# Adam Anebri; Najib Mahdou; Emel Aslankarayiğit Uğurlu On quasi *n*-ideals of commutative rings

*Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 72 (2022), No. 4, 1133–1144

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/151135>

# **Terms of use:**

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2022

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



[This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and](http://dml.cz) stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* http://dml.cz

### ON QUASI n-IDEALS OF COMMUTATIVE RINGS

Adam Anebri, Najib Mahdou, Fez, EMEL ASLANKARAYIĞIT UĞURLU, Istanbul

Received September 29, 2021. Published online July 28, 2022.

Abstract. Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with a nonzero identity. In this study, we present a new class of ideals lying properly between the class of n-ideals and the class of  $(2, n)$ -ideals. A proper ideal I of R is said to be a quasi n-ideal if  $\sqrt{I}$  is an n-ideal of R. Many examples and results are given to disclose the relations between this new concept and others that already exist, namely, the *n*-ideals, the quasi primary ideals, the  $(2, n)$ -ideals and the  $pr$ -ideals. Moreover, we use the quasi *n*-ideals to characterize some kind of rings. Finally, we investigate quasi n-ideals under various contexts of constructions such as direct product, power series, idealization, and amalgamation of a ring along an ideal.

Keywords: n-ideal; quasi n-ideal;  $(2, n)$ -ideal MSC 2020: 13A15, 13A18

#### 1. INTRODUCTION

In this article, we focus only on commutative rings with a nonzero identity and nonzero unital modules. Let R always denote such a ring and M denote such an R-module. The principal ideal generated by  $a \in R$  is denoted by (a). Also the radical of I is defined as  $\sqrt{I} := \{r \in R : r^k \in I \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{N}\}\.$  In particular,  $\sqrt{0} := \{r \in R: r^k = 0 \text{ for some } k \in \mathbb{N}\}\$ is the set of all nilpotent elements of R. For a subset S of R and an ideal I of R, we define  $(I :_R S) := \{r \in R : rS \subseteq I\}$ . In particular, we use Ann(S) instead of  $(0:_{R} S)$ . Moreover, for any  $a \in R$  and any ideal I of R we use  $(I : a)$  and Ann $(a)$  to denote  $(I :_R \{a\})$  and Ann $(\{a\})$ , respectively. An element  $a \in R$  is called a *regular* (or *zerodivisor*) element if  $Ann(a) = (0)$ (or Ann(a)  $\neq$  (0)). The set of all regular (or zerodivisor) elements of R is denoted by  $r(R)$  (or  $zd(R)$ ).

In 2015, Mohamadian presented the notion of r-ideals in commutative rings with a nonzero identity as follows: an ideal  $I$  of a commutative ring with identity  $R$ 

[DOI: 10.21136/CMJ.2022.0365-21](http://dx.doi.org/10.21136/CMJ.2022.0365-21) 1133

is called r-ideal (or pr-ideal) if ab  $\in I$  and a is regular element implies that  $b \in I$ (or  $b^n \in I$ , for some natural number n) for each  $a, b \in R$ , see [9]. In 2017, the authors introduced the concept of *n*-ideals of a commutative ring with a nonzero identity  $R$ as follows: let I be a proper ideal of R. If whenever  $ab \in I$  and  $a \notin \sqrt{0}$ , then  $b \in I$ , we say I is an *n*-ideal of R, see [11]. It is clear that every *n*-ideal is an *r*-ideal since  $\sqrt{0} \subseteq \text{zd}(R)$ . In [10], Tamekkante and Bouba introduced a generalization of the class of n-ideals called  $(2, n)$ -ideals. A proper ideal I of R is said to be a  $(2, n)$ -ideal if whenever  $a, b, c \in R$  and  $abc \in I$ , then  $ab \in I$  or  $ac \in \sqrt{0}$  or  $bc \in \sqrt{0}$ . They proved that an ideal I of R is a  $(2, n)$ -ideal if and only if I is 2-absorbing primary ideal and  $I \subseteq \sqrt{0}$ , see [10], Theorem 2.4.

On the other hand, the concept of quasi primary ideals in commutative rings was introduced and investigated by Fuchs in  $[7]$ . The author called an ideal I of R as a quasi primary ideal if  $\sqrt{I}$  is a prime ideal. In [12], the notion of 2-absorbing quasi primary ideals is introduced as follows: a proper ideal  $I$  of  $R$  is 2-absorbing quasi primary if  $\sqrt{I}$  is a 2-absorbing ideal of R.

In this paper, our aim is to introduce a generalization of the concepts of  $n$ -ideals in commutative rings with a nonzero identity. For this, firstly with Definition 2.1, we introduce the concept of quasi *n*-ideals of R as follows: let I be a proper ideal of R, if  $\sqrt{I}$  is an n-ideal of R, then I is said to be a quasi n-ideal of R. In addition to giving main properties of quasi  $n$ -ideals, we give a characterization for them, see Theorem 2.1. At this point, we observe that quasi *n*-ideals exist in a ring  $R$  only when  $\sqrt{0}$  is a prime ideal. On the other hand, we have the following figure with nonreversible arrows, see Examples 2.1 and 2.2

$$
n
$$
-ideal  $\rightarrow$  quasi  $n$ -ideal  $\rightarrow$  (2, n)-ideal.

Moreover, we study the rings over which every proper ideal is a quasi  $n$ -ideal. Finally, we give an idea about quasi  $n$ -ideals of the localization of rings, the power series rings, the trivial ring extensions and the amalgamated of rings along an ideal.

### 2. QUASI  $n$ -IDEALS OF COMMUTATIVE RINGS

**Definition 2.1.** Let  $R$  be a commutative ring with a nonzero identity and  $I$  be a proper ideal of R. If  $\sqrt{I}$  is an n-ideal of R, then I is said to be a quasi n-ideal of R.

It can be easily seen that every *n*-ideal of a ring  $R$  is a quasi *n*-ideal. But the converse is not true. For this, we can give the following example, which is a quasi n-ideal but not n-ideal.

**Example 2.1.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}[X, Y]/(Y^4)$ . For  $x = X + (Y^4)$  and  $y = Y + (Y^4)$ , consider  $I = (xy, y^2)$ . It is clear that  $\sqrt{0_R} = (y)$ . Since  $(x + y)y \in I$  but  $x + y \notin \sqrt{0_R}$ and  $y \notin I$ , we get that I is not an n-ideal of R. On the other hand,  $\sqrt{0_R} = (y)$  is a prime ideal of R. By [11], Corollary 2.9 (i), we say  $\sqrt{0_R}$  is an *n*-ideal. Moreover,  $\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{0_R}$  as  $I \subseteq \sqrt{0_R}$ . Hence,  $\sqrt{I}$  is an *n*-ideal, i.e., *I* is a quasi *n*-ideal of *R*.

The following theorem provides necessary and sufficient conditions for a proper ideal to be a quasi  $n$ -ideal.

Theorem 2.1. *Let* R *be a ring and* I *be a proper ideal of* R. *Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) I *is a quasi* n*-ideal.*
- (2) *I* is a quasi primary ideal and  $I \subseteq \sqrt{0}$ .
- (3) For two ideals  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$  of R, if  $I_1I_2 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$  and  $I_1 \cap (R \sqrt{0}) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $I_2 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ .

P r o o f. (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let I be a quasi n-ideal of R. Suppose that  $I \nsubseteq \sqrt{0}$ , then we can pick an element  $a \in I - \sqrt{0}$  and we consider  $a \cdot 1 \in I \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ . As  $\sqrt{I}$  is an *n*-ideal and  $a \notin \sqrt{0}$ , we must have  $1 \in \sqrt{I}$ , a contradiction. Thus,  $I \subseteq \sqrt{0}$  and hence  $\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{0}$  is a prime ideal.

 $(2)$  ⇒ (3): Let  $I_1I_2 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$  and  $I_1 \cap (R - \sqrt{0}) \neq \emptyset$  for two ideals  $I_1$ ,  $I_2$  of R. There exists  $a \in I_1 - \sqrt{0}$ . Then we say  $aI_2 \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ , i.e.,  $I_2 \subseteq (\sqrt{I}:a)$ . By assumption, we have  $I_2 \subseteq (\sqrt{I} : a) = \sqrt{I}$ , as needed.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Choose  $a, b \in R$  such that  $ab \in \sqrt{I}$  and  $a \notin \sqrt{0}$ . Consider  $I_1 = (a)$  and  $I_2 = (b)$ . By our hypothesis,  $(b) \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ , that is,  $b \in \sqrt{I}$  $\overline{I}$ .

Corollary 2.1. *Let* R *be a ring.*

- (1) (0) *is a quasi n*-ideal of R if and only if  $\sqrt{0}$  *is a prime ideal of R.*
- (2) *Let* R *be a reduced ring. Then* R *is an integral domain if and only if* (0) *is the only quasi* n*-ideal of* R*.*

 $Proof. (1)$  It is clear.

(2) Suppose that R is an integral domain, then as  $\sqrt{0} = (0)$  is prime, (0) is a quasi *n*-ideal by (1). On the other hand, if *I* is a quasi *n*-ideal of *R*, then  $I \subseteq \sqrt{0} = (0)$  by Theorem 2.1. For the converse, one can see that if (0) is a quasi n-ideal, then R is an integral domain.

**Remark 2.1.** It should not be surprising that a ring  $R$  does not have a quasi *n*-ideal. For instance,  $R = \mathbb{Z}_6$  has no quasi *n*-ideals. Indeed, let I be a quasi *n*-ideal. By Theorem 2.1, we say  $I \subseteq \sqrt{0} = (0)$ , so  $I = (0)$ . Moreover, since  $\overline{2} \cdot \overline{3} \in \sqrt{0}$ ,  $\overline{2} \notin \sqrt{0}$ and  $\bar{3} \notin \sqrt{\bar{0}}$ , we conclude  $(\bar{0})$  is not a quasi *n*-ideal.

As an immediate consequence of Theorem 2.1, we give a characterization of rings that admit quasi n-ideals.

**Corollary 2.2.** Let R be a ring. There is a quasi n-ideal of R if and only if  $\sqrt{0}$ *is a prime ideal of* R.

The following proposition shows that the class of quasi  $n$ -ideals is a subclass of  $(2, n)$ -ideals.

## Proposition 2.1. *Every quasi* n*-ideal of a ring* R *is a* (2, n)*-ideal.*

P r o o f. Let I be a quasi n-ideal, then  $\sqrt{I} = \sqrt{0}$  is a prime. By Theorem 2.8 of [2], I is a 2-absorbing primary ideal and hence I is a  $(2, n)$ -ideal of R by Theorem 2.4 of [10], as needed.

The following example proves that the converse of the previous proposition is not true, in general.

**Example 2.2.** Consider the ideal  $I := (\overline{0})$  of the ring  $R = \mathbb{Z}_6$ . Then, by Example 2.3 of [10], I is a  $(2, n)$ -ideal. However, R has no quasi n-ideals by Remark 2.1.

Note that similarly to the concept of quasi n-ideals, we can define the concept of "quasi r-ideals" of R as follows: if  $\sqrt{I}$  is an r-ideal, we say I is a quasi r-ideal of R. On the other hand, Mohamadian proved that I is a pr-ideal if and only if  $\sqrt{I}$ is an  $r$ -ideal, see [9], Proposition 2.16. Thus, we conclude the two concepts, quasi  $r$ -ideals and  $pr$ -ideals, are identical. In this study for this concept, we will use "quasi $r$ -ideals" to catch the similarity of the concept of "quasi  $n$ -ideals".

Proposition 2.2. *Let* I *be a proper ideal of* R. *If* I *is a quasi* n*-ideal, then* I *is a quasi* r*-ideal.*

P r o o f. Suppose that I is a quasi n-ideal, so  $\sqrt{I}$  is an n-ideal. Since every *n*-ideal is an *r*-ideal,  $\sqrt{I}$  is also an *r*-ideal. It is done.

As  $\sqrt{0} \subseteq \text{zd}(R)$ , one can easily show that if (0) is a primary ideal of R, then  $\sqrt{0} =$  $zd(R)$ . Thus, the *n*-ideals and *r*-ideals are identical in any commutative ring such that (0) is primary. By the help of the same argument, one can see the following remark.

**Remark 2.2.** The quasi *n*-ideals and quasi *r*-ideals are identical in any commutative ring, where (0) is a primary ideal.

Proposition 2.3. *The intersection of any family of quasi* n*-ideals of* R *is a quasi* n*-ideal of* R.

 $\sqrt{\Omega}$ Proof. Let  $\{I_{\alpha}\}_{{\alpha}\in{\Delta}}$  be a family of quasi n-ideals of R. We will show that  $\prod_{\alpha \in \Delta} I_{\alpha}$  is an *n*-ideal of R. As  $I_{\alpha}$  is a quasi *n*-ideal of R, we know  $\sqrt{I_{\alpha}}$  is an *n*-ideal of R. Thus,  $\sqrt{\bigcap}$  $\bigcap_{\alpha\in\Delta}I_\alpha=\bigcap_{\alpha\in\Delta}$ α∈∆  $\sqrt{I_{\alpha}}$  implies that  $\sqrt{\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Delta} I_{\alpha}}$  is an *n*-ideal by [11], Proposition 2.4.  $\blacksquare$ 

Proposition 2.4. *Let* R *be a ring. If* I *is a proper ideal of* R *and* P *is a prime ideal of* R *such that*  $I \cap P$  *is a quasi n*-ideal, then either I *is a quasi n*-ideal or  $P = \sqrt{0}$ .

P r o o f. If  $I \subseteq P$ , then  $I = I \cap P$  is a quasi n-ideal. Now, we suppose that  $I \nsubseteq P$  and take  $a, b \in R$  with  $ab \in P$  and  $a \notin \sqrt{0}$ . By hypothesis, we can pick an element  $x \in I - P$ , hence  $abx \in I \cap P$ . The fact that  $I \cap P$  is a quasi n-ideal and  $a \notin \sqrt{0}$  implies that  $bx \in \sqrt{I \cap P}$ . Thus,  $b \in P$  and so P is an n-ideal of R, which shows that  $P = \sqrt{0}$ . This completes the proof.

**Theorem 2.2.** Let R be a ring and  $I_1, \ldots, I_n$  be ideals of R, where  $n \geq 2$ . If  $I_i$ and  $I_j$  are co-primes for each  $i \neq j$ , then  $\bigcap_{k=1}^n I_k$  is not a quasi-n-ideal of R.

P r o o f. Suppose that  $\bigcap^{n}$  $\bigcap_{k=1} I_k$  is a quasi-*n*-ideal. We will prove that  $I_j$  is a quasi *n*-ideal for each j. Let  $a, b \in R$  such that  $ab \in \sqrt{I_j}$  and  $a \notin \sqrt{0}$ . Since  $I_j$  and  $I_k$  are co-primes for each  $k \neq j$ , we have that  $I_j$  and  $\bigcap_{k \neq j} I_k$  must be co-primes. Then there exist  $x \in I_j$  and  $y \in \bigcap$  $\bigcap_{k \neq j} I_k$  such that  $1 = x + y$ . Thus,  $aby \in$  $\sqrt{\bigcap_{n=1}^{n}$  $\bigcap_{k=1} I_k$ , which implies that  $b^m y^m \in \bigcap_{n=1}^n$  $\bigcap_{k=1} I_k$  for a positive integer m. So,  $b^m y^{m-1} = b^m y^{m-1} x + b^m y^m \in I_j$ . By induction, we can prove that  $b \in \sqrt{I_j}$ . It follows that  $I_j$  is a quasi *n*-ideal. By Theorem 2.1, we obtain  $1 \in \sqrt{0}$ , a desired contradiction.

Proposition 2.5. *Let* R *be a ring and* S *be a nonempty subset of* R*. If* I *is a* quasi n-ideal of R with  $S \nsubseteq \sqrt{I}$ , then  $(I : S)$  is a quasi n-ideal of R.

P r o o f. It suffices to show that  $\sqrt{I} \subseteq \sqrt{(I : S)} \subseteq (\sqrt{I} : S) = \sqrt{I}$ . This, in turn, follows from the fact that I is a quasi n-ideal of R and  $S \nsubseteq \sqrt{0}$ , as needed.

Let R be a ring. We call a quasi n-ideal I of R a maximal quasi n-ideal if there is no quasi *n*-ideal which contains I properly. We observe that  $\sqrt{0}$  is the unique maximal quasi *n*-ideal in a ring  $R$ .

# **Theorem 2.3.** Let R be a ring. If I is a maximal quasi n-ideal of R, then  $I = \sqrt{0}$ .

P r o o f. Let I be a maximal quasi n-ideal. We claim that I is an n-ideal. Choose  $a, b \in R$  such that  $ab \in I$  and  $a \notin \sqrt{0}$ . Then, by Proposition 2.5,  $(I : a)$  is a quasi *n*-ideal of R. Since I is a maximal quasi *n*-ideal of R, it must be  $(I : a) = I$ , hence  $b \in I$ . Consequently, I is a maximal n-ideal, that is,  $I = \sqrt{0}$  by [11], Theorem 2.11.  $\Box$ 

Proposition 2.6. *Let* R *be a zero dimensional ring. Then* R *admits a quasi n*-ideal if and only if  $(R, \sqrt{0})$  is a local ring.

P r o o f. Let R be a zero dimensional ring which admits a quasi n-ideal. Then, by Theorem 2.2,  $\sqrt{0}$  is a prime ideal. Moreover, if P is a prime ideal of R, then  $\sqrt{0} = P$  by maximality of  $\sqrt{0}$ . Hence, R is a local ring. For the converse, it can be easily seen that if  $(R, \sqrt{0})$  is a local ring, then  $\sqrt{0}$  is the unique prime ideal of R. Thus, every proper ideal of R is an n-ideal (so a quasi n-ideal), as desired.  $\square$ 

Corollary 2.3. *Let* R *be a ring. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) R *is a field.*
- (2) R *is a Boolean ring and* (0) *is a quasi* n*-ideal.*
- (3) R *is a von Neumann regular ring and* (0) *is a quasi* n*-ideal.*

P r o o f.  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$  and  $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$  are clear.

 $(3) \Rightarrow (1)$ : Assume that R is a von Neumann regular ring and  $(0)$  is a quasi n-ideal. So,  $R$  is a reduced ring and is zero dimensional. Hence,  $R$  is a field by Proposition 2.6.  $\Box$ 

Corollary 2.4 ([11], Proposition 3.1). *Let* m *be a positive integer. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1)  $\mathbb{Z}_m$  has a quasi *n*-ideal.
- $(2)$   $\mathbb{Z}_m$  has an *n*-ideal.
- (3)  $m = p^k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{Z}^+$ , where p is a prime number.

According to [3], a ring R is called an UN-ring if every nonunit element a of R is a product of a unit and a nilpotent element.

Proposition 2.7. *Let* R *be a ring. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (1) R *is an UN-ring.*
- (2) *Every proper principal ideal of* R *is a quasi* n*-ideal.*
- (3) *Every proper ideal of* R *is a quasi* n*-ideal.*

P r o o f.  $(1) \Rightarrow (2)$  follows from Proposition 2.25 of [11].

 $(2) \Rightarrow (3)$ : Let I be a proper ideal of R. Assume that  $ab \in I$  for some elements  $a \in R - \sqrt{0}$  and  $b \in R$ . Then, by assumption,  $b \in \sqrt{(ab)} \subseteq \sqrt{I}$ . Thus, I is a quasi n-ideal.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let P be a prime ideal of R, then P is a quasi n-ideal and so  $P = \sqrt{0}$ , which implies that  $\sqrt{0}$  is the unique prime ideal of R. It follows that R is an UN-ring by [3], Proposition 2 (3).

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $I, I_1, I_2, \ldots, I_m$  be ideals of R such that  $I \subseteq I_1 \cup I_2 \cup \ldots \cup I_m$ . If  $I_i$  is a quasi *n*-ideal and the others have nonnilpotent elements such that  $I \nsubseteq \bigcup I_j$ ,  $j\neq i$ *then*  $I \subseteq \sqrt{I_i}$ *.* 

P r o o f. Without loss of generality, let  $i = 1$ . By our hypothesis,  $I \nsubseteq I_2 \cup \ldots \cup I_m$ . Thus, there is  $x \in I$  but  $x \notin I_2 \cup \ldots \cup I_m$ . This means that  $x \in I_1$ . Now, we claim  $I \cap \bigcap_{i=1}^m$  $\bigcap_{k=2}^{m} I_k \subseteq I_1$ . Choose  $\alpha \in I \cap \bigcap_{k=1}^{m}$  $\bigcap_{k=2} I_k$ . Note that  $x \notin I_k$  and  $\alpha \in I_k$  for  $k = 2, \ldots, m$ . This implies  $x + \alpha \notin I_k$ . Thus,  $x + \alpha \in I - \bigcup_{k=1}^{m} I_k$  $\bigcup_{j=2} I_j$ , which implies  $x + \alpha \in I_1$ . Then we conlclude  $\alpha \in I_1$ . On the other hand, by Theorem 2.2,  $\sqrt{0}$ is a prime ideal of R. Hence,  $R - \sqrt{0}$  is a multiplicatively closed subset of R, so the product of nonnilpotent elements is a nonnilpotent element. This means that  $\prod$  $\prod_{k=2}^{m} I_k \cap (R - \sqrt{0}) \neq \emptyset$ . Now, note that  $I\left(\prod_{k=2}^{m} I_k\right)$  $\prod_{k=2}^{m} I_k$  $\Big) \subseteq I \cap \Big( \prod_{k=2}^{m}$  $\prod_{k=2}^{m} I_k$   $\subseteq I_1$ . Consider  $I\Big(\prod^m$  $\prod_{k=2}^{m} I_k$   $\subseteq \sqrt{I_1}$  and  $\prod_{k=2}^{m} I_k \cap (R - \sqrt{0}) \neq \emptyset$ . By Theorem 2.1, we conclude  $I \subseteq \sqrt{I_1}$ .  $\Box$ 

**Proposition 2.8.** Let R be a ring and J be an ideal of R such that  $J \cap$  $(R - \sqrt{0}) \neq \emptyset$ . Then:

(1) If  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  are two quasi n-ideals of R such that  $\sqrt{I_1}J = \sqrt{I_2}J$ , then  $\sqrt{I_1} = \sqrt{I_2}$ .

(2) If  $\sqrt{I}J$  is a quasi n-ideal of R, then  $\sqrt{IJ} = \sqrt{I}$ .

P r o o f. (1) Consider  $\sqrt{I_1}J \subseteq \sqrt{I_2}$ . By Theorem 2.1,  $\sqrt{I_1} \subseteq \sqrt{I_2}$ . Similarly, we conclude  $\sqrt{I_2} \subseteq \sqrt{I_1}$ .

(2) By the assumption,  $\sqrt{I}J$  is a quasi *n*-ideal and also consider  $\sqrt{I}J \subseteq \sqrt{\sqrt{I}J}$ . By Theorem 2.1, we have  $\sqrt{I} \subseteq \sqrt{\sqrt{I}J}$ . As  $\sqrt{\sqrt{I}J} = \sqrt{\sqrt{I}} \cap \sqrt{J} = \sqrt{IJ}$ , we obtain  $\sqrt{I} \subseteq \sqrt{IJ}$ , as required.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $f: R \to S$  be a homomorphism. Then:

- (1) *Suppose* f is an epimorphism. If I is a quasi n-ideal of R such that  $\text{Ker}(f) \subseteq I$ , *then*  $f(I)$  *is a quasi n-ideal of S.*
- (2) Suppose f is a monomorphism. If J is a quasi n-ideal of S, then  $f^{-1}(J)$  is *a quasi* n*-ideal of* S.

Proof. (1) Choose  $x, y \in S$  such that  $xy \in \sqrt{f(I)}$  and  $x \notin \sqrt{0_S}$ . Then there are  $a, b \in R$  with  $x = f(a)$  and  $y = f(b)$ . It is clear that  $f(ab) \in \sqrt{f(I)}$ . Also, Ker(f) ⊆ I implies  $ab \in \sqrt{I}$ . Note that  $a \notin \sqrt{0_R}$  as  $x \notin \sqrt{0_S}$ . Thus, as I is a quasi *n*-ideal, we conclude  $b \in \sqrt{I}$ , that is,  $y \in \sqrt{f(I)}$ .

(2) Take  $a, b \in R$  with  $ab \in \sqrt{f^{-1}(J)}$  and  $a \notin \sqrt{0_R}$ . Then there is  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(ab)^k \in f^{-1}(J)$ , that is,  $f(ab)^k \in J$ . On the other hand, as f is a monomorphism,  $a \notin \sqrt{0}$  means  $f(a) \notin \sqrt{0_S}$ . Then we get  $f(a)^k \notin \sqrt{0_S}$ . Thus, by hypothesis, we obtain  $f(b)^k \in J$ , i.e.,  $b \in \sqrt{f^{-1}(J)}$ , which completes the proof. **Corollary 2.5.** Let I and J be two ideals of R such that  $J \subseteq I$ .

- (1) *If* I *is a quasi* n*-ideal of* R, *then* I/J *is a quasi* n*-ideal of* R/J.
- (2) If  $I/J$  is a quasi n-ideal of  $R/J$  and  $J \subseteq \sqrt{0_R}$ , then I is a quasi n-ideal of R.
- (3) *If* I/J *is a quasi* n*-ideal of* R/J *and* J *is a quasi* n*-ideal of* R, *then* I *is a quasi* n*-ideal of* R.

P r o o f. (1) Let  $\pi: R \to R/J$  be the natural homomorphism. Since Ker(f) =  $J \subseteq I$ , by Theorem 2.5, we say  $\pi(I) = I/J$  is a quasi *n*-ideal of  $R/J$ .

(2) Choose  $a, b \in R$  with  $ab \in \sqrt{I}$  and  $a \notin \sqrt{0_R}$ . This implies that  $(a+J)(b+J) \in$  $\sqrt{I/J} = \sqrt{I/J}$ . Also, note that  $a + J \notin \sqrt{\frac{0R}{J}}$ , otherwise it would contradict with  $a \notin \sqrt{0_R}$  since  $J \subseteq \sqrt{0_R}$ . Hence,  $b+J \in \sqrt{I/J}$ , so  $b \in \sqrt{I}$ . Consequently, I is a quasi n-ideal of R.

(3) Since J is a quasi n-ideal, by Theorem 2.1,  $J \subseteq \sqrt{0_R}$ . Thus, with item (2), it is done.

Corollary 2.6. *Let* S *be a subring of* R. *If* I *is a quasi* n*-ideal of* R *such that*  $S \nsubseteq I$ , then  $I \cap S$  is a quasi *n*-ideal of S.

P r o o f. Let  $i: S \to R$  be the injection homomorphism. Clearly,  $i^{-1}(I) = I \cap S$ . By Theorem 2.5,  $I \cap S$  is a quasi n-ideal of S.

Proposition 2.9. *Let* R *be a ring and* S *be a multiplicatively closed subset of* R*. Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) If *I* is a quasi n-ideal of R, then  $S^{-1}I$  is a quasi n-ideal of  $S^{-1}R$ .
- (2) Suppose that  $S = r(R)$ . If *J* is a quasi n-ideal of  $S^{-1}R$ , then  $J^c$  is a quasi n*-ideal of* R.

P r o o f. (1) Choose  $a/s, b/t \in S^{-1}R$  such that  $(a/s)(b/t) \in \sqrt{S^{-1}I} = S^{-1}\sqrt{I}$ and  $a/s \notin \sqrt{0_{S^{-1}R}}$ . Then we have  $uab \in \sqrt{I}$  for some  $u \in S$ . Also,  $a/s \notin \sqrt{0_{S^{-1}R}}$ . implies that  $a \notin \sqrt{0_R}$ . Since I is a quasi n-ideal of R, we conclude  $ub \in \sqrt{I}$ , i.e.,  $b/t = ub/(ut) \in S^{-1} \sqrt{I}.$ 

(2) Take  $a, b \in R$  with  $ab \in \sqrt{J^c}$  and  $a \notin \sqrt{0_R}$ . Then  $(ab)^k \in J^c$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Consider  $(a/1)^k (b/1)^k \in J$ . Now, we claim  $(a/1)^k \notin \sqrt{0_{S^{-1}R}}$ . Let  $(a/1)^k \in \sqrt{0_{S^{-1}R}}$ . There exists  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $(a/1)^{kt} = 0_{S^{-1}R}$ . Thus, for some  $u \in S = r(R)$ , we have  $ua^{kt} = 0_R$ . This implies that  $a^{kt} \in Ann(u) = 0_R$ , i.e.,  $a \in \sqrt{0_R}$ . This gives us a contradiction. Thus, as J is a quasi n-ideal of  $S^{-1}R$ , we conclude  $(b/1)^k \in J$ . Consequently,  $b \in \sqrt{J^c}$ . В последните последните последните последните последните последните последните последните последните последн<br>В 1990 година от селото на сел

**Theorem 2.6.** Let R and S be two commutative rings. Then  $R \times S$  has no quasi n*-ideals.*

1140

P r o o f. Let  $I \times J$  be a quasi n-ideal of  $R \times S$ . Then  $\sqrt{I \times J} = \sqrt{I} \times \sqrt{J}$  is an *n*-ideal of  $R \times S$ . But this result contradicts with Proposition 2.26 of [11].

Proposition 2.10. *Let* R *be a ring and* I *be an ideal. Then:*

(1) R *has a quasi* n*-ideal if and only if* R[X] *has a quasi* n*-ideal.*

(2) If  $I[X]$  is a quasi *n*-ideal of  $R[X]$ , then I is a quasi *n*-ideal of R.

(3)  $(I, X)$  *is never a quasi n-ideal of R[X].* 

P r o o f. (1) Combine Theorem 2.2 with Lemma 3.6 of [10].

(2) Assume that  $I[X]$  is a quasi *n*-ideal of  $R[X]$ . Then, by Corollary 2.6,  $I =$  $I[X] \cap R$  is a quasi *n*-ideal of R.

(3) It follows from the fact that  $\sqrt{(I, X)} \nsubseteq \sqrt{0_{R[X]}}$ .

Recall that an ideal  $I$  of a ring is said to be a strong finite type (or an  $SFT$ -ideal) if there exist a natural number k and a finitely generated ideal  $J \subseteq I$  such that  $x^k \in J$  for each  $x \in I$ .

Proposition 2.11. *Let* R *be a ring and* I *be an ideal of* R*. Then the following statements hold:*

- (1) *If* R[[X]] *admits a quasi* n*-ideal, then* R *admits a quasi* n*-ideal. The converse holds provided that*  $\sqrt{0_R}$  *is an SFT-ideal.*
- (2) If  $I[[X]]$  is a quasi n-ideal of  $R[[X]]$ *, then*  $I[X]$  is a quasi n-ideal of  $R[X]$  (so I *is a quasi* n*-ideal of* R).

P r o o f. (1) If  $R[[X]]$  has a quasi n-ideal, then  $\sqrt{0_R} = \sqrt{0_{R[[X]]}} \cap R$  is an n-ideal of R and so  $\sqrt{0_R}$  is a prime ideal of R. For the converse, we assume that  $\sqrt{0_R}$ is an SFT-ideal. Then, by [8], Corollary 2.4,  $\sqrt{0_{R[[X]]}} = \sqrt{0_R[[X]]}$ . On the other hand, since R admits a quasi n-ideal, then  $\sqrt{0_{R[[X]]}}$  is a prime ideal, which implies that  $R[[X]]$  admits a quasi *n*-ideal.

(2) Suppose that I[[X]] is a quasi n-ideal of R[[X]], then  $I[X] = I[[X]] \cap R[X]$  is a quasi *n*-ideal by Corollary 2.6. Hence, *I* is a quasi *n*-ideal.

Let R be a commutative ring with a nonzero identity and M be an R-module. Then the idealization  $R(+)M = \{(a, m): a \in R, m \in M\}$  is a commutative ring with componentwise addition and multiplication  $(a, m)(b, n) = (ab, an+bm)$  for each  $a, b \in R$  and  $m, n \in M$ . In addition, if I is an ideal of R and N is a submodule of M, then  $I(+)N$  is an ideal of  $R(+)M$  if and only if  $IM \subseteq N$ , see [1].

Theorem 2.7. *Let* R *be a ring and* M *be an* R*-module.*

(1) *A* proper ideal *J* of  $R(+)M$  is a quasi n-ideal if and only if  $J_R$  is a quasi n-ideal *of* R, where  $J_R = \{r \in R: (r, m) \in J \text{ for some } m \in M\}.$ 

(2) I is a quasi n-ideal of R if and only if  $I(+)$ N is a quasi n-ideal of  $R(+)M$  for *each submodule* N *of* M *such that*  $IM \subseteq N$ .

P r o o f. (1) Let J be a proper ideal of  $R(+)M$ . It is well known from [1], Theorem 3.2 (3) that  $\sqrt{J} = \sqrt{J_R}(+)M$ , where  $J_R = \{r \in R: (r, m) \in J \text{ for some }$  $m \in M$ . On the other hand, by Theorem 2.1, J is a quasi n-ideal if and only if  $\sqrt{J_R}(+)M = \sqrt{0}(+)M$  is a prime ideal if and only if  $J_R$  is a quasi n-ideal of R. It is done.

(2) It follows from (1).  $\Box$ 

The following is an example of a quasi *n*-ideal that is not an *n*-ideal.

**Example 2.3.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}$  and  $M = \mathbb{Z}_{pq}$ , where p and q are prime numbers. Then, the zero ideal of  $R(+)M$  is a quasi *n*-ideal which is not an *n*-ideal. Indeed,  $\sqrt{0_{R(+)M}} = 0(+)M$  is prime. However,  $(p,0)(0,q) \in (0,0)$  but  $(p,0) \notin \sqrt{0_{R(+)M}}$ and  $(0, q) \notin (0, 0)$ .

Let R and S be two rings, J be an ideal of S and  $f: R \rightarrow S$  be a ring homomorphism. In this setting, we can consider the subring of  $R \times S$ 

$$
R \bowtie^f J = \{(r, f(r) + j): r \in R \text{ and } j \in J\}
$$

called the amalgamation of R with S along J with respect to f. This construction has been first indroduced and studied by D'Anna, Finocchiaro, and Fontana in [6], [4]. In particular, if I is an ideal of R and  $id_R: R \to R$  is the identity homomorphism on R, then  $R \bowtie J = R \bowtie^{\text{id}_R} J = \{(r, r + j): r \in R \text{ and } j \in J\}$  is the amalgamated duplication of R along J (introduced and studied by D'Anna and Fontana in [5]). For all ideals  $I$  of  $R$  and ideals  $K$  of  $S$ , set:

$$
I \bowtie^{f} J = \{ (r, f(r) + j) \colon r \in I \text{ and } j \in J \},\
$$
  

$$
\overline{K}^{f} = \{ (r, f(r) + j) \colon r \in R, j \in J \text{ and } f(r) + j \in K \}.
$$

**Theorem 2.8.** Let R and S be a pair of rings, J be an ideal of S and  $f: R \rightarrow S$ *be a ring homomorphism. Let* I *be an ideal of* R *and* K *be an ideal of* S*. The following statements hold:*

- (1) *If*  $I \bowtie^f J$  *is a quasi n*-ideal (*or n*-ideal) *of*  $R \bowtie^f J$ , then *I is a quasi n*-ideal (*or n*-ideal) *of* R. The converse is true if  $J \subseteq \sqrt{0_S}$ .
- (2) Assume that f is an epimorphism. Then the fact that  $\overline{K}^f$  is a quasi n-ideal (*or n*-ideal) of  $R \bowtie^f J$  implies that K is a quasi n-ideal (*or n*-ideal) of S. The *converse holds provided that*  $f^{-1}(J) \subseteq \sqrt{0_R}$ *.*

1142

P r o o f. (1) Assume that  $I \bowtie^f J$  is a quasi n-ideal of  $R \bowtie^f J$ . Let  $a, b \in R$  such that  $ab \in \sqrt{I}$  and  $a \notin \sqrt{0_R}$ . Then  $(a, f(a))(b, f(b)) \in \sqrt{I \bowtie^f J}$ with  $(a, f(a)) \notin \sqrt{0_{R\bowtie^f J}}$ . This implies that  $(b, f(b)) \in \sqrt{I \bowtie^f J}$  and hence  $b \in \sqrt{I}$ . Now, we will prove the converse under additional hypothesis that  $J \subseteq \sqrt{0_S}$ . Suppose that  $(a, f(a) + j)(b, f(b) + j') \in \sqrt{I \bowtie^f J}$  for some  $(a, f(a) + j) \notin \sqrt{0} R \bowtie^f J$  and  $(b, f(b) + j') \in R \bowtie^f J$ . By hypothesis, we must have  $a \notin \sqrt{0_R}$ . Therefore,  $b \in \sqrt{I}$ and thus  $(b, f(b) + j') \in \sqrt{I \bowtie^{f} J}$ . Similarly, one can prove that if  $I \bowtie^{f} J$  is an n-ideal of  $R \bowtie^f J$ , then I is an n-ideal of R, and the converse is true if  $J \subseteq \sqrt{0_S}$ .

(2) Let  $x, y \in S$  with  $x = f(a)$  and  $y = f(b)$ . Suppose that  $xy \in \sqrt{K}$  and  $x \notin \sqrt{0_S}$ . So,  $(a, f(a))(b, f(b)) \in \sqrt{\overline{K}^f}$  and  $(a, f(a)) \notin \sqrt{0_{R\bowtie^f}J}$ . Since  $\overline{K}^f$  is a quasi *n*-ideal, we then have  $(b, f(b)) \in \sqrt{\overline{K}^f}$  and so  $y = f(b) \in \sqrt{\overline{K}}$ . For the converse, suppose that K is a quasi *n*-ideal of S and  $f^{-1}(J) \subseteq \sqrt{0_R}$ . Let  $(a, f(a) + j), (b, f(b) + j') \in R \bowtie^f J$ such that  $(a, f(a) + j)(b, f(b) + j') \in \sqrt{\overline{K}^f}$  and  $(a, f(a) + j) \notin \sqrt{0R_{\mathbb{R}^d}f}$ . Then  $(f(a)+j)(f(b)+j') \in \sqrt{K}$ . The fact that  $(a, f(a)+j) \notin \sqrt{0_{R\bowtie^f}j}$  ensures that  $f(a)$ +  $j \notin \sqrt{0_S}$ . Suppose, on the contrary, that  $f(a) + j \in \sqrt{0_S}$ . As f is an epimorphism, then there exists  $c \in R$  such that  $f(c) = j$ . It is obvious that  $c \in \sqrt{0_R}$  and hence  $j \in \sqrt{0_S}$ , which proves that  $a^m \in \text{Ker}(f)$  for a positive integer m. Moreover,  $a \in \sqrt{0_R}$ since  $f^{-1}(J) \subseteq \sqrt{0_R}$ , that is,  $(a, f(a) + j) \in \sqrt{0_R}$ , a contradiction. We conclude that  $(f(b) + j') \in \sqrt{K}$  since K is a quasi n-ideal of S. Thus,  $\overline{K}$  is a quasi n-ideal of  $R \bowtie^f J$ . Similarly, one can prove that if  $\overline{K}^f$  is an *n*-ideal of  $R \bowtie^f J$ , then K is an *n*-ideal of S, and the converse is true in the case, where  $f^{-1}(J) \subseteq \sqrt{0_R}$ . This completes the proof.  $\Box$ 

Corollary 2.7. *Let* R *be a ring and let* I *and* J *be ideals of* R*.*

- (1) If  $I \Join J$  *is a quasi n*-ideal (*or n*-ideal) *of*  $R \Join J$ , then *I is a quasi n*-ideal (*or n*-ideal) *of*  $R$ *. The converse is true if*  $J \subseteq \sqrt{0_R}$ *.*
- (2) *If*  $\overline{I} := \{(a, a + i): a \in R, j \in J \text{ and } a + j \in I\}$  *is a quasi n-ideal* (*or n-ideal*) *of* R ⊲⊳ J*, then* I *is a quasi* n*-ideal* (*or* n*-ideal*) *of* R*. The converse is true if*  $J \subseteq \sqrt{0_R}$ .

The following example shows that the converse of Theorem  $2.8(1)$  fails if one deletes the hypothesis that  $J \subseteq \sqrt{0_S}$ .

**Example 2.4.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}(+) \mathbb{Z}_{pq}$ , where p and q are prime numbers, and let  $J = p\mathbb{Z}(+) \mathbb{Z}_{pq}$ . It is clear that  $I = 0(+) \mathbb{Z}_{pq}$  is an *n*-ideal (and so is a quasi *n*-ideal) of R. However,  $I \bowtie J$  is not a quasi n-ideal (and so is not an n-ideal). Indeed,  $((0, \overline{1}),(p, \overline{1}))((1, \overline{0}),(1, \overline{0})) = ((0, \overline{1}),(p, \overline{1})) \in I \bowtie J$ . But  $((0, \overline{1}),(p, \overline{1})) \notin \sqrt{0}$ and  $((1,\overline{0}), (1,\overline{0})) \notin \sqrt{I \bowtie J}$ .

In the following example, we prove that the condition  $f^{-1}(J) \subseteq \sqrt{0_R}$  cannot be discarded in the proof of the converse of Theorem 2.8 (2).

**Example 2.5.** Let  $R = \mathbb{Z}(+) \mathbb{Z}_{pq}$ , where p and q are prime numbers,  $S = \mathbb{Z}$ , and let  $J = p\mathbb{Z}$ . Consider the canonical epimorphism  $f: R \to S$  defined by  $f(r, m) = r$ . Note that  $f^{-1}(J) = p\mathbb{Z}(+) \mathbb{Z}_{pq} \not\subseteq \sqrt{0_R}$ . On the other hand,  $K = (0)$  is an *n*-ideal of S. However,  $\overline{K}$ <sup>f</sup> is not a quasi n-ideal of  $R \bowtie^f J$  because  $((p, \overline{0}), 0)((1, \overline{0}), 1) \in \overline{K}$ <sup>f</sup>,  $((p,\bar{0}),0) \notin \sqrt{\overline{R_{R\bowtie f}}_{J}}$  and  $((1,\bar{0}),1) \notin \sqrt{\overline{K}^{f}}$ .

### References

- [1] D. D. Anderson, M.Winders: Idealization of a module. J. Commut. Algebra 1 (2009),  $3-56$ . [zbl](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1194.13002)  $\overline{\text{MR}}$  $\overline{\text{MR}}$  $\overline{\text{MR}}$  [doi](http://dx.doi.org/10.1216/JCA-2009-1-1-3)
- [2] A. Badawi, U. Tekir, E. Yetkin: On 2-absorbing primary ideals in commutative rings. Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 51 (2014), 1163–1173. **Zbl [MR](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet/search/publdoc.html?contributed_items=show&pg3=MR&r=1&s3=MR3248714)** and the social state of the
- [3] G. Călugăreanu: UN-rings. J. Algebra Appl. 15 (2016), Article ID 1650182, 9 pages. [zbl](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1397.16037) **[MR](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet/search/publdoc.html?contributed_items=show&pg3=MR&r=1&s3=MR3575972)** [doi](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0219498816501826)
- [4] M. D'Anna, C. A. Finocchiaro, M. Fontana: Amalgamated algebras along an ideal. Commutative Algebra and Its Applications. Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, 2009, pp.  $155-172$ . [zbl](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1177.13043)  $MR$
- [5] M. D'Anna, C. A. Finocchiaro, M. Fontana: Properties of chains of prime ideals in amalgamated algebras along an ideal. J. Pure Appl. Algebra  $214$  (2010), 1633–1641.  $\blacksquare$  [zbl](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1191.13006) [MR](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet/search/publdoc.html?contributed_items=show&pg3=MR&r=1&s3=MR2593689) [doi](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jpaa.2009.12.008)
- [6] M. D'Anna, M. Fontana: An amalgamated duplication of a ring along an ideal: The basic properties. J. Algebra Appl.  $6$  (2007), 443–459.  $\sigma$  [zbl](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1126.13002) [MR](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet/search/publdoc.html?contributed_items=show&pg3=MR&r=1&s3=MR2337762) [doi](http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/S0219498807002326)
- [7] L. Fuchs: On quasi-primary ideals. Acta Sci. Math.  $11$  (1947), 174–183.
- [8] S. Hizem, A. Benhissi: Nonnil-Noetherian rings and the SFT property. Rocky Mt. J. Math. 41 (2011), 1483–1500. **[zbl](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1242.13027) [MR](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet/search/publdoc.html?contributed_items=show&pg3=MR&r=1&s3=MR2838074)** [doi](http://dx.doi.org/10.1216/RMJ-2011-41-5-1483)ned a series of the series o
- [9] R. Mohamadian: r-ideals in commutative rings. Turk. J. Math.  $39$  (2015), 733–749. [zbl](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1348.13003) **[MR](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet/search/publdoc.html?contributed_items=show&pg3=MR&r=1&s3=MR3395802)**
- [10] M. Tamekkante, E. M. Bouba:  $(2, n)$ -ideals of commutative rings. J. Algebra Appl. 18  $(2019)$ , Article ID 1950103, 12 pages.  $\qquad \qquad$  [zbl](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1412.13005) [MR](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet/search/publdoc.html?contributed_items=show&pg3=MR&r=1&s3=MR3954657)
- [11] U. Tekir, S. Koc, K. H. Oral: n-ideals of commutative rings. Filomat 31 (2017),  $2933-2941$ .  $2033-2941$
- $[12]$  U. Tekir, S. Koç, K. H. Oral, K. P. Shum: On 2-absorbing quasi-primary ideals in commutative rings. Commun. Math. Stat. 4 (2016), 55–62. **[zbl](https://zbmath.org/?q=an:1338.13007) [MR](http://www.ams.org/mathscinet/search/publdoc.html?contributed_items=show&pg3=MR&r=1&s3=MR3475842)** [doi](http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s40304-015-0075-9)

Authors' addresses: A d a m A n e b r i, N a j i b M a h d o u, Laboratory of Modelling and Mathematical Structures, Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science and Technology of Fez, Box 2202, University S.M. Ben Abdellah Fez, Morocco, e-mail: a[dam.anebri](mailto:adam.anebri@usmba.ac.ma)  $@usmba.ac.ma, mahdou@hotmail.com, Emel Aslankarayiğit Uğurlu (correspond @usmba.ac.ma, mahdou@hotmail.com, Emel Aslankarayiğit Uğurlu (correspond @usmba.ac.ma, mahdou@hotmail.com, Emel Aslankarayiğit Uğurlu (correspond$ ing author), Department of Mathematics, Marmara University, Istanbul, Turkey, e-mail: emelakyugurlu@gmail.com.