Kazunori Kodaka Equivalence bundles over a finite group and strong Morita equivalence for unital inclusions of unital C^{\ast} -algebras

Mathematica Bohemica, Vol. 147 (2022), No. 4, 435-460

Persistent URL: http://dml.cz/dmlcz/151090

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2022

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ*: *The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

EQUIVALENCE BUNDLES OVER A FINITE GROUP AND STRONG MORITA EQUIVALENCE FOR UNITAL INCLUSIONS OF UNITAL C*-ALGEBRAS

KAZUNORI KODAKA, Okinawa

Received January 7, 2021. Published online November 9, 2021. Communicated by Simion Breaz

Abstract. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_t\}_{t \in G}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{B_t\}_{t \in G}$ be C^* -algebraic bundles over a finite group G. Let $C = \bigoplus_{t \in G} A_t$ and $D = \bigoplus_{t \in G} B_t$. Also, let $A = A_e$ and $B = B_e$, where e is the unit element in G. We suppose that C and D are unital and A and B have the unit elements in C and D, respectively. In this paper, we show that if there is an equivalence $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}$ -bundle over G with some properties, then the unital inclusions of unital C^* -algebras $A \subset C$ and $B \subset D$ induced by \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are strongly Morita equivalent. Also, we suppose that \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are saturated and that $A' \cap C = \mathbb{C}1$. We show that if $A \subset C$ and $B \subset D$ are strongly Morita equivalent, then there are an automorphism f of G and an equivalence bundle $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}^f$ -bundle over G with the above properties, where \mathcal{B}^f is the C^* -algebraic bundle induced by \mathcal{B} and f, which is defined by $\mathcal{B}^f = \{B_{f(t)}\}_{t \in G}$. Furthermore, we give an application.

Keywords: C*-algebraic bundle; equivalence bundle; inclusions of C*-algebra; strong Morita equivalence

MSC 2020: 46L05, 46L08

1. INTRODUCTION

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_t\}_{t \in G}$ be a C^* -algebraic bundle over a finite group G. Let $C = \bigoplus_{t \in G} A_t$ and $A_e = A$, where e is the unit element in G. We suppose that C is unital and that A has the unit element in C. Then we obtain a unital inclusion of unital C^* algebras, $A \subset C$. We call it the *unital inclusion of unital* C^* -algebras induced by $a C^*$ -algebraic bundle $\mathcal{A} = \{A_t\}_{t \in G}$. Let E^A be the canonical conditional expectation from C onto A defined by

$$E^A(x) = x_e$$
 for all $x = \sum_{t \in G} x_i \in C$.

DOI: 10.21136/MB.2021.0005-21

Definition 1.1. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_t\}_{t \in G}$ be a C^* -algebraic bundle over a finite group G. We say that \mathcal{A} is *saturated* if $\overline{A_t A_t^*} = A$ for all $t \in G$.

Since A is unital, in our case we do not need to take the closure in Definition 1.1. If \mathcal{A} is saturated, by [9], Corollary 3.2, E^A is of index-finite type and its Watatani index $\operatorname{Ind}_W(E^A) = |G|$, where |G| is the order of G.

Let $\mathcal{B} = \{B_t\}_{t \in G}$ be another C^* -algebraic bundle over G. Let $D = \bigoplus_{t \in G} B_t$ and $B = B_e$. Also, we suppose that \mathcal{B} has the same conditions as \mathcal{A} . Let $B \subset D$ be the unital inclusion of unital C^* -algebras induced by \mathcal{B} .

Let $\mathcal{X} = \{X_t\}_{t \in G}$ be an $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}$ -equivalence bundle defined by Abadie and Ferraro (see [1], Definition 2.2). Moreover, we suppose that

$$_C\langle X_t, X_s \rangle = A_{ts^{-1}}, \quad \langle X_t, X_s \rangle_D = B_{t^{-1}s}$$

for any $t, s \in G$, where $_C\langle X_t, X_s \rangle$ means the linear span of the set

$$\{ {}_C\langle x, y \rangle \in A_{ts^{-1}} \colon x \in X_t, \ y \in X_s \}$$

and $\langle X_t, X_s \rangle_D$ means the linear span of the similar set to the above. The above two properties are stronger than properties (7R) and (7L) in [1], Definition 2.1.

In the present paper, we show that if there is an $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}$ -equivalence bundle $\mathcal{X} = \{X_t\}_{t\in G}$ such that $_C\langle X_t, X_s\rangle = A_{ts^{-1}}$ and $\langle X_t, X_s\rangle_D = B_{t^{-1}s}$ for any $t, s \in G$, then the unital inclusions of unital C^* -algebras $A \subset C$ and $B \subset D$ induced by \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are strongly Morita equivalent. Also, we suppose that \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} are saturated and that $A' \cap C = \mathbb{C}1$. We show that if $A \subset C$ and $B \subset D$ are strongly Morita equivalent, then there are an automorphism f of G and an $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}^f$ -equivalence bundle $\mathcal{X} = \{X_t\}_{t\in G}$ such that $_C\langle X_t, X_s\rangle = A_{ts^{-1}}$ and $\langle X_t, X_s\rangle_D = B_{f(t^{-1}s)}$ for any $t, s \in G$, where \mathcal{B}^f is the C^* -algebraic bundle induced by $\mathcal{B} = \{B_t\}_{t\in G}$ and f, which is defined by $\mathcal{B}^f = \{B_{f(t)}\}_{t\in G}$.

Let A and B be unital C^* -algebras and X an A - B-equivalence bimodule. Then we denote its left A-action and right B-action on X by $a \cdot x$ and $x \cdot b$ for any $a \in A$, $b \in B$ and $x \in X$, respectively. Also, we mean by the words "Hilbert C^* -bimodules" Hilbert C^* -bimodules in the sense of Brown, Mingo and Shen, see [3].

2. Equivalence bundles over a finite group

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_t\}_{t \in G}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{B_t\}_{t \in G}$ be C^* -algebraic bundles over a finite group G. Let e be the unit element in G. Let $C = \bigoplus_{t \in G} A_t$, $D = \bigoplus_{t \in G} B_t$ and $A = A_e$, $B = B_e$. We suppose that C and D are unital and that A and B have the unit elements in C and D, respectively. Let $\mathcal{X} = \{X_t\}_{t \in G}$ be an $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}$ -equivalence bundle over Gsuch that

$$_C\langle X_t, X_s \rangle = A_{ts^{-1}}, \quad \langle X_t, X_s \rangle_D = B_{t^{-1}s}$$

for any $t, s \in G$. Let $Y = \bigoplus_{t \in G} X_t$ and $X = X_e$. Then Y is a C - D-equivalence bimodule by Abadie and Ferraro (see [1], Definitions 2.1 and 2.2). Also, X is an A - B-equivalence bimodule since ${}_C\langle X, X \rangle = A$ and $\langle X, X \rangle_D = B$.

Proposition 2.1. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_t\}_{t\in G}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{B_t\}_{t\in G}$ be C^* -algebraic bundles over a finite group G. Let $C = \bigoplus_{t\in G} A_t$ and $D = \bigoplus_{t\in G} B_t$. Also, let $A = A_e$ and $B = B_e$, where e is the unit element in G. We suppose that C and D are unital and that A and B have the unit elements in C and D, respectively. Also, we suppose that there is an $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}$ -equivalence bundle $\mathcal{X} = \{X_t\}_{t\in G}$ over G such that

$$_C\langle X_t, X_s \rangle = A_{ts^{-1}}, \quad \langle X_t, X_s \rangle_D = B_{t^{-1}s}$$

for any $t, s \in G$. Then the unital inclusions of unital C^* -algebras $A \subset C$ and $B \subset D$ are strongly Morita equivalent.

Proof. Let $Y = \bigoplus_{t \in G} X_t$ and $X = X_e$. By the above discussions and [10], Definition 2.1, we only have to show that

$$_C\langle Y, X\rangle = C, \quad \langle Y, X\rangle_D = D.$$

Let $x \in X$ and $y = \sum_{t \in G} y_t \in Y$, where $y_t \in X_t$ for any $t \in G$. Then

$$_{C}\langle y,x\rangle = \sum_{t\in G} _{C}\langle y_{t},x\rangle, \quad \langle y,x\rangle_{D} = \sum_{t\in G} \langle y_{t},x\rangle_{D}.$$

We note that $_C\langle y_t, x \rangle \in A_t$ and $\langle y_t, x \rangle_D \in B_t$ for any $t \in G$. Since $_D\langle X_t, X_s \rangle = A_{ts^{-1}}$ and $\langle X_t, X_s \rangle_D = B_{t^{-1}s}$ for any $t, s \in G$, by the above computations, we can see that

$$_C\langle Y, X\rangle = C, \quad \langle Y, X\rangle_D = D.$$

Therefore we obtain the conclusion.

Next, we give an example of an equivalence bundle $\mathcal{X} = \{X_t\}_{t \in G}$ over G satisfying the above properties. In order to do this, we prepare a lemma. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_t\}_{t \in G}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{B_t\}_{t \in G}$ be as above. Let $\mathcal{X} = \{X_t\}_{t \in G}$ be a complex Banach bundle over Gwith the maps defined by

$$(y,d) \in Y \times D \mapsto y \cdot d \in Y, \quad (y,z) \in Y \times Y \mapsto \langle y, z \rangle_D \in D, (c,y) \in C \times Y \mapsto c \cdot y \in Y, \quad (y,z) \in Y \times Y \mapsto C \langle y, z \rangle \in C,$$

where $Y = \bigoplus_{t \in G} X_t$.

Lemma 2.2. With the above notation, we suppose that by the above maps, Y is a C - D-equivalence bimodule satisfying that

$$_C\langle X_t, X_s \rangle = A_{ts^{-1}}, \quad \langle X_t, X_s \rangle_D = B_{t^{-1}s}$$

for any $t, s \in G$. If \mathcal{X} satisfies Conditions (1R)–(3R) and (1L)–(3L) in [1], Definition 2.1, then \mathcal{X} is an $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}$ -equivalence bundle.

Proof. Since Y is a C-D-equivalence bimodule, \mathcal{X} has Conditions (4R)–(6R) and (4L)–(6L) in [1], Definition 2.1 except that X_t is complete with the norms $\|\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_D\|^{1/2} = \|_C \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \|^{1/2}$ for any $t \in G$. But we know that if Y is complete with two different norms, then the two norms are equivalent. Hence, X_t is complete with the norms $\|\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_D\|^{1/2} = \|_C \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle \|^{1/2}$ for any $t \in G$. Furthermore, since

$$_C\langle X_t, X_s \rangle = A_{ts^{-1}}, \quad \langle X_t, X_s \rangle_D = B_{t^{-1}s}$$

for any $t, s \in G$, \mathcal{X} has Conditions (7R) and (7L) in [1], Definition 2.1. Therefore we obtain the conclusion.

We give an example of an $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}$ -equivalence bundle $\mathcal{X} = \{X_t\}_{t \in G}$ such that

$$_C\langle X_t, X_s \rangle = A_{ts^{-1}}, \quad \langle X_t, X_s \rangle_D = B_{t^{-1}s}$$

for any $t, s \in G$.

Example 2.3. Let G be a finite group. Let α be an action of G on a unital C^* -algebra A. Let u_t be implementing unitary elements of α , that is, $\alpha_t = \operatorname{Ad}(u_t)$ for any $t \in G$. Then the crossed product of A by α , $A \rtimes_{\alpha} G$ is

$$A \rtimes_{\alpha} G = \left\{ \sum_{t \in G} a_t u_t \colon a_t \in A \text{ for any } t \in G \right\}.$$

Let $A_t = Au_t$ for any $t \in G$. By routine computations, we see that $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha} = \{A_t\}_{t \in G}$ is a C^* -algebraic bundle over G. We call \mathcal{A}_{α} the C^* -algebraic bundle over G induced by an action α . Let β be an action of G on a unital C^* -algebra B and let $\mathcal{A}_{\beta} = \{B_t\}_{t \in G}$

induced by β , where $B_t = Bv_t$ for any $t \in G$ and v_t are implementing unitary elements of β . We suppose that α and β are strongly Morita equivalent with respect to an action λ of G on an A - B-equivalence bimodule X. Let $X \rtimes_{\lambda} G$ be the crossed product of X by λ defined by Kajiwara and Watatani (see [5], Definition 1.4), that is, the direct sum of n-copies of X as a vector space, where n is the order of G. And its elements are written as formal sums so that

$$X \rtimes_{\lambda} G = \bigg\{ \sum_{t \in G} x_t w_t \colon x_t \in X \text{ for any } t \in G \bigg\},\$$

where w_t are indeterminates for all $t \in G$. Let $C = A \rtimes_{\alpha} G$, $D = B \rtimes_{\beta} G$ and $Y = X \rtimes_{\lambda} G$. Then by [5], Proposition 1.7, Y is a C - D-equivalence bimodule, where we define the left C-action and the right D-action on Y by

$$(au_t) \cdot (xw_s) = (a \cdot \lambda_t(x))w_{ts}, \quad (xw_s) \cdot (bv_t) = (x \cdot \beta_s(b))v_{st}$$

for any $a \in A$, $b \in B$, $x \in X$ and $t, s \in G$ and we define the left C-valued inner product and the right D-valued inner product on Y by extending linearly the following:

$${}_C\langle xw_t, yw_s\rangle = {}_A\langle x, \lambda_{ts^{-1}}(y)\rangle u_{ts^{-1}}, \quad \langle xw_t, yw_s\rangle_D = \beta_{t^{-1}}(\langle x, y\rangle_B)v_{t^{-1}s}$$

for any $x, y \in X$, $t, s \in G$. Let $X_t = Xw_t$ for any $t \in G$ and $\mathcal{X}_{\lambda} = \{X_t\}_{t \in G}$. Then $Y = \bigoplus_{t \in G} X_t$. Also, \mathcal{X}_{λ} has Conditions (1R)–(3R) and (1L)–(3L) in [1], Definition 2.1. Furthermore, X is an A - B-equivalence bimodule and \mathcal{X}_{λ} satisfies

$$_C\langle X_t, X_s \rangle = A_{ts^{-1}}, \quad \langle X_t, X_s \rangle_D = B_{t^{-1}s}$$

for any $t, s \in G$. Therefore \mathcal{X}_{λ} is an $\mathcal{A}_{\alpha} - \mathcal{A}_{\beta}$ -equivalence bundle by Lemma 2.2.

3. Saturated C^* -algebraic bundles over a finite group

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_t\}_{t \in G}$ be a saturated C^* -algebraic bundle over a finite group G. Let e be the unit element in G. Let $C = \bigoplus_{t \in G} A_t$ and $A = A_e$. We suppose that C is unital and that A has the unit element in C. Let E^A be the canonical conditional expectation from C onto A defined in Section 1, which is of Watatani index-finite type. Let C_1 be the C^* -basic construction of C and e_A the Jones' projection for E^A . By [9], Lemma 3.7, there is an action α^A of G on C_1 induced by \mathcal{A} defined as follows: Since \mathcal{A} is saturated and A is unital, there is a finite set $\{x_i^t\}_{i=1}^{n_t} \subset A_t$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^{n_t} x_i^t x_i^{t*} = 1$ for any $t \in G$. Let $e_t = \sum_{i=1}^{n_t} x_i^t e_A x_i^{t*}$ for all $t \in G$. Then by [9],

Lemmas 3.3, 3.5 and Remark 3.4, $\{e_t\}_{t\in G}$ are mutually orthogonal projections in $A' \cap C_1$, which are independent of the choice of $\{x_i^t\}_{i=1}^{n_t}$, with $\sum_{t\in G} e_t = 1$ such that C and e_t generate the C^* -algebra C_1 for all $t \in G$. We define $\alpha^{\mathcal{A}}$ by $\alpha_t^{\mathcal{A}}(c) = c$ and $\alpha_t^{\mathcal{A}}(e_A) = e_{t^{-1}}$ for any $t \in G$, $c \in C$. Let $\mathcal{A}_1 = \{Y_{\alpha_t^{\mathcal{A}}}\}_{t\in G}$ be the C^* -algebraic bundle over G induced by the action $\alpha^{\mathcal{A}}$ of G which is defined in [9], Sections 5, 6, that is, let $Y_{\alpha_t^{\mathcal{A}}} = e_A C_1 \alpha_t^{\mathcal{A}}(e_A) = e_A C_1 e_{t^{-1}}$ for any $t \in G$. The product \bullet and the involution \sharp in \mathcal{A}_1 are defined as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} (x,y) &\in Y_{\alpha_t^{\mathcal{A}}} \times Y_{\alpha_s^{\mathcal{A}}} \mapsto x \bullet y = x \alpha_t^{\mathcal{A}}(y) \in Y_{\alpha_{ts}^{\mathcal{A}}}, \\ x &\in Y_{\alpha_t^{\mathcal{A}}} \mapsto x^{\sharp} = \alpha_{t^{-1}}^{\mathcal{A}}(x^*) \in Y_{\alpha_{t-1}^{\mathcal{A}}}. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.1. With the above notation, \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}_1 are isomorphic as C^* -algebraic bundles over G.

Proof. Since $C_1 = Ce_A C$, for any $t \in G$

$$Y_{\alpha_t^A} = e_A C e_A C e_{t^{-1}} = e_A A C e_{t^{-1}} = e_A C e_{t^{-1}}.$$

Let x be any element in C. Then we can write that $x = \sum_{s \in G} x_s$, where $x_s \in A_s$. Hence

$$e_{A}xe_{t^{-1}} = \sum_{s,i} e_{A}x_{s}x_{i}^{t^{-1}}e_{A}x_{i}^{t^{-1}*} = \sum_{s,i} E^{A}(x_{s}x_{i}^{t^{-1}})e_{A}x_{i}^{t^{-1}*}$$
$$= \sum_{i} x_{t}x_{i}^{t^{-1}}e_{A}x_{i}^{t^{-1}*} = e_{A}x_{t}\sum_{i} x_{i}^{t^{-1}}x_{i}^{t^{-1}*} = e_{A}x_{t}$$

Thus, $Y_{\alpha_t^A} = e_A C e_{t^{-1}} = e_A A_t$ for any $t \in G$. Let π_t be the map from A_t to $Y_{\alpha_t^A}$ defined by $\pi_t(x) = e_A x$ for any $x \in A_t$ and $t \in G$. By the above discussions π_t is a linear map from A_t onto $Y_{\alpha_t^A}$. Then

$$\|\pi_t(x)\|^2 = \|e_A x x^* e_A\| = \|E^A(x x^*) e_A\| = \|E^A(x x^*)\| = \|x x^*\| = \|x\|^2.$$

Hence, π_t is injective for any $t \in G$. Thus, $A_t \cong e_A C_1 \alpha_t^{\mathcal{A}}(e_A)$ as Banach spaces for any $t \in G$. Also, for any $x \in A_t$, $y \in A_s$, $t, s \in G$,

$$\pi_t(x) \bullet \pi_s(y) = e_A x \alpha_t^{\mathcal{A}}(e_A y) = e_A x e_{t^{-1}} y = e_A \sum_i x x_i^{t^{-1}} e_A x_i^{t^{-1}*} y$$
$$= e_A \sum_i x x_i^{t^{-1}} x_i^{t^{-1}*} y = e_A x y = \pi_{ts}(xy),$$
$$\pi_t(x)^{\sharp} = \alpha_{t^{-1}}^{\mathcal{A}}(\pi_t(x)^*) = \alpha_{t^{-1}}^{\mathcal{A}}((e_A x)^*) = \alpha_{t^{-1}}^{\mathcal{A}}(x^* e_A) = x^* e_t$$
$$= \sum_i x^* x_i^t e_A x_i^{t*} = e_A \sum_i x^* x_i^t x_i^{t*} = e_A x^* = \pi_{t^{-1}}(x^*)$$

Therefore $\mathcal{A} = \{A_t\}_{t \in G}$ and $\mathcal{A}_1 = \{Y_{\alpha_t^{\mathcal{A}}}\}_{t \in G}$ are isomorphic as C^* -algebraic bundles over G.

4. Strong Morita equivalence for unital inclusions of unital $$C^*$-algebras}$

Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_t\}_{t \in G}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{B_t\}_{t \in G}$ be saturated C^* -algebraic bundles over a finite group G. Let e be the unit element in G. Let $C = \bigoplus_{t \in G} A_t$, $D = \bigoplus_{t \in G} B_t$ and $A = A_e$, $B = B_e$. We suppose that C and D are unital and that A and B have the unit elements in C and D, respectively. Let E^A and E^B be the canonical conditional expectations from C and D onto A and B defined in Section 1, respectively. They are of Watatani index-finite type. Let $A \subset C$ and $B \subset D$ be the unital inclusions of unital C^* -algebras induced by \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , respectively. We suppose that $A \subset C$ and $B \subset D$ are strongly Morita equivalent with respect to a C - D-equivalence bimodule Y and its closed subspace X. Also, we suppose that $A' \cap C = \mathbb{C}1$. Then by [10], Lemma 10.3, $B' \cap D = \mathbb{C}1$ and by [7], Lemma 4.1 and its proof, there is a unique conditional expectation E^X from Y onto X with respect to E^A and E^B .

Let C_1 and D_1 be the C^* -basic constructions of C and D and e_A and e_B the Jones' projections for E^A and E^B , respectively. Let α^A and α^B be actions of Gon C_1 and D_1 induced by \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , respectively. Furthermore, let C_2 and D_2 be the C^* -basic constructions of C_1 and D_1 for the dual conditional expectations E^C of E^A and E^D of E^B , which are isomorphic to $C_1 \rtimes_{\alpha^A} G$ and $D_1 \rtimes_{\alpha^B} G$, respectively. We identify C_2 and D_2 with $C_1 \rtimes_{\alpha^A} G$ and $D_1 \rtimes_{\alpha^B} G$, respectively. By [10], Corollary 6.3, the unital inclusions $C_1 \subset C_2$ and $D_1 \subset D_2$ are strongly Morita equivalent with respect to a $C_2 - D_2$ -equivalence bimodule Y_2 and its closed subspace Y_1 , where Y_1 and Y_2 are the $C_1 - D_1$ -equivalence bimodule and the $C_2 - D_2$ -equivalence bimodule defined in [10], Section 6, respectively, and Y_1 is regarded as a closed subspace of Y_2 in the same way as in [10], Section 6. Also, $C'_1 \cap C_2 = \mathbb{C}1$ by the proof of Watatani (see [13], Proposition 2.7.3) since $A' \cap C = \mathbb{C}1$. Hence, by [11], Corollary 6.5, there are an automorphism f of G, a $C_1 - D_1$ -equivalence bimodule Z and an action λ of G on Z such that α^A and β , the action of G on D_1 defined by $\beta_t(d) = \alpha^B_{f(t)}(d)$ for any $t \in G$, $d \in D$, are strongly Morita equivalent with respect to λ .

Let $\mathcal{A}_1 = \{Y_{\alpha_t^A}\}_{t\in G}$ and $\mathcal{B}_1 = \{Y_{\alpha_t^B}\}_{t\in G}$ be the C^* -algebraic bundles over G induced by the actions α^A and α^B , which are defined in Section 3. Furthermore, let $\mathcal{B}^f = \{B_{f(t)}\}_{t\in G}$ be the C^* -algebraic bundle over G induced by \mathcal{B} and f and let $\mathcal{B}_1^f = \{Y_{\beta_t}\}_{t\in G}$ be the C^* -algebraic bundle over G induced by the action β , which is defined in Section 3. We construct an $\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{B}_1^f$ -equivalence bundle $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_t\}_{t\in G}$ over G.

Let $Z_t = e_A \cdot Z \cdot \beta_t(e_B)$ for any $t \in G$ and let $W = \bigoplus_{t \in G} Z_t$. Also, by Lemma 3.1 and its proof, $\bigoplus_{t \in G} Y_{\alpha_t^A} \cong C$ and $\bigoplus_{t \in G} Y_{\beta_t} \cong D$ as C^* -algebras. We identify $\bigoplus_{t \in G} Y_{\alpha_t^A}$ and $\bigoplus_{t \in G} Y_{\beta_t}$ with C and D, respectively. We define the left C-action \diamond and the left C-valued inner product $_C\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on W by

$$e_{A}x\alpha_{t}^{\mathcal{A}}(e_{A}) \diamond [e_{A} \cdot z \cdot \beta_{s}(e_{B})] \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e_{A}x\alpha_{t}^{\mathcal{A}}(e_{A}) \cdot \lambda_{t}(e_{A} \cdot z \cdot \beta_{s}(e_{B}))$$

$$= e_{A} \cdot [x\alpha_{t}^{\mathcal{A}}(e_{A}) \cdot \lambda_{t}(z)] \cdot \beta_{ts}(e_{B}),$$

$$c \langle e_{A} \cdot w \cdot \beta_{t}(e_{B}), \ e_{A} \cdot z \cdot \beta_{s}(e_{B}) \rangle \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} c_{1} \langle e_{A} \cdot w \cdot \beta_{t}(e_{B}), \ \lambda_{ts^{-1}}(e_{A} \cdot z \cdot \beta_{s}(e_{B})) \rangle$$

$$= e_{AC_{1}} \langle w \cdot \beta_{t}(e_{B}), \ \lambda_{ts^{-1}}(z) \cdot \beta_{t}(e_{B}) \rangle \alpha_{ts^{-1}}^{\mathcal{A}}(e_{A}),$$

where $e_A x \alpha_t^{\mathcal{A}}(e_A) \in e_A C_1 \alpha_t^{\mathcal{A}}(e_A)$, $e_A \cdot z \cdot \beta_s(e_B) \in Z_s$, $e_A \cdot w \cdot \beta_t(e_B) \in Z_t$. Also, we define the right *D*-action, which is also denoted by the same symbol \diamond and the *D*-valued inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_D$ on *W* by

$$\begin{split} [e_A \cdot z \cdot \beta_t(e_B)] \diamond e_B x \beta_s(e_B) &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} e_A \cdot z \cdot \beta_t(e_B) \beta_t(x) \beta_{ts}(e_B) \\ &= e_A \cdot [z \cdot \beta_t(e_B) \beta_t(x)] \cdot \beta_{ts}(e_B), \\ \langle e_A \cdot z \cdot \beta_t(e_B), e_A \cdot w \cdot \beta_s(e_B) \rangle_D &\stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \beta_{t^{-1}} (\langle e_A \cdot z \cdot \beta_t(e_B), e_A \cdot w \cdot \beta_s(e_B) \rangle_{D_1}) \\ &= e_B \beta_{t^{-1}} (\langle e_A \cdot z, e_A \cdot w \rangle_{D_1}) \beta_{t^{-1}s}(e_B), \end{split}$$

where $e_B x \beta_s(e_B) \in e_B D_1 \beta_s(e_B)$, $e_A \cdot z \cdot \beta_t(e_B) \in Z_t$, $e_A \cdot w \cdot \beta_s(e_B) \in Z_s$. By the above definitions, \mathcal{Z} has Conditions (1R)–(3R) and (1L)–(3L) in [1], Definition 2.1. We show that \mathcal{Z} has Conditions (4R) and (4L) in [1], Definition 2.1 and that \mathcal{Z} is an $\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{B}_1^f$ -bundle in the same way as in Example 2.3.

Lemma 4.1. With the above notation, Z has Conditions (4R) and (4L) in [1], Definition 2.1.

Proof. Let $e_A \cdot z \cdot \beta_t(e_B) \in Z_t$, $e_A \cdot w \cdot \beta_s(e_B) \in Z_s$ and $e_B x \beta_r(e_B) \in e_B D_1 \beta_r(e_B)$, where $t, s, r \in G$. Then by routine computations, we can see that

$$\langle e_A \cdot z \cdot \beta_t(e_B), [e_A \cdot w \cdot \beta_s(e_B)] \diamond e_B x \beta_r(e_B) \rangle_D = \langle e_A \cdot z \cdot \beta_t(e_B), e_A \cdot w \cdot \beta_s(e_B) \rangle_D \bullet e_B x \beta_r(e_B)$$

and that

$$\langle e_A \cdot z \cdot \beta_t(e_B), e_A \cdot w \cdot \beta_s(e_B) \rangle_D^{\sharp} = \langle e_A \cdot w \cdot \beta_s(e_B), e_A \cdot z \cdot \beta_t(e_B) \rangle_D$$

Hence, \mathcal{Z} has Condition (4R) in [1], Definition 2.1. Next, let $e_A \cdot z \cdot \beta_t(e_B) \in Z_t$, $e_A \cdot w \cdot \beta_s(e_B) \in Z_s$ and $e_A x \alpha_r^{\mathcal{A}}(e_A) \in e_A C_1 \alpha_r^{\mathcal{A}}(e_A)$, where $t, s, r \in G$. Then by routine computations, we can see that

$$C \langle e_A x \alpha_r^{\mathcal{A}}(e_A) \diamond [e_A \cdot z \cdot \beta_t(e_B)], e_A \cdot w \cdot \beta_s(e_B) \rangle$$

= $e_A x \alpha_r^{\mathcal{A}}(e_A) \bullet C \langle e_A \cdot z \cdot \beta_t(e_B), e_A \cdot w \cdot \beta_s(e_B) \rangle,$
 $C \langle e_A \cdot z \cdot \beta_t(e_B), e_A \cdot w \cdot \beta_s(e_B) \rangle^{\sharp} = C \langle e_A \cdot w \cdot \beta_s(e_B), e_A \cdot z \cdot \beta_t(e_B) \rangle.$

Hence, \mathcal{Z} has Condition (4L) in [1], Definition 2.1.

By Lemma 4.1, W is a C-D-bimodule having Properties (1)–(6) in [5], Lemma 1.3. In order to prove that \mathcal{Z} has Conditions (5R), (6R) and (5L), (6L) in [1], Definition 2.1 using [5], Lemma 1.3, we show that W has Properties (7)–(10) in [5], Lemma 1.3.

Lemma 4.2. With the above notation, W has the following:

- (1) $(e_A x \alpha_t^{\mathcal{A}}(e_A) \diamond [e_A \cdot z \cdot \beta_s(e_B)]) \diamond e_B y \beta_r(e_B) = e_A x \alpha_t^{\mathcal{A}}(e_A) \diamond ([e_A \cdot z \cdot \beta_s(e_B)] \diamond e_B y \beta_r(e_B)),$
- (2) $\langle e_A x \alpha_t^{\mathcal{A}}(e_A) \diamond [e_A \cdot z \cdot \beta_s(e_B)], e_A \cdot w \cdot \beta_r(e_B) \rangle_D = \langle e_A \cdot z \cdot \beta_s(e_B), (e_A x \alpha_t^{\mathcal{A}}(e_A))^{\sharp} \diamond [e_A \cdot w \cdot \beta_r(e_B)] \rangle_D,$
- $(3) \quad {}_{C}\langle e_{A} \cdot z \cdot \beta_{s}(e_{B}), [e_{A} \cdot w \cdot \beta_{r}(e_{B})] \diamond e_{B}y\beta_{t}(e_{B})\rangle = {}_{C}\langle [e_{A} \cdot z \cdot \beta_{s}(e_{B})] \diamond (e_{B}y\beta_{t}(e_{B}))^{\sharp}, e_{A} \cdot w \cdot \beta_{r}(e_{B})\rangle,$

where $x \in C_1$, $y \in D_1$, $z, w \in Z$, $t, s, r \in G$.

Proof. We can show the lemma by routine computations.

By Lemma 4.2, W has Properties (7), (8) in [5], Lemma 1.3.

Lemma 4.3. With the above notation, there are finite subsets $\{u_i\}_i$ and $\{v_j\}_j$ of W such that

$$\sum_{i} u_i \diamond \langle u_i, x \rangle_D = x = \sum_{j} {}_C \langle x, v_j \rangle \diamond v_j \quad \text{for any } x \in W.$$

Proof. Since Z is a $C_1 - D_1$ -equivalence bimodule, there are finite subsets $\{z_i\}_i$ and $\{w_j\}_j$ of Z such that

$$\sum_{i} z_i \cdot \langle z_i, z \rangle_{D_1} = z = \sum_{j} C_1 \langle z, w_j \rangle \cdot w_j$$

for any $z \in Z$. Then for any $z \in Z$, $s \in G$,

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i,t} [e_A \cdot z_i \cdot \beta_t(e_B)] \diamond \langle e_A \cdot z_i \cdot \beta_t(e_B), e_A \cdot z \cdot \beta_s(e_B) \rangle_D \\ &= \sum_{i,t} e_A \cdot z_i \cdot \beta_t(e_B) \diamond \beta_{t^{-1}} (\langle e_A \cdot z_i \cdot \beta_t(e_B), e_A \cdot z \cdot \beta_s(e_B) \rangle_{D_1}) \\ &= \sum_{i,t} e_A \cdot z_i \cdot \beta_t(e_B) \diamond e_B \beta_{t^{-1}} (\langle e_A \cdot z_i, e_A \cdot z \rangle_{D_1}) \beta_{t^{-1}s}(e_B) \\ &= \sum_{i,t} e_A \cdot z_i \cdot \beta_t(e_B) \langle e_A \cdot z_i, e_A \cdot z \rangle_{D_1} \beta_s(e_B) \\ &= \sum_{i,t} e_A \cdot [z_i \cdot \langle z_i \cdot \beta_t(e_B), e_A \cdot z \rangle_{D_1}] \cdot \beta_s(e_B) \\ &= \sum_i e_A \cdot [z_i \cdot \langle z_i, e_A \cdot z \rangle_{D_1}] \cdot \beta_s(e_B) \\ &= \sum_i e_A \cdot [z_i \cdot \langle z_i, e_A \cdot z \rangle_{D_1}] \cdot \beta_s(e_B) = e_A \cdot z \cdot \beta_s(e_B) \end{split}$$

443

since $\sum_{t\in G} \beta_t(e_B) = 1$ by [9], Remark 3.4. Also, by the same way and the same reason, for any $z \in Z$, $s \in G$,

$$\sum_{j,t} C \langle e_A \cdot z \cdot \beta_s(e_B), e_A \cdot \lambda_t(w_j) \cdot \beta_t(e_B) \rangle \diamond [e_A \cdot \lambda_t(w_j) \cdot \beta_t(e_B)] = e_A \cdot z \cdot \beta_s(e_B).$$

Therefore we obtain the conclusion.

Remark 4.4. By Lemma 4.2, $\{e_A \cdot z_i \cdot \beta_t(e_B)\}_{i,t}$ is a right *D*-basis and $\{e_A \cdot \lambda_t(w_j) \cdot \beta_t(e_B)\}_{j,t}$ is a left *C*-basis of *W* in the sense of Kajiwara and Watatani (see [6]).

By Lemma 4.2, W has Properties (9), (10) in [5], Lemma 1.3. Hence, by [5], Lemma 1.3, W is a Hilbert C - D- bimodule in the sense of [5], Definition 1.1. Thus, \mathcal{Z} has Conditions (5R), (6R) and (5L), (6L) in [1], Definition 2.1.

Proposition 4.5. With the above notation, \mathcal{Z} is an $\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{B}_1^f$ -equivalence bundle over G such that

$$\mathcal{A}_1 \langle Z_t, Z_s \rangle = Y_{\alpha_{t-1}}, \quad \langle Z_t, Z_s \rangle_{\mathcal{B}_1^f} = Y_{\beta_{t-1}},$$

for any $t, s \in G$.

Proof. First, we show that the left C-valued inner product and the right D-valued inner product on W are compatible. Let $y, z, w \in Z$ and $t, s, r \in G$. Since Z is a $C_1 - D_1$ -equivalence bimodule, by routine computations, we can see that

$$C \langle e_A \cdot z \cdot \beta_t(e_B), e_A \cdot y \cdot \beta_s(e_B) \rangle \diamond [e_A \cdot w \cdot \beta_r(e_B)]$$

= $[e_A \cdot z \cdot \beta_t(e_B)] \diamond \langle e_A \cdot y \cdot \beta_s(e_B), e_A \cdot w \cdot \beta_r(e_B) \rangle_D.$

Hence, the left C-valued inner product and the right D-valued inner product are compatible. Thus, by Lemmas 4.1–4.3, \mathcal{Z} is an $\mathcal{A}_1 - \mathcal{B}_1^f$ -equivalence bundle over G. Next, we show that

$$_{\mathcal{A}_1}\langle Z_t, Z_s \rangle = Y_{\alpha^{\mathcal{A}}_{t_s-1}}, \quad \langle Z_t, Z_s \rangle_{\mathcal{B}^f_1} = Y_{\beta_{t-1}},$$

for any $t, s \in G$. Let $t, s \in G$. Since E^B is of Watatani index-finite type, there is a quasi-basis $\{(d_j, d_j^*)\} \subset D \times D$ for E^B . Thus $\sum_j d_j e_B d_j^* = 1$. Since Z is a $C_1 - D_1$ -equivalence bimodule, there is a finite subset $\{z_i\}$ of Z such that $\sum_i C_1 \langle z_i, z_i \rangle = 1$.

Let $c \in C$. Then

$$\begin{split} \sum_{i,j} {}_{C} \langle e_{A}c \cdot \lambda_{t}(z_{i}) \cdot \beta_{t}(d_{j}e_{B}), e_{A} \cdot \lambda_{s}(z_{i}) \cdot \beta_{s}(d_{j}e_{B}) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,j} {}_{C_{1}} \langle e_{A}c \cdot \lambda_{t}(z_{i}) \cdot \beta_{t}(d_{j}e_{B}), \lambda_{ts^{-1}}(e_{A} \cdot \lambda_{s}(z_{i}) \cdot \beta_{s}(d_{j}e_{B})) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,j} {}_{C_{1}} \langle e_{A}c \cdot \lambda_{t}(z_{i}) \cdot \beta_{t}(d_{j}e_{B}), \alpha_{ts^{-1}}^{\mathcal{A}}(e_{A}) \cdot \lambda_{t}(z_{i}) \cdot \beta_{t}(d_{j}e_{B}) \rangle \\ &= \sum_{i,j} {}_{e_{A}C_{1}} \langle c \cdot \lambda_{t}(z_{i}) \cdot \beta_{t}(d_{j}e_{B}d_{j}^{*}), \lambda_{t}(z_{i}) \rangle \alpha_{ts^{-1}}^{\mathcal{A}}(e_{A}) \\ &= \sum_{i} {}_{e_{A}c_{C_{1}}} \langle \lambda_{t}(z_{i}), \lambda_{t}(z_{i}) \rangle \alpha_{ts^{-1}}^{\mathcal{A}}(e_{A}) \\ &= \sum_{i} {}_{e_{A}c\alpha_{t}}^{\mathcal{A}}(c_{1}\langle z_{i}, z_{i} \rangle) \alpha_{ts^{-1}}^{\mathcal{A}}(e_{A}) = {}_{e_{A}c\alpha_{ts^{-1}}}(e_{A}). \end{split}$$

Hence, we obtain that $_C\langle Z_t, Z_s\rangle = Y_{\alpha^A_{ts^{-1}}}$ for any $t, s \in G$. Also, since E^A is of Watatani index-finite type, there is a quasi-basis $\{(c_j, c_j^*)\} \subset C \times C$ for E^A . Thus $\sum_j c_j e_A c_j^* = 1$. Since Z is a $C_1 - D_1$ -equivalence bimodule, there is a finite subset $\{w_i\}$ of Z such that $\sum_i \langle w_i, w_i \rangle_{D_1} = 1$. In the same way as above, for any $d \in D_1$,

$$\sum_{i,j} \langle e_A c_j^* \cdot w_i \cdot \beta_t(e_B), e_A c_j^* \cdot w_i \cdot d\beta_s(e_B) \rangle_D = e_B \beta_{t^{-1}}(d) \beta_{t^{-1}s}(e_B).$$

Hence, we obtain that $\langle Z_t, Z_s \rangle_D = Y_{\beta_{t-1_s}}$ for any $t, s \in G$. Therefore we obtain the conclusion.

Theorem 4.6. Let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_t\}_{t \in G}$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{B_t\}_{t \in G}$ be saturated C^* -algebraic bundles over a finite group G. Let e be the unit element in G. Let $C = \bigoplus_{t \in G} A_t$, $D = \bigoplus_{t \in G} B_t$ and $A = A_e$, $B = B_e$. We suppose that C and D are unital and that Aand B have the unit elements in C and D, respectively. Let $A \subset C$ and $B \subset D$ be the unital inclusions of unital C^* -algebras induced by \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{B} , respectively. Also, we suppose that $A' \cap C = \mathbb{C}1$. If $A \subset C$ and $B \subset D$ are strongly Morita equivalent, then there are an automorphism f of G and an $\mathcal{A} - \mathcal{B}^f$ -equivalence bundle $\mathcal{Z} = \{Z_t\}_{t \in G}$ satisfying that

$$_C\langle Z_t, Z_s \rangle = A_{ts^{-1}}, \quad \langle Z_t, Z_s \rangle_D = B_{f(t^{-1}s)}$$

for any $t, s \in G$, where \mathcal{B}^f is the C^* -algebraic bundle over G induced by \mathcal{B} and f defined by $\mathcal{B}^f = \{B_{f(t)}\}_{t \in G}$.

Proof. This is immediate by Lemma 3.1 and Proposition 4.5. \Box

5. Application

Let A and B be unital C^* -algebras and X a Hilbert A-B-bimodule. Let \widetilde{X} be its dual Hilbert B-A-bimodule. For any $x \in X$, \widetilde{x} denotes the element in \widetilde{X} induced by $x \in X$.

Lemma 5.1. Let A, B and C be unital C^* -algebras. Let X be a Hilbert A - Bbimodule and Y a Hilbert B - C-bimodule. Then $\widetilde{X \otimes_B Y} \cong \widetilde{Y} \otimes_B \widetilde{X}$ as Hilbert C - A-bimodules.

Proof. Let π be the map from $\widetilde{X \otimes_B Y}$ to $\widetilde{Y} \otimes_B \widetilde{X}$ defined by $\pi(\widetilde{x \otimes y}) = \widetilde{y} \otimes \widetilde{x}$ for any $x \in X, y \in Y$. Then by routine computations, we can see that π is a Hilbert C - A-bimodule isomorphism of $\widetilde{X \otimes_B Y}$ onto $\widetilde{Y} \otimes_B \widetilde{X}$.

We identify $X \otimes_B Y$ with $\tilde{Y} \otimes_B \tilde{X}$ by the isomorphism π defined in the proof of Lemma 5.1. Next, we give the definition of an involutive Hilbert A - A-bimodule modifying [8].

Definition 5.2. We say that a Hilbert A - A-bimodule X is *involutive* if there exists a conjugate linear map $x \in X \mapsto x^{\natural} \in X$ such that

- (1) $(x^{\natural})^{\natural} = x, x \in X,$
- (2) $(a \cdot x \cdot b)^{\natural} = b^* \cdot x^{\natural} \cdot a^*, x \in X, a, b \in A,$
- (3) $_A\langle x, y^{\natural} \rangle = \langle x^{\natural}, y \rangle_A, \, x, y \in X.$

We call the above conjugate linear map \natural an *involution* on X. If X is full with the both inner products, X is an involutive A - A-equivalence bimodule. For each involutive Hilbert A - A-bimodule, let L_X be the linking C^* -algebra induced by X and C_X the C^* -subalgebra of L_X , which is defined in [8], that is,

$$C_X = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} a & x \\ \widetilde{x}^{\natural} & a \end{bmatrix} : \ a \in A, \ x \in X \right\}.$$

We note that C_X acts on $X \oplus A$ (see Brown, Green and Rieffel [2] and Rieffel [12]). The norm of C_X is defined as the operator norm on $X \oplus A$.

Let A be a unital C^* -algebra and X an involutive Hilbert A - A-bimodule. Let \widetilde{X} be its dual Hilbert A - A-bimodule. We define the map \natural on \widetilde{X} by $(\widetilde{x})^{\natural} = \widetilde{(x^{\natural})}$ for any $\widetilde{x} \in \widetilde{X}$.

Lemma 5.3. With the above notation, the above map \ddagger is an involution on X.

Proof. This is immediate by direct computations.

For each involutive Hilbert A - A-bimodule X, we regard \tilde{X} as an involutive A - A-bimodule in the same manner as in Lemma 5.3.

Let $\mathbf{Z}_2 = \mathbf{Z}/2\mathbf{Z}$ and we suppose that \mathbf{Z}_2 consists of the unit element 0 and another element 1. Let X be an involutive Hilbert A - A-bimodule. We construct a C^* algebraic bundle over \mathbf{Z}_2 induced by X. Let $A_0 = A$ and $A_1 = X$. Let $\mathcal{A}_X = \{A_t\}_{t \in \mathbf{Z}_2}$. We define a product \bullet and an involution \sharp as follows:

- (1) $a \bullet b = ab, a, b \in A$,
- (2) $a \bullet x = a \cdot x, x \bullet a = x \cdot a, a \in A, x \in X,$

(3)
$$x \bullet y = {}_A\langle x, y^{\mathfrak{q}} \rangle = \langle x^{\mathfrak{q}}, y \rangle_A, \, x, y \in X,$$

- $(4) \ a^{\sharp} = a^*, \ a \in A,$
- (5) $x^{\sharp} = x^{\natural}, x \in X.$

Then $A \oplus X$ is a *-algebra and by routine computations, $A \oplus X$ is isomorphic to C_X as *-algebras. We identify $A \oplus X$ with C_X as *-algebras. We define a norm of $A \oplus X$ as the operator norm on $X \oplus A$. Hence, \mathcal{A}_X is a C^* -algebraic bundle over \mathbb{Z}_2 . Thus, we obtain a correspondence from the involutive Hilbert A - Abimodules to the C^* -algebraic bundles over \mathbb{Z}_2 . Next, let $\mathcal{A} = \{A_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_2}$ be a C^* algebraic bundle over \mathbb{Z}_2 . Then A_1 ia an involutive Hilbert A - A-bimodule. Hence, we obtain a correspondence from the C^* -algebraic bundles over \mathbb{Z}_2 to the involutive Hilbert A - A-bimodules. Clearly, the above two correspondences are the inverse correspondences of each other. Furthermore, the inclusion of unital C^* -algebras $A \subset C_X$ induced by X and the inclusion of unital C^* -algebras $A \subset A \oplus X$ induced by the C^* -algebraic bundle \mathcal{A}_X coincide.

Lemma 5.4. Let X and Y be involutive Hilbert A-A-bimodules and A_X and A_Y the C^* -algebraic bundles over \mathbb{Z}_2 induced by X and Y, respectively. Then $A_X \cong A_Y$ as C^* -algebraic bundles over \mathbb{Z}_2 if and only if $X \cong Y$ as involutive Hilbert A - Abimodules.

Proof. We suppose that $\mathcal{A}_X \cong \mathcal{A}_Y$ as C^* -algebraic bundles over \mathbb{Z}_2 . Then there is a C^* -algebraic bundle isomorphism $\{\pi_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{Z}_2}$ of \mathcal{A}_X onto \mathcal{A}_Y . We identify A with $\pi_0(A)$. Then π_1 is an involutive Hilbert A - A-bimodule isomorphism of X onto Y. Next, we suppose that there is an involutive Hilbert A - A-bimodule isomorphism π of X onto Y. Let $\pi_0 = \mathrm{id}_A$ and $\pi_1 = \pi$. Then $\{\pi_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{Z}_2}$ is a C^* -algebraic bundle isomorphism \mathcal{A}_X onto \mathcal{A}_Y .

Lemma 5.5. Let X be an involutive Hilbert A - A-bimodule and A_X the C^* algebraic bundle over \mathbb{Z}_2 induced by X. Then X is full with the both inner products
if and only if A_X is saturated.

Proof. We suppose that X is full with the both inner products. Then

$$A_1 \bullet A_1^{\sharp} = {}_A \langle X, X \rangle = A = A_0.$$

Also,

$$A_0 \bullet A_1^{\sharp} = A \cdot X^{\mathfrak{q}} = A \cdot X = X_{-1},$$

$$A_1 \bullet A_0^{\sharp} = X \cdot A^* = X \cdot A = X = A_1,$$

by [3], Proposition 1.7. Clearly $A_0 \bullet A_0 = AA = A = A_0$. Hence \mathcal{A}_X is saturated. Next, we suppose that \mathcal{A}_X is saturated. Then

$$_A\langle X,X\rangle = A_1 \bullet A_1^{\sharp} = A_1 = A, \quad \langle X,X\rangle_A = _A\langle X^{\natural},X^{\natural}\rangle = _A\langle X,X\rangle = A.$$

Thus, X is full with the both inner products.

Remark 5.6. Let X be an involutive Hilbert A - A-bimodule. Then by the above proof, we see that X is full with the left A-valued inner product if and only if X is full with the right A-valued inner product.

Lemma 5.7. Let A and B be unital C^* -algebras and M an A - B-equivalence bimodule. Let X be an involutive Hilbert A - A-bimodule. Then $\widetilde{M} \otimes_A X \otimes_A M$ is an involutive Hilbert B - B-bimodule whose involution \natural is defined by

$$(\widetilde{m} \otimes x \otimes n)^{\natural} = \widetilde{n} \otimes x^{\natural} \otimes m$$

for any $m, n \in M, x \in X$.

Proof. This is immediate by routine computations. \Box

Let A, B, X and M be as in Lemma 5.7. Let Y be an involutive Hilbert B - Bbimodule. We suppose that there is an involutive Hilbert B - B-bimodule isomorphism Φ of $\widetilde{M} \otimes_A X \otimes_A M$ onto Y. Let $\widetilde{\Phi}$ be the linear map from $\widetilde{M} \otimes_A \widetilde{X} \otimes_A M$ onto \widetilde{Y} defined by

$$\widetilde{\Phi}(\widetilde{m}\otimes\widetilde{x}\otimes n) = \widetilde{\Phi}((\widetilde{n}\otimes x\otimes m)^{\sim}) = [\Phi(\widetilde{n}\otimes x\otimes m)]^{\sim}$$

for any $m, n \in M, x \in X$.

Lemma 5.8. With the above notation, $\widetilde{\Phi}$ is an involutive Hilbert B-B-bimodule isomorphism of $\widetilde{M} \otimes_A \widetilde{X} \otimes_A M$ onto \widetilde{Y} .

Proof. This is immediate by routine computations.

Again, let A, B, X and M be as in Lemma 5.7. Let Y be an involutive Hilbert B - B-bimodule. We suppose that there is an involutive Hilbert B - B-bimodule isomorphism Φ of $\widetilde{M} \otimes_A X \otimes_A M$ onto Y. We identify A and X with $M \otimes_B \widetilde{M}$ and $A \otimes_A X$ by the isomorphisms defined by

$$m \otimes n \in M \otimes_B \widetilde{M} \mapsto {}_A \langle m, n \rangle \in A, \quad a \otimes x \in A \otimes_A X \mapsto a \cdot x \in X,$$

respectively. Since M is an A-B-equivalence bimodule, there is a finite subset $\{u_i\}$ of M with $\sum_{i} A\langle u_i, u_i \rangle = 1$. Let $x \in X, m \in M$. Then

$$x \otimes m = 1_A \cdot x \otimes m = \sum_i {}_A \langle u_i, u_i \rangle \cdot x \otimes m = \sum_i u_i \otimes \widetilde{u}_i \otimes x \otimes m.$$

Hence, there is the linear map Ψ from $X \otimes_A M$ to $M \otimes_B Y$ defined by

$$\Psi(x\otimes m) = \sum_{i} u_i \otimes \Phi(\widetilde{u}_i \otimes x \otimes m)$$

for any $x \in X$, $m \in M$. By the definition of Ψ , we can see that Ψ is a Hilbert A - B-bimodule isomorphism of $X \otimes_A M$ onto $M \otimes_B Y$.

Lemma 5.9. With the above notation, the Hilbert A - B-bimodule isomorphism Ψ of $X \otimes_A M$ onto $M \otimes_B Y$ is independent of the choice of a finite subset $\{u_i\}$ of M with $\sum_{i=1}^{n} A\langle u_i, u_i \rangle = 1$.

Proof. Let $\{v_j\}$ be another finite subset of M with $\sum_j A \langle v_j, v_j \rangle = 1$. Then for any $x \in X, m \in M$,

$$\sum_{i} u_{i} \otimes \Phi(\widetilde{u}_{i} \otimes x \otimes m)$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} {}_{A} \langle v_{j}, v_{j} \rangle \cdot u_{i} \otimes \Phi(\widetilde{u}_{i} \otimes x \otimes m) = \sum_{i,j} v_{j} \cdot \langle v_{j}, u_{i} \rangle_{B} \otimes \Phi(\widetilde{u}_{i} \otimes x \otimes m)$$

$$= \sum_{i,j} v_{j} \otimes \Phi([u_{i} \cdot \langle u_{i}, v_{j} \rangle_{B}]^{\sim} \otimes x \otimes m) = \sum_{j} v_{j} \otimes \Phi(\widetilde{v}_{j} \otimes x \otimes m).$$

Therefore, we obtain the conclusion.

Similarly, let $\widetilde{\Psi}$ be the Hilbert A - B-bimodule isomorphism of $\widetilde{X} \otimes_A M$ onto $M \otimes_B \widetilde{Y}$ defined by

$$\widetilde{\Psi}(\widetilde{x}\otimes m) = \sum_{i} u_{i}\otimes \widetilde{\Phi}(\widetilde{u}_{i}\otimes \widetilde{x}\otimes m)$$

449

for any $x \in X$, $m \in M$. We construct the inverse map of Ψ , which is a Hilbert A - B-bimodule isomorphism of $M \otimes_B Y$ onto $X \otimes_A M$. Let Θ be the linear map from $M \otimes_B Y$ to $X \otimes_A M$ defined by

$$\Theta(m\otimes y) = m\otimes \Phi^{-1}(y)$$

for any $m \in M$, $y \in Y$, where we identify $M \otimes_B \widetilde{M} \otimes_A X \otimes_A M$ with $X \otimes_A M$ as Hilbert A - B-bimodules by the map

$$m \otimes \widetilde{n} \otimes x \otimes m_1 \in M \otimes_B M \otimes_A X \otimes_A M \mapsto {}_A \langle m, n \rangle \cdot x \otimes m_1 \in X \otimes_A M.$$

Lemma 5.10. With the above notation, Θ is the Hilbert A - B-bimodule isomorphism of $M \otimes_B Y$ onto $X \otimes_A M$ such that $\Theta \circ \Psi = \operatorname{id}_{X \otimes_A M}$ and $\Psi \circ \Theta = \operatorname{id}_{M \otimes_B Y}$.

Proof. Let $m, m_1 \in M, y, y_1 \in Y$. Then

$$\begin{split} {}_A \langle \Theta(m \otimes y), \Theta(m_1 \otimes y_1) \rangle &= {}_A \langle m \otimes \Phi^{-1}(y), m_1 \otimes \Phi^{-1}(y_1) \rangle \\ &= {}_A \langle m \cdot {}_B \langle \Phi^{-1}(y), \Phi^{-1}(y_1) \rangle, m_1 \rangle \\ &= {}_A \langle m \cdot {}_B \langle y, y_1 \rangle, m_1 \rangle = {}_A \langle m \otimes y, m_1 \otimes y_1 \rangle. \end{split}$$

Hence, Θ preserves the left A-valued inner products. Similarly, we can see that Θ preserves the right B-valued inner products. Furthermore, for any $x \in X$, $m \in M$,

$$\begin{split} (\Theta \circ \Psi)(x \otimes m) &= \sum_{i} \Theta(u_i \otimes \Phi(\widetilde{u}_i \otimes x \otimes m)) = \sum_{i} u_i \otimes \widetilde{u}_i \otimes x \otimes m \\ &= \sum_{i} A \langle u_i, u_i \rangle \cdot x \otimes m = x \otimes m \end{split}$$

since we identify $M \otimes \widetilde{M}$ with A as A - A-equivalence bimodules by the map $m \otimes \widetilde{n} \in M \otimes_B \widetilde{M} \mapsto {}_A \langle m, n \rangle \in A$. Hence, $\Theta \circ \Psi = \operatorname{id}_{X \otimes_A M}$. Hence, $\Psi \circ \Theta \circ \Psi = \Psi$ on $X \otimes_A M$. Since Ψ is surjective, $\Psi \circ \Theta = \operatorname{id}_{M \otimes_B Y}$. Therefore, by the remark after [4], Definition 1.1.18, Θ is a Hilbert A - B-bimodule isomorphism of $M \otimes_B Y$ onto $X \otimes_A M$ such that $\Theta \circ \Psi = \operatorname{id}_{X \otimes_A M}$ and $\Psi \circ \Theta = \operatorname{id}_{M \otimes_B Y}$. \Box

Similarly, we see that the inverse map of $(\widetilde{\Psi})^{-1}$ is defined by

$$(\widetilde{\Psi})^{-1}(m\otimes\widetilde{y})=m\otimes(\widetilde{\Phi})^{-1}(\widetilde{y})$$

for any $m \in M$, $y \in Y$, where we identify $M \otimes_B \widetilde{M} \otimes_A \widetilde{X} \otimes_A M$ with $\widetilde{X} \otimes_A M$ as Hilbert A - B-bimodules by the map

$$m \otimes \widetilde{n} \otimes \widetilde{x} \otimes m_1 \in M \otimes_B \widetilde{M} \otimes_A \widetilde{X} \otimes_A M \mapsto {}_A \langle m, n \rangle \cdot \widetilde{x} \otimes m_1 \in \widetilde{X} \otimes_A M.$$

We prepare some lemmas in order to show Proposition 5.14.

Lemma 5.11. Let A and B be unital C^* -algebras. Let X and Y be an involutive Hilbert A - A-bimodule and an involutive Hilbert B - B-bimodule, respectively. Let $\mathcal{A}_X = \{A_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_2}$ and $\mathcal{A}_Y = \{B_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_2}$ be C^* -algebraic bundles over \mathbb{Z}_2 induced by X and Y, respectively. We suppose that there is an $\mathcal{A}_X - \mathcal{A}_Y$ -equivalence bundle $\mathcal{M} = \{M_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_2}$ over \mathbb{Z}_2 such that

$$_C\langle M_t, M_s \rangle = A_{ts^{-1}}, \quad \langle M_t, M_s \rangle_D = B_{t^{-1}s}$$

for any $t, s \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, where $C = A \oplus X$ and $D = B \oplus Y$. Then there is an A - B-equivalence bimodule M such that $Y \cong \widetilde{M} \otimes_A X \otimes_A M$ as involutive Hilbert B - B-bimodules.

Proof. By the assumptions, M_0 is an A - B-equivalence bimodule. Let $M = M_0$. Then by Lemma 5.7, $\widetilde{M} \otimes_A X \otimes_A M$ is an involutive Hilbert B - B-bimodule whose involution is defined by $(\widetilde{m} \otimes x \otimes n)^{\natural} = \widetilde{n} \otimes x^{\natural} \otimes m$ for any $m, n \in M$, $x \in X$. We show that $Y \cong \widetilde{M} \otimes_A X \otimes_A M$ as involutive Hilbert B - B-bimodules. Let Φ be the map from $\widetilde{M} \otimes_A X \otimes_A M$ to Y defined by

$$\Phi(\widetilde{m}\otimes x\otimes n)=\langle m,x\cdot n\rangle_D$$

for any $m, n \in M$, $x \in X$. Since $A_1 = X$ and $M = M_0$, $X \cdot M_0 \subset M_1$. And $\langle M_0, M_1 \rangle_D \in B_1 = Y$. Hence, Φ is a map from $\widetilde{M} \otimes_A X \otimes_A M$ to Y. Clearly, Φ is a linear and B - B-bimodule map. We show that Φ is surjective. Indeed,

$$X \cdot M = A_1 \cdot M_0 = {}_C \langle M_1, M_0 \rangle \cdot M_0 = M_1 \cdot \langle M_0, M_0 \rangle_D = M_1 \cdot B = M_1$$

by [3], Proposition 1.7. Hence, $\langle M, X \cdot M \rangle_D = \langle M, M_1 \rangle_D = Y$. Thus, Φ is surjective. Let $m, n, m_1, n_1 \in M, x, x_1 \in X$. Then

$$\begin{split} {}_{B}\langle \widetilde{m} \otimes x \otimes n, \widetilde{m}_{1} \otimes x_{1} \otimes n_{1} \rangle \\ &= {}_{B}\langle \widetilde{m} \cdot_{A} \langle x \otimes n, x_{1} \otimes n_{1} \rangle, \widetilde{m}_{1} \rangle = {}_{B}\langle [{}_{A}\langle x_{1} \otimes n_{1}, x \otimes n \rangle \cdot m]^{~}, \widetilde{m}_{1} \rangle \\ &= \langle {}_{A}\langle x_{1} \otimes n_{1}, x \otimes n \rangle \cdot m, m_{1} \rangle_{B} = \langle {}_{A}\langle x_{1} \cdot_{A}\langle n_{1}, n \rangle, x \rangle \cdot m, m_{1} \rangle_{B} \\ &= \langle [(x_{1} \bullet_{C}\langle n_{1}, n \rangle) \bullet x^{\natural}] \cdot m, m_{1} \rangle_{B} = \langle [{}_{C}\langle x_{1} \cdot n_{1}, n \rangle \bullet x^{\natural}] \cdot m, m_{1} \rangle_{B} \\ &= \langle {}_{C}\langle [x_{1} \cdot n_{1}], n \rangle \cdot [x^{\natural} \cdot m], m_{1} \rangle_{B} = \langle [x_{1} \cdot n_{1}] \cdot \langle n, x^{\natural} \cdot m \rangle_{D}, m_{1} \rangle_{B} \\ &= \langle x^{\natural} \cdot m, n \rangle_{D} \bullet \langle x_{1} \cdot n_{1}, m_{1} \rangle_{D} = \langle m, x \cdot n \rangle_{D} \bullet \langle m_{1}, x_{1} \cdot n_{1} \rangle_{D}^{\sharp} \\ &= {}_{B}\langle \langle m, x \cdot n \rangle_{D}, \langle m_{1}, x_{1} \cdot n_{1} \rangle_{D} \rangle = {}_{B}\langle \Phi(\widetilde{m} \otimes x \otimes n), \Phi(\widetilde{m}_{1} \otimes x_{1} \otimes n_{1}) \rangle. \end{split}$$

Hence, Φ preserves the left *B*-valued inner products. Also, similarly we can see that Φ preserves the right *B*-valued inner products. Furthermore,

$$\Phi(\widetilde{m} \otimes x \otimes n)^{\natural} = \langle m, x \cdot n \rangle_{Y}^{\natural} = \langle m, x \cdot n \rangle_{D}^{\sharp} = \langle x \cdot n, m \rangle_{D} = \langle x \cdot n, m \rangle_{Y}.$$

$$451$$

On the other hand,

$$\Phi((\widetilde{m}\otimes x\otimes n)^{\natural}) = \Phi(\widetilde{n}\otimes x^{\natural}\otimes m) = \langle n, x^{\natural}\cdot m\rangle_{Y} = \langle x\cdot n, m\rangle_{Y} = \Phi(\widetilde{m}\otimes x\otimes n)^{\natural}.$$

Hence, Φ preserves the involutions \natural . Therefore $Y \cong \widetilde{M} \otimes_A X \otimes_A M$ as involutive Hilbert B - B-bimodules.

Let A and B be unital C^* -algebras. Let X and Y be an involutive Hilbert A - Abimodule and an involutive Hilbert B - B-bimodule and let \mathcal{A}_X and \mathcal{A}_Y be the C^* -algebraic bundles over \mathbb{Z}_2 induced by X and Y, respectively. We suppose that there is an A - B-equivalence bimodule M such that

$$Y \cong \widetilde{M} \otimes_A X \otimes_A M$$

as involutive Hilbert B-B-bimodules. We construct an $\mathcal{A}_X - \mathcal{A}_Y$ -equivalence bundle $\mathcal{M} = \{M_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_2}$ over \mathbb{Z}_2 such that

$${}_C\langle M_t, M_s \rangle = A_{ts^{-1}}, \quad \langle M_t, M_s \rangle_D = B_{t^{-1}s}$$

for any $t, s \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, where $C = A \oplus X$ and $D = B \oplus Y$.

Let Φ be an involutive Hilbert B - B-bimodule isomorphism of $\widehat{M} \otimes_A X \otimes_A M$ onto Y. Then by the above discussions, there are the Hilbert A - B-bimodule isomorphisms Ψ of $X \otimes_A M$ onto $M \otimes_B Y$ and $\widetilde{\Psi}$ of $\widetilde{X} \otimes_A M$ onto $M \otimes_B \widetilde{Y}$, respectively. We construct a $C_X - C_Y$ -equivalence bimodule C_M from M. Let C_M be the linear span of the set

$${}^{X}C_{M} = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} m_{1} & x \otimes m_{2} \\ \widetilde{x}^{\natural} \otimes m_{2} & m_{1} \end{bmatrix} : m_{1}, m_{2} \in M, x \in X \right\}.$$

We define the left C_X -action on C_M by

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & z \\ \tilde{z}^{\natural} & a \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} m_1 & x \otimes m_2 \\ \tilde{x}^{\natural} \otimes m_2 & m_1 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} a \otimes m_1 + z \otimes \tilde{x}^{\natural} \otimes m_2 & a \otimes x \otimes m_2 + z \otimes m_1 \\ \tilde{z}^{\natural} \otimes m_1 + a \otimes \tilde{x}^{\natural} \otimes m_2 & \tilde{z}^{\natural} \otimes x \otimes m_2 + a \otimes m_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

for any $a \in A$, $m_1, m_2 \in M$, $x, z \in X$, where we regard the tensor product as a left C_X -action on C_M in the formal manner. But we identify $A \otimes_A M$ and $X \otimes_A \widetilde{X}$, $\widetilde{X} \otimes_A X$ with M and closed two-sided ideals of A by the isomorphism and the monomorphisms defined by

$$\begin{split} a\otimes m \in A\otimes_A M &\mapsto a \cdot m \in M, \quad x\otimes \widetilde{z} \in X\otimes_A \widetilde{X} \mapsto {}_A\langle x, z\rangle \in A, \\ \widetilde{x}\otimes z \in \widetilde{X}\otimes_A X \mapsto \langle x, z\rangle_A \in A. \end{split}$$

Hence, we obtain that

$$\begin{bmatrix} a & z \\ \hat{z}^{\ddagger} & a \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} m_1 & x \otimes m_2 \\ \hat{x}^{\ddagger} \otimes m_2 & m_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{bmatrix} a \cdot m_1 + {}_A \langle z, x^{\ddagger} \rangle \cdot m_2 & a \cdot x \otimes m_2 + z \otimes m_1 \\ \hat{z}^{\ddagger} \otimes m_1 + \overbrace{(a \cdot x)}^{\ddagger} \otimes m_2 & \langle \tilde{z}^{\ddagger}, x \rangle_A \cdot m_2 + a \cdot m_1 \end{bmatrix} \in C_M.$$

We define the right C_Y -action on C_M by

$$\begin{bmatrix} m_1 & x \otimes m_2 \\ \widetilde{x}^{\natural} \otimes m_2 & m_1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} b & y \\ \widetilde{y}^{\natural} & b \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} m_1 \otimes b + x \otimes m_2 \otimes \widetilde{y}^{\natural} & m_1 \otimes y + x \otimes m_2 \otimes b \\ \widetilde{x}^{\natural} \otimes m_2 \otimes b + m_1 \otimes \widetilde{y}^{\natural} & \widetilde{x}^{\natural} \otimes m_2 \otimes y + m_1 \otimes b \end{bmatrix}$$

for any $b \in B$, $x \in X$, $y \in Y$, $m_1, m_2 \in M$, where we regard the tensor product as a right C_Y -action on C_M in the formal manner. But we identify $X \otimes_A M$ and $\widetilde{X} \otimes_A M$ with $M \otimes_B Y$ and $M \otimes_B \widetilde{Y}$ by Ψ and $\widetilde{\Psi}$, respectively. Hence, we obtain that

$$\begin{bmatrix} m_1 & x \otimes m_2 \\ \widetilde{x}^{\natural} \otimes m_2 & m_1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} b & y \\ \widetilde{y}^{\natural} & b \end{bmatrix}$$

=
$$\begin{bmatrix} m_1 \otimes b + x \otimes (\widetilde{\Psi})^{-1} (m_2 \otimes \widetilde{y}^{\natural}) & \Psi^{-1} (m_1 \otimes y) + x \otimes m_2 \otimes b \\ \widetilde{x}^{\natural} \otimes m_2 \otimes b + (\widetilde{\Psi})^{-1} (m_1 \otimes \widetilde{y}^{\natural}) & \widetilde{x}^{\natural} \otimes \Psi^{-1} (m_2 \otimes y) + m_1 \otimes b \end{bmatrix}$$

Furthermore, we identify $M \otimes_B B$ and $Y \otimes_B \widetilde{Y}$, $\widetilde{Y} \otimes_B Y$ with M and closed two-sided ideals of B by the isomorphism and the monomorphisms defined by

$$m \otimes b \in M \otimes_B B \mapsto m \cdot b \in M,$$
$$y \otimes \widetilde{z} \in Y \otimes_B \widetilde{Y} \mapsto B \langle y, z \rangle \in B,$$
$$\widetilde{y} \otimes z \in \widetilde{Y} \otimes_B Y \mapsto \langle y, z \rangle_B \in B.$$

respectively. Then $x \otimes (\widetilde{\Psi})^{-1}(m_2 \otimes y^{\natural}) = \widetilde{x}^{\natural} \otimes \Psi^{-1}(m_2 \otimes y)$ and we see that

$$\begin{bmatrix} m_1 & x \otimes m_2 \\ \widetilde{x}^{\natural} \otimes m_2 & m_1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} b & y \\ \widetilde{y}^{\natural} & b \end{bmatrix} \in C_M.$$

Indeed, for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there are finite sets $\{n_k\}, \{l_k\} \subset M$ and $\{z_k\} \subset X$ such that

$$\left\|\Phi^{-1}(y)-\sum_k \widetilde{n}_k\otimes z_k\otimes l_k\right\|<\varepsilon.$$

Also,

$$\begin{split} \left\| (\widetilde{\Phi})^{-1} (\widetilde{y}^{\natural}) - \left[\left(\sum_{k} \widetilde{n}_{k} \otimes z_{k} \otimes l_{k} \right)^{\natural} \right]^{\sim} \right\| &= \left\| [\Phi^{-1} (y)^{\natural}]^{\sim} - \left[\left(\sum_{k} \widetilde{n}_{k} \otimes z_{k} \otimes l_{k} \right)^{\natural} \right]^{\sim} \right\| \\ &= \left\| \Phi^{-1} (y) - \sum_{k} \widetilde{n}_{k} \otimes z_{k} \otimes l_{k} \right\| < \varepsilon. \end{split}$$

Thus

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left\| \widetilde{x}^{\natural} \otimes \Psi^{-1}(m_2 \otimes y) - \widetilde{x}^{\natural} \otimes m_2 \otimes \sum_k \widetilde{n}_k \otimes z_k \otimes l_k \right\| \\ &= \left\| \widetilde{x}^{\natural} \otimes m_2 \otimes \Phi^{-1}(y) - \widetilde{x}^{\natural} \otimes m_2 \otimes \sum_k \widetilde{n}_k \otimes z_k \otimes l_k \right\| \leqslant \|x\| \|m_2\| \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, we can see that

$$x \otimes m_2 \otimes \left[\left(\sum_k \widetilde{n}_k \otimes \widetilde{z}_k \otimes l_k \right)^{\natural} \right]^{\sim}$$

= $\sum_k x \otimes m_2 \otimes \widetilde{n}_k \otimes \widetilde{z}_k^{\natural} \otimes l_k = \sum_k {}_A \langle x \cdot {}_A \langle m_2, n_k \rangle, z_k^{\natural} \rangle \cdot l_k$
= $\sum_k \widetilde{x}^{\natural} \otimes m_2 \otimes \widetilde{n}_k \otimes z_k \otimes l_k = \widetilde{x}^{\natural} \otimes m_2 \otimes \sum_k \widetilde{n}_k \otimes z_k \otimes l_k,$

where we identify $A \otimes_A M$ and $X \otimes_A \widetilde{X}$, $\widetilde{X} \otimes_A X$ with M and closed two-sided ideals of A by the isomorphism and the monomorphisms defined by

$$\begin{aligned} a \otimes m &\in A \otimes_A M \mapsto a \cdot m \in M, \\ x \otimes \widetilde{z} &\in X \otimes_A \widetilde{X} \mapsto {}_A \langle x, z \rangle \in A, \\ \widetilde{x} \otimes z &\in \widetilde{X} \otimes_A X \mapsto \langle x, z \rangle_A \in A. \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$x \otimes m_2 \otimes \left[\left(\sum_k \widetilde{n}_k \otimes \widetilde{z}_k \otimes l_k \right)^{\natural} \right]^{\sim} = \widetilde{x}^{\natural} \otimes m_2 \otimes \sum_k \widetilde{n}_k \otimes z_k \otimes l_k.$$

It follows that

$$\|x \otimes (\widetilde{\Psi})^{-1}(m_2 \otimes y^{\natural}) - \widetilde{x}^{\natural} \otimes \Psi^{-1}(m_2 \otimes y)\| \leq 2\|x\| \, \|m_2\|\varepsilon.$$

Since ε is arbitrary, we can see that $x \otimes (\widetilde{\Psi})^{-1}(m_2 \otimes y^{\natural}) = \widetilde{x}^{\natural} \otimes \Psi^{-1}(m_2 \otimes y)$ and that

$$\begin{bmatrix} m_1 & x \otimes m_2 \\ \widetilde{x}^{\natural} \otimes m_2 & m_1 \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} b & y \\ \widetilde{y}^{\natural} & b \end{bmatrix} \in C_M.$$

Before we define a left C_X -valued inner product and a right C_Y -valued inner product on C_M , we define a conjugate linear map on C_M ,

$$\begin{bmatrix} m_1 & x \otimes m_2 \\ \widetilde{x}^{\natural} \otimes m_2 & m_1 \end{bmatrix} \in C_M \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} m_1 & x \otimes m_2 \\ \widetilde{x}^{\natural} \otimes m_2 & m_1 \end{bmatrix}^{\widetilde{}} \in C_M$$

by

$$\begin{bmatrix} m_1 & x \otimes m_2 \\ \widetilde{x}^{\natural} \otimes m_2 & m_1 \end{bmatrix}^{\sim} = \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{m}_1 & (\widetilde{x}^{\natural} \otimes m_2)^{\sim} \\ (x \otimes m_2)^{\sim} & \widetilde{m}_1 \end{bmatrix}$$

for any $m_1, m_2 \in M, x \in X$. Since we identify $X \otimes_A M$ and $\widetilde{X} \otimes_A M$ with $\widetilde{M} \otimes_A \widetilde{X}$ and $\widetilde{M} \otimes_A X$ by Lemma 5.1, respectively, we obtain that

$$\begin{bmatrix} m_1 & x \otimes m_2 \\ \widetilde{x}^{\natural} \otimes m_2 & m_1 \end{bmatrix}^{\widetilde{}} = \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{m}_1 & \widetilde{m}_2 \otimes x^{\natural} \\ \widetilde{m}_2 \otimes \widetilde{x} & \widetilde{m}_1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We define the left C_X -valued inner product on C_M by

$$C_{x}\left\langle \begin{bmatrix} m_{1} & x \otimes m_{2} \\ \widetilde{x}^{\natural} \otimes m_{2} & m_{1} \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} n_{1} & z \otimes n_{2} \\ \widetilde{z}^{\natural} \otimes n_{2} & n_{1} \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} m_{1} & x \otimes m_{2} \\ \widetilde{x}^{\natural} \otimes m_{2} & m_{1} \end{bmatrix} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} n_{1} & z \otimes n_{2} \\ \widetilde{z}^{\natural} \otimes n_{2} & n_{1} \end{bmatrix}^{\tilde{z}}$$
$$= \begin{bmatrix} A\langle m_{1}, n_{1} \rangle + A\langle x \cdot A\langle m_{2}, n_{2} \rangle, z \rangle & A\langle m_{1}, n_{2} \rangle, \cdot z^{\natural} + x \cdot A\langle m_{2}, n_{1} \rangle \\ \widetilde{x}^{\natural} \cdot A\langle m_{2}, n_{1} \rangle + A\langle m_{1}, n_{2} \rangle \cdot \widetilde{z} & A\langle x \cdot A\langle m_{2}, n_{2} \rangle, z \rangle + A\langle m_{1}, n_{1} \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$

for any $m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2 \in M$, $x, z \in X$, where we regard the tensor product as a product in C_M in the formal manner and identify in the same way as above. Similarly, we define the right C_Y -valued inner product on C_M by

$$\begin{split} \left\langle \begin{bmatrix} m_1 & x \otimes m_2 \\ \widetilde{x}^{\natural} \otimes m_2 & m_1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} n_1 & z \otimes n_2 \\ \widetilde{z}^{\natural} \otimes n_2 & n_1 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle_{C_Y} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} m_1 & x \otimes m_2 \\ \widetilde{x}^{\natural} \otimes m_2 & m_1 \end{bmatrix}^{\sim} \cdot \begin{bmatrix} n_1 & z \otimes n_2 \\ \widetilde{z}^{\natural} \otimes n_2 & n_1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \langle m_1, n_1 \rangle_B + \langle m_2, \langle x, z \rangle_A \cdot n_2 \rangle_B & \widetilde{m}_1 \otimes \Psi(z \otimes n_2) + \widetilde{m}_2 \otimes \Psi(x^{\natural} \otimes n_1) \\ \widetilde{m}_2 \otimes \widetilde{\Psi}(\widetilde{x} \otimes n_1) + \widetilde{m}_1 \otimes \widetilde{\Psi}(\widetilde{z}^{\natural} \otimes n_2) & \langle m_2, \langle x, z \rangle_A \cdot n_2 \rangle_B + \langle m_1, n_1 \rangle_B \end{bmatrix} \end{split}$$

for any $m_1, m_2, n_1, n_2 \in M$, $x, z \in X$, where we regard the tensor product as a product in C_M in the formal manner, identifying in the same way as above and by the isomorphisms Ψ and $\tilde{\Psi}$. Here, we have to show that the value of the above inner product on C_M exists in C_M . Indeed, by routine computations,

$$\widetilde{m}_1 \otimes \Psi(z \otimes n_2) = \sum_i \widetilde{m}_1 \otimes u_i \otimes \Phi(\widetilde{u}_i \otimes z \otimes n_2) = \Phi(\widetilde{m}_1 \otimes z \otimes n_2) \in Y,$$

$$\widetilde{m}_2 \otimes \Psi(x^{\natural} \otimes n_1) = \Phi(\widetilde{m}_2 \otimes x^{\natural} \otimes n_1) \in Y.$$

Also,

$$\widetilde{m}_2 \otimes \widetilde{\Psi}(\widetilde{x} \otimes n_1) = \sum_i \widetilde{m}_2 \otimes u_i \otimes \widetilde{\Phi}(\widetilde{u}_i \otimes \widetilde{x} \otimes n_1) = \Phi(\widetilde{n}_1 \otimes x \otimes m_2)^{\sim} \in \widetilde{Y},$$

$$\widetilde{n}_1 \otimes \widetilde{\Psi}(\widetilde{z}^{\natural} \otimes n_2) = \sum_i \widetilde{m}_1 \otimes u_i \otimes \widetilde{\Phi}(\widetilde{u}_i \otimes \widetilde{z}^{\natural} \otimes n_2) = \sum_i \Phi(\widetilde{n}_2 \otimes z^{\natural} \otimes m_1)^{\sim} \in \widetilde{Y}.$$

Thus

$$\begin{split} [\widetilde{m}_2 \otimes \widetilde{\Psi}(\widetilde{x} \otimes n_1) + \widetilde{n}_1 \otimes \widetilde{\Psi}(\widetilde{z}^{\natural} \otimes n_2)]^{\widetilde{\natural}} &= \Phi(\widetilde{n}_1 \otimes x \otimes m_2)^{\natural} + \Phi(\widetilde{n}_2 \otimes z^{\natural} \otimes m_1)^{\natural} \\ &= \Phi(\widetilde{m}_2 \otimes x^{\natural} \otimes n_1) + \Phi(\widetilde{m}_1 \otimes z \otimes n_2) \\ &= \widetilde{m}_1 \otimes \Psi(z \otimes x) + \widetilde{m}_2 \otimes \Psi(x^{\natural} \otimes n_1). \end{split}$$

Hence

$$\left\langle \begin{bmatrix} m_1 & x \otimes m_2 \\ \widetilde{x}^{\natural} \otimes m_2 & m_1 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} n_1 & z \otimes n_2 \\ \widetilde{z}^{\natural} \otimes n_2 & n_1 \end{bmatrix} \right\rangle_{C_Y} \in C_Y.$$

By the above definitions, C_M has the left C_X - and the right C_Y -actions and the left C_X -valued and the right C_Y -valued inner products.

Let C_M^1 be the linear span of the set

$$C_M^Y = \left\{ \begin{bmatrix} m_1 & m_2 \otimes y \\ m_2 \otimes \widetilde{y}^{\natural} & m_1 \end{bmatrix} : m_1, m_2 \in M, y \in Y \right\}.$$

In the similar way to the above, we define a left C_X - and a right C_Y -actions on C_M^1 and a left C_X -valued and a right C_Y -valued inner products. But identifying $X \otimes_A M$ and $\widetilde{X} \otimes_A M$ with $M \otimes_B Y$ and $M \otimes_B \widetilde{Y}$ by Ψ and $\widetilde{\Psi}$, respectively, we can see that each of them coincides with the other by routine computations. Hence, we obtain the following lemma:

Lemma 5.12. With the above notation, C_M is a $C_X - C_Y$ -equivalence bimodule.

Proof. By the definitions of the left C_X -action and the left C_X -valued inner product on C_M , we can see that Conditions (a)–(d) in [6], Proposition 1.12 hold. By the definitions of the right C_Y -action and the right C_Y -valued inner product on C_M , we can also see that the similar conditions to Conditions (a)–(d) in [6], Proposition 1.12 hold. Furthermore, we can easily see that the associativity of the left C_X -valued inner product and the right C_Y -valued inner product hold. Since Mis an A - B-equivalence bimodule, there are finite subsets $\{u_i\}_{i=1}^n$ and $\{v_j\}_{j=1}^m$ of Msuch that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} {}_{A} \langle u_i, u_i \rangle = 1, \quad \sum_{j=1}^{m} \langle v_j, v_j \rangle_B = 1.$$

Let $U_i = \begin{bmatrix} u_i & 0 \\ 0 & u_i \end{bmatrix}$ for any *i* and let $V_j = \begin{bmatrix} v_j & 0 \\ 0 & v_j \end{bmatrix}$ for any *j*. Then $\{U_i\}$ and $\{V_j\}$ are finite subsets of C_M and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} C_X \langle U_i, U_i \rangle = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} u_i & 0\\ 0 & u_i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \widetilde{u}_i & 0\\ 0 & \widetilde{u}_i \end{bmatrix} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \begin{bmatrix} A \langle u_i, u_i \rangle & 0\\ 0 & A \langle u_i, u_i \rangle \end{bmatrix} = \mathbf{1}_{C_X}.$$

Similarly, $\sum_{j=1}^{m} \langle V_j, V_j \rangle_{C_Y} = 1_{C_Y}$. Thus, since the associativity of the left C_X -valued inner product and the right C_Y -valued inner product on C_M holds, we can see that $\{U_i\}$ and $\{V_j\}$ are a right C_Y -basis and a left C_X -basis of C_M , respectively. Hence by [6], Proposition 1.12, C_M is a $C_X - C_Y$ -equivalence bimodule.

Lemma 5.13. Let A and B be unital C*-algebras. Let X and Y be an involutive Hilbert A - A-bimodule and an involutive Hilbert B - B-bimodule, respectively. Let $\mathcal{A}_X = \{A_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_2}$ and $\mathcal{A}_Y = \{B_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_2}$ be C*-algebraic bundles over \mathbb{Z}_2 induced by X and Y, respectively. We suppose that there is an A - B-equivalence bimodule M such that

$$Y \cong \widetilde{M} \otimes_A X \otimes_A M$$

as involutive Hilbert B-B-bimodules. Then there is an $\mathcal{A}_X - \mathcal{A}_Y$ -equivalence bundle $\mathcal{M} = \{M_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_2}$ over \mathbb{Z}_2 such that

$${}_C\langle M_t, M_s \rangle = A_{ts^{-1}}, \quad \langle M_t, M_s \rangle_D = B_{t^{-1}s}$$

for any $t, s \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, where $C = A \oplus X$ and $D = B \oplus Y$.

Proof. Let C_M be the $C_X - C_Y$ -equivalence bimodule induced by M, which is defined in the above. We identify $M \oplus (X \otimes_A M)$ with C_M as vector spaces over \mathbf{C} by the isomorphism defined by

$$m_1 \oplus (x \otimes m_2) \in M \oplus (X \otimes_A M) \mapsto \begin{bmatrix} m_1 & x \otimes m_2 \\ \widetilde{x}^{\natural} \otimes m_2 & m_1 \end{bmatrix} \in C_M.$$

Since we identify $C = A \oplus X$ and $D = B \oplus Y$ with C_X and C_Y , respectively, $M \oplus (X \otimes_A M)$ is a C-D-equivalence bimodule by above identifications and Lemma 5.12. Let $M_0 = M$ and $M_1 = X \otimes_A M$. We note that $X \otimes_A M$ is identified with $M \otimes_B Y$ by the Hilbert A - B-bimodule isomorphism Ψ . Let $\mathcal{M} = \{M_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_2}$. Then by routine computations, \mathcal{M} is an $\mathcal{A}_X - \mathcal{A}_Y$ -equivalence bundle over \mathbb{Z}_2 such that

$$_C\langle M_t, M_s \rangle = A_{ts^{-1}}, \quad \langle M_t, M_s \rangle_D = B_{t^{-1}s}$$

for any $t, s \in \mathbb{Z}_2$.

Proposition 5.14. Let A and B be unital C^* -algebras. Let X and Y be an involutive Hilbert A-A-bimodule and an involutive Hilbert B-B-bimodule, respectively. Let $\mathcal{A}_X = \{A_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_2}$ and $\mathcal{A}_Y = \{B_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_2}$ be the C^* -algebraic bundles over \mathbb{Z}_2 induced by X and Y, respectively. Then the following conditions are equivalent:

(1) There is an $\mathcal{A}_X - \mathcal{A}_Y$ -equivalence bundle $\mathcal{M} = \{M_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_2}$ over \mathbb{Z}_2 such that

 $_C\langle M_t, M_s \rangle = A_{ts^{-1}}, \quad \langle M_t, M_s \rangle_D = B_{t^{-1}s}$

for any $t, s \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, where $C = A \oplus X$ and $D = B \oplus Y$.

(2) There is an A - B-equivalence bimodule M such that

$$Y \cong \widetilde{M} \otimes_A X \otimes_A M$$

as involutive Hilbert B - B-bimodules.

Proof. This is immediate by Lemmas 5.11 and 5.13.

Theorem 5.15. Let A and B be unital C^* -algebras. Let X and Y be an involutive Hilbert A - A-bimodule and an involutive Hilbert B - B-bimodule, respectively. Let $A \subset C_X$ and $B \subset C_Y$ be the unital inclusions of unital C^* -algebras induced by Xand Y, respectively. Then the following hold:

(1) If there is an A - B-equivalence bimodule M such that

$$M \otimes_A X \otimes_A M \cong Y$$

as involutie Hilbert B - B-bimodules, then the unital inclusions $A \subset C_X$ and $B \subset C_Y$ are strongly Morita equivalent.

(2) We suppose that X and Y are full with the both inner products and that $A' \cap C_X = \mathbb{C}1$. If the unital inclusions $A \subset C_X$ and $B \subset C_Y$ are strongly Morita equivalent, then there is an A - B-equivalence bimodule M such that

$$\widetilde{M} \otimes_A X \otimes_A M \cong Y$$

as involutive Hilbert B - B-bimodules.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{A}_X = \{A_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_2}$ and $\mathcal{A}_Y = \{B_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_2}$ be the C^* -algebraic bundles over \mathbb{Z}_2 induced by X and Y, respectively. We prove (1). We suppose that there is an A - B-equivalence bimodule M such that

$$\widetilde{M} \otimes_A X \otimes_A M \cong Y$$

as involutive Hilbert B - B-bimodules. Then by Proposition 5.14, there is an $\mathcal{A}_X - \mathcal{A}_Y$ -equivalence bundle $\mathcal{M} = \{M_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_2}$ over \mathbb{Z}_2 such that

$$_C\langle M_t, M_s \rangle = A_{ts^{-1}}, \quad \langle M_t, M_s \rangle_D = B_{t^{-1}s}$$

for any $t, s \in \mathbb{Z}_2$, where $C = A \oplus X$ and $D = B \oplus Y$. Hence, by Proposition 2.1, the unital inclusions of unital C^* -algebras $A \subset C$ and $B \subset D$ are strongly Morita equivalent. Since we identify $A \subset C$ and $B \subset D$ with $A \subset C_X$ and $B \subset C_Y$, respectively, $A \subset C_X$ and $B \subset C_Y$ are strongly Morita equivalent. Next, we prove (2). We suppose that X and Y are full with the both inner products and that $A' \cap C_X = \mathbb{C}1$. Also, we suppose that $A \subset C_X$ and $B \subset C_Y$ are strongly Morita equivalent. Then \mathcal{A}_X and \mathcal{A}_Y are saturated by Lemma 5.5. Since the identity map $\mathrm{id}_{\mathbb{Z}_2}$ is the only automorphism of \mathbb{Z}_2 , by Theorem 4.6 there is an $\mathcal{A}_X - \mathcal{A}_Y$ -equivalence bundle $\mathcal{M} = \{M_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{Z}_2}$ such that

$$_C\langle M_t, M_s \rangle = A_{ts^{-1}}, \quad \langle M_t, M_s \rangle_D = B_{t^{-1}s}$$

for any $t, s \in \mathbb{Z}$. Hence, from Proposition 5.14, there is an A - B-equivalence bimodule M such that

$$Y \cong \widetilde{M} \otimes_A X \otimes_A M$$

as involutive Hilbert B - B-bimodules.

References

- F. Abadie, D. Ferraro: Equivalence of Fell bundles over groups. J. Oper. Theory 81 (2019), 273–319.
 Zbl MR doi
- [2] L. G. Brown, P. Green, M. A. Rieffel: Stable isomorphism and strong Morita equivalence of C*-algebras. Pac. J. Math. 71 (1977), 349–363.
 Zbl MR doi
- [3] L. G. Brown, J. A. Mingo, N-T. Shen: Quasi-multipliers and embeddings of Hilbert C^{*}-bimodules. Can. J. Math. 46 (1994), 1150–1174.
 Zbl MR doi
- [4] K. K. Jensen, K. Thomsen: Elements of KK-Theory. Mathematics: Theory & Applications. Birkhäuser, Basel, 1991.
- [5] T. Kajiwara, Y. Watatani: Crossed products of Hilbert C*-bimodules by countable discrete groups. Proc. Am. Math. Soc. 126 (1998), 841–851.
- [6] T. Kajiwara, Y. Watatani: Jones index theory by Hilbert C*-bimodules and K-Theory. Trans. Am. Math. Soc. 352 (2000), 3429–3472.
 Zbl MR doi
- [7] K. Kodaka: The Picard groups for unital inclusions of unital C*-algebras. Acta Sci. Math. 86 (2020), 183–207.
- [8] K. Kodaka, T. Teruya: Involutive equivalence bimodules and inclusions of C*-algebras with Watatani index 2. J. Oper. Theory 57 (2007), 3–18.
- [9] K. Kodaka, T. Teruya: A characterization of saturated C*-algebraic bundles over finite groups. J. Aust. Math. Soc. 88 (2010), 363–383.
 Zbl MR doi
- [10] K. Kodaka, T. Teruya: The strong Morita equivalence for inclusions of C*-algebras and conditional expectations for equivalence bimodules. J. Aust. Math. Soc. 105 (2018), 103–144.

- [11] K. Kodaka, T. Teruya: Coactions of a finite dimensional C^* -Hopf algebra on unital C^* -algebras, unital inclusions of unital C^* -algebras and the strong Morita equivalence. Stud. Math. 256 (2021), 169–185.
- [12] M. A. Rieffel: C*-algebras associated with irrational rotations. Pac. J. Math. 93 (1981), 415–429.
- [13] Y. Watatani: Index for C*-subalgebras. Mem. Am. Math. Soc. 424 (1990), 117 pages.

Author's address: Kazunori Kodaka, Department of Mathematical Sciences, Faculty of Science, Ryukyu University, Nishihara-cho, Okinawa, 903-0213, Japan, e-mail: kodaka@math.u-ryukyu.ac.jp.

