\lambda=(1-\alpha) \Delta(f \circ \varphi)$ is a non-null constant. Now, we
compute the first term of (14), from Theorem 2, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{R}^{N}\left(\tilde{\tau}(\varphi), \mathrm{d} \varphi\left(e_{i}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \varphi\left(e_{i}\right) \\
&= \lambda\left\{R^{N}\left(\operatorname{grad} f, \mathrm{~d} \varphi\left(e_{i}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \varphi\left(e_{i}\right)\right. \\
&+\frac{(1-\alpha) h\left(R^{N}\left(\operatorname{grad} f, \mathrm{~d} \varphi\left(e_{i}\right)\right) \operatorname{grad} f, \mathrm{~d} \varphi\left(e_{i}\right)\right)}{\alpha+(1-\alpha)\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2}} \operatorname{grad} f \\
&-\frac{(1-\alpha)^{2} \operatorname{Hess}_{f}(\operatorname{grad} f, \operatorname{grad} f) \operatorname{Hess}_{f}\left(\mathrm{~d} \varphi\left(e_{i}\right), \mathrm{d} \varphi\left(e_{i}\right)\right)}{\left(\alpha+(1-\alpha)\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2}\right)^{2}} \operatorname{grad} f \\
&+\frac{(1-\alpha)^{2} \operatorname{Hess}_{f}\left(\mathrm{~d} \varphi\left(e_{i}\right), \operatorname{grad} f\right) \operatorname{Hess}_{f}\left(\operatorname{grad} f, \mathrm{~d} \varphi\left(e_{i}\right)\right)}{\left(\alpha+(1-\alpha)\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2}\right)^{2}} \operatorname{grad} f \\
&+\frac{(1-\alpha) \operatorname{Hess}_{f}\left(\mathrm{~d} \varphi\left(e_{i}\right), \mathrm{d} \varphi\left(e_{i}\right)\right)}{\alpha+(1-\alpha)\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2}} \nabla_{\operatorname{grad} f_{N}^{N} \operatorname{grad} f} \\
&\left.-\frac{(1-\alpha) \operatorname{Hess}_{f}\left(\operatorname{grad} f, \mathrm{~d} \varphi\left(e_{i}\right)\right)}{\alpha+(1-\alpha)\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2}} \nabla_{\mathrm{d} \varphi\left(e_{i}\right)}^{N} \operatorname{grad} f\right\} \circ \varphi \tag{15}
\end{align*}
$$

since $\|\operatorname{grad} f\|=1$, is constant on $N$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Hess}_{f}(\operatorname{grad} f, X)=0, \quad \nabla_{\operatorname{grad} f}^{N} \operatorname{grad} f=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{grad}\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2}=0 \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $X \in \Gamma(T N)$, the equation (15) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{R}^{N}\left(\tilde{\tau}(\varphi), \mathrm{d} \varphi\left(e_{i}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \varphi\left(e_{i}\right) \\
&= \lambda\left\{R^{N}\left(\operatorname{grad} f, \mathrm{~d} \varphi\left(e_{i}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \varphi\left(e_{i}\right)\right. \\
&\left.+(1-\alpha) h\left(R^{N}\left(\operatorname{grad} f, \mathrm{~d} \varphi\left(e_{i}\right)\right) \operatorname{grad} f, \mathrm{~d} \varphi\left(e_{i}\right)\right) \operatorname{grad} f\right\} \circ \varphi . \tag{17}
\end{align*}
$$

The second term of (14) is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\nabla}_{e_{i}}^{\varphi} \tilde{\nabla}_{e_{i}}^{\varphi} \tilde{\tau}(\varphi)= & \lambda \tilde{\nabla}_{e_{i}}^{\varphi} \tilde{\nabla}_{e_{i}}^{\varphi}(\operatorname{grad} f) \circ \varphi \\
= & \lambda \tilde{\nabla}_{e_{i}}^{\varphi}\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{\mathrm{d} \varphi\left(e_{i}\right)}^{N} \operatorname{grad} f\right) \circ \varphi \\
= & \lambda \tilde{\nabla}_{e_{i}}^{\varphi}\left\{\left(\nabla_{\mathrm{d} \varphi\left(e_{i}\right)}^{N} \operatorname{grad} f\right) \circ \varphi\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{(1-\alpha) \operatorname{Hess}_{f}\left(\mathrm{~d} \varphi\left(e_{i}\right),(\operatorname{grad} f) \circ \varphi\right)}{\alpha+(1-\alpha)\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2} \circ \varphi}(\operatorname{grad} f) \circ \varphi\right\}, \tag{18}
\end{align*}
$$

from equations (16) and (18), we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\nabla}_{e_{i}}^{\varphi} \tilde{\nabla}_{e_{i}}^{\varphi} \tilde{\tau}(\varphi)= & \lambda \nabla_{e_{i}}^{\varphi} \nabla_{e_{i}}^{\varphi}(\operatorname{grad} f) \circ \varphi \\
& +(1-\alpha) \lambda \operatorname{Hess}_{f}\left(\mathrm{~d} \varphi\left(e_{i}\right), \nabla_{e_{i}}^{\varphi}(\operatorname{grad} f) \circ \varphi\right)(\operatorname{grad} f) \circ \varphi, \tag{19}
\end{align*}
$$

and note that

$$
\operatorname{Hess}_{f}\left(\mathrm{~d} \varphi\left(e_{i}\right), \nabla_{e_{i}}^{\varphi}(\operatorname{grad} f) \circ \varphi\right)=-h\left((\operatorname{grad} f) \circ \varphi, \nabla_{e_{i}}^{\varphi} \nabla_{e_{i}}^{\varphi}(\operatorname{grad} f) \circ \varphi\right)
$$

So, the map $\varphi:(M, g) \rightarrow\left(N, \tilde{h}_{\alpha}\right)$ is biharmonic if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{\varphi}((\operatorname{grad} f) \circ \varphi)-(1-\alpha) h\left(J_{\varphi}((\operatorname{grad} f) \circ \varphi),(\operatorname{grad} f) \circ \varphi\right)(\operatorname{grad} f) \circ \varphi=0 \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that, the equation $(20)$ is equivalent to $J_{\varphi}((\operatorname{grad} f) \circ \varphi)=0$.
Example 1. Let $M=\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $N=\mathbb{H}^{2}=\left\{\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \mid y_{2}>0\right\}$. We consider the harmonic map $\varphi:\left(M, \mathrm{~d} x_{1}^{2}+\mathrm{d} x_{2}^{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(N, y_{2}^{2}\left(\mathrm{~d} y_{1}^{2}+\mathrm{d} y_{2}^{2}\right)\right),\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \mapsto\left(x_{1}, \sqrt{x_{2}^{2}+1}\right)$, and let the function $f\left(y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2} y_{2}^{2}$. A straightforward calculation shows that $\|\operatorname{grad} f\|=1, \Delta(f \circ \varphi)=1,(\operatorname{grad} f) \circ \varphi=\left(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{x_{2}^{2}+1}}\right)$ and $J_{\varphi}((\operatorname{grad} f) \circ \varphi)=0$. Thus, with respect to metric $\tilde{h}_{\alpha}=y_{2}^{2}\left(\alpha \mathrm{~d} y_{1}^{2}+\mathrm{d} y_{2}^{2}\right)$, the map $\varphi$ is biharmonic nonharmonic, with $\tilde{\tau}(\varphi)=\left(0, \frac{1-\alpha}{\sqrt{x_{2}^{2}+1}}\right)$.
Remark 1. - Let $\varphi:(M, g) \rightarrow(N, h)$ be a harmonic map between two Riemannian manifolds and $\tilde{h}_{\alpha}=\alpha h+(1-\alpha) \mathrm{d} f \otimes \mathrm{~d} f$, where $\alpha \in(0,1)$ and $f \in C^{\infty}(N)$ such that $\|\operatorname{grad} f\|=1$. Then the map $\varphi:(M, g) \rightarrow\left(N, \tilde{h}_{\alpha}\right)$ is harmonic if and only if $f \circ \varphi$ is harmonic on $(M, g)$.

- Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold, and let $f$ be a smooth function on $M$ such that $\|\operatorname{grad} f\|=1$ and $\Delta f=k$, where $k \in \mathbb{R}$. Then, the identity map from $(M, g)$ to $\left(M, \tilde{g}_{\alpha}\right)$ is biharmonic if and only if it is harmonic. Indeed; from Theorem 3 the identity map from $(M, g)$ to $\left(M, \tilde{g}_{\alpha}\right)$ is a biharmonic map if and only if $\operatorname{Ricci}(\operatorname{grad} f)=0$, and by Bochner-Weitzenböck formula for smooth functions (see [14])

$$
\frac{1}{2} \Delta\left(\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2}\right)=\left\|\operatorname{Hess}_{f}\right\|^{2}+g(\operatorname{grad} f, \operatorname{grad}(\Delta f))+\operatorname{Ric}(\operatorname{grad} f, \operatorname{grad} f)
$$

we obtain $\left\|\operatorname{Hess}_{f}\right\|=0$, so that $\Delta f=0$, that is the identity map from $(M, g)$ to $\left(M, \tilde{g}_{\alpha}\right)$ is harmonic map.

## 4 The biharmonicity of the identity $\operatorname{map}\left(M, \tilde{\boldsymbol{g}}_{\alpha}\right) \rightarrow\left(M, \tilde{\boldsymbol{g}}_{\boldsymbol{\beta}}\right)$

Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold, $f \in C^{\infty}(M), \alpha, \beta \in(0,1)$, and denote by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}:\left(M, \tilde{g}_{\alpha}\right) & \rightarrow\left(M, \tilde{g}_{\beta}\right), \\
x & \mapsto x
\end{aligned}
$$

the identity map, where $\tilde{g}_{\alpha}=\alpha g+(1-\alpha) \mathrm{d} f \otimes \mathrm{~d} f$ and $\tilde{g}_{\beta}=\beta g+(1-\beta) \mathrm{d} f \otimes \mathrm{~d} f$.
Theorem 4. If $\alpha \neq \beta$, and $\|\operatorname{grad} f\|=1$. Then the identity map $\widetilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}$ is a proper biharmonic if and only if the function $f$ is non-harmonic on $M$, and satisfying the following

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \Delta f \operatorname{Ricci}(\operatorname{grad} f)= & -\frac{1}{\beta} \Delta^{2} f \operatorname{grad} f-2 \nabla_{\operatorname{grad}} \Delta f \operatorname{grad} f-\Delta f \operatorname{grad} \Delta f \\
& +\frac{1-\alpha}{\beta} \Delta f g(\operatorname{grad} f, \operatorname{grad} \Delta f) \operatorname{grad} f \\
& +\frac{1-\alpha}{\beta} \operatorname{Hess}_{\Delta f}(\operatorname{grad} f, \operatorname{grad} f) \operatorname{grad} f
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\Delta f$ is the Laplacian of $f$ with respect to $g$, and $\Delta^{2} f=\Delta(\Delta f)$.

Proof. Let $\left\{e_{i}\right\}_{i=1}^{m}$ be an orthonormal frame on $M$ with respect to the metric $g$, such that $e_{1}=\operatorname{grad} f$, it is easy to prove that $\left\{e_{1}, \frac{1}{\sqrt{\alpha}} e_{i}\right\}_{i=2}^{m}$ is a orthonormal frame on $M$ with respect to the metric $\tilde{g}_{\alpha}$, where $m=\operatorname{dim} M$. Let $\widetilde{\nabla}^{\alpha}$ (resp. $\widetilde{\nabla}^{\beta}$ ) the Levi-Civita connection of $\left(M, \tilde{g}_{\alpha}\right)$ (resp. of $\left(M, \tilde{g}_{\beta}\right)$ ), then the tension field of $\widetilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau\left(\widetilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}\right) & =\nabla_{e_{1}}^{\tilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}} \mathrm{d} \widetilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}\left(e_{1}\right)-\mathrm{d} \widetilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{1}}^{\alpha} e_{1}\right)+\frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{i=2}^{m}\left\{\nabla_{e_{i}}^{\tilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}} \mathrm{d} \widetilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}\left(e_{i}\right)-\mathrm{d} \widetilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}\left(\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{i}}^{\alpha} e_{i}\right)\right\} \\
& =\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{1}}^{\beta} e_{1}-\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{1}}^{\alpha} e_{1}+\frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{i=2}^{m}\left\{\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{i}}^{\beta} e_{i}-\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{i}}^{\alpha} e_{i}\right\},
\end{aligned}
$$

using Theorem 1 , with $\|\operatorname{grad} f\|=1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau\left(\widetilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}\right)=\frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha} \sum_{i=2}^{m} \operatorname{Hess}_{f}\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right) \operatorname{grad} f \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\operatorname{Hess}_{f}\left(e_{1}, e_{1}\right)=0$, the equation (21) becomes

$$
\tau\left(\widetilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}\right)=\frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha} \Delta f \operatorname{grad} f
$$

Note that $\widetilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}$ is harmonic if and only if $\Delta f=0$, i.e. the function $f$ is harmonic on $(M, g)$. We compute the bitension field of the identity $\widetilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}$, for all $i=1, \ldots, m$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{R}_{\beta}\left(\tau\left(\widetilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}\right), \mathrm{d} \widetilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}\left(e_{i}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \widetilde{\mathrm{I}}_{\alpha, \beta}\left(e_{i}\right)=\frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha} \Delta f \widetilde{R}_{\beta}\left(\operatorname{grad} f, e_{i}\right) e_{i} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{R}_{\beta}$ is the curvature tensor of $\widetilde{\nabla}^{\beta}$. From Theorem 2, and equation (22) with $\|\operatorname{grad} f\|=1, \operatorname{Hess}_{f}(\operatorname{grad} f, X)=0$, for all $X \in \Gamma(T M)$, and $\nabla_{\operatorname{grad} f} \operatorname{grad} f=0$, we obtain the following

$$
\begin{align*}
& \widetilde{R}_{\beta}\left(\tau\left(\widetilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}\right), \mathrm{d} \widetilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}\left(e_{i}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \widetilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}\left(e_{i}\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha} \Delta f\left\{R\left(\operatorname{grad} f, e_{i}\right) e_{i}+(1-\beta) g\left(R\left(\operatorname{grad} f, e_{i}\right) \operatorname{grad} f, e_{i}\right) \operatorname{grad} f\right\} \tag{23}
\end{align*}
$$

from (23) and the definition of Ricci curvature, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{R}\left(\tau\left(\widetilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}\right), \mathrm{d} \widetilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}\left(e_{1}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \widetilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}\left(e_{1}\right)+ & \frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{i=2}^{m} \widetilde{R}\left(\tau\left(\widetilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}\right), \mathrm{d} \widetilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}\left(e_{i}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \widetilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}\left(e_{i}\right) \\
= & \frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha^{2}} \Delta f\{\operatorname{Ricci}(\operatorname{grad} f) \\
& -(1-\beta) \operatorname{Ric}(\operatorname{grad} f, \operatorname{grad} f) \operatorname{grad} f\} . \tag{24}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $i=1, \ldots, m$, we compute

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{e_{i}}^{\widetilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}} \nabla_{e_{i}}^{\tilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}} \tau\left(\widetilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}\right)-\nabla_{\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{i}} e_{i}}^{\widetilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}} \tau\left(\widetilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}\right) \\
&= \frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha}\left\{\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{i}}^{\beta} \widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{i}}^{\beta} \Delta f \operatorname{grad} f-\widetilde{\nabla}_{\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{i}}^{\alpha} e_{i}}^{\beta} \Delta f \operatorname{grad} f\right\} \\
&= \frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha}\left\{\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{i}}^{\beta} \nabla_{e_{i}} \Delta f \operatorname{grad} f-\nabla_{\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{i}}^{\alpha} e_{i}} \Delta f \operatorname{grad} f\right\} \\
&= \frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha}\left\{\nabla_{e_{i}} \nabla_{e_{i}} \Delta f \operatorname{grad} f-\nabla_{\nabla_{e_{i}} e_{i}} \Delta f \operatorname{grad} f\right. \\
&+(1-\beta) \operatorname{Hess}_{f}\left(e_{i}, \nabla_{e_{i}} \Delta f \operatorname{grad} f\right) \operatorname{grad} f \\
&\left.-(1-\alpha) \operatorname{Hess}_{f}\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right) \nabla_{\operatorname{grad} f} \Delta f \operatorname{grad} f\right\}, \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

a straightforward calculation shows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{e_{i}} \nabla_{e_{i}} \Delta f \operatorname{grad} f-\nabla_{\nabla_{e_{i}} e_{i}} \Delta f & \operatorname{grad} f \\
= & e_{i}\left(e_{i}(\Delta f)\right) \operatorname{grad} f+2 e_{i}(\Delta f) \nabla_{e_{i}} \operatorname{grad} f \\
& +\Delta f \nabla_{e_{i}} \nabla_{e_{i}} \operatorname{grad} f-\left(\nabla_{e_{i}} e_{i}\right)(\Delta f) \operatorname{grad} f \\
& -\Delta f \nabla_{\nabla_{e_{i}} e_{i}} \operatorname{grad} f, \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& (1-\beta) \operatorname{Hess}_{f}\left(e_{i}, \nabla_{e_{i}} \Delta f \operatorname{grad} f\right) \operatorname{grad} f \\
& \quad=-(1-\beta) \Delta f g\left(\operatorname{grad} f, \nabla_{e_{i}} \nabla_{e_{i}} \operatorname{grad} f\right) \operatorname{grad} f \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
&-(1-\alpha) \operatorname{Hess}_{f}\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right) \nabla_{\operatorname{grad} f} \Delta f \operatorname{grad} f \\
&=-(1-\alpha) \operatorname{Hess}_{f}\left(e_{i}, e_{i}\right)(\operatorname{grad} f)(\Delta f) \operatorname{grad} f \tag{28}
\end{align*}
$$

by equations (25)-(28), with $\|\operatorname{grad} f\|=1$, we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{e_{1}}^{\tilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}} \nabla_{e_{1}}^{I_{\alpha, \beta}} \tau\left(\widetilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}\right)-\nabla_{\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{1}}^{\alpha} e_{1}}^{\widetilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}} \tau\left(\widetilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}\right)+\frac{1}{\alpha} \sum_{i=2}^{m}\left\{\nabla_{e_{i}}^{\tilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}} \nabla_{e_{i}}^{I_{\alpha, \beta}} \tau\left(\widetilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}\right)-\nabla_{\widetilde{\nabla}_{e_{i}}^{\alpha} e_{i}}^{\widetilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}} \tau\left(\widetilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}\right)\right\} \\
&= \frac{\alpha-\beta}{\alpha^{2}}\left\{(\alpha-1) \operatorname{Hess}_{\Delta f}(\operatorname{grad} f, \operatorname{grad} f) \operatorname{grad} f+\Delta^{2} f \operatorname{grad} f\right. \\
&+2 \nabla_{\operatorname{grad} \Delta f} \operatorname{grad} f+\Delta f \operatorname{trace} \nabla^{2} \operatorname{grad} f \\
&-(1-\beta) \Delta f g\left(\operatorname{grad} f, \operatorname{trace} \nabla^{2} \operatorname{grad} f\right) \operatorname{grad} f \\
&-(1-\alpha) \Delta f g(\operatorname{grad} f, \operatorname{grad} \Delta f) \operatorname{grad} f\}, \tag{29}
\end{align*}
$$

from equations (24), (29), and the following (see [1])

$$
\operatorname{trace} \nabla^{2} \operatorname{grad} f=\operatorname{Ricci}(\operatorname{grad} f)+\operatorname{grad}(\Delta f)
$$

the identity map $\widetilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}$ is a proper biharmonic map if and only if

$$
\begin{align*}
& 2 \Delta f \operatorname{Ricci}(\operatorname{grad} f)-2(1-\beta) \Delta f \operatorname{Ric}(\operatorname{grad} f, \operatorname{grad} f) \operatorname{grad} f \\
& +\Delta^{2} f \operatorname{grad} f+2 \nabla_{\operatorname{grad} \Delta f} \operatorname{grad} f+\Delta f \operatorname{grad} \Delta f \\
& \quad-(2-\alpha-\beta) \Delta f g(\operatorname{grad} f, \operatorname{grad} \Delta f) \operatorname{grad} f \\
&  \tag{30}\\
& \quad+(\alpha-1) \operatorname{Hess}_{\Delta f}(\operatorname{grad} f, \operatorname{grad} f) \operatorname{grad} f=0
\end{align*}
$$

with $\alpha \neq \beta$ and $\Delta f \neq 0$, taking its inner product with $\operatorname{grad} f$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
&-2(1-\beta) \Delta f \operatorname{Ric}(\operatorname{grad} f, \operatorname{grad} f) \\
&=\frac{1-\beta}{\beta} \Delta^{2} f-\frac{(1-\beta)(1-\alpha)}{\beta} \operatorname{Hess}_{\Delta f}(\operatorname{grad} f, \operatorname{grad} f) \\
&-\frac{(1-\beta)(1-\alpha-\beta)}{\beta} \Delta f g(\operatorname{grad} f, \operatorname{grad} \Delta f) \tag{31}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 4 follows from (30) and (31).
Corollary 1. If $\alpha \neq \beta,\|\operatorname{grad} f\|=1, \Delta f=F(f)$, where $F$ is a non-null function on $I \subset \mathbb{R}$, and $\operatorname{Ricci}(\operatorname{grad} f)=\lambda \operatorname{grad} f$, for some smooth function $\lambda$ on $M$. Then the identity map $\widetilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}$ is a proper biharmonic if and only if the function $f$ satisfying the following

$$
2 \beta \lambda F(f)+(\alpha+\beta) F(f) F^{\prime}(f)+\alpha F^{\prime \prime}(f)=0
$$

According to Corollary 1, we have the following example.
Example 2. Let $M=(0, \infty) \times \mathbb{R}^{n}$ equipped with the Riemannian metric

$$
g=\mathrm{d} t^{2}+\frac{\mathrm{d} x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+\mathrm{d} x_{n}^{2}}{t}
$$

we set $f(t, x)=t$, for all $(t, x) \in M$. We have $\operatorname{grad} f=\partial_{t},\|\operatorname{grad} f\|=1, \Delta f=-\frac{n}{2 t}$ and Ricci $(\operatorname{grad} f)=-\frac{3 n}{4 t^{2}} \partial_{t}$, so that $F(s)=-\frac{n}{2 s}$, for all $s \in I=(0, \infty)$ and $\lambda(t, x)=-\frac{3 n}{4 t^{2}}$ for all $(t, x) \in M$. Using the Corollary 1, Then the identity map $\widetilde{I}_{\alpha, \beta}$ is proper biharmonic if and only if $n \neq 4$ and $\alpha=\frac{2 n \beta}{n+4}$.

## 5 Biharmonic curve in ( $M, \tilde{\boldsymbol{g}}_{\alpha}$ )

Let $\gamma: I \subset \mathbb{R} \rightarrow(M, g), t \mapsto \gamma(t)$ be a harmonic curve in a Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$, such that $g(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma})=1$, and let $f$ be a smooth function on $M$. In this section we suppose that the gradient vector of $f$ at $\gamma(t)$ is parallel to the tangent vector $\dot{\gamma}(t)$. Thus, $(\operatorname{grad} f)_{\gamma(t)}=\rho(t) \dot{\gamma}(t)$, with $\rho(t)=(f \circ \gamma)^{\prime}(t)$, for all $t \in I$. Since $\gamma$ is harmonic we get the following formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{\dot{\gamma}} \operatorname{grad} f\right)_{t}=\rho^{\prime}(t) \dot{\gamma}(t), \quad \forall t \in I \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

We set $\tilde{g}_{\alpha}=\alpha g+(1-\alpha) \mathrm{d} f \otimes \mathrm{~d} f$, where $\alpha \in(0,1)$. We have the following result:

Theorem 5. The curve $\gamma: I \rightarrow\left(M, \tilde{g}_{\alpha}\right)$ is biharmonic if and only if the function $f$ satisfying the following

$$
f(\gamma(t))= \pm \int \sqrt{\left(a t^{2}+b t+c\right)^{2}-\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} \mathrm{d} t
$$

where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $\left(a t^{2}+b t+c\right)^{2}>\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}$, for all $t \in I$.
Proof. By Theorem 1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\tau}(\gamma)=\tau(\gamma)+\frac{(1-\alpha) \operatorname{Hess}_{f}(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma})}{\alpha+(1-\alpha)\|\operatorname{grad} f\|^{2} \circ \gamma}(\operatorname{grad} f) \circ \gamma, \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

from the harmonicity condition of $\gamma$, and equations (32), (33), we obtain $\widetilde{\tau}(\gamma)=\lambda \dot{\gamma}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda=\frac{(1-\alpha) \rho \rho^{\prime}}{\alpha+(1-\alpha) \rho^{2}} . \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, the curve $\gamma: I \rightarrow\left(M, \tilde{g}_{\alpha}\right)$ is biharmonic if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{R}\left(\widetilde{\tau}(\gamma), \mathrm{d} \gamma\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \gamma\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\right)+\widetilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}}^{\gamma} \widetilde{\nabla}_{\mathrm{d} t}^{\gamma} \widetilde{\tau}(\gamma)=0 \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

by the property of the curvature tensor, the first term on the left-hand side of (35) is

$$
\widetilde{R}\left(\widetilde{\tau}(\gamma), \mathrm{d} \gamma\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\right)\right) \mathrm{d} \gamma\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\right)=\lambda \widetilde{R}(\dot{\gamma}, \dot{\gamma}) \dot{\gamma}=0
$$

For the second term on the left-hand side of (35), we compute

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}}^{\gamma} \widetilde{\tau}(\gamma) & =\widetilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}}^{\gamma} \lambda \dot{\gamma} \\
& =\lambda^{\prime} \dot{\gamma}+\lambda \widetilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma} \\
& =\left(\lambda^{\prime}+\lambda^{2}\right) \dot{\gamma} \tag{36}
\end{align*}
$$

with the same method of (36), we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{\nabla}_{\frac{d}{d} t}^{\gamma} \widetilde{\nabla}_{\frac{d}{d} t}^{\gamma} \widetilde{\tau}(\gamma) & =\widetilde{\nabla}_{\frac{d}{d t}}^{\gamma}\left(\lambda^{\prime}+\lambda^{2}\right) \dot{\gamma} \\
& =\left(\lambda^{\prime \prime}+2 \lambda \lambda^{\prime}\right) \dot{\gamma}+\left(\lambda^{\prime}+\lambda^{2}\right) \widetilde{\nabla}_{\dot{\gamma}} \dot{\gamma} \\
& =\left(\lambda^{\prime \prime}+3 \lambda \lambda^{\prime}+\lambda^{3}\right) \dot{\gamma} .
\end{aligned}
$$

So, the curve $\gamma: I \rightarrow\left(M, \tilde{g}_{\alpha}\right)$ is biharmonic if and only if $\lambda^{\prime \prime}+3 \lambda \lambda^{\prime}+\lambda^{3}=0$, that is the function $\lambda$ is the form $(2 a t+b) /\left(a t^{2}+b t+c\right)$, where $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$, such that $a t^{2}+b t+c \neq 0$, for all $t \in I$. Thus, from (34) with $\left(a t^{2}+b t+c\right)^{2}>\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}$, for all $t \in I$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(t)= \pm \sqrt{\left(a t^{2}+b t+c\right)^{2}-\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}}, \quad \forall t \in I \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 5 follows from equation (37), with $\rho=(f \circ \gamma)^{\prime}$.

Remark 2. The curve $\gamma: I \rightarrow\left(M, \tilde{g}_{\alpha}\right)$ is proper biharmonic if and only if there exists $a, b, c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a^{2}+b^{2}>0$, and for all $i=1, \ldots, m(m=\operatorname{dim} M)$, and in any local coordinates $\left(x_{i}\right)$ on $M$, such that

$$
\left.\sum_{j=1}^{m} g^{i j}(\gamma(t)) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x_{j}}\right|_{\gamma(t)}= \pm\left.\sqrt{\left(a t^{2}+b t+c\right)^{2}-\frac{\alpha}{1-\alpha}} \frac{\mathrm{d} \gamma^{i}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\right|_{t}, \quad \forall t \in I
$$

Using Theorem 5 and the previous Remark, we can construct many examples for proper biharmonic curves.

Example 3. Let $M=\mathbb{R}^{n}$ equipped with the Riemannian metric $g=\mathrm{d} x_{1}^{2}+\cdots+\mathrm{d} x_{n}^{2}$,

$$
f(x)=\frac{2}{3} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(1+x_{i}^{2}\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}, \quad \forall x=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) \in M
$$

For $\alpha=\frac{n}{n+1}$, the curve

$$
\gamma: I \rightarrow\left(M, \tilde{g}_{\alpha}\right), \quad t \mapsto\left(\frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}, \ldots, \frac{t}{\sqrt{n}}\right),
$$

is proper biharmonic.
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