Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae

Marziyeh Atashkar; Yahya Talebi

On FI-mono-retractable modules

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2022

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.

This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* `http://dml.cz`

On FI-mono-retractable modules

Marziyeh Atashkar, Yahya Talebi

Abstract. We introduce the notion of FI-mono-retractable modules which is a generalization of compressible modules. We investigate the properties of such modules. It is shown that the rings over which every cyclic module is FI-mono-retractable are simple Noetherian V-ring with zero socle or Artinian semisimple. The last section of the paper is devoted to the endomorphism rings of FI-retractable modules.

Keywords: retractable module; FI-mono-retractable module; compressible module; fully invariant submodule

Classification: 16D10, 16D80

1. Introduction

Throughout this paper R denotes an arbitrary associative ring with identity and all modules are unitary right R-modules. For an R-module M, $S = \mathrm{End}(M)$ denotes the endomorphism ring of M. In addition, $\mathrm{E}(M)$, $\mathrm{Soc}(M)$ and $\mathrm{Rad}(M)$ denote the injective hull, the socle and the Jacobson radical of M, respectively. Also $\mathrm{J}(R)$ stands for the Jacobson radical of R. Let M be a module and N be a nonzero submodule of M. Then N is called to be an *essential* submodule of M denoted by $N \leq_e M$ if $K \cap N \neq 0$ for every nonzero submodule K of M. A module M is called *uniform* if every nonzero submodule of M is essential in M. Recall that M is *singular* (*nonsingular*) provided that $Z(M) = M$ ($Z(M) = 0$) where $Z(M) = \{x \in M : xI = 0$ for some essential ideal I of $R\}$. A submodule N of M is called *fully invariant*, if for every $f \in \mathrm{End}(M)$, $f(N) \subseteq N$. Clearly 0 and M are fully invariant submodules of M. There are some well-known fully invariant submodules of a module M such as $\mathrm{Rad}(M)$, $\mathrm{Soc}(M)$, $Z(M)$. It is clear that the sum and the intersection of any collection of fully invariant submodules are also fully invariant. Thus the collection of fully invariant submodules of M is a sublattice of the complete modular lattice of all submodules of M. J. Zelmanowitz introduced the notion of compressible modules. A right R-module M is called *compressible* if for each nonzero submodule N of M there exists a monomorphism $f \colon M \to N$.

For example if R is a domain, then the right R-module R is compressible. In [7] P. F. Smith and M. R. Vedadi study a generalization of compressible modules, essentially compressible modules, with focus on essential submodules. In this work we present another generalization of compressible modules namely, *FI-mono-retractable* modules by focusing just on nonzero fully invariant submodules. An R-module M is called FI-mono-retractable, if for any nonzero fully invariant submodule N of M there exists a monomorphism $f \colon M \to N$. Clearly compressible modules are FI-mono-retractable but the converse is not true in general.

In Section 2, we study some properties of FI-mono-retractable modules and we show that when the FI-mono-retractability implies the essentially retractability. Also we prove that the class of FI-mono-retractable modules is closed under direct sums and present some conditions to show when FI-retractability condition is preserved under taking submodules and homomorphic images. We prove that any finitely generated nonsingular FI-mono-retractable module that has a uniform submodule, has finite uniform dimension. In Section 3, we consider FI-mono-retractable modules over certain rings. Also we investigate rings over which every cyclic (cocyclic) module is FI-mono-retractable. Such rings are simple Noetherian V-ring with zero socle or Artinian semisimple. Section 3 is devoted to the endomorphism rings of FI-mono-retractable modules. We show that every finitely generated quasi-projective FI-mono-retractable module has a prime endomorphism ring and the endomorphism ring of an indecomposable quasi-injective FI-mono-retractable module is a field.

2.   General properties

We first recall the following elementary well known facts about fully invariant submodules.

Proposition 2.1. *Let R be any ring and M be a nonzero R-module:*

(1) *Any sum or intersection of fully invariant submodules of M are again a fully invariant submodule.*

(2) *Let $K \leq N$ be submodules of M such that K is a fully invariant submodule of N and N is a fully invariant submodule of M. Then K is a fully invariant submodule of M.*

(3) *Let $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$ and N be a nonzero fully invariant submodule of M. Then $N = \bigoplus_{i \in I}(N \cap M_i)$.*

(4) *Let $M = M_1 \oplus M_2$ be the direct sum of submodules M_1, M_2. Then M_1 is a fully invariant submodule of M if and only if $\mathrm{Hom}(M_1, M_2) = 0$.*

(5) If $N \leq L \leq M$ such that N is a fully invariant submodule of M and L/N is a fully invariant submodule of M/N, then L is a fully invariant submodule of M.

PROOF: For proof of (1), (2), (3), (4) see [5, 2.1], [5, 1.9]. (5) Let $f\colon M \to M$ be a homomorphism. Then $f(N) \leq N$. Now, consider the homomorphism $\bar{f}\colon M/N \to M/N$ defined by $\bar{f}(m + N) = f(m) + N$ for all $m \in M$. So $\bar{f}(L/N) \leq L/N$. Clearly $\bar{f}(L/N) = (f(L) + N)/N$. Therefore $f(L) \leq L$. Hence L is a fully invariant submodule of M. $\square$

Definition 2.2. An R-module M is called *FI-mono-retractable* provided for each nonzero fully invariant submodule N of M, there exists a monomorphism $f\colon M \to N$.

Remark 2.3. (1) Let R be a commutative ring. Following [1] an R-module M is multiplication if for each submodule N of M, there exists ideal I of R such that $N = MI$. Clearly, if M is multiplication, then M is compressible if and only if M is FI-mono-retractable.

(2) Following [8] an R-module M is called fully prime if for any nonzero fully invariant submodule K of M, M is K-cogenerated. And M is called prime if for any nonzero fully invariant submodule K of M, $\mathrm{Ann}_R(K) = \mathrm{Ann}_R(M)$. It is clear that every FI-mono-retractable module is fully prime. Also, every FI-mono-retractable module is a prime module. Because if M is FI-mono-retractable and N is a nonzero fully invariant submodule of M, then there exists monomorphism $f\colon M \to N$. Let $r \in \mathrm{Ann}_R(N)$. Then $f(Mr) \leq Nr = 0$. So $\mathrm{Ann}_R(N) \leq \mathrm{Ann}_R(M)$.

In the following result we present a condition in which the two concepts of compressible and FI-mono-retractable are equivalent. In [10] M has (*) condition if for any nonzero proper submodule K of M, there is an $r \in R \setminus \mathrm{Ann}_R(M)$ with $Mr \subset K$.

Proposition 2.4. *Any FI-mono-retractable module with* (*) *condition is compressible.*

PROOF: Suppose that M is FI-mono-retractable and N any nonzero submodule of M. By (*) condition there exists $r \in R \setminus \mathrm{Ann}_R(M)$ such that $MrR \subset N$. Since MrR is fully invariant submodule of M, there exists a monomorphism $f\colon M \to MrR$ and so $i \circ f\colon M \to N$ is a monomorphism where i denoted the inclusion map $i\colon MrR \to N$. $\square$

In general the class of FI-mono-retractable modules is not closed under taking submodules and factor modules. For example $\mathbb{Z}$ as $\mathbb{Z}$-module is FI-mono-retractable module but $\mathbb{Z}$-module $\mathbb{Z}/(4\mathbb{Z})$ is not FI-mono-retractable module retractable. However, there are some special cases, as follows.

Proposition 2.5. *Any fully invariant submodule of an FI-mono-retractable module is FI-mono-retractable.*

PROOF: Suppose that M is FI-mono-retractable and N any nonzero fully invariant submodule of M. Let K be a nonzero fully invariant submodule of N. Then K is fully invariant submodule of M. By assumption there exists a monomorphism $f\colon M \to K$. Then $f \circ i\colon N \to K$ is a nonzero monomorphism where i denotes the inclusion map of N to M. $\square$

Proposition 2.6. *Let N be a submodule of an FI-mono-retractable module M such that $\alpha(N) + \alpha^{-1}(N) \leq N$ for every monic epimorphism $\alpha \in \operatorname{End}(M)$. Then the module M/N is an FI-mono-retractable module.*

PROOF: Let L/N be a nonzero fully invariant submodule of M/N. By Proposition 2.1 (5), L is a fully invariant submodule of M. By hypothesis, there exists monomorphism $f\colon M \to L$. Since $f(N) + f^{-1}(N) \leq N$, the induced mapping $\bar{f}\colon M/N \to L/N$ defined by $\bar{f}(m+N) = f(m)+N$ is a monomorphism. It follows that M/N is an FI-mono-retractable module. $\square$

Corollary 2.7. *Let M be an FI-mono-retractable module. Then the module $M/Z(M)$ is an FI-mono-retractable module.*

PROOF: Let N be a submodule of M containing $Z(M)$ such that $N/Z(M)$ is a fully invariant submodule of $M/Z(M)$. Since $Z(M)$ is fully invariant submodule of M then N is a fully invariant submodule of M. So there exists a monomorphism $f\colon M \to N$. Then f induces $\bar{f}\colon M/Z(M) \to N/Z(M)$ defined by $\bar{f}(m + Z(M)) = f(m) + Z(M)$. Since $f^{-1}(Z(M)) \leq Z(M)$, $\bar{f}$ is monomorphism. $\square$

Proposition 2.8. *Let R be any ring and $M = \bigoplus_{i\in I} M_i$ be a direct sum of FI-mono-retractable module M_i. Then M is a mono-retractable module.*

PROOF: Let N be any fully invariant submodule of M. Then by Proposition 2.1 (3), $N = \bigoplus_{i\in I}(N \cap M_i)$. Since $N \cap M_i$ is a fully invariant submodule of M_i, there exists monomorphism $f_i\colon M_i \to N \cap M_i$. Hence $f = \bigoplus_{i\in I} f_i\colon \bigoplus_{i\in I} M_i \to \bigoplus_{i\in I}(N \cap M_i)$ is monomorphism. $\square$

A module M is called *cocyclic* provided it contains an essential simple submodule.

Proposition 2.9. *Let M be FI-mono-retractable module. Then:*

(1) *M is either semisimple or $\mathrm{Soc}(M) = 0$.*

(2) *M is either singular or nonsingular.*

(3) *M is singular and semisimple or $\mathrm{Soc}(M)$ is projective, but not both.*

(4) *$\mathrm{Ann}_R(M)$ is a prime ideal of R.*

(5) *If M is finitely generated, then no submodule of M is an infinite direct sum of nonzero fully invariant submodules.*

(6) *If M is cocyclic, then M is simple.*

(7) *If M is quasi-injective, then M has no nontrivial fully invariant submodule.*

PROOF: (1) Suppose that $\mathrm{Soc}(M) \neq 0$. Then there exists a monomorphism $f\colon M \to \mathrm{Soc}(M)$. So M is semisimple.

(2) The proof is similar to (1).

(3) Suppose that M is FI-mono-retractable. If $Z(M) \cap \mathrm{Soc}(M) = 0$, then [4, 1.24] implies that $\mathrm{Soc}(M)$ is projective. If $Z(M) \cap \mathrm{Soc}(M) \neq 0$, then FI-mono-retractable condition on M implies that there exists a monomorphism $f\colon M \to Z(M) \cap \mathrm{Soc}(M)$. So M is singular and semisimple.

(4) Suppose that I, J are nonzero right ideals of R such that $MI \neq 0$, $MJ \neq 0$ and $MIJ = 0$. By FI-mono-retractable condition on M there exists monomorphism $f\colon M \to MI$. Then $f(MJ) \leq MIJ = 0$. So $MJ = 0$.

(5) Suppose that $N = N_1 \oplus N_2 \oplus \cdots$ is direct sum of fully invariant submodule of M. By assumption there exists a monomorphism $f\colon M \to N$. Since M is finitely generated $f(M) \leq N_1 \oplus \cdots \oplus N_k$ for some positive integer k. Also $f(N_{k+1} \oplus \cdots) \leq f(M) \cap (N_{k+1} \oplus \cdots) = 0$. Hence $N_{k+1} \oplus \cdots \leq \mathrm{Ker} f = 0$.

(6) Thist is trivial consequence of (1).

(7) Suppose that N is a nonzero fully invariant submodule of M. By assumption there exists a monomorphism $f\colon M \to N$. Then $M \cong K$ for some submodule $K \leq N$. Now the isomorphism $g\colon K \to M$ can be extended to $\bar{g}\colon M \to M$ such that $\bar{g}(K) = g(K)$. Therefore $M = g(K) = \bar{g}(K) \leq \bar{g}(N) \leq N$. Consequently $M = N$. □

A module M is said to have *finite uniform dimension* denoted by $\mathrm{u.dim}(M) < \infty$ if it does not contain an infinite direct sum of nonzero submodules.

Proposition 2.10. *Let M be a finitely generated nonsingular FI-mono-retractable module. Then M has finite uniform dimension if and only if M has a uniform submodule.*

PROOF: Suppose that M is a nonsingular FI-mono-retractable and U a uniform submodule of M. Let $N = \Sigma\{f(U)\colon f \in \mathrm{Hom}(U, M)\}$. Since N is fully invariant submodule of M, there exists monomorphism $g\colon M \to N$. Since M is finitely

generated, there exists positive integer n and $f_i \in \text{Hom}(U, M)$ such that $\text{Im}\, g \leq f_1(U) + \cdots + f_n(U)$. Hence $g: M \to f_1(U) + \cdots + f_n(U)$ is a monomorphism. Now, define $\alpha: U^{(n)} \to f_1(U) + \cdots + f_n(U)$ by $\alpha(u_1, \cdots, u_n) = f_1(u_1) + \cdots + f_n(u_n)$. It is clear that α is an epimorphism. Then $U^{(n)}$ has finite uniform dimension. Also since $U^{(n)}/\text{Ker}\,\alpha$ is nonsingular, $\text{Ker}\,\alpha$ is closed in $U^{(n)}$. So [2, 5.10] implies that $U^{(n)}/\text{Ker}\,\alpha$ and so $f_1(U_1) + \cdots + f_n(U_n)$ has finite uniform dimension. Consequently M has finite uniform dimension. $\qquad\square$

3. FI-mono-retractable modules over certain rings

A ring R is called *right quasi-injective* if R_R is an injective module.

Proposition 3.1. *Let R be a right quasi-injective ring and M be a finitely generated nonsingular FI-mono-retractable module. If M has a uniform submodule, then M is semisimple, projective and injective.*

PROOF: Suppose that M has a uniform submodule. By Proposition 2.10, M has finite uniform dimension. Let u.dim$(M) = n$. So there exists an essential submodule V of M such that $V = U_1 \oplus U_2 \oplus \cdots \oplus U_n$ where $U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_n$ are uniform submodules of M. We prove that U_i is simple for each $1 \leq i \leq n$. Let $0 \neq x \in U_i$. Since xR is nonsingular and R is right quasi-injective, then $R/\text{Ann}_R(x)$ and so xR is injective. Hence $xR = U_i$ because U_i is uniform. Therefore V is semisimple and injective. Now since V is an essential and injective submodule of M, $V = M$. Also by [4, 1.26], every nonsingular semisimple module is projective. $\qquad\square$

A ring R is called right *V-ring* if every simple right R-module is injective.

Proposition 3.2. *Let R be any ring. Then R is V-ring if and only if every cocyclic R-module is FI-mono-retractable.*

PROOF: Suppose that R is V-ring and M a cocyclic R-module. Let N be simple and essential submodule of M. By assumption N is a direct summand of M. So $M = N$. Conversely, suppose that M is a simple R-module. It is clear that $M = \text{Soc}(\text{E}(M))$, hence $\text{E}(M) = M$ by 2.9 (1). $\qquad\square$

Proposition 3.3. *Let R be any ring such that every cyclic R-module is FI-mono-retractable. Then every semisimple R-module is injective.*

PROOF: Suppose that M is any semisimple R-module. Let $0 \neq x \in \text{E}(M)$ then $0 \neq xR \cap M = \text{Soc}(xR)$. By assumption xR is FI-mono-retractable. So Proposition 2.9 (1) implies xR is semisimple. So $xR \leq M$ and so $M = \text{E}(M)$. $\qquad\square$

Following [6] the ring R is right GV-ring in case each right simple R-module is injective or projective. Let R be any ring. In [6, 4.8] equivalent conditions are provided for R such that any semisimple R-module is injective.

Proposition 3.4. *Let R be any ring. If every cyclic R-module is FI-mono-retractable, then R is either simple right Noetherian V-ring with zero socle or Artinian semisimple.*

PROOF: Suppose that R is a ring such that every cyclic R-module is FI-mono-retractable. Then by Proposition 3.2, every semisimple R-module is injective. Now the equivalent conditions in [6, 4.8] completes the proof.   □

Proposition 3.5. *Let R be a commutative ring. If every cyclic R-module is FI-mono-retractable, then R is Artinian semisimple.*

PROOF: Suppose that every cyclic R-module is FI-mono-retractable. Proposition 3.3 implies that every semisimple R-module is injective. Now by [6, 4.9], R is Artinian semisimple.   □

4.   Endomorphism ring of certain FI-mono-retractable modules

Definition 4.1. A ring R is called *right FI-mono-retractable* if R_R is FI-mono-retractable.

Recall that an element $c \in R$ is *right regular* if $\mathrm{r.ann}_R(c) = 0$ where $\mathrm{r.ann}_R(c)$ denotes the right annihilator of c.

Lemma 4.2. *Let R be any ring. The following statements are equivalent:*
  (1) *R is a right FI-mono-retractable ring.*
  (2) *Every two-sided ideal of R has right regular element.*

PROOF: (1) $\Rightarrow$ (2) Suppose that R is FI-mono-retractable and I any two-sided ideal of R. There exists a monomorphism $f \colon R \to I$. So, $f(1_R)$ is right regular element of I.

(2) $\Rightarrow$ (1) Suppose I is any two-sided ideal of R and $x \in I$ a right regular element. Then the map $f \colon R \to I$ defined by $f(r) = xr$ is a monomorphism.   □

Proposition 4.3. *Let M be a quasi-projective FI-mono-retractable module. If M is finitely generated, then $S = \mathrm{End}(M)$ is a right FI-mono-retractable ring.*

PROOF: Suppose that M is finitely generated quasi-projective FI-mono-retractable. Let I be any two-sided ideal of S. Then IM is a fully invariant submodule of M and so there exists a monomorphism $f \colon M \to IM$. Since M is finitely generated and quasi projective by [9, 18.4], $\mathrm{Hom}(M, IM) = I$. On the other

hand, $\mathrm{r.ann}_S(f) = \mathrm{Hom}(M, \mathrm{Ker}\, f) = 0$. Hence $f \in I$ is right regular element. The Lemma 4.2 implies that S is FI-mono-retractable. □

Corollary 4.4. *Let R be a right FI-mono-retractable ring. Then $M_n(R)$ is FI-mono-retractable for any n.*

PROOF: Suppose that R is a right FI-mono-retractable ring. Then $R^{(n)}$ is a finitely generated R-module. Proposition 4.3 implies that $\mathrm{End}(R^{(n)})$ is a right FI-mono-retractable ring and so $M_n(R)$ is a right FI-mono-retractable ring. □

Proposition 4.5. *Every right FI-mono-retractable ring is prime ring.*

PROOF: Suppose that R is a right FI-mono-retractable ring. By Proposition 2.9 (4) $\mathrm{Ann}_R(R)$ is a prime ideal and so R is prime. □

Corollary 4.6. *Let M be a quasi-projective FI-mono-retractable module. If M is finitely generated, then $S = \mathrm{End}(M)$ is prime ring.*

PROOF: The proof follows by Propositions 4.3 and 4.5. □

Proposition 4.7. *Let R be a right FI-mono-retractable ring. If R_R is quasi-injective, then R is simple.*

PROOF: Suppose that R is a right FI-mono-retractable ring and R_R is quasi-injective. By Proposition 2.9 (7), R_R has no nontrivial fully invariant submodule. So R has no ideal other than the trivial ones. Therefore R is simple. □

Proposition 4.8. *Let R be a right hereditary right FI-mono-retractable ring. Then R is right Noetherian if and only if R has a right uniform ideal.*

PROOF: Suppose that R has a right uniform ideal. Then R is right nonsingular ring because R is right hereditary. So by Proposition 2.10, R_R has finite uniform dimension. Now by [4, 5.20], the proof is completed. Conversely, it is clear. □

Proposition 4.9. *The endomorphism ring of an indecomposable quasi-injective FI-mono-retractable module is a field.*

PROOF: Suppose that M is an indecomposable quasi-injective FI-mono-retractable module with $S = \mathrm{End}(M)$. Since M is quasi-injective and FI-retractable, by Proposition 2.9 (7), M has no fully invariant submodule other than the trivial ones. Therefore [3, Exercise 29, page 183] implies that S is a field. □

References

[1] El-Bast Z. A., Smith P. F., *Multiplication modules*, Comm. Algebra **16** (1988), no. 4, 755–779.

[2] Dung N. V., Huynh D. V., Smith P. F., Wisbauer R., *Extending Modules*, Pitman Research Notes in Mathematics Series, 313, Longman Scientific & Technical, Harlow; John Wiley & Sons, New York, 1994.

[3] Faith C., *Algebra: Rings, Modules and Categories. I*, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften, 190, Springer, New York, 1973.

[4] Goodearl K. R., *Ring Theory. Nonsingular Rings and Modules*, Pure and Applied Mathematics, 33, Marcel Dekker, New York, 1976.

[5] Özcan A. Ç., Harmanci A., Smith P. F., *Duo modules*, Glasg. Math. J. **48** (2006), no. 3, 533–545.

[6] Ramamurthi V. S., Rangaswamy K. M., *Generalized V-rings*, Math. Scand. **31** (1972), 69–77.

[7] Smith P. F., Vedadi M. R., *Essentially compressible modules and rings*, J. Algebra **304** (2006), no. 2, 812–831.

[8] Wijayanti I. E., *Coprime Modules and Comodules*, Ph.D. Dissertation, Heinrich–Heine–Universität, Düsseldorf, 2006.

[9] Wisbauer R., *Foundations of Module and Ring Theory*, Algebra, Logic and Applications, 3, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, Philadelphia, 1991.

[10] Wisbauer R., *Modules and Algebras: Bimodule Structure and Group Actions on Algebras*, Pitman Monographs and Surveys in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 81, Longman, Harlow, 1996.

M. Atashkar, Y. Talebi:

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Mathematical Sciences,

University of Mazandaran, Uni N way, Babolsar, Iran

E-mail: m_atashkar62@yahoo.com

E-mail: talebi@umz.ac.ir