

Sergei Logunov

On Szymański theorem on hereditary normality of $\beta\omega$

Commentationes Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae, Vol. 63 (2022), No. 4, 507–512

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/151649>

Terms of use:

© Charles University in Prague, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, 2022

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

On Szymański theorem on hereditary normality of $\beta\omega$

SERGEI LOGUNOV

Abstract. We discuss the following result of A. Szymański in “Retracts and non-normality points” (2012), Corollary 3.5.: If F is a closed subspace of ω^* and the π -weight of F is countable, then every nonisolated point of F is a non-normality point of ω^* .

We obtain stronger results for all types of points, excluding the limits of countable discrete sets considered in “Some non-normal subspaces of the Čech–Stone compactification of a discrete space” (1980) by A. Błaszczyk and A. Szymański. Perhaps our proofs look “more natural in this area”.

Keywords: Čech–Stone compactification; non-normality point; butterfly-point; countable π -weight

Classification: 54D15, 54D35, 54D40, 54D80, 54E35, 54G20

1. Introduction

We investigate hereditary normality of Čech–Stone compactification βX of a completely regular space X .

Is $X^* \setminus \{p\}$ non-normal for any point p of the remainder $X^* = \beta X \setminus X$?

If so, then p is called a *non-normality point* of X^* . Usually, in order to answer this question positively, we have to show that p is a *butterfly-point* or a *b-point* of βX , see [4], i.e. to construct sets $F, G \subset X^* \setminus \{p\}$, which are closed in $\beta X \setminus \{p\}$, so that $\{p\} = [F] \cap [G]$, see also [6]. A. Szymański in [7] gave a different approach.

Particularly this question is intriguing for countable discrete space $\omega = \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$.

A. Błaszczyk and A. Szymański in [2] proved in 1980 that p is a *non-normality point* of ω^* , if p is a limit point of some countable discrete set $P \subset \omega^*$.

A point p is called a *Kunen point*, if there exists a discrete set $P \subset \omega^*$ of cardinality ω_1 , that is, no more than countable outside any neighbourhood of p . Every Kunen point is a non-normality point of ω^* (E. K. van Douwen, unpublished).

Some other more technical results were obtained in [3].

The answer is known and positive under CH (continuum hypothesis), see N. Warren [8] and M. Rajagopalan, [5] 1972, or even MA (Martin’s axiom), see A. Bešlagić and E. van Douwen, [1] 1990.

In 2012 A. Szymański in [7] obtained the following result:

Corollary 3.5. *If F is a closed subspace of ω^* and the π -weight of F is countable, then every nonisolated point of F is a non-normality point of ω^* .*

Let D be all isolated points of F . If $p \in [D]$, then Corollary 3.5. reduces to the well known result of A. Błaszczuk and A. Szymański in [2]. Otherwise, we can assume F to be crowded.

Theorem 1. *If F is a closed crowded subspace of ω^* and the π -weight of F is countable, then every point of F is a non-normality point of F .*

We show that F has a π -base \mathcal{B} with the following property:

$$(*) \quad \text{If } \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{B} \text{ and } \left(\bigcup \mathcal{D} \right) \cap \left(\bigcup \mathcal{C} \right) = \emptyset, \text{ then } \left[\bigcup \mathcal{D} \right] \cap \left[\bigcup \mathcal{C} \right] = \emptyset.$$

Then we obtain Theorem 1 as a corollary of the next

Theorem 2. *Let a normal realcompact crowded space X have a weakly embedded σ -cellular π -base \mathcal{B} with the property (*). Then every point $p \in X^*$ is a b -point of βX . Hence $\beta X \setminus \{p\}$ is not normal.*

2. Preliminaries

A space X is crowded, if X has no isolated points, $3 = \{0, 1, 2\}$. By $[\]$ we always denote the closure operator in βX . Let \mathcal{B} be a family of nonempty open sets. Then \mathcal{B} is weakly embedded, if any two sets of \mathcal{B} are either disjoint or one of them contains the other and σ -cellular, if $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{n < \omega} \mathcal{B}_n$ and every \mathcal{B}_n is cellular. A set $U \in \mathcal{B}$ is a maximal set of \mathcal{B} , if U is a proper subset of V for no $V \in \mathcal{B}$. Moreover, \mathcal{B} is a π -base of X , if any nonempty open set O contains some $U \in \mathcal{B}$, $\mathcal{B}(O) = \{U \in \mathcal{B} : U \cap O \neq \emptyset\}$.

Let π and σ be any maximal cellular families of open sets. We write $\pi \prec \sigma$ if $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$ implies $U \supseteq V$ for any $U \in \pi$ and $V \in \sigma$. Set $\mathcal{P}(\pi) = \{F : F \subseteq \pi\}$. We define a projection $f_\sigma^\pi : \mathcal{P}(\pi) \rightarrow \mathcal{P}(\sigma)$ by

$$f_\sigma^\pi F = \left\{ V \in \sigma : \bigcup F \cap V \neq \emptyset \right\}.$$

Let $p \in X^*$. Then $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{P}(\pi)$ is called a p -filter on π , if any finite subcollection $\{F_0, \dots, F_n\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ satisfies $p \in [\bigcup_{k=0}^n F_k]$. We denote $\bigcap \mathcal{F}^* = \bigcap \{[\bigcup F] : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ and $\pi \succ_{\mathcal{F}} \sigma$, if there is $F \in \mathcal{F}$ with $F \succ \sigma$. The image

$f_\sigma^\pi(\mathcal{F}) = \{f_\sigma^\pi F : F \in \mathcal{F}\}$ is a p -filter on σ . Obviously, the union of every increasing family of p -filters is also a p -filter. So by Zorn's lemma there are maximal p -filters or p -ultrafilters \mathcal{F} on π , that is $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{G}$ for any p -filter \mathcal{G} with $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{G}$.

3. Proofs

Lemma 1. *Let a closed subspace F of ω^* have a countable π -base $\{V_i\}_{i < \omega}$ and let p be a nonisolated point of F . Then there is a countable family $\{U_i\}_{i < \omega}$ of clopen subsets of ω^* with the following properties for all $i < \omega$:*

- 1) $p \notin U_i$;
- 2) $U_i \cap F$ is a nonempty subset of V_i ;
- 3) $\{U_i\}_{i < \omega}$ is weakly embedded.

PROOF: Assume $\{U_0, \dots, U_{n-1}\}$ have been constructed for some $n < \omega$ so that 1)–3) hold. To get U_n we need one more induction on $k \leq n - 1$.

Let U_n^k be constructed so that $\{U_0, \dots, U_{k-1}, U_n^k\}$ satisfies 1)–3). We put either $U_n^{k+1} = U_n^k \cap U_k$ if $U_n^k \cap U_k \cap F \neq \emptyset$ or $U_n^{k+1} = U_n^k \setminus U_k$ otherwise. Then $\{U_0, \dots, U_k, U_n^{k+1}\}$ satisfies 1)–3) and, finally, $U_n = U_n^n$. The family $\{U_n\}_{n < \omega}$ is as required. □

Lemma 2. *Theorem 2 implies Theorem 1.*

PROOF: In the notation of Lemma 1 we put $X = \bigcup_{i < \omega} (U_i \cap F)$ and $\mathcal{B} = \{U_i \cap X\}_{i < \omega}$. If the conditions of Theorem 1 hold, then X and \mathcal{B} satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2. Indeed, if $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{C} \subset \mathcal{B}$ and $(\bigcup \mathcal{D}) \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{C}) = \emptyset$, then $\mathcal{D}' = \{U_i : U_i \cap X \in \mathcal{D}\}$ and $\mathcal{C}' = \{U_i : U_i \cap X \in \mathcal{C}\}$ satisfy $(\bigcup \mathcal{D}') \cap (\bigcup \mathcal{C}') = \emptyset$ by our construction. Since $\bigcup \mathcal{D}'$ and $\bigcup \mathcal{C}'$ are open in ω^* and σ -compact, then $[\bigcup \mathcal{D}'] \cap [\bigcup \mathcal{C}'] = \emptyset$. Since X is σ -compact and everywhere dense in F , then $F = \beta X$ is a Čech–Stone compactification of X and $p \in X^*$. □

Now we only have to prove Theorem 2. To a certain extent, we follow the notation and proof scheme of [4].

Lemma 3. *Under the conditions of Theorem 2 the π -base \mathcal{B} satisfying (*) can be represented as $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{n < \omega} \mathcal{B}_n$ so that:*

- (1) every \mathcal{B}_n is maximal and cellular in X ;
- (2) $\mathcal{B}_{n+1} \succ \mathcal{B}_n$;
- (3) for every $U \in \mathcal{B}_n$ there is $\{U(\nu) : \nu < 3\} \subset \mathcal{B}_{n+1}$ with $\bigcup_{\nu < 3} U(\nu) \subset U$.

PROOF: Let $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{n < \omega} \mathcal{D}_n$ be weakly embedded and every \mathcal{D}_n be cellular.

We can choose maximal cellular $\mathcal{B}_0 \subset \mathcal{B}$ so that $\mathcal{D}_0 \subset \mathcal{B}_0$.

Assume $\mathcal{B}_n \subset \mathcal{B}$ has been constructed for some $n < \omega$. We can choose maximal cellular family $\mathcal{B}_{n+1} \subset \mathcal{B}$ so that $\mathcal{B}_{n+1} \succ \mathcal{B}_n$, $\mathcal{B}_{n+1} \succ \mathcal{D}_{n+1}$ and for every $U \in \mathcal{B}_n$ there is $\{U(\nu) : \nu < 3\} \subset \mathcal{B}_{n+1}$ with $\bigcup_{\nu < 3} U(\nu) \subset U$.

Finally, $\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{n < \omega} \mathcal{B}_n$ is as required. □

In what follows the π -base \mathcal{B} satisfies the conditions of Lemma 3,

$$\Sigma = \{\sigma \subset \mathcal{B} : \sigma \text{ maximal cellular in } X\}$$

and $\sigma(\nu) = \{U(\nu) : U \in \sigma\}$ for every $\sigma \in \Sigma$ and $\nu < 3$.

Lemma 4. *There is $\sigma \in \Sigma$ with the following property: If \mathcal{F} is a p -filter on σ , then $\bigcap \mathcal{F}^* \subset X^*$.*

PROOF: We have $p \in \bigcap_{i < \omega} O_i \subset X^*$ for some open $O_i \subset \beta X$. If $O_1 = X$ and $[O_{i+1}] \subset O_i$ for every $i < \omega$, then $\bigcup_{i < \omega} (O_i \setminus [O_{i+2}]) = X$. Denote by σ all maximal sets of the family

$$\{U \in \mathcal{B} : U \subset O_i \setminus O_{i+2} \text{ for some } i < \omega\}.$$

If $x \in X$ and $x \notin [O_i]$, then $F = \{U \in \sigma : U \cap [O_{i+2}] \neq \emptyset\}$ satisfies both $\bigcup F \subset O_i$ and $F \in \mathcal{F}$ for any p -filter \mathcal{F} . □

Lemma 5. *There are both a well-ordered chain $\{\sigma_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda\} \subset \Sigma$ and a p -ultrafilter \mathcal{F}_α on every σ_α with the following properties for all $\alpha < \beta < \lambda$:*

- (1) $\bigcap \mathcal{F}_0^* \subset X^*$;
- (2) $\sigma_\alpha \prec_{\mathcal{F}_\alpha} \sigma_\beta$;
- (3) $f_{\sigma_\beta}^{\sigma_\alpha} \mathcal{F}_\alpha \subset \mathcal{F}_\beta$;
- (4) for any $\sigma \in \Sigma \setminus \{\sigma_\alpha : \alpha < \lambda\}$ there is $\alpha_0 < \lambda$ with $\neg(\sigma_{\alpha_0} \prec_{\mathcal{F}_{\alpha_0}} \sigma)$.

PROOF: Let \mathcal{F}_0 be any p -ultrafilter on σ_0 , constructed in Lemma 4.

For some ordinal β assume σ_α and \mathcal{F}_α have been constructed for all $\alpha < \beta$. If there is $\sigma \in \Sigma$ with $\sigma_\alpha \prec_{\mathcal{F}_\alpha} \sigma$ for every σ_α , then we put $\sigma_\beta = \sigma$ and embed the p -filter $\bigcup_{\alpha < \beta} f_{\sigma_\beta}^{\sigma_\alpha} \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ into some p -ultrafilter \mathcal{F}_β on σ_β . Otherwise $\lambda = \beta$ and the proof is complete. □

Denote $f_\beta^\alpha = f_{\sigma_\beta}^{\sigma_\alpha}$ from now on.

Lemma 6. *If $\alpha < \beta < \lambda$, then $\bigcap \mathcal{F}_\beta^* \subset \bigcap \mathcal{F}_\alpha^*$.*

PROOF: There is $F \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ with $F \prec \sigma_\beta$ by (2). For any $G \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ we have $G \cap F \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ and $G \cap F \prec \sigma_\beta$. But then $\bigcup f_\beta^\alpha(G \cap F) \in \mathcal{F}_\beta$ implies

$$\bigcap \mathcal{F}_\beta^* \subset \left[\bigcup f_\beta^\alpha(G \cap F) \right] \subset \left[\bigcup (G \cap F) \right] \subset \left[\bigcup G \right].$$

□

Lemma 7. *For any neighbourhood O of p there is $\alpha < \lambda$ with $\bigcap \mathcal{F}_\alpha^* \subset O$.*

PROOF: Let σ be all maximal members of the family $\{U \in \mathcal{B} : U \subset O \text{ or } U \cap O = \emptyset\}$. Then $\sigma \in \Sigma$. For any σ_α with $\neg(\sigma_\alpha \prec_{\mathcal{F}_\alpha} \sigma)$ we get $\sigma_\alpha(O) \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$. Denote $\pi = \{U \in \sigma_\alpha(O) : V \subsetneq U \text{ for some } V \in \sigma\}$ and $\delta = \{U \in \sigma_\alpha(O) : U \subset V \text{ for some } V \in \sigma\}$. Since \mathcal{B} is weakly embedded, $\sigma_\alpha(O) = \pi \cup \delta$. Since \mathcal{F}_α is maximal, then either $\pi \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ or $\delta \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$. But if $\pi \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$, then $\pi \prec \sigma$ implies $\sigma_\alpha \prec_{\mathcal{F}_\alpha} \sigma$. Hence $\delta \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ and

$$\bigcap \mathcal{F}_\alpha^* \subset \left[\bigcup \delta \right] \subset \left[\bigcup \sigma(O) \right] \subset [O]_{\beta X}.$$

□

Lemma 8. *The set $B_\alpha(\nu) = \bigcap \mathcal{F}_\alpha^* \cap \left(\bigcap_{\beta \in \lambda \setminus \alpha} \left[\bigcup \sigma_\beta(\nu) \right] \right)$ is not empty for any $\alpha < \lambda$ and $\nu < 3$.*

PROOF: Let $F \in \mathcal{F}_\alpha$ and let $\alpha < \beta_0 < \dots < \beta_i < \dots < \beta_n < \lambda$ be any finite sequence of indexes. Our goal is to find by induction $U \in \mathcal{B}$ so that $U \subset \bigcup F$ and $U \subset \bigcup \sigma_{\beta_i}(\nu)$ and every $i \leq n$.

We may assume $F \prec \sigma_{\beta_0}$, choose $G_i \in \mathcal{F}_{\beta_i}$ so that $G_i \prec \sigma_{\beta_{i+1}}$ for each $i < n$ and put $G_n = \sigma_{\beta_n}$. Then the sets $F_0 = f_{\beta_0}^\alpha F \cap G_0$ and $F_{i+1} = f_{\beta_{i+1}}^{\beta_i} F_i \cap G_{i+1}$ satisfy the following conditions: $F_i \in \mathcal{F}_{\beta_i}$, $F_i \prec F_{i+1}$ and $\bigcup F_{i+1} \subset \bigcup F_i$. For any $U_n \in F_n$ we find $U_i \in F_i$ so that $U_n \subset U_i$ to get the sequence

$$U_n \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq U_i \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq U_1 \subsetneq U_0 \subset \bigcup F$$

and put $\Delta_0 = \{\sigma_{\beta_0}, \dots, \sigma_{\beta_n}\}$, $\Theta_0 = \emptyset$ and $W_0 = U_0$.

Let us construct for some $m \in \omega$ a sequence

$$U_n \subseteq \dots \subseteq U_{i+1} = W_m \subsetneq U_i(\nu) \subsetneq U_i \subsetneq \dots \subsetneq U_0(\nu) \subsetneq U_0 \subset \bigcup F$$

of sets $U_i \in \sigma_{\beta_i}$. Then $\Delta_m = \{\sigma_{\beta_{i+1}}, \dots, \sigma_{\beta_n}\}$ and $\Theta_m = \{\sigma_{\beta_0}, \dots, \sigma_{\beta_i}\}$ satisfy the following conditions:

- (1) $\Delta_m \cap \Theta_m = \emptyset$;
- (2) $\Delta_m \cup \Theta_m = \Delta_0$;
- (3) $W_m \subset \bigcup F$;
- (4) $W_m \subseteq \bigcup \sigma(\nu)$ for any $\sigma \in \Theta_m$;
- (5) for any $\sigma \in \Delta_m$ there is $U_\sigma \in \sigma$ with $U_\sigma \subseteq W_m$.

Let $\Omega = \{\sigma \in \Delta_m : U_\sigma = W_m\}$.

If $\Delta_m \neq \Omega$, then we put $\Delta_{m+1} = \Delta_m \setminus \Omega$ and $\Theta_{m+1} = \Theta_m \cup \Omega$. As $\sigma \in \Delta_{m+1}$ are nice, we can choose $U'_\sigma \in \sigma$ so that $\bigcap \{U'_\sigma : \sigma \in \Delta_{m+1}\} \cap W_m(\nu) \neq \emptyset$. Then $U_\sigma \subsetneq W_m$ implies $U'_\sigma \subseteq W_m(\nu)$ by our construction. We define W_{m+1} to be the maximal member of embedded sequence $\{U'_\sigma : \sigma \in \Delta_{m+1}\}$.

If, finally, $\Delta_m = \Omega$, then W_m is as required.

□

Lemma 9. *The point p is a b -point in βX .*

PROOF: Define $F_\nu = \{p_\alpha(\nu) : \alpha < \lambda\}$ for all $\nu < 3$, where $p_\alpha(\nu) \in B_\alpha(\nu)$. By our construction, $F_\nu \subset \bigcap \mathcal{F}_0^* \subset X^*$ and for any neighbourhood O of p there is $\alpha < \lambda$ with

$$\{p_\beta(\nu) : \beta \in \lambda \setminus \alpha\} \subset \bigcap \mathcal{F}_\alpha^* \subset O.$$

Then the condition $\{p_\beta(\nu) : \beta < \alpha\} \subset [\bigcup \sigma_\alpha(\nu)]$ implies that the sets $[F_\nu] \setminus \{p\}$ are pairwise disjoint and $p \in F_\nu$ for no more than one unique F_ν . The other two ensure that p is a b -point in βX . Our proof is complete. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] Bešlagić A., van Douwen E.K., *Spaces of nonuniform ultrafilters in spaces of uniform ultrafilters*, Topology Appl. **35** (1990), no. 2–3, 253–260.
- [2] Błaszczyk A., Szymański A., *Some non-normal subspaces of the Čech–Stone compactification of a discrete space*, Abstracta, 8th Winter School on Abstract Analysis, Praha, Czechoslovak Academy of Sciences, 1980, 35–38.
- [3] Gryzlov A. A., *On the question of hereditary normality of the space $\beta\omega \setminus \omega$* , Topology and Set Theory Udmurt. Gos. Univ. Izhevsk (1982), 61–64 (Russian).
- [4] Logunov S., *On non-normality points and metrizable crowded spaces*, Comment. Math. Univ. Carolin. **48** (2007), no. 3, 523–527.
- [5] Rajagopalan M., *$\beta N - N - \{p\}$ is not normal*, J. Indian Math. Soc. (N.S.) **36** (1972), 173–176.
- [6] Shapirovskij B., *On embedding extremely disconnected spaces in compact Hausdorff spaces, b -points and weight of point-wise normal spaces*, Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR **223** (1975), 1083–1086 (Russian).
- [7] Szymański A., *Retracts and non-normality points*, Topology Proc. **40** (2012), 195–201.
- [8] Warren N. M., *Properties of Stone–Čech compactifications of discrete spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **33** (1972), 599–606.

S. Logunov:

DEPARTMENT FOR ALGEBRA AND TOPOLOGY, UDMURT STATE UNIVERSITY,
UNIVERSITETSKAYA 1, IZHEVSK 426034, RUSSIA

E-mail: olla209@yandex.ru

(Received December 2021, revised February 16, 2022)