

Hui Wang; Yu Zhang

On the divisor function over Piatetski-Shapiro sequences

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 73 (2023), No. 2, 613–620

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/151677>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2023

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

ON THE DIVISOR FUNCTION OVER PIATETSKI-SHAPIRO
SEQUENCES

HUI WANG, YU ZHANG, Jinan

Received May 15, 2022. Published online March 6, 2023.

Abstract. Let $[x]$ be an integer part of x and $d(n)$ be the number of positive divisor of n . Inspired by some results of M. Jutila (1987), we prove that for $1 < c < \frac{6}{5}$,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} d([n^c]) = cx \log x + (2\gamma - c)x + O\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right),$$

where γ is the Euler constant and $[n^c]$ is the Piatetski-Shapiro sequence. This gives an improvement upon the classical result of this problem.

Keywords: divisor function; Piatetski-Shapiro sequence; exponential sum

MSC 2020: 11B83, 11L07, 11N25, 11N37

1. INTRODUCTION

The Piatetski-Shapiro sequences are sequences of the form

$$([n^c])_{n=1}^{\infty},$$

where $c > 1$ and $c \notin \mathbb{N}$. Let $[x]$ be the largest integer not exceeding x . Using the prime number theorem and some elementary calculation, we can easily prove that

$$(1.1) \quad \sum_{\substack{n \leq x \\ [n^c] \in \mathbb{P}}} 1 \sim \frac{x}{c \log x} \quad \text{as } x \rightarrow \infty$$

for $0 < c \leq 1$ and \mathbb{P} is the set of primes.

The research has been supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 11871307).

For $c > 1$, a classical result of finding primes in such sparse sequences is attributed to Piatetski-Shapiro, who proved that (1.1) holds if c is a fixed number lying in the range $1 < c < \frac{12}{11}$. Naturally, one would like the range of c to be as large as possible. When c is a positive integer larger than 2, $[n^c]$ is no longer to be a prime, so the left-hand side of equation (1.1) vanishes. In this direction, many experts have made significant contributions, see, e.g., [1], [4], [6], [7], [8], [10] and the references therein. At present, the best result is obtained by Rivat and Sargos (see [11]), who proved that (1.1) holds for $1 < c < \frac{2817}{2426}$. On the other hand, Rivat and Wu in [12] have proven that for $c \in (1, \frac{243}{205})$, there are infinitely many Piatetski-Shapiro primes.

In this paper, we are interested in the divisors of the sequence $[n^c]$. Let $d(n)$ be the number of positive integer solutions to equation $x_1 x_2 = n$. The estimation of the error term of the asymptotic formula of sum $\sum_{n \leq x} d(n)$ is called the *Dirichlet divisor problem*, which is a famous problem in number theory. In 1999, Arkhipov, Soliba and Chubarikov in [2] proved that when $1 < c < \frac{8}{7}$,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} d([n^c]) = xQ(\log x) + O\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right),$$

where $Q(x)$ is a polynomial of degree 1. Later, Lü and Zhai in [9] improved the range of c to $1 < c < \frac{495}{433}$ by involving the theory of exponent pairs. One may note that $\frac{495}{433} \approx 1.143187$ and $\frac{8}{7} \approx 1.142857$.

In this paper, we consider the asymptotic formula for $\sum_{n \leq x} d([n^c])$, where $d(n)$ denotes the number of positive divisor of n . On this subject, we have the following result. We can give a further improvement upon the range of c .

Theorem 1.1. *Let $1 < c < \frac{6}{5}$. Then we have*

$$(1.2) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} d([n^c]) = cx \log x + (2\gamma - c)x + O\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right),$$

where γ is the Euler constant.

Notations 1.1. Throughout the paper, $c > 1$ is a fixed number and we set $\beta = 1/c$. The symbols η and ε are small positive real numbers, where ε may not necessarily be the same at different occurrences. As usual, $e(z) = \exp(2\pi iz) = e^{2\pi iz}$. The symbol $k \sim K$ means $\frac{1}{2}K \leq k \leq 2K$. We write $f = O(g)$ or $f \ll g$ to mean $|f| \leq c_0 g$ for some unspecified positive constant c_0 . We denote $f \asymp g$ to mean that $f \ll g$ and $g \ll f$.

2. PRELIMINARIES

In this section, we quote the results needed later. Firstly, we need the following asymptotic formula for the divisor function $d(n)$.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $x \geq 1$, then*

$$\sum_{n \leq x} d(n) = x \log x + (2\gamma - 1)x + O(\sqrt{x}),$$

where γ is the Euler constant.

We shall use the following approximation of the saw-tooth function $\psi(x) = x - [x] - \frac{1}{2} \in [-\frac{1}{2}, \frac{1}{2})$.

Lemma 2.2. *For $0 < |t| < 1$, let*

$$W(t) = \pi t(1 - |t|) \cot \pi t + |t|.$$

Fix a positive integer J . For $x \in \mathbb{R}$ define

$$\psi^*(x) := - \sum_{1 \leq |j| \leq J} (2\pi i j)^{-1} W\left(\frac{j}{J+1}\right) e(jx)$$

and

$$(2.1) \quad \delta(x) := \frac{1}{2(J+1)} \sum_{|j| \leq J} \left(1 - \frac{|j|}{J+1}\right) e(jx).$$

Then δ is nonnegative, and we have

$$|\psi^*(x) - \psi(x)| \leq \delta(x)$$

for all real numbers x .

Proof. See Vaaler [13], Theorem 18. □

We shall also use the following estimate for a sum involving function δ .

Lemma 2.3. *Fix $0 < \beta < 1$. Assume that $1 \leq N < N_1 \leq 2N$. Define the function δ as in (2.1). Then*

$$\sum_{N < n \leq N_1} \delta(-n^\beta) \ll J^{-1}N + J^{1/2}N^{\beta/2}.$$

Proof. See [3], Chapter 4, page 48. □

To estimate the exponential sums, we need the following lemma.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $2 \leq M < M' \leq 2M$, and let f be a holomorphic function in the domain*

$$D = \{z: |z - x| < cM \text{ for some } x \in [M, M']\},$$

where c is a positive constant. Suppose that $f(x)$ is real for $M \leq x \leq M'$, and that either

$$f(z) = Bz^\alpha(1 + O(F^{-1/3})) \text{ for } z \in D,$$

where $\alpha \neq 0, 1$ is a fixed real number, and

$$F = |B|M^\alpha, \text{ or } f(z) = B \log z(1 + o(F^{-1/3})) \text{ for } z \in D,$$

where $F = |B|$.

Let $g \in C^1[M, M']$, and suppose that $M \leq x \leq M'$,

$$|g(x)| \ll G, \quad |g'(x)| \ll G'.$$

Suppose also that $M^{3/4} \ll F \ll M^{3/2}$, then

$$\left| \sum_{M \leq m \leq M'} d(m)g(m)e(f(m)) \right| \ll (G + FG')M^{1/2}F^{1/3+\varepsilon}.$$

Proof. See Jutila [5], Lemma 4.6. □

3. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.1

Throughout the proof, let $\beta = 1/c$. Then $[n^c] = m$ is equivalent to

$$-(m+1)^\beta < -n \leq -m^\beta.$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} (3.1) \quad S &:= \sum_{n \leq x} d([n^c]) = \sum_{m \leq x^c} ([-m^\beta] - [-(m+1)^\beta])d(m) + O(x^\varepsilon) \\ &= S_1 + S_2 + O(x^\varepsilon), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$S_1 = \sum_{m \leq x^c} ((m+1)^\beta - m^\beta)d(m) \quad \text{and} \quad S_2 = \sum_{m \leq x^c} (\psi(-(m+1)^\beta) - \psi(-m^\beta))d(m)$$

with $\psi(x)$ being the saw-tooth function in Lemma 2.2. Using partial summation, Lemma 2.1 and the Taylor expansion

$$(x+1)^\beta - x^\beta = \beta x^{\beta-1} + O(x^{\beta-2})$$

for $x \geq 1$, we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} S_1 &= \sum_{m \leq x^c} ((m+1)^\beta - m^\beta) d(m) = \beta \sum_{m \leq x^c} d(m) m^{\beta-1} + O\left(\sum_{m \leq x^c} d(m) m^{\beta-2}\right) \\ &= cx \log x + (2\gamma - c)x + O_c(\sqrt{x}), \end{aligned}$$

where the O -term only depends on c . Replacing x^c by M and breaking into dyadic intervals, the remaining task is to prove that for small $\eta > 0$,

$$S_2 \ll (\log 2M) \sum_{m \sim M} (\psi(-(m+1)^\beta) - \psi(-m^\beta)) d(m) \ll M^{\beta-\eta}.$$

For convenience of calculation, we write

$$S_2^* := \sum_{m \sim M} (\psi(-(m+1)^\beta) - \psi(-m^\beta)) d(m).$$

By Lemma 2.2, for any $J > 0$ there exist functions ψ^* and $\delta (\geq 0)$ such that

$$\psi(x) = \psi^*(x) + O(\delta(x)),$$

where

$$(3.2) \quad \psi^*(x) = \sum_{1 \leq |j| \leq J} a(j) e(jx), \quad \delta(x) = \sum_{|j| \leq J} b(j) e(jx)$$

with

$$a(j) \ll j^{-1}, \quad b(j) \ll J^{-1}.$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} S_2^* &= \sum_{m \sim M} d(m) (\psi^*(-(m+1)^\beta) - \psi^*(-m^\beta)) \\ &\quad + O\left(M^\epsilon \sum_{m \sim M} (\delta(-(m+1)^\beta) + \delta(-m^\beta))\right) \\ &= S_3 + O(M^\epsilon S_4), \end{aligned}$$

say. By Lemma 2.3, we have

$$S_4 \ll J^{-1} M + J^{1/2} M^{\beta/2}.$$

We fix a small $\eta > 0$ and set

$$(3.3) \quad J := M^{1-\beta+\eta},$$

then we obtain

$$S_4 \ll M^{\beta-\eta/2}$$

if $\frac{1}{2} < \beta < 1$.

Finally, we need to prove that

$$(3.4) \quad S_3 = \sum_{m \sim M} d(m)(\psi^*(-(m+1)^\beta) - \psi^*(-m^\beta)) \ll M^{\beta-\eta/2},$$

provided that η is sufficiently small. By (3.2), we write

$$\begin{aligned} S_3 &= \sum_{m \sim M} d(m)(\psi^*(-(m+1)^\beta) - \psi^*(-m^\beta)) \\ &= \sum_{m \sim M} d(m) \left(\sum_{1 \leq |j| \leq J} a(j)e(-j(m+1)^\beta) - \sum_{1 \leq |j| \leq J} a(j)e(-jm^\beta) \right) \\ &= \sum_{m \sim M} d(m) \sum_{1 \leq |j| \leq J} a(j)\varphi_j(m)e(-jm^\beta), \end{aligned}$$

where $\varphi_j(x) = e(j(x^\beta - (x+1)^\beta)) - 1$ and

$$(3.5) \quad \varphi_j(x) \ll jM^{\beta-1}, \quad \frac{d\varphi_j(x)}{dx} \ll jM^{\beta-2}$$

for $x \in (M, 2M]$. Using partial summation and (3.5), we have

$$\begin{aligned} S_3 &\ll \sum_{1 \leq |j| \leq J} \frac{1}{j} \left| \sum_{m \sim M} d(m)\varphi_j(m)e(-jm^\beta) \right| \\ &\ll \sum_{1 \leq |j| \leq J} \frac{1}{j} \max_{M < x \leq 2M} |\varphi_j(x)| \left| \sum_{M < m \leq x} d(m)e(-jm^\beta) \right| \\ &\quad + \int_M^{2M} \sum_{1 \leq |j| \leq J} \frac{1}{j} \left| \frac{d\varphi_j(x)}{dx} \right| \left| \sum_{M < m \leq x} d(m)e(-jm^\beta) \right| dx \\ &\ll M^{\beta-1} \max_{M_1} \sum_{1 \leq |j| \leq J} \left| \sum_{M < m \leq M_1} d(m)e(-jm^\beta) \right| \end{aligned}$$

with $M < M_1 \leq 2M$.

We can infer that in order to get (3.4), it suffices to prove that

$$\sum_{1 \leq |j| \leq J} \left| \sum_{m \sim M} d(m)e(-jm^\beta) \right| \ll M^{1-\eta/2}.$$

Taking the definition of J in (3.3) into account and dividing the summation interval $1 \leq |j| \leq J$ into $O(\log 2J)$ dyadic intervals, we see that the above bound holds if

$$(3.6) \quad K = \sum_{h \sim H} \left| \sum_{m \sim M} d(m) e(-hm^\beta) \right| \ll M^{1-\eta}$$

for any $M \geq 1$ and $1 \leq H \leq M^{1-\beta+\eta}$.

By Lemma 2.4 with $f(z) = -hz^\beta$, we have

$$K \ll M^{1/2+\beta/3} H^{4/3},$$

where $M^{3/4-\beta} \ll H \ll M^{3/2-\beta}$. As $1 \leq H \leq M^{1-\beta+\eta}$, we have

$$K \ll M^{1/2+\beta/3} H^{4/3}$$

for $c < \frac{4}{3}$. Then we can conclude that

$$K \ll M^{1-\eta}$$

for $1 < c < \frac{6}{5}$. This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1. \square

Acknowledgements. The authors would like to thank Professors Xiumin Ren, Lilu Zhao and Yongxiao Lin for their help and encouragement.

References

- [1] *G. I. Arkhipov, V. N. Chubarikov*: On the distribution of prime numbers in a sequence of the form $[n^c]$. Mosc. Univ. Math. Bull. *54* (1999), 25–35; translation from Vestn. Mosk. Univ., Ser. I *1999* (1999), 25–35. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [2] *G. I. Arkhipov, K. M. Soliba, V. N. Chubarikov*: On the sum of values of a multidimensional divisor function on a sequence of type $[n^c]$. Mosc. Univ. Math. Bull. *54* (1999), 28–36; translation from Vestn. Mosk. Univ., Ser. I *1999* (1999), 28–35. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [3] *S. W. Graham, G. Kolesnik*: Van der Corput’s Method of Exponential Sums. London Mathematical Society Lecture Note Series 126. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1991. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [4] *D. R. Heath-Brown*: The Pjateckii-Šapiro prime number theorem. J. Number Theory *16* (1983), 242–266. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [5] *M. Jutila*: Lectures on a Method in the Theory of Exponential Sums. Tata Institute Lectures on Mathematics and Physics 80. Springer, Berlin, 1987. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [6] *G. A. Kolesnik*: An improvement of the remainder term in the divisor problem. Math. Notes *6* (1969), 784–791; translation from Mat. Zametki *6* (1969), 545–554. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [7] *G. A. Kolesnik*: Primes of the form $[n^c]$. Pac. J. Math. *118* (1985), 437–447. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [8] *H. Q. Liu, J. Rivat*: On the Pjateckii-Šapiro prime number theorem. Bull. Lond. Math. Soc. *24* (1992), 143–147. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [9] *G. S. Lü, W. G. Zhai*: The sum of multidimensional divisor functions on a special sequence. Adv. Math., Beijing *32* (2003), 660–664. (In Chinese.) [zbl](#) [MR](#)

- [10] *I. I. Piatetski-Shapiro*: On the distribution of the prime numbers in sequences of the form $[f(n)]$. *Mat. Sb., N. Ser.* 33 (1953), 559–566. (In Russian.) [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [11] *J. Rivat, P. Sargos*: Nombres premiers de la forme $[n^c]$. *Can. J. Math.* 53 (2001), 414–433. (In French.) [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [12] *J. Rivat, J. Wu*: Prime numbers of the form $[n^c]$. *Glasg. Math. J.* 43 (2001), 237–254. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [13] *J. D. Vaaler*: Some extremal functions in Fourier analysis. *Bull. Am. Math. Soc., New Ser.* 12 (1985), 183–216. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)

Authors' address: Hui Wang (corresponding author), Yu Zhang, School of Mathematics of Shandong University, 27 Shanda Nanlu, Jinan 250100, Shandong, P. R. China, e-mail: wh0315@mail.sdu.edu.cn, yuzhang0615@mail.sdu.edu.cn.