

Xiaohui Zhang; Wei Wang; Juzhen Chen
Parametric representations of BiHom-Hopf algebras

Czechoslovak Mathematical Journal, Vol. 74 (2024), No. 1, 45–86

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/152268>

Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics AS CR, 2024

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

PARAMETRIC REPRESENTATIONS OF BIHOM-HOPF ALGEBRAS

XIAOHUI ZHANG, Qufu, WEI WANG, JUZHEN CHEN, Nanjing

Received May 19, 2022. Published online November 3, 2023.

Abstract. The main purpose of the present paper is to study representations of BiHom-Hopf algebras. We first introduce the notion of BiHom-Hopf algebras, and then discuss BiHom-type modules, Yetter-Dinfeld modules and Drinfeld doubles with parameters. We get some new n -monoidal categories via the category of BiHom-(co)modules and the category of BiHom-Yetter-Drinfeld modules. Finally, we obtain a center construction type theorem on BiHom-Hopf algebras.

Keywords: BiHom-Hopf algebra; BiHom-Yang-Baxter equation; n -monoidal category; Drinfeld double

MSC 2020: 16T99, 16T25, 16W10

1. INTRODUCTION

Hom-type algebras first appeared in describing the q -deformations of Witt and Virasoro algebras, see [11], [12]. In 2008, Makhlouf and Silvestrov introduced the definition of Hom-associative algebras (see [22]), where the associativity of a Hom-algebra is twisted by an endomorphism (here we call it the Hom-structure map). They are rapidly developing into a hot topic in algebra theory. The generalized notions, including Hom-bialgebras, Hom-Hopf algebras are developed in [17], [20]–[24], [27]–[30].

An interesting question of Hom-type algebras is how to explain them based on the theory of monoidal categories. In 2011, in order to provide a categorical approach to Hom-type algebras, the notions of Hom-categories and monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras were introduced by Caenepeel and Goyvaerts, see [3]. Note that a monoidal Hom-bialgebra is a bimonoid in the Hom-category, and a Hom-bialgebra (with the

The work was partially supported by the Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (No. ZR2023MA008), and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 12271292).

bijjective Hom-structure map) is a bimonoid in a (strict) duoidal category, see [31]. That is the main difference between Hom-bialgebras and monoidal Hom-bialgebras. Further results on monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras can be found in [9], [32], [33] and references cited therein. One of the important features of (monoidal) Hom-bialgebras is that they have parametric (co)representations, which means that there exist infinite monoidal structures in the category of Hom-(co)modules, see [29]. Although they are monoidal isomorphic to each other, it still provides examples of higher monoidal categories.

BiHom-(co)algebras and BiHom-bialgebras were investigated by Graziani et al. in [8]. It is a more generalized definition. Precisely, a BiHom-bialgebra is a special bialgebra on which the associative law and unit law are twisted by two automorphisms (α and β), coassociative law and counit law are twisted by the other two automorphisms (φ and ψ). It becomes a usual Hom-bialgebra when $\alpha = \beta = \varphi = \psi$, and becomes a monoidal Hom-bialgebra when $\alpha^{-1} = \beta^{-1} = \varphi = \psi$. Further research on BiHom-type algebras could be found in [6], [10], [15], [16], [31] and so on.

Although the description of BiHom-(co)algebras and BiHom-bialgebras in [8] is detailed, the discussion of BiHom-Hopf algebras is not clear enough. Note that the antipode S of the Hopf algebra H is the convolution inverse of id_H in $\text{End}(H)$, and is both an anti-algebra map and an anti-coalgebra map. The above properties still hold in the setting of Hom-Hopf algebras and monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras. But in the case of BiHom-Hopf algebras, it is different. We find that the antipode (the convolution inverse of id_H) of a BiHom-Hopf algebra is neither anti-algebra map nor anti-coalgebra map, but a map which satisfies some similar properties, see Proposition 2.8. Moreover, the existence of S is closely connected with the Hom-structure maps, see Proposition 2.9. Based on these properties, some classical theory of Hopf algebras, such as Yetter-Drinfeld modules and the Center Construction Theorem, could be possible to develop in the setting of BiHom-Hopf algebras.

Note that the definition of BiHom-Hopf algebras in [8] is slightly different from ours. Recall from [8], Definition 6.9, the antipode S is defined by $S\beta\varphi(h_1)\alpha\psi(h_2) = \varepsilon(h)1_H = \beta\varphi(h_1)S\alpha\psi(h_2)$ for any $h \in H$. Although it may induce some similar properties and theories, we choose the traditional definition of antipode (the convolution inverse of id_H) to complete our theoretical analysis.

In order to give a categorical interview of the quantum double for a Hopf algebra, Drinfeld introduced the notion of the center $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ of a monoidal category \mathcal{C} , see [13], [18]. Note that if \mathcal{C} is the representation category of a Hopf algebra H , then $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ is isomorphic with the category of $D(H)$ -modules, where $D(H)$ means the Drinfeld double of H . Furthermore, $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ is also isomorphic with the Yetter-Drinfeld categories of H , see [14], [25]. Generalized results have been obtained by many scholars. The theory of center constructions on quasi-Hopf algebras was de-

veloped in [19], [34]. In [26], Nenciu discussed the theory of center constructions on weak Hopf algebras, see also [4]. In 2014, Chen and Zhang researched the center constructions on monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras, see [5]. In 2019, Zhang, Guo and Wang discussed the Drinfeld codoubles of Hom-Hopf algebras, see [30]. The natural consideration is to ask how this result appears in BiHom-Hopf algebras. That is the motivation of our paper.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we first recall some notions of category centers and BiHom-bialgebras, and then introduce the definition of BiHom-Hopf algebras. In Section 3, we describe the monoidal structure of the category of BiHom-modules, and show it forms an n -monoidal category. We also prove the quasi-triangular structures can provide new solutions of the BiHom-Yang-Baxter equation. In Section 4, we mainly introduce the parametric BiHom-Yetter-Drinfeld category, and show that it is a full braided category of the center of the category of BiHom-modules. In Section 5, we discuss the parametric Drinfeld doubles of BiHom-Hopf algebras, and then prove that its representation category is braided isomorphic to the BiHom-Yetter-Drinfeld category.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Throughout the paper, $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{e}, \dots$ always mean integers in \mathbb{Z} . Let \mathbb{k} be a fixed field and $\text{char}(\mathbb{k}) = 0$, and $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{k}}$ be the category of finite dimensional \mathbb{k} -spaces. All algebras are supposed to be over \mathbb{k} . For the comultiplication Δ of a \mathbb{k} -space C , we use Sweedler-Heyneman's notation $\Delta(c) = c_1 \otimes c_2$ for any $c \in C$. When we say "BiHom-algebra" or "BiHom-coalgebra", we mean the unital BiHom-algebra and counital BiHom-coalgebra. We always assume that the Hom-structure maps are invertible.

2.1. Higher monoidal category. Recall from [1], Definition 6.1 that a *2-monoidal category* (or equally, a *duoidal category*, or a *nonstrict 2-fold monoidal category*) is a category \mathcal{M} equipped with two monoidal structures $(\mathcal{M}, \otimes_1, I_1, \mathfrak{a}_1, \mathfrak{l}_1, \mathfrak{r}_1)$ and $(\mathcal{M}, \otimes_2, I_2, \mathfrak{a}_2, \mathfrak{l}_2, \mathfrak{r}_2)$, along with a natural transformation (called the *interchange law*)

$$\zeta_{A,B,C,D}: (A \otimes_2 B) \otimes_1 (C \otimes_2 D) \rightarrow (A \otimes_1 C) \otimes_2 (B \otimes_1 D) \quad \forall A, B, C, D \in \mathcal{M}$$

and three morphisms

$$I_1 \xrightarrow{\omega} I_1 \otimes_2 I_1, \quad I_2 \otimes_1 I_2 \xrightarrow{\varpi} I_2, \quad I_1 \xrightarrow{\tau} I_2,$$

such that the axioms below are satisfied.

Associativity. For any A, B, C, D, E, F in \mathcal{M} , the following diagrams commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
((A \otimes_2 B) \otimes_1 (C \otimes_2 D)) \otimes_1 (E \otimes_2 F) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_1} & (A \otimes_2 B) \otimes_1 ((C \otimes_2 D) \otimes_1 (E \otimes_2 F)) \\
\zeta \otimes_1 \text{id} \downarrow & \text{(A1)} & \downarrow \text{id} \otimes_1 \zeta \\
((A \otimes_1 C) \otimes_2 (B \otimes_1 D)) \otimes_1 (E \otimes_2 F) & & (A \otimes_2 B) \otimes_1 ((C \otimes_1 E) \otimes_2 (D \otimes_1 F)) \\
\zeta \downarrow & & \downarrow \zeta \\
((A \otimes_1 C) \otimes_1 E) \otimes_2 ((B \otimes_1 D) \otimes_1 F) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_1 \otimes_2 \mathbf{a}_1} & (A \otimes_1 (C \otimes_1 E)) \otimes_2 (B \otimes_1 (D \otimes_1 F)), \\
((A \otimes_2 B) \otimes_2 C) \otimes_1 ((D \otimes_2 E) \otimes_2 F) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_2 \otimes_1 \mathbf{a}_2} & (A \otimes_2 (B \otimes_2 C)) \otimes_1 (D \otimes_2 (E \otimes_2 F)) \\
\zeta \downarrow & \text{(A2)} & \downarrow \zeta \\
((A \otimes_2 B) \otimes_1 (D \otimes_2 E)) \otimes_2 (C \otimes_1 F) & & (A \otimes_1 D) \otimes_2 ((B \otimes_2 C) \otimes_1 (E \otimes_2 F)) \\
\zeta \otimes_2 \text{id} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{id} \otimes_2 \zeta \\
((A \otimes_1 D) \otimes_2 (B \otimes_1 E)) \otimes_2 (C \otimes_1 F) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_2} & (A \otimes_1 D) \otimes_2 ((B \otimes_1 E) \otimes_2 (C \otimes_1 F)).
\end{array}$$

Unitality. For any A, B in \mathcal{M} , the following diagrams commute.

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
I_1 \otimes_1 (A \otimes_2 B) & \xrightarrow{\omega \otimes_1 \text{id}} & (I_1 \otimes_2 I_1) \otimes_1 (A \otimes_2 B) \\
\mathbf{l}_1 \downarrow & \text{(U1)} & \downarrow \zeta \\
A \otimes_2 B & \xleftarrow{\mathbf{l}_1 \otimes_2 \mathbf{l}_1} & (I \otimes_1 A) \otimes_2 (I_1 \otimes_1 B), \\
(A \otimes_2 B) \otimes_1 I_1 & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes_1 \omega} & (A \otimes_2 B) \otimes_1 (I_1 \otimes_2 I_1) \\
\mathbf{r}_1 \downarrow & \text{(U2)} & \downarrow \zeta \\
A \otimes_2 B & \xleftarrow{\mathbf{r}_1 \otimes_2 \mathbf{r}_1} & (A \otimes_1 I_1) \otimes_2 (B \otimes_1 I_1), \\
(I_2 \otimes_1 I_2) \otimes_2 (A \otimes_1 B) & \xrightarrow{\varpi \otimes_2 \text{id}} & I_2 \otimes_2 (A \otimes_1 B) \\
\zeta \uparrow & \text{(U3)} & \downarrow \mathbf{l}_2 \\
(I_2 \otimes_2 A) \otimes_1 (I_2 \otimes_2 B) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{l}_2 \otimes_2} & A \otimes_1 B, \\
(A \otimes_1 B) \otimes_2 (I_2 \otimes_1 I_2) & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes_2 \varpi} & (A \otimes_1 B) \otimes_2 I_2 \\
\zeta \uparrow & \text{(U4)} & \downarrow \mathbf{r}_2 \\
(A \otimes_2 I_2) \otimes_1 (B \otimes_2 I_2) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{r}_2 \otimes_2} & A \otimes_1 B
\end{array}$$

Compatibility of the units. The monoidal units I_1 and I_2 satisfy:

- ▷ (I_2, ϖ, τ) is a monoid in $(\mathcal{M}, \otimes_1, I_1, \mathbf{a}_1, \mathbf{l}_1, \mathbf{r}_1)$,
- ▷ (I_1, ω, τ) is a comonoid in $(\mathcal{M}, \otimes_2, I_2, \mathbf{a}_2, \mathbf{l}_2, \mathbf{r}_2)$.

Now assume that there exists a category \mathcal{M} with n monoidal structures. We denote such a structure by the tuple

$$(\mathcal{M}, \otimes_i, I_i, \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{l}_i, \mathbf{r}_i)_{\{i=1,2,\dots,n\}},$$

where $\otimes_1, \otimes_2, \dots, \otimes_n$ are the monoidal products and I_1, I_2, \dots, I_n are the respective unit objects. We write \mathcal{M}_i for $(\mathcal{M}, \otimes_i, I_i, \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{l}_i, \mathbf{r}_i)$, $\mathcal{M}_{i,j}$ for $(\mathcal{M}, \otimes_i, I_i, \otimes_j, I_j)$, $\mathcal{M}_{i,j,k}$ for $(\mathcal{M}, \otimes_i, I_i, \otimes_j, I_j, \otimes_k, I_k)$, and so on, for short.

Recall from Definition 7.24 of [1], that we call $\mathcal{M} = (\mathcal{M}, \otimes_i, I_i, \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{l}_i, \mathbf{r}_i)_{\{i=1,2,\dots,n\}}$ an *n-monoidal category* (or equally, a *nonstrict n-fold monoidal category*) if the following formulae are satisfied:

- ▷ for any $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, $\mathcal{M}_{i,j}$ forms a 2-monoidal category;
- ▷ for any $1 \leq i < j < k \leq n$, $\mathcal{M}_{i,j,k}$ forms a 3-monoidal category, namely, the Diagrams I. commute.

Remark 2.1.

- (1) If $\mathbf{l}_i = \mathbf{r}_i = \text{id}$ for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then the above n -monoidal category is exactly the n -fold monoidal category defined in [7].
- (2) If \mathcal{M}_i is a strict monoidal category for any $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and $I_1 = I_2 = \dots = I_n$, then the above n -monoidal category is exactly the n -fold monoidal category defined in [2].

2.2. The center of a monoidal category. Suppose that $(\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{r})$ is a monoidal category. Recall from [14], that a new category $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ is defined as follows (and called the *center of \mathcal{C}*):

- ▷ the object of $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ is a pair $(V, T_{-,V})$, where $V \in \mathcal{C}$ and $T_{-,V}$ is a family of natural isomorphisms

$$T_{X,V}: X \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes X,$$

where $X \in \mathcal{C}$, such that

$$(C1) \quad T_{I,V} = I \otimes V \xrightarrow{\mathbf{l}_V} V \xrightarrow{\mathbf{r}_V^{-1}} V \otimes I,$$

and the diagram

$$(C2) \quad \begin{array}{ccccc} X \otimes (Y \otimes V) & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes T_{Y,V}} & X \otimes (V \otimes Y) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_{X,V,Y}^{-1}} & (X \otimes V) \otimes Y \\ \mathbf{a}_{X,Y,V}^{-1} \downarrow & & & & \downarrow T_{X,V} \otimes \text{id} \\ (X \otimes Y) \otimes V & \xrightarrow{T_{X \otimes Y, V}} & V \otimes (X \otimes Y) & \xrightarrow{\mathbf{a}_{X,Y,V}^{-1}} & (V \otimes X) \otimes Y \end{array}$$

commutes for all objects $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$;

▷ the morphism from $(V, T_{-,V})$ to $(W, T_{-,W})$ is a morphism $f: V \rightarrow W$ in \mathcal{C} , such that for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$ we have the commutative diagram

$$(C3) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X \otimes V & \xrightarrow{T_{X,V}} & V \otimes X \\ \text{id} \otimes f \downarrow & & \downarrow f \otimes \text{id} \\ X \otimes W & \xrightarrow{T_{X,W}} & W \otimes X. \end{array}$$

Note that $\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C})$ is a braided monoidal category with the following structures:

▷ the tensor product of $(V, T_{-,V})$ and $(W, T_{-,W})$ is $(V \otimes W, T_{-,V \otimes W})$, where

$$T_{X,V \otimes W} := \mathbf{a}_{V,W,X}^{-1} \circ (\text{id}_V \otimes T_{X,W}) \circ \mathbf{a}_{V,X,W} \circ (T_{X,V} \otimes \text{id}_W) \circ \mathbf{a}_{X,V,W}^{-1};$$

▷ the unit object is $(I, T_{-,I})$, where

$$T_{X,I} := X \otimes I \xrightarrow{\mathbf{r}_X} X \xrightarrow{\mathbf{l}_X^{-1}} I \otimes X$$

for any $X \in \mathcal{C}$;

▷ the braiding is given by

$$T_{V,W}: (V, T_{-,V}) \otimes (W, T_{-,W}) \rightarrow (W, T_{-,W}) \otimes (V, T_{-,V}) \quad \text{for any } V, W \in \mathcal{C}.$$

It is a direct computation to check that $(\mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{C}), \otimes, (I, T_{-,I}), \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{r}, T)$ is a braided category.

2.3. BiHom-bialgebras. In this section, we review several definitions and notations related to BiHom-bialgebras.

Recall from Section 5.2 of [31], that if $\mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{r})$ is a monoidal category, $\alpha = \{\alpha_X: X \rightarrow X\}_{X \in \text{Obj} \mathcal{C}}$, $\beta = \{\beta_X: X \rightarrow X\}_{X \in \text{Obj} \mathcal{C}}$ are two families of isomorphisms (not necessarily natural) satisfying the *monoidal condition*, i.e.,

$\alpha_{X \otimes Y} = \alpha_X \otimes \alpha_Y$ and $\beta_{X \otimes Y} = \beta_X \otimes \beta_Y$ for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{C}$, then we can define a new monoidal category $\mathcal{C}^{\{\alpha, \beta\}} = (\mathcal{C}, \otimes, I, \mathbf{a}^{\alpha, \beta}, \mathbf{l}^{\alpha, \beta}, \mathbf{r}^{\alpha, \beta})$ as follows:

- ▷ the objects in $\mathcal{C}^{\{\alpha, \beta\}}$ are the same with \mathcal{C} ;
- ▷ the morphism $f: X \rightarrow Y$ in $\mathcal{C}^{\{\alpha, \beta\}}$ is a morphism $f \in \text{Mor}_{\mathcal{C}}(X, Y)$ such that

$$\alpha_Y \circ f = f \circ \alpha_X, \quad \beta_Y \circ f = f \circ \beta_X;$$

- ▷ the tensor product and the unit object in $\mathcal{C}^{\{\alpha, \beta\}}$ are the same with \mathcal{C} ;
- ▷ the associativity constraint $\mathbf{a}^{\alpha, \beta}$ and the unity constraints $\mathbf{l}^{\alpha, \beta}, \mathbf{r}^{\alpha, \beta}$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}^{\alpha, \beta} &= ((\alpha \otimes \text{id}) \otimes \beta^{-1}) \circ \mathbf{a}: (- \otimes -) \otimes - \Rightarrow - \otimes (- \otimes -); \\ \mathbf{l}^{\alpha, \beta} &= \beta \circ \mathbf{l}: I \otimes - \Rightarrow -, \quad \mathbf{r}^{\alpha, \beta} = \alpha \circ \mathbf{r}: - \otimes I \Rightarrow -. \end{aligned}$$

Now let $\alpha, \beta, \varphi, \psi$ (the *Hom-structure maps*) be families of isomorphisms (not necessarily natural) in $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{k}}$ satisfying the monoidal condition, and commute with each other. Recall from Theorem 5.12 in [31], that there exists a 2-monoidal category $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\{\alpha, \beta, \varphi^{-1}, \psi^{-1}\}} = (\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\{\alpha, \beta\}}, \otimes, \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\{\varphi^{-1}, \psi^{-1}\}}, \otimes, \zeta, \omega, \varpi, \tau)$, where $\omega = \varpi = \tau = \text{id}_{\mathbb{k}}$, and

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{A, B, A', B'} &: (A \otimes B) \otimes (A' \otimes B') \rightarrow (A \otimes A') \otimes (B \otimes B'), \\ (a \otimes b) \otimes (a' \otimes b') &\mapsto (a \otimes a') \otimes (b \otimes b') \quad \forall A, B, A', B' \in \text{Vec}_{\mathbb{k}}. \end{aligned}$$

A *BiHom-algebra over \mathbb{k}* is a monoid in $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\{\alpha, \beta\}}$. Namely, a BiHom-algebra A is a 5-tuple $(A, \mu_A, 1_A, \alpha_A, \beta_A)$, where A is a k -linear space, $1_A \in A$ is an element (the *unit*), $\alpha_A, \beta_A: A \rightarrow A$ are both bijective linear maps, $\mu_A: A \otimes A \rightarrow A$ is a linear map with the notation $\mu(a \otimes b) = ab$, satisfying the following conditions for all $a, b, c \in A$:

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_A(1_A) &= \beta_A(1_A) = 1_A, \quad a1_A = \alpha_A(a), \quad 1_A a = \beta_A(a), \quad \alpha_A(a)(bc) = (ab)\beta_A(c), \\ \alpha_A \circ \beta_A &= \beta_A \circ \alpha_A, \quad \alpha_A(ab) = \alpha_A(a)\alpha_A(b), \quad \beta_A(ab) = \beta_A(a)\beta_A(b). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.2.

- (1) Note that the second line of the above identities can be derived from the first line, see [31], Proposition 2.9.
- (2) If a BiHom-algebra A is commutative, then we immediately get that $\alpha_A = \beta_A$.

Example 2.3.

- (1) If $A = (A, \mu_A, 1_A)$ is an associative algebra and $\alpha, \beta: A \rightarrow A$ are both algebra isomorphisms, then $(A, \mu_A \circ (\alpha \otimes \beta), 1_A, \alpha, \beta)$ is a BiHom-algebra.
- (2) If $A = (A, \mu_A, 1_A, \alpha_A, \beta_A)$ is a BiHom-algebra, then $A^{\text{op}} = (A, \mu_A^{\text{op}}, 1_A, \beta_A, \alpha_A)$ is also a BiHom-algebra.
- (3) If $\alpha = \beta$, then the BiHom-algebra becomes a Hom-algebra.

A *BiHom-coalgebra* over \mathbb{k} is a comonoid in $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\{\varphi^{-1}, \psi^{-1}\}}$. Precisely, a BiHom-coalgebra C is a 5-tuple $(C, \Delta_C, \varepsilon_C, \varphi_C, \psi_C)$, in which C is a linear space, $\varphi_C, \psi_C: C \rightarrow C$ are linear isomorphisms, $\varepsilon_C: C \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ and $\Delta_C: C \rightarrow C \otimes C$ are linear maps, such that

$$\begin{aligned} c_1 \varepsilon_C(c_2) &= \varphi_C(c), & \varepsilon_C(c_1) c_2 &= \psi_C(c), \\ \varepsilon_C(\varphi_C(c)) &= \varepsilon_C(\psi_C(c)) = \varepsilon_C(c), & \varphi_C(c_1) \otimes \Delta_C(c_2) &= \Delta_C(c_1) \otimes \psi_C(c_2), \\ \varphi_C \circ \psi_C &= \psi_C \circ \varphi_C, & \Delta_C(\varphi_C(c)) &= \varphi_C(c_1) \otimes \varphi_C(c_2), & \Delta_C(\psi_C(c)) &= \psi_C(c_1) \otimes \psi_C(c_2). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 2.4.

- (1) Note that the third line of the above identities can be derived from the first two lines, see [31], Proposition 2.11.
- (2) If a BiHom-coalgebra C is cocommutative, then we immediately get that $\varphi_C = \psi_C$.

Example 2.5.

- (1) If $(C, \Delta_C, \varepsilon_C)$ is a coassociative coalgebra, $\varphi, \psi: C \rightarrow C$ are both coalgebra isomorphisms, then $(C, (\varphi \otimes \psi) \circ \Delta_C, \varepsilon_C, \varphi, \psi)$ is a BiHom-coalgebra.
- (2) If $C = (C, \Delta_C, \varepsilon_C, \varphi, \psi)$ is a BiHom-coalgebra, then $C^{\text{cop}} = (C, \Delta_C^{\text{cop}}, \varepsilon_C, \psi, \varphi)$ is also a BiHom-coalgebra.
- (3) If $\varphi = \psi$, then the BiHom-coalgebra becomes a Hom-coalgebra.

A *BiHom-bialgebra* over \mathbb{k} is a bimonoid in the duoidal category $\text{Vec}_{\mathbb{k}}^{\{\alpha, \beta, \varphi^{-1}, \psi^{-1}\}}$. Namely, a BiHom-bialgebra H is a 9-tuple $(H, \mu_H, 1_H, \Delta_H, \varepsilon_H, \alpha_H, \beta_H, \varphi_H, \psi_H)$ with the property that $(H, \mu_H, 1_H, \alpha_H, \beta_H)$ is a BiHom-algebra, $(H, \Delta_H, \varepsilon_H, \varphi_H, \psi_H)$ is a BiHom-coalgebra, and Δ_H, ε_H are all morphisms of BiHom-algebras preserving unit, i.e., for all $h, g \in H$,

$$\Delta_H(hg) = h_1 g_1 \otimes h_2 g_2, \quad \varepsilon_H(hg) = \varepsilon_H(h) \varepsilon_H(g), \quad \Delta_H(1_H) = 1_H \otimes 1_H, \quad \varepsilon_H(1_H) = 1_{\mathbb{k}}.$$

Moreover, it is easy to check that α_H, β_H are BiHom-coalgebra maps, φ_H, ψ_H are BiHom-algebra maps, and they commute with each other, see [31], Proposition 2.14.

Example 2.6.

- (1) If $(H, \mu_H, 1_H, \Delta_H, \varepsilon_H)$ is a bialgebra and $\alpha, \beta, \varphi, \psi: H \rightarrow H$ are all bialgebra isomorphisms, then $H^{\text{Bi}} = (H, \mu_H \circ (\alpha \otimes \beta), 1_H, (\varphi \otimes \psi) \circ \Delta_H, \varepsilon_H, \alpha, \beta, \varphi, \psi)$ is a BiHom-bialgebra.
- (2) If $H = (H, \mu_H, 1_H, \Delta_H, \varepsilon_H, \alpha, \beta, \varphi, \psi)$ is a BiHom-bialgebra, then $H^{\text{op}} = (H, \mu_H^{\text{op}}, 1_H, \Delta_H, \varepsilon_H, \beta, \alpha, \varphi, \psi)$, $H^{\text{cop}} = (H, \mu_H, 1_H, \Delta_H^{\text{cop}}, \varepsilon_H, \alpha, \beta, \psi, \varphi)$ and $H^{\text{op, cop}} = (H, \mu_H^{\text{op}}, 1_H, \Delta_H^{\text{cop}}, \varepsilon_H, \beta, \alpha, \psi, \varphi)$ are all BiHom-bialgebras.

- (3) If $H = (H, \mu_H, 1_H, \Delta_H, \varepsilon_H, \alpha, \beta, \varphi, \psi)$ is a finite dimensional BiHom-bialgebra, $H^* = \text{hom}(H, \mathbb{k})$. Define the multiplication \star , the comultiplication Δ_{H^*} (with the notation $\Delta_{H^*}(p) = p_1 \otimes p_2$) and ε_{H^*} by

$$(p \star q)(h) = p(\alpha^{-1}\varphi^{-1}(h_1))q(\beta^{-1}\psi^{-1}(h_2)), \quad \varepsilon_{H^*}(p) = p(1_H),$$

$$(p_1 \otimes p_2)(h \otimes g) = p(\alpha^{-1}\psi^{-1}(h)\beta^{-1}\varphi^{-1}(g)),$$

where $p, q \in H^*$, $h, g \in H$. Define α_{H^*} , β_{H^*} , φ_{H^*} , ψ_{H^*} by

$$\alpha_{H^*}(p) = p \circ \alpha^{-1}, \quad \beta_{H^*}(p) = p \circ \beta^{-1}, \quad \varphi_{H^*}(p) = p \circ \psi^{-1}, \quad \psi_{H^*}(p) = p \circ \varphi^{-1}.$$

Then $H^* = (H^*, \star, \varepsilon_H, \Delta_{H^*}, \varepsilon_{H^*}, \alpha_{H^*}, \beta_{H^*}, \varphi_{H^*}, \psi_{H^*})$ is a BiHom-bialgebra.

P r o o f. We leave most of the details to the reader and only show $\Delta_{H^*}(p \star q) = \Delta_{H^*}(p) \star \Delta_{H^*}(q)$ for any $p, q \in H^*$. For all $a, b \in H$, we compute

$$\begin{aligned} & (p_1 \star q_1 \otimes p_2 \star q_2)(a \otimes b) \\ &= p_1(\alpha^{-1}\varphi^{-1}(a_1))q_1(\beta^{-1}\psi^{-1}(a_2))p_2(\alpha^{-1}\varphi^{-1}(b_1))q_2(\beta^{-1}\psi^{-1}(b_2)) \\ &= p(\alpha^{-2}\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}(a_1)\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}\varphi^{-2}(b_1))q(\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}\psi^{-2}(a_2)\beta^{-2}\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}(b_2)) \\ &= (p \star q)(\alpha^{-1}\psi^{-1}(a)\beta^{-1}\varphi^{-1}(b)) = (p \star q)_1(a) \otimes (p \star q)_2(b). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, Δ_{H^*} preserves the multiplication, as needed. \square

- (4) Let H be a finite dimensional BiHom-bialgebra and $H^* = \text{hom}(H, \mathbb{k})$. We present another BiHom-bialgebra structure on H^* . Define the multiplication $*$ (the usual convolution product) and the comultiplication Δ'_{H^*} (with the notation $\Delta'_{H^*}(p) = p_{(1)} \otimes p_{(2)}$) by

$$(p * q)(h) = p(h_1)q(h_2), \quad (p_{(1)} \otimes p_{(2)})(h \otimes g) = p(hg),$$

where $p, q \in H^*$, $h, g \in H$. Define α'_{H^*} , β'_{H^*} , φ'_{H^*} , ψ'_{H^*} by

$$\alpha'_{H^*}(p) = p \circ \alpha, \quad \beta'_{H^*}(p) = p \circ \beta, \quad \varphi'_{H^*}(p) = p \circ \varphi, \quad \psi'_{H^*}(p) = p \circ \psi,$$

where $p \in H^*$. Then we immediately get that

$$H^* = (H^*, *, \varepsilon_H, \Delta'_{H^*}, \varepsilon_{H^*}, \alpha'_{H^*}, \beta'_{H^*}, \varphi'_{H^*}, \psi'_{H^*})$$

is also a BiHom-bialgebra.

- (5) If $\alpha = \beta = \varphi = \psi$, then the BiHom-bialgebra becomes a Hom-bialgebra. If $\alpha^{-1} = \beta^{-1} = \varphi = \psi$, then the BiHom-bialgebra becomes a monoidal Hom-bialgebra.

2.4. BiHom-Hopf algebras. In this section we will present the definition of BiHom-Hopf algebras which is little different from [8], Definition 6.9.

Definition 2.7. Let $H = (H, \mu, 1_H, \Delta, \varepsilon, \alpha, \beta, \varphi, \psi)$ be a BiHom-bialgebra. If there exists $S: H \rightarrow H$ (the *antipode*) such that S commutes with $\alpha, \beta, \varphi, \psi$, and satisfies, for any $h \in H$,

$$h_1 S(h_2) = S(h_1) h_2 = \varepsilon(h) 1_H,$$

then (H, S) is called a *BiHom-Hopf algebra*.

Proposition 2.8. *If H is a BiHom-Hopf algebra, then for any $a, b \in H$, the antipode S satisfies*

$$(2.1) \quad S(ab) = S\alpha^{-1}\beta(b)S\alpha\beta^{-1}(a), \quad S(1_H) = 1_H,$$

$$(2.2) \quad \Delta(S(a)) = S\varphi\psi^{-1}(a_2) \otimes S\varphi^{-1}\psi(a_1), \quad \varepsilon \circ S = \varepsilon.$$

Proof. We only prove (2.2). Consider the morphisms $\Theta, \Lambda \in \text{hom}(H, H \otimes H)$ given by

$$\Theta(a) = S\varphi\psi^{-1}(a_2) \otimes S\varphi^{-1}\psi(a_1), \quad \Lambda(a) = \underline{S(a)}_1 \otimes \underline{S(a)}_2 \quad \forall a \in H.$$

Now we show that Λ is the left convolution inverse, and Θ is the right convolution inverse of the comultiplication Δ . We compute

$$(\Lambda * \Delta)(a) = (\underline{S(a_1)}_1 \otimes \underline{S(a_1)}_2)(a_{21} \otimes a_{22}) = \underline{S(a_1)a_{2_1}} \otimes \underline{S(a_1)a_{2_2}} = \varepsilon(a) 1_H \otimes 1_H$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (\Delta * \Theta)(a) &= a_{11} S\varphi\psi^{-1}(a_{22}) \otimes a_{12} S\varphi^{-1}\psi(a_{21}) \\ &= \varphi(a_1) S\varphi\psi^{-1}(a_{22}) \otimes \varphi^{-1}(a_{211}) S\varphi^{-1}(a_{212}) \\ &= \varphi(a_1) S\varphi(a_2) \otimes 1_H = \varepsilon(a) 1_H \otimes 1_H, \end{aligned}$$

which implies the first formula of (2.2). To prove the second one, we have

$$\varepsilon(S(a)) = \varepsilon(S\psi^{-1}(\varepsilon(a_1)a_2)) = \varepsilon(a_1)\varepsilon(S(a_2)) = \varepsilon(a_1 S(a_2)) = \varepsilon(a),$$

hence, (2.2) holds. □

Proposition 2.9. *If H is a BiHom-Hopf algebra, then*

(1) *the antipode S satisfies*

$$(2.3) \quad S\alpha^2\varphi^2 = S\beta^2\psi^2;$$

(2) *if S is a bijective map, then*

$$(2.4) \quad \alpha^2\varphi^2 = \beta^2\psi^2.$$

Proof. We only need to check (2.3). Indeed, for any $a \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} S(a) &= \varepsilon(a_1)S(\alpha^{-1}\psi^{-1}(a_2)1_H) \stackrel{(2.1)}{=} \varepsilon(a_1)1_H S\beta^{-1}\psi^{-1}(a_2) \\ &= (S\beta^{-2}\psi^{-2}(a_{11})\beta^{-2}\psi^{-2}(a_{12}))S\beta^{-1}\psi^{-1}(a_2) \\ &= S\alpha\beta^{-2}\varphi\psi^{-2}(a_1)(\beta^{-2}\psi^{-2}(a_{21})S\beta^{-2}\psi^{-2}(a_{22})) = S\alpha^2\beta^{-2}\varphi^2\psi^{-2}(a), \end{aligned}$$

which implies (2.3). \square

Proposition 2.10. *Assume that H is a BiHom-Hopf algebra with the bijective antipode S . Then for any $a, b \in H$, S^{-1} satisfies*

$$(2.5) \quad S^{-1}(ab) = S^{-1}\alpha^{-1}\beta(b)S^{-1}\alpha\beta^{-1}(a), S^{-1}(1_H) = 1_H,$$

$$(2.6) \quad \Delta(S^{-1}(a)) = S^{-1}\varphi\psi^{-1}(a_2) \otimes S^{-1}\varphi^{-1}\psi(a_1), \quad \varepsilon \circ S^{-1} = \varepsilon,$$

$$(2.7) \quad S^{-1}\alpha^{-2}\beta^2(a_2)a_1 = a_2S^{-1}\alpha^2\beta^{-2}(a_1) = \varepsilon(a)1_H.$$

Proof. We only check (2.5). Actually, we have

$$S(S^{-1}(ab)) = ab = S(S^{-1}(a))S(S^{-1}(b)) \stackrel{(2.1)}{=} S(S^{-1}\alpha^{-1}\beta(b)S^{-1}\alpha\beta^{-1}(a)),$$

which implies the conclusion. \square

Remark 2.11.

(1) Obviously (2.7) is equal to

$$(2.8) \quad S^{-1}\varphi^2\psi^{-2}(a_2)a_1 = a_2S^{-1}\varphi^{-2}\psi^2(a_1) = \varepsilon(a)1_H.$$

(2) The antipode of a BiHom-bialgebra H may not exist. Namely, some special BiHom-bialgebras cannot become BiHom-Hopf algebras, see Example 2.12 (3) and (4) below.

Example 2.12.

(1) If $(H, S, \mu_H, 1_H, \Delta_H, \varepsilon_H)$ is a bialgebra, $\alpha, \beta, \varphi, \psi: H \rightarrow H$ are all Hopf algebra isomorphisms and satisfy $S\alpha^2\varphi^2 = S\beta^2\psi^2$, then

$$H^{\text{BiH}} = (H, S, \mu_H \circ (\alpha \otimes \beta), 1_H, (\varphi \otimes \psi) \circ \Delta_H, \varepsilon_H, \alpha, \beta, \varphi, \psi)$$

is a BiHom-Hopf algebra.

(2) Let H_4 be Sweedler's 4-dimensional Hopf algebra $H_4 = \mathbb{k}\{1_H, g, x, y: g^2 = 1_H, x^2 = 0, y = gx = -xg\}$ with the following structures:

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta(g) &= g \otimes g, \Delta(x) = x \otimes 1_H + g \otimes x, \quad \Delta(y) = y \otimes g + 1_H \otimes y, \\ \varepsilon(g) &= 1, \quad \varepsilon(x) = \varepsilon(y) = 0, \quad S(g) = g, \quad S(x) = -y, S(y) = x. \end{aligned}$$

Recall from [25], Example 10.1.17 that H_4 is a quasitriangular Hopf algebra with the R -matrix

$$R_\kappa = \frac{1}{2}(1_H \otimes 1_H + 1_H \otimes g + g \otimes 1_H - g \otimes g) + \frac{\kappa}{2}(x \otimes x - x \otimes y + y \otimes x + y \otimes y),$$

where $\kappa \in \mathbb{k}$.

Note that any bialgebra isomorphism $\alpha: H_4 \rightarrow H_4$ takes the form

$$\alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a & b \\ 0 & 0 & b & a \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a, b \in \mathbb{k}$ and $ab \neq 0$. Moreover, α is a Hopf algebra map if and only if $b = 0$.

It is easy to check that the group of the bialgebra automorphisms $\text{Aut}(H_4)$ is an Abelian group. From Example 2.6 (1) it follows that for any $\alpha, \beta, \varphi, \psi \in \text{Aut}(H_4)$, $H_4^{\text{Bi}} = (H_4, \mu \circ (\alpha \otimes \beta), 1_{H_4}, (\varphi \otimes pr) \circ \Delta, \varepsilon, \alpha, \beta, \varphi, \psi)$ is a BiHom-bialgebra.

Moreover, assume that

$$(2.9) \quad \alpha = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & a & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & a \end{pmatrix}, \quad \beta = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & b \end{pmatrix},$$

$$\varphi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & c \end{pmatrix}, \quad \psi = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & d & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & d \end{pmatrix},$$

where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{k}$. Obviously they are all Hopf algebra isomorphisms. If $a^2c^2 = b^2d^2$, then from (1), $H_4^{\text{BiH}} = (H_4^{\text{Bi}}, S)$ is a BiHom-Hopf algebra.

- (3) If $H = (H, S, \mu, 1_H, \Delta, \varepsilon, \alpha, \beta, \varphi, \psi)$ is a BiHom-Hopf algebra, then recall from Example 2.6 (2) that H^{op} , H^{cop} and $H^{\text{op,cop}}$ are all BiHom-bialgebras, and $(H^{\text{op,cop}}, S, \mu, 1_H, \Delta, \varepsilon, \beta, \alpha, \psi, \varphi)$ is a BiHom-Hopf algebra. Moreover, neither H^{op} nor H^{cop} have antipode.
- (4) If $H = (H, S, \mu, 1_H, \Delta, \varepsilon, \alpha, \beta, \varphi, \psi)$ is a BiHom-Hopf algebra, then recall from Example 2.6 (3) that $H^* = (H^*, \star, \varepsilon, \Delta_{H^*}, \varepsilon_{H^*}, (\alpha^{-1})^*, (\beta^{-1})^*, (\psi^{-1})^*, (\varphi^{-1})^*)$ is a BiHom-bialgebra. Clearly there is no $S_{H^*} \in \text{hom}(H^*, H^*)$ such that (H^*, S_{H^*}) becomes a BiHom-Hopf algebra.

- (5) Under the same consideration above, if S is a bijective map, then it is not hard to check that $H^{*\text{cop}} = (H^*, \star, \varepsilon, \Delta_{H^*}^{\text{cop}}, \varepsilon_{H^*}, (S^{-1})^*, (\alpha^{-1})^*, (\beta^{-1})^*, (\varphi^{-1})^*, (\psi^{-1})^*)$ and $H^{*\text{op}} = (H^*, \star^{\text{op}}, \varepsilon, \Delta_{H^*}, \varepsilon_{H^*}, (S^{-1})^*, (\beta^{-1})^*, (\alpha^{-1})^*, (\psi^{-1})^*, (\varphi^{-1})^*)$ are all BiHom-Hopf algebras.
- (6) If $H = (H, S, \mu, 1_H, \Delta, \varepsilon, \alpha, \beta, \varphi, \psi)$ is a BiHom-Hopf algebra, then recall from Example 2.6(4) that $H^* = (H^*, *, \varepsilon, \Delta'_{H^*}, \varepsilon_{H^*}, \alpha^*, \beta^*, \varphi^*, \psi^*)$ is a BiHom-bialgebra. Moreover, (H^*, S^*) is a BiHom-Hopf algebra.
- (7) If $\alpha = \beta$ and $\varphi = \psi$, then the BiHom-Hopf algebra becomes the so-called monoidal BiHom-Hopf algebra. If $\alpha = \beta = \varphi = \psi$, then the BiHom-Hopf algebra becomes the usual Hom-Hopf algebra. Similarly, if $\alpha^{-1} = \beta^{-1} = \varphi = \psi$, then the BiHom-Hopf algebra becomes the usual monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra.

3. THE BIHOM-TYPE REPRESENTATIONS WITH PARAMETERS

In this section, we always assume that $H = (H, S, \mu, 1_H, \Delta, \varepsilon, \alpha, \beta, \varphi, \psi)$ is a BiHom-Hopf algebra.

3.1. The category of BiHom-modules. Recall that a \mathbb{k} -space M is called a *left BiHom-module of H* (shortly an *H -BiHom-module*) if there exist \mathbb{k} -linear isomorphisms $\alpha_M, \beta_M, \varphi_M, \psi_M: M \rightarrow M$ (the *Hom-structure maps*) and an H action $\theta_M: H \otimes M \rightarrow M$ (with the notation $\theta_M(h \otimes m) = h \cdot m$), such that for any $h, g \in H, m \in M$,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_M, \beta_M, \varphi_M, \psi_M & \text{ commute with each other,} \\ \alpha(h) \cdot \alpha_M(m) &= \alpha_M(h \cdot m), \quad \beta(h) \cdot \beta_M(m) = \beta_M(h \cdot m), \\ \varphi(h) \cdot \varphi_M(m) &= \varphi_M(h \cdot m), \quad \psi(h) \cdot \psi_M(m) = \psi_M(h \cdot m), \\ \alpha(h) \cdot (g \cdot m) &= (hg) \cdot \beta_M(m), \quad 1_H \cdot m = \beta_M(m). \end{aligned}$$

If $(M, \alpha_M, \beta_M, \varphi_M, \psi_M)$ and $(N, \alpha_N, \beta_N, \varphi_N, \psi_N)$ are left H -BiHom-modules with H -actions θ_M and θ_N , respectively, a *morphism of H -BiHom-modules* $f \in \text{hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(M, N)$ is an H -linear map satisfying the conditions

$$\alpha_N \circ f = f \circ \alpha_M, \quad \beta_N \circ f = f \circ \beta_M, \quad \varphi_N \circ f = f \circ \varphi_M, \quad \psi_N \circ f = f \circ \psi_M.$$

The category of H -BiHom-modules and morphisms is denoted by ${}_H\mathcal{BM}$.

Remark 3.1.

- (1) Obviously $H \in \text{Obj}({}_H\mathcal{BM})$.
- (2) If $\alpha = \beta = \varphi = \psi$ and $\alpha_M = \beta_M = \varphi_M = \psi_M$, then the BiHom-modules become the Hom-modules of Hom-bialgebra H .
- (3) The definition of the right BiHom-module of H can be given in a similar way.

For any integers $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j} \in \mathbb{Z}$, $M, N, P \in {}_H\mathcal{BM}$, consider the following structures:

▷ the tensor product of $(M, \alpha_M, \beta_M, \varphi_M, \psi_M)$ and $(N, \alpha_N, \beta_N, \varphi_N, \psi_N)$ is

$$(M \otimes N, \alpha_{M \otimes N}, \alpha_M \otimes \alpha_N, \beta_M \otimes \beta_N, \varphi_M \otimes \varphi_N, \psi_M \otimes \psi_N),$$

where the H -action on $M \otimes N$ is given by

$$h \cdot (m \otimes n) = \alpha^{\mathfrak{a}} \beta^{\mathfrak{b}} \varphi^{\mathfrak{c}} \psi^{\mathfrak{d}}(h_1) \cdot m \otimes \alpha^{\mathfrak{g}} \beta^{\mathfrak{h}} \varphi^{\mathfrak{i}} \psi^{\mathfrak{j}}(h_2) \cdot n,$$

where $m \in M$, $n \in N$, $h \in H$;

▷ the unit object is $(\mathbb{k}, \text{id}_{\mathbb{k}}, \text{id}_{\mathbb{k}}, \text{id}_{\mathbb{k}}, \text{id}_{\mathbb{k}})$ with the trivial module action;

▷ for any $m \in M$, $n \in N$, $p \in P$, the associativity and the unit constraints are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}_{M,N,P}((m \otimes n) \otimes p) &= \alpha_M^{-\mathfrak{a}} \beta_M^{-\mathfrak{b}} \varphi_M^{-\mathfrak{c}-1} \psi_M^{-\mathfrak{d}}(m) \otimes (n \otimes \alpha_P^{\mathfrak{g}} \beta_P^{\mathfrak{h}} \varphi_P^{\mathfrak{i}} \psi_P^{\mathfrak{j}+1}(p)); \\ \mathbf{l}_M(\mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{k}} \otimes m) &= \alpha_M^{-\mathfrak{g}} \beta_M^{-\mathfrak{h}} \varphi_M^{-\mathfrak{i}} \psi_M^{-\mathfrak{j}-1}(m), \quad \mathbf{r}_M(m \otimes \mathbb{1}_{\mathbb{k}}) = \alpha_M^{-\mathfrak{a}} \beta_M^{-\mathfrak{b}} \varphi_M^{-\mathfrak{c}-1} \psi_M^{-\mathfrak{d}}(m). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.2. ${}_H\mathcal{BM}$ forms a monoidal category under the above structures.

Proof. We only show the linearity of \mathbf{a} and the others are left to reader. For any $h \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}_{M,N,P}(h \cdot ((m \otimes n) \otimes p)) &= \mathbf{a}_{M,N,P}((\alpha^{2\mathfrak{a}} \beta^{2\mathfrak{b}} \varphi^{2\mathfrak{c}} \psi^{2\mathfrak{d}}(h_{11}) \cdot m \otimes \alpha^{\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{g}} \beta^{\mathfrak{b}+\mathfrak{h}} \varphi^{\mathfrak{c}+\mathfrak{i}} \psi^{\mathfrak{d}+\mathfrak{j}}(h_{21}) \cdot n) \\ &\quad \otimes \alpha^{\mathfrak{g}} \beta^{\mathfrak{h}} \varphi^{\mathfrak{i}} \psi^{\mathfrak{j}}(h_2) \cdot p) \\ &= \alpha^{\mathfrak{a}} \beta^{\mathfrak{b}} \varphi^{\mathfrak{c}} \psi^{\mathfrak{d}}(h_1) \cdot \alpha_M^{-\mathfrak{a}} \beta_M^{-\mathfrak{b}} \varphi_M^{-\mathfrak{c}-1} \psi_M^{-\mathfrak{d}}(m) \otimes (\alpha^{\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{g}} \beta^{\mathfrak{b}+\mathfrak{h}} \varphi^{\mathfrak{c}+\mathfrak{i}} \psi^{\mathfrak{d}+\mathfrak{j}}(h_{12}) \cdot n \\ &\quad \otimes \alpha^{2\mathfrak{g}} \beta^{2\mathfrak{h}} \varphi^{2\mathfrak{i}} \psi^{2\mathfrak{j}}(h_{22}) \cdot \alpha_P^{\mathfrak{g}} \beta_P^{\mathfrak{h}} \varphi_P^{\mathfrak{i}} \psi_P^{\mathfrak{j}+1}(p)) \\ &= h \cdot \mathbf{a}_{M,N,P}(((m \otimes n) \otimes p)), \end{aligned}$$

which implies the conclusion. □

Remark 3.3. We write ${}_H\mathcal{BM}$ by ${}_H\mathcal{BM}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{i},\mathfrak{j}}^{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{c},\mathfrak{d}}$ if the monoidal structure of ${}_H\mathcal{BM}$ is given as above.

Now, if we assume that the antipode S is a bijective map, then we have the following result.

Theorem 3.4. ${}_H\mathcal{BM}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{i},\mathfrak{j}}^{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{c},\mathfrak{d}}$ is a rigid category.

Proof. Suppose that $(M, \alpha_M, \beta_M, \varphi_M, \psi_M)$ is an H -BiHom-module, $M^* = \text{hom}(M, \mathbb{k})$. Define the following structures:

▷ $\theta_{M^*}: H \otimes M^* \rightarrow M^*$ is given by

$$(h \cdot f)(m) = f(S(h)) \cdot \beta_M^{-2}(m) \quad \forall h \in H, m \in M, f \in M^*;$$

▷ the Hom-structure maps of M^* are given by

$$\alpha_{M^*} = (\alpha_M^{-1})^*, \quad \beta_{M^*} = (\beta_M^{-1})^*, \quad \varphi_{M^*} = (\varphi_M^{-1})^*, \quad \psi_{M^*} = (\psi_M^{-1})^*;$$

▷ the evaluation $\text{ev}_M: M^* \otimes M \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ and coevaluation $\text{coev}_M: \mathbb{k} \rightarrow M \otimes M^*$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ev}_M(f \otimes m) &= f(\alpha_M^{\mathfrak{a}-\mathfrak{g}-1} \beta_M^{\mathfrak{b}-\mathfrak{h}+2} \varphi_M^{\mathfrak{c}-i} \psi_M^{\mathfrak{d}-j}(m)), \\ \text{coev}_M(1_{\mathbb{k}}) &= \sum \alpha_M^{\mathfrak{a}-\mathfrak{g}-1} \beta_M^{\mathfrak{b}-\mathfrak{h}} \varphi_M^{\mathfrak{c}-i} \psi_M^{\mathfrak{d}-j}(e_i) \otimes e^i, \end{aligned}$$

where e_i and e^i are dual bases of M and M^* , respectively.

Next we show that $(M^*, \text{ev}_M, \text{coev}_M)$ is the left dual of M . Firstly, it is easy to check that $(M^*, \alpha_{M^*}, \beta_{M^*}, \varphi_{M^*}, \psi_{M^*})$ is an H -BiHom-module. Secondly, since we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ev}_M(h \cdot (f \otimes m)) &= (\alpha^{\mathfrak{a}} \beta^{\mathfrak{b}} \varphi^{\mathfrak{c}} \psi^{\mathfrak{d}}(h_1) \cdot f)(\alpha_M^{\mathfrak{a}-\mathfrak{g}-1} \beta_M^{\mathfrak{b}-\mathfrak{h}+2} \varphi_M^{\mathfrak{c}-i} \psi_M^{\mathfrak{d}-j} \\ &\quad \times (\alpha^{\mathfrak{g}} \beta^{\mathfrak{h}} \varphi^i \psi^j(h_2) \cdot m)) \\ &= f((S\alpha^{\mathfrak{a}-1} \beta^{\mathfrak{b}} \varphi^{\mathfrak{c}} \psi^{\mathfrak{d}}(h_1) \alpha^{\mathfrak{a}-1} \beta^{\mathfrak{b}} \varphi^{\mathfrak{c}} \psi^{\mathfrak{d}}(h_2)) \\ &\quad \times \alpha_M^{\mathfrak{a}-\mathfrak{g}-1} \beta_M^{\mathfrak{b}-\mathfrak{h}+1} \varphi_M^{\mathfrak{c}-i} \psi_M^{\mathfrak{d}-j}(m)) \\ &= h \cdot \text{ev}_M(f \otimes m) \end{aligned}$$

for any $h \in H, m \in M, f \in M^*$, ev_M is H -linear. Similarly, coev_M is also an H -linear map.

At last, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &((\text{id}_M \otimes \text{ev}_M) \circ \mathbf{a}_{M, M^*, M} \circ (\text{coev}_M \otimes \text{id}_M))(1_{\mathbb{k}} \otimes m) \\ &= \sum (\text{id}_M \otimes \text{ev}_M)(\alpha_M^{\mathfrak{a}-\mathfrak{g}-1} \beta_M^{\mathfrak{b}-\mathfrak{h}} \varphi_M^{\mathfrak{c}-i-1} \psi_M^{\mathfrak{d}-j}(e_i) \otimes (e^i \otimes (\alpha_M^{\mathfrak{g}} \beta_M^{\mathfrak{h}} \varphi_M^i \psi_M^{j+1}(m)))) \\ &= \alpha_M^{\mathfrak{a}-\mathfrak{g}-2} \beta_M^{\mathfrak{b}-\mathfrak{h}+2} \varphi_M^{\mathfrak{c}-i-1} \psi_M^{\mathfrak{d}-j+1}(m) \\ &\stackrel{(2.4)}{=} \alpha_M^{\mathfrak{a}-\mathfrak{g}} \beta_M^{\mathfrak{b}-\mathfrak{h}} \varphi_M^{\mathfrak{c}-i+1} \psi_M^{\mathfrak{d}-j-1}(m) = (\mathbf{r}_M^{-1} \circ \mathbf{l}_M)(1_{\mathbb{k}} \otimes m) \end{aligned}$$

and similarly we can get

$$(\text{ev}_M \otimes \text{id}_{M^*}) \circ \mathbf{a}_{M^*, M, M^*}^{-1} \circ (\text{id}_{M^*} \otimes \text{coev}_M) = \mathbf{l}_{M^*}^{-1} \circ \mathbf{r}_{M^*},$$

which implies that ${}_H\mathcal{BM}_{\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j}}^{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{d}}$ is a left rigid category.

Similarly, one can show that ${}_H\mathcal{BM}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h},i,j}^{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{c},\mathfrak{d}}$ is a right rigid category. Indeed, the right dual of M is ${}^*M = \text{hom}(M, \mathbb{k})$, where

▷ $\theta_{*M}: H \otimes {}^*M \rightarrow {}^*M$ is given by

$$(h \cdot f)(m) = f(S^{-1}(h)) \cdot \beta_M^{-2}(m) \quad \forall h \in H, m \in M, f \in {}^*M;$$

▷ the Hom-structure maps of *M are given by

$$\alpha_{*M} = (\alpha_M^{-1})^*, \quad \beta_{*M} = (\beta_M^{-1})^*, \quad \varphi_{*M} = (\varphi_M^{-1})^*, \quad \psi_{*M} = (\psi_M^{-1})^*;$$

▷ the evaluation $\tilde{\text{ev}}_M: M \otimes {}^*M \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ and coevaluation $\widetilde{\text{coev}}_M: \mathbb{k} \rightarrow {}^*M \otimes M$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\text{ev}}_M(m \otimes f) &= f(\alpha_M^{-\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{g}+1} \beta_M^{-\mathfrak{b}+\mathfrak{h}} \varphi_M^{-\mathfrak{c}+\mathfrak{i}} \psi_M^{-\mathfrak{d}+\mathfrak{j}}(m)), \\ \widetilde{\text{coev}}_M(1_{\mathbb{k}}) &= \sum e^i \otimes \alpha_M^{-\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{g}+1} \beta_M^{-\mathfrak{b}+\mathfrak{h}-2} \varphi_M^{-\mathfrak{c}+\mathfrak{i}} \psi_M^{-\mathfrak{d}+\mathfrak{j}}(e_i), \end{aligned}$$

where e_i and e^i are dual bases of M and *M , respectively. □

Proposition 3.5. *For any $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, i, j, \mathfrak{a}', \mathfrak{b}', \mathfrak{c}', \mathfrak{d}', \mathfrak{g}', \mathfrak{h}', i', j' \in \mathbb{Z}$, ${}_H\mathcal{BM}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h},i,j}^{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{c},\mathfrak{d}}$ is monoidal isomorphic to ${}_H\mathcal{BM}_{\mathfrak{g}',\mathfrak{h}',i',j'}^{\mathfrak{a}',\mathfrak{b}',\mathfrak{c}',\mathfrak{d}'}$.*

Proof. Define the functor $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}_2, \mathcal{S}_0): {}_H\mathcal{BM}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h},i,j}^{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{c},\mathfrak{d}} \rightarrow {}_H\mathcal{BM}_{\mathfrak{g}',\mathfrak{h}',i',j'}^{\mathfrak{a}',\mathfrak{b}',\mathfrak{c}',\mathfrak{d}'}$ by

$$\mathcal{F}(M) = M \quad \text{as } H\text{-BiHom-module}, \quad \mathcal{F}(f) = f,$$

where $(M, \alpha_M, \beta_M, \varphi_M, \psi_M) \in {}_H\mathcal{BM}$, $f \in \text{Mor}({}_H\mathcal{BM})$. Further, $\mathcal{S}_0 = \text{id}$, $\mathcal{S}_{2M,N}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{S}_{2M,N}(m \otimes n) = \alpha_M^{\mathfrak{a}-\mathfrak{a}'} \beta_M^{\mathfrak{b}-\mathfrak{b}'} \varphi_M^{\mathfrak{c}-\mathfrak{c}'} \psi_M^{\mathfrak{d}-\mathfrak{d}'}(m) \otimes \alpha_N^{\mathfrak{g}-\mathfrak{g}'} \beta_N^{\mathfrak{h}-\mathfrak{h}'} \varphi_N^{\mathfrak{i}-\mathfrak{i}'} \psi_N^{\mathfrak{j}-\mathfrak{j}'}(n)$$

for any $M, N \in {}_H\mathcal{BM}$, $m \in M$, $n \in N$. It is direct to check that $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}_2, \mathcal{S}_0)$ is a monoidal isomorphic functor. □

Theorem 3.6. *Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. If H is a cocommutative BiHom-bialgebra, then ${}_H\mathcal{BM}$ forms an n -monoidal category.*

Proof. Let $\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{d}_i, \mathbf{g}_i, \mathbf{h}_i, \mathbf{i}_i, \mathbf{j}_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, where $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. We use the notation ${}_{H\mathcal{BM}}^{\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{d}_i}_{\mathbf{g}_i, \mathbf{h}_i, \mathbf{i}_i, \mathbf{j}_i} = ({}_{H\mathcal{BM}}^{\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{d}_i}_{\mathbf{g}_i, \mathbf{h}_i, \mathbf{i}_i, \mathbf{j}_i}, \otimes_i, \mathbb{k}, \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{l}_i, \mathbf{r}_i)$. For any $i, j \in \{1, 2, \dots, n\}$, we define the following maps in ${}_{H\mathcal{BM}}$:

▷ the interchange law is

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{i,j} A, B, C, D: (A \otimes_i B) \otimes_j (C \otimes_i D) &\rightarrow (A \otimes_j C) \otimes_i (B \otimes_j D), \\ (a \otimes_i b) \otimes_j (c \otimes_i d) &\mapsto (a \otimes_j c) \otimes_i (b \otimes_j d), \end{aligned}$$

where $A, B, C, D \in {}_{H\mathcal{BM}}$;

▷ since $(\mathbb{k}, \text{id}_{\mathbb{k}}, \text{id}_{\mathbb{k}}, \text{id}_{\mathbb{k}}, \text{id}_{\mathbb{k}})$ is the unit object of all the monoidal structures, ω , ϖ and τ are given by

$$\omega_{i,j} = \varpi_{i,j} = \tau_{i,j} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{k}}.$$

Clearly $\zeta_{i,j}$ is a natural isomorphism in ${}_{H\mathcal{BM}}$ since H is cocommutative.

Moreover, note that if the diagrams (A1)–(A3) and diagrams (U1)–(U11) are satisfied, then $({}_{H\mathcal{BM}}^{\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{d}_i}_{\mathbf{g}_i, \mathbf{h}_i, \mathbf{i}_i, \mathbf{j}_i}, \otimes_i, \mathbb{k}, \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{l}_i, \mathbf{r}_i)$ forms an n -monoidal category. \square

Remark 3.7. Let H be cocommutative. Since ζ , ω , ϖ , τ given above are all isomorphisms, then for the given integers $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}$ and $\mathbf{a}', \mathbf{b}', \mathbf{c}', \mathbf{d}', \mathbf{g}', \mathbf{h}', \mathbf{i}', \mathbf{j}'$, ${}_{H\mathcal{BM}} = ({}_{H\mathcal{BM}}^{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}}_{\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}, {}_{H\mathcal{BM}}^{\mathbf{a}', \mathbf{b}', \mathbf{c}', \mathbf{d}'}_{\mathbf{g}', \mathbf{h}', \mathbf{i}', \mathbf{j}'})$ forms a strong 2-monoidal category (see [1], Definition 6.3) for the definition of strong 2-monoidal category).

3.2. Quasitriangular BiHom-Hopf algebras and BiHom-Type QYBE.

Let R and R' be two elements in $H \otimes H$. For any $M, N \in {}_{H\mathcal{BM}}^{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}}_{\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}$, we can define families of maps $\mathbf{C}: \otimes \Rightarrow \otimes^{\text{op}}$ and $\mathbf{C}': \otimes^{\text{op}} \Rightarrow \otimes$ as follows:

▷ $\mathbf{C}_{M,N}: M \otimes N \rightarrow N \otimes M$ is given by

$$(3.1) \quad \begin{aligned} m \otimes n &\mapsto \sum \alpha^{\mathbf{a}} \beta^{\mathbf{b}} \varphi^{\mathbf{c}} \psi^{\mathbf{d}}(R^{(2)}) \cdot \alpha_N^{\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{g}} \beta_N^{\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{h}-1} \varphi_N^{\mathbf{c}-\mathbf{i}+1} \psi_N^{\mathbf{d}-\mathbf{j}-1}(n) \\ &\quad \otimes \alpha^{\mathbf{g}} \beta^{\mathbf{h}} \varphi^{\mathbf{i}} \psi^{\mathbf{j}}(R^{(1)}) \cdot \alpha_M^{-\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{g}} \beta_M^{-\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{h}-1} \varphi_M^{-\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{i}-1} \psi_M^{-\mathbf{d}+\mathbf{j}+1}(m). \end{aligned}$$

▷ $\mathbf{C}'_{M,N}: N \otimes M \rightarrow M \otimes N$ is given by

$$(3.2) \quad \begin{aligned} n \otimes m &\mapsto \sum \alpha^{\mathbf{a}} \beta^{\mathbf{b}} \varphi^{\mathbf{c}+1} \psi^{\mathbf{d}-1}(R'^{(1)}) \cdot \alpha_M^{\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{g}} \beta_M^{\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{h}-1} \varphi_M^{\mathbf{c}-\mathbf{i}+1} \psi_M^{\mathbf{d}-\mathbf{j}-1}(m) \\ &\quad \otimes \alpha^{\mathbf{g}} \beta^{\mathbf{h}} \varphi^{\mathbf{i}-1} \psi^{\mathbf{j}+1}(R'^{(2)}) \cdot \alpha_N^{-\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{g}} \beta_N^{-\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{h}-1} \varphi_N^{-\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{i}-1} \psi_N^{-\mathbf{d}+\mathbf{j}+1}(n). \end{aligned}$$

Definition 3.8. Let $(H, \alpha, \beta, \varphi, \psi)$ be a BiHom-bialgebra. If there exists an invertible element $R \in H \otimes H$, such that the conditions

$$(Q1) \quad (\alpha \otimes \alpha)R = (\beta \otimes \beta)R = (\varphi \otimes \varphi)R = (\psi \otimes \psi)R = R;$$

$$(Q2) \quad \sum R^{(1)}\varphi^{-1}\psi(h_1) \otimes R^{(2)}\varphi\psi^{-1}(h_2) = \sum \alpha^{-1}\beta(h_2)R^{(1)} \otimes \alpha^{-1}\beta(h_1)R^{(2)};$$

$$(Q3) \quad \sum R_1^{(1)} \otimes R_2^{(1)} \otimes R^{(2)} = \sum \alpha\varphi(\dot{R}^{(1)}) \otimes \beta\psi(R^{(1)}) \otimes \dot{R}^{(2)}R^{(2)};$$

$$(Q4) \quad \sum R^{(1)} \otimes R_1^{(2)} \otimes R_2^{(2)} = \sum \dot{R}^{(1)}R^{(1)} \otimes \beta\varphi(R^{(2)}) \otimes \alpha\psi(\dot{R}^{(2)})$$

hold for any $h \in H$, where $\dot{R} = R = \sum R^{(1)} \otimes R^{(2)} = \sum \dot{R}^{(1)} \otimes \dot{R}^{(2)}$, then R is called an R -matrix or a quasitriangular structure of H , (H, R) is called a quasitriangular BiHom-bialgebra.

Assume that R and R' are two elements in $H \otimes H$, \mathbf{C} and \mathbf{C}' are defined as in (3.1) and (3.2). Then we have the following results.

Lemma 3.9. \mathbf{C} is a natural transformation in ${}_H\mathcal{BM}_{\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j}}^{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{d}}$ if and only if R satisfies (Q1) and (Q2).

Proof. Firstly, it is easy to check that \mathbf{C} is compatible with the Hom-structure maps of BiHom modules if and only if R is an invariant under $\alpha, \beta, \varphi, \psi$.

Secondly, if (Q2) holds, then for any $M, N \in {}_H\mathcal{BM}_{\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j}}^{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{d}}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{C}_{M,N}(h \cdot (m \otimes n)) \\ &= \sum \alpha^{\mathfrak{a}}\beta^{\mathfrak{b}}\varphi^{\mathfrak{c}}\psi^{\mathfrak{d}}(R^{(2)}) \cdot \alpha_N^{\mathfrak{a}-\mathfrak{g}}\beta_N^{\mathfrak{b}-\mathfrak{h}-1}\varphi_N^{\mathfrak{c}-\mathfrak{i}+1}\psi_N^{\mathfrak{d}-\mathfrak{j}-1}(\alpha^{\mathfrak{g}}\beta^{\mathfrak{h}}\varphi^{\mathfrak{i}}\psi^{\mathfrak{j}}(h_2) \cdot n) \\ & \quad \otimes \alpha^{\mathfrak{g}}\beta^{\mathfrak{h}}\varphi^{\mathfrak{i}}\psi^{\mathfrak{j}}(R^{(1)}) \cdot \alpha_M^{-\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{g}}\beta_M^{-\mathfrak{b}+\mathfrak{h}-1}\varphi_M^{-\mathfrak{c}+\mathfrak{i}-1}\psi_M^{-\mathfrak{d}+\mathfrak{j}+1}(\alpha^{\mathfrak{a}}\beta^{\mathfrak{b}}\varphi^{\mathfrak{c}}\psi^{\mathfrak{d}}(h_1) \cdot m) \\ & \stackrel{(Q1)}{=} \sum (\alpha^{\mathfrak{a}}\beta^{\mathfrak{b}}\varphi^{\mathfrak{c}}\psi^{\mathfrak{d}}(R^{(2)})\alpha^{\mathfrak{a}}\beta^{\mathfrak{b}-1}\varphi^{\mathfrak{c}+1}\psi^{\mathfrak{d}-1}(h_2)) \cdot \alpha_N^{\mathfrak{a}-\mathfrak{g}}\beta_N^{\mathfrak{b}-\mathfrak{h}}\varphi_N^{\mathfrak{c}-\mathfrak{i}+1}\psi_N^{\mathfrak{d}-\mathfrak{j}-1}(n) \\ & \quad \otimes (\alpha^{\mathfrak{g}}\beta^{\mathfrak{h}}\varphi^{\mathfrak{i}}\psi^{\mathfrak{j}}(R^{(1)})\alpha^{\mathfrak{g}}\beta^{\mathfrak{h}-1}\varphi^{\mathfrak{i}-1}\psi^{\mathfrak{j}+1}(h_1)) \cdot \alpha_M^{-\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{g}}\beta_M^{-\mathfrak{b}+\mathfrak{h}}\varphi_M^{-\mathfrak{c}+\mathfrak{i}-1}\psi_M^{-\mathfrak{d}+\mathfrak{j}+1}(m) \\ & \stackrel{(Q2)}{=} \sum \alpha^{\mathfrak{a}}\beta^{\mathfrak{b}}\varphi^{\mathfrak{c}}\psi^{\mathfrak{d}}(\alpha^{-1}(h_1)R^{(2)}) \cdot \alpha_N^{\mathfrak{a}-\mathfrak{g}}\beta_N^{\mathfrak{b}-\mathfrak{h}}\varphi_N^{\mathfrak{c}-\mathfrak{i}+1}\psi_N^{\mathfrak{d}-\mathfrak{j}-1}(n) \\ & \quad \otimes \alpha^{\mathfrak{g}}\beta^{\mathfrak{h}}\varphi^{\mathfrak{i}}\psi^{\mathfrak{j}}(\alpha^{-1}(h_2)R^{(1)}) \cdot \alpha_M^{-\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{g}}\beta_M^{-\mathfrak{b}+\mathfrak{h}}\varphi_M^{-\mathfrak{c}+\mathfrak{i}-1}\psi_M^{-\mathfrak{d}+\mathfrak{j}+1}(m) \\ &= h \cdot \mathbf{C}_{M,N}((m \otimes n)), \end{aligned}$$

where $m \in M, n \in N$. Thus, \mathbf{C} is H -linear.

Finally, if \mathbf{C} is H -linear, then we have $\mathbf{C}_{H,H}(h \cdot (1_H \otimes 1_H)) = h \cdot \mathbf{C}_{H,H}((1_H \otimes 1_H))$, which implies (Q2). \square

Lemma 3.10. \mathbf{C}' is the inverse of \mathbf{C} if and only if R' is the inverse of R .

Proof. \Leftarrow : If R' is the inverse of R , then for any $M, N \in {}_H\mathcal{BM}_{g,h,i,j}^{a,b,c,d}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{C}'_{M,N} \mathbf{C}_{M,N}(m \otimes n) \\ &= \sum \alpha^a \beta^b \varphi^{c+1} \psi^{d-1}(\mathbf{R}'^{(1)}) \cdot (\alpha^a \beta^{b-1} \varphi^{c+1} \psi^{d-1}(\mathbf{R}^{(1)}) \cdot \beta_M^{-2}(m)) \\ & \quad \otimes \alpha^g \beta^h \varphi^{i-1} \psi^{j+1}(\mathbf{R}'^{(2)}) \cdot (\alpha^g \beta^{h-1} \varphi^{i-1} \psi^{j+1}(\mathbf{R}^{(2)}) \cdot \beta_N^{-2}(n)) \\ & \stackrel{(Q1)}{=} 1_H \cdot \beta_M^{-1}(m) \otimes 1_H \cdot \beta_N^{-1}(n) = m \otimes n, \end{aligned}$$

where $m \in M$, $n \in N$. Similarly, we can get $\mathbf{C}_{M,N} \mathbf{C}'_{M,N}(n \otimes m) = n \otimes m$. This means that \mathbf{C}' is the inverse of \mathbf{C} .

\Rightarrow : Conversely, if \mathbf{C}' is the inverse of \mathbf{C} , then $\mathbf{C}'_{H,H} \mathbf{C}_{H,H} = \text{id} = \mathbf{C}_{H,H} \mathbf{C}'_{H,H}$, which implies $R'R = RR' = 1_H \otimes 1_H$. \square

Lemma 3.11. \mathbf{C} satisfies $\mathbf{a}_{P,M,N}^{-1} \circ \mathbf{C}_{M \otimes N, P} \circ \mathbf{a}_{M,N,P}^{-1} = (\mathbf{C}_{M,P} \otimes \text{id}_N) \circ \mathbf{a}_{M,P,N}^{-1} \circ (\text{id}_M \otimes \mathbf{C}_{N,P})$ if and only if (Q3) holds.

Proof. \Leftarrow : If (Q3) holds, then for any $M, N, P \in {}_H\mathcal{BM}_{g,h,i,j}^{a,b,c,d}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbf{a}_{P,M,N}^{-1} \circ \mathbf{C}_{M \otimes N, P} \circ \mathbf{a}_{M,N,P}^{-1})(m \otimes (n \otimes p)) \\ &= \sum \mathbf{a}_{P,M,N}^{-1} (\alpha^a \beta^b \varphi^c \psi^d(\mathbf{R}^{(2)}) \cdot \alpha_P^{a-2g} \beta_P^{b-2h-1} \varphi^{c-2i+1} \psi^{d-2j-2}(p) \\ & \quad \otimes (\alpha^{a+g} \beta^{b+h} \varphi^{c+i} \psi^{d+j}(\mathbf{R}_1^{(1)}) \alpha_M^g \beta_M^{h-1} \varphi_M^i \psi_M^{j+1}(m) \otimes \alpha^{2g} \beta^{2h} \varphi^{2i} \psi^{2j}(\mathbf{R}_2^{(1)}) \\ & \quad \times \alpha_N^{a-g} \beta_N^{b-h-1} \varphi_N^{c-i+1} \psi_N^{d-j-1}(n)) \\ & \stackrel{(Q1, Q3)}{=} \sum ((\alpha^{a-1} \beta^b \varphi^c \psi^d(\mathbf{R}^{(2)}) \alpha^{2a-g} \beta^{2b-h-1} \varphi^{2c-i+1} \psi^{2d-j-1}(\mathbf{R}^{(2)})) \\ & \quad \times \alpha_P^{2a-2g} \beta_P^{2b-2h-1} \varphi_P^{2c-2i+2} \psi_P^{2d-2j-2}(p) \\ & \quad \otimes \alpha^g \beta^h \varphi^i \psi^j(\mathbf{R}^{(1)}) \alpha_M^g \beta_M^{h-1} \varphi_M^i \psi_M^{j+1}(m) \\ & \quad \otimes (\psi^{-1}(\mathbf{R}^{(1)}) \cdot \alpha_N^{-a} \beta_N^{-b-1} \varphi_N^{c-1} \psi_N^{-d}(n)) \\ &= \sum (\mathbf{a}_{M,P,N}^{-1} \circ (\text{id}_M \otimes \mathbf{C}_{N,P}))(m \otimes (\alpha^a \beta^b \varphi^c \psi^d(\mathbf{R}^{(2)}) \\ & \quad \times \alpha_P^{a-g} \beta_P^{b-h-1} \varphi_P^{c-i+1} \psi_P^{d-j-1}(p) \\ & \quad \otimes \alpha^g \beta^h \varphi^i \psi^j(\mathbf{R}^{(1)}) \cdot \alpha_N^{-a+g} \beta_N^{-b+h-1} \varphi_N^{-c+i-1} \psi_N^{-d+j+1}(n)) \\ &= ((\mathbf{C}_{M,P} \otimes \text{id}_N) \circ \mathbf{a}_{M,P,N}^{-1} \circ (\text{id}_M \otimes \mathbf{C}_{N,P}))(m \otimes (n \otimes p)), \end{aligned}$$

where $m \in M$, $n \in N$, $p \in P$, as needed.

\Rightarrow : Conversely, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & (\mathbf{a}_{H,H,H}^{-1} \circ \mathbf{C}_{H \otimes H, H} \circ \mathbf{a}_{H,H,H}^{-1})(1_H \otimes (1_H \otimes 1_H)) \\ & \quad ((\mathbf{C}_{H,H} \otimes \text{id}_H) \circ \mathbf{a}_{H,H,H}^{-1} \circ (\text{id}_H \otimes \mathbf{C}_{H,H}))(1_H \otimes (1_H \otimes 1_H)), \end{aligned}$$

which implies (Q3). \square

In a similar manner to Lemma 3.11, we have:

Lemma 3.12. \mathbf{C} satisfies $\mathbf{a}_{N,P,M} \circ \mathbf{C}_{M,N \otimes P} \circ \mathbf{a}_{M,N,P} = (\text{id}_N \otimes \mathbf{C}_{M,P}) \circ \mathbf{a}_{N,M,P} \circ (\mathbf{C}_{M,N} \otimes \text{id}_P)$ if and only if (Q4) holds.

Combing Lemma 3.9 to Lemma 3.12, we immediately get the following result.

Theorem 3.13. Let $H = (H, \alpha, \beta, \varphi, \psi)$ be a BiHom-bialgebra, R, R' be elements in $H \otimes H$, \mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C}' be families of maps defined in the way of (3.1) and (3.2) for any $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then \mathbf{C} is a braiding in ${}_H\mathcal{BM}_{\mathbf{g},\mathbf{h},\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b},\mathbf{c},\mathbf{d}}$ with the inverse \mathbf{C}' if and only if R is a quasitriangular structure of H with the inverse element R' .

Proof. Straightforward. \square

Corollary 3.14. If R is a quasitriangular structure of H , then for any $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{a}', \mathbf{b}', \mathbf{c}', \mathbf{d}', \mathbf{g}', \mathbf{h}', \mathbf{i}', \mathbf{j}' \in \mathbb{Z}$, ${}_H\mathcal{BM}_{\mathbf{g},\mathbf{h},\mathbf{i},\mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b},\mathbf{c},\mathbf{d}}$ is braided isomorphic to ${}_H\mathcal{BM}_{\mathbf{g}',\mathbf{h}',\mathbf{i}',\mathbf{j}'}^{\mathbf{a}',\mathbf{b}',\mathbf{c}',\mathbf{d}'}$.

Proof. Obviously the functor \mathcal{S} defined in Proposition 3.5 is a braided monoidal functor, as needed. \square

Example 3.15.

- (1) If $(H, \mu_H, 1_H, \Delta_H, \varepsilon_H, R)$ is a quasitriangular bialgebra, $\alpha, \beta, \varphi, \psi: H \rightarrow H$ are all bialgebra isomorphisms and R is an invariant under $\alpha, \beta, \varphi, \psi$, then $H^{\text{Bi}} = (H, \mu_H \circ (\alpha \otimes \beta), 1_H, (\varphi \otimes \psi) \circ \Delta_H, \varepsilon_H, R, \alpha, \beta, \varphi, \psi)$ is a quasitriangular BiHom-bialgebra.
- (2) If $H = (H, \mu_H, 1_H, \Delta_H, \varepsilon_H, R, \alpha, \beta, \varphi, \psi)$ is a quasitriangular BiHom-bialgebra, then $H^{\text{cop}} = (H, \mu_H, 1_H, \Delta_H^{\text{cop}}, \varepsilon_H, R_{21}, \alpha, \beta, \psi, \varphi)$ is also a quasitriangular BiHom-bialgebra.
- (3) Under the consideration of Example 2.12 (2), it is easy to check that $H_4^{\text{Bi}} = (H_4, \mu \circ (\alpha \otimes \beta), 1_{H_4}, (\varphi \otimes \psi) \circ \Delta, \varepsilon, R^{\text{Bi}}, \alpha, \beta, \varphi, \psi)$ is a quasitriangular BiHom-bialgebra, where R^{Bi} takes one of following forms:

▷ when $a, b, c, d = \pm 1$,

$$R^{\text{Bi}} = \frac{1}{2}(1_H \otimes 1_H + 1_H \otimes g + g \otimes 1_H - g \otimes g) + \frac{\kappa}{2}(x \otimes x - x \otimes y + y \otimes x + y \otimes y) \quad \forall \kappa \in \mathbb{k},$$

where a, b, c, d are defined in (2.9);

▷ in other cases, for $a, b, c, d \neq \pm 1$, $R^{\text{Bi}} = \frac{1}{2}(1_H \otimes 1_H + 1_H \otimes g + g \otimes 1_H - g \otimes g)$.

- (4) If $\alpha = \beta = \varphi = \psi$, then the quasitriangular BiHom-bialgebra

$$H = (H, R, \mu, 1_H, \Delta, \varepsilon, \alpha, \beta, \varphi, \psi)$$

becomes the usual quasitriangular Hom-bialgebra. Similarly, if $\alpha^{-1} = \beta^{-1} = \varphi = \psi$, then H becomes the usual quasitriangular monoidal Hom-bialgebra.

Theorem 3.16. *If R is a quasitriangular structure of a BiHom-bialgebra H , then R satisfies the BiHom-Yang-Baxter equation*

$$R_{12}((\psi \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})R_{13}(\text{id} \otimes \varphi \otimes \text{id})R_{23}) = ((\text{id} \otimes \varphi \otimes \text{id})R_{23}(\psi \otimes \text{id} \otimes \text{id})R_{13})R_{12}.$$

Proof. Recall that $R_{12} = \sum R^{(1)} \otimes R^{(2)} \otimes 1_H$, $R_{13} = \sum R^{(1)} \otimes 1_H \otimes R^{(2)}$, and $R_{23} = \sum 1_H \otimes R^{(1)} \otimes R^{(2)}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum \ddot{R}^{(1)} \alpha \psi(\dot{R}^{(1)}) \otimes \ddot{R}^{(2)} \beta \varphi(R^{(1)}) \otimes \beta(\dot{R}^{(2)}) \beta(R^{(2)}) \\ & \stackrel{(Q1)}{=} \sum \ddot{R}^{(1)} \beta^{-1} \varphi^{-1} \psi(\dot{R}^{(1)}) \otimes \ddot{R}^{(2)} \beta^{-1} \varphi \psi^{-1}(R^{(1)}) \otimes \alpha^{-1} \varphi^{-1}(\dot{R}^{(2)}) \beta^{-1} \psi^{-1}(R^{(2)}) \\ & \stackrel{(Q3)}{=} \sum \ddot{R}^{(1)} \beta^{-1} \varphi^{-1} \psi(R_1^{(1)}) \otimes \ddot{R}^{(2)} \beta^{-1} \varphi \psi^{-1}(R_2^{(1)}) \otimes R^{(2)} \\ & \stackrel{(Q2)}{=} \sum \alpha^{-1}(R_2^{(1)}) \ddot{R}^{(1)} \otimes \alpha^{-1}(R_1^{(1)}) \ddot{R}^{(2)} \otimes R^{(2)} \\ & \stackrel{(Q3)}{=} \sum \alpha^{-1}(R^{(1)}) \ddot{R}^{(1)} \otimes \alpha^{-1}(\dot{R}^{(1)}) \ddot{R}^{(2)} \otimes \alpha^{-1} \varphi^{-1}(\dot{R}^{(2)}) \beta^{-1} \psi^{-1}(R^{(2)}) \\ & \stackrel{(Q1)}{=} \sum \beta \psi(R^{(1)}) \ddot{R}^{(1)} \otimes \alpha \varphi(\dot{R}^{(1)}) \ddot{R}^{(2)} \otimes \alpha(\dot{R}^{(2)}) \alpha(R^{(2)}), \end{aligned}$$

which implies the conclusion. \square

3.3. The dual case: coquasitriangular BiHom-Hopf algebras. The results in this section are dual to the corresponding results above, so we will not give the complete proof.

Let $(H, \alpha, \beta, \varphi, \psi)$ be a BiHom-bialgebra. Recall from [31], Definition 5.3 that a *right H -BiHom-comodule* is a 5-tuple $(M, \alpha_M, \beta_M, \varphi_M, \psi_M)$, where M is a linear space, $\alpha_M, \beta_M, \varphi_M, \psi_M: M \rightarrow M$ are linear isomorphisms and we have a linear map (called a *coaction*) $\varrho: M \rightarrow M \otimes H$, with the notation $\varrho(m) = m_0 \otimes m_1$ for all $m \in M$, such that the following conditions are satisfied:

$$\begin{aligned} & \varphi_M, \psi_M, \alpha_M, \beta_M \text{ commute with each other,} \\ & (\alpha_M \otimes \alpha) \circ \varrho = \varrho \circ \alpha_M, \quad (\beta_M \otimes \beta) \circ \varrho = \varrho \circ \beta_M, \\ & (\varphi_M \otimes \varphi) \circ \varrho = \varrho \circ \varphi_M, \quad (\psi_M \otimes \psi) \circ \varrho = \varrho \circ \psi_M, \\ & \varphi_M(m_0) \otimes m_{11} \otimes m_{12} = m_{00} \otimes m_{01} \otimes \psi(m_1), m_0 \varepsilon(m_1) = \varphi_M(m). \end{aligned}$$

If $(M, \alpha_M, \beta_M, \varphi_M, \psi_M)$ and $(N, \alpha_N, \beta_N, \varphi_N, \psi_N)$ are right H -BiHom-comodules with coactions ϱ_M and ϱ_N , respectively, a *morphism of right H -BiHom-comodules* $f: M \rightarrow N$ is a linear map satisfying the conditions

$$\begin{aligned} & \alpha_N \circ f = f \circ \alpha_M, \quad \beta_N \circ f = f \circ \beta_M, \quad \varphi_N \circ f = f \circ \varphi_M, \\ & \psi_N \circ f = f \circ \psi_M, \quad \varrho_N \circ f = (f \otimes \text{id}_H) \circ \varrho_M. \end{aligned}$$

The category of H -BiHom-comodules and H -colinear morphisms is denoted by \mathcal{BM}^H .

Theorem 3.17. *If $(H, \alpha, \beta, \varphi, \psi)$ is a BiHom-Hopf algebra with bijective antipode S , then \mathcal{BM}^H is a rigid category.*

P r o o f. For any $\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{s} \in \mathbb{Z}$, define the following monoidal structures on \mathcal{BM}^H by:

▷ the tensor product of H -BiHom-comodules

$$(U, \alpha_U, \beta_U, \varphi_U, \psi_U) \quad \text{and} \quad (V, \alpha_V, \beta_V, \varphi_V, \psi_V)$$

is $(U \otimes V, \alpha_U \otimes \alpha_V, \beta_U \otimes \beta_V, \varphi_U \otimes \varphi_V, \psi_U \otimes \psi_V)$ with the H -coaction $\varrho^{U \otimes V}$:

$$u \otimes v \mapsto u_{(0)} \otimes v_{(0)} \otimes \alpha^{\mathfrak{k}} \beta^{\mathfrak{l}} \varphi^{\mathfrak{m}} \psi^{\mathfrak{n}}(u_{(1)}) \alpha^{\mathfrak{p}} \beta^{\mathfrak{q}} \varphi^{\mathfrak{r}} \psi^{\mathfrak{s}}(v_{(1)});$$

▷ the unit object is $(\mathbb{k}, \text{id}_{\mathbb{k}}, \text{id}_{\mathbb{k}}, \text{id}_{\mathbb{k}}, \text{id}_{\mathbb{k}})$ with the trivial coaction;

▷ the associativity constraints \mathbf{a} and the unit constraint \mathbf{l} and \mathbf{r} are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{a}_{U,V,W}((u \otimes v) \otimes w) &= \alpha_U^{\mathfrak{k}+1} \beta_U^{\mathfrak{l}} \varphi_U^{\mathfrak{m}} \psi_U^{\mathfrak{n}}(u) \otimes (v \otimes \alpha_W^{-\mathfrak{p}} \beta_W^{-\mathfrak{q}-1} \varphi_W^{-\mathfrak{r}} \psi_W^{-\mathfrak{s}}(w)); \\ \mathbf{r}_U(u \otimes 1_k) &= \alpha_U^{\mathfrak{k}+1} \beta_U^{\mathfrak{l}} \varphi_U^{\mathfrak{m}} \psi_U^{\mathfrak{n}}(u), \quad \mathbf{l}_U(1_k \otimes u) = \alpha_U^{\mathfrak{p}} \beta_U^{\mathfrak{q}+1} \varphi_U^{\mathfrak{r}} \psi_U^{\mathfrak{s}}(u). \end{aligned}$$

After a direct computation we can get that $(\mathcal{BM}^H, \otimes, (\mathbb{k}, \text{id}, \text{id}, \text{id}, \text{id}), \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{r})$ forms a monoidal category. We denote this category by $(\mathcal{BM}^H)_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}}$.

Moreover, if $(U, \alpha_U, \beta_U, \varphi_U, \psi_U) \in \mathcal{BM}^H$, $U^* = \text{hom}(U, \mathbb{k})$, define the following structures:

▷ $\varrho^{U^*} : U^* \rightarrow U^* \otimes H$ is given by

$$f_0(u) \otimes f_1 = f(\varphi_U^{-2}(u_0)) \cdot S(u_1) \quad \forall u \in U, f \in U^*;$$

▷ the Hom-structure maps of U^* are given by

$$\alpha_{U^*} = (\alpha_U^{-1})^*, \quad \beta_{U^*} = (\beta_U^{-1})^*, \quad \varphi_{U^*} = (\varphi_U^{-1})^*, \quad \psi_{U^*} = (\psi_U^{-1})^*;$$

▷ the evaluation $\text{ev}_U : U^* \otimes U \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ and coevaluation $\text{coev}_U : \mathbb{k} \rightarrow U \otimes U^*$ are given by

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ev}_U(f \otimes u) &= f(\alpha_U^{-\mathfrak{k}+\mathfrak{p}} \beta_U^{-\mathfrak{l}+\mathfrak{q}} \varphi_U^{-\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{r}} \psi_U^{-\mathfrak{n}+\mathfrak{s}-1}(u)), \\ \text{coev}_U(1_{\mathbb{k}}) &= \sum \alpha_U^{-\mathfrak{k}+\mathfrak{p}} \beta_U^{-\mathfrak{l}+\mathfrak{q}} \varphi_U^{-\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{r}+2} \psi_U^{-\mathfrak{n}+\mathfrak{s}-1}(e_i) \otimes e^i, \end{aligned}$$

where e_i and e^i are dual bases of U and U^* , respectively. Clearly $(U^*, \text{ev}_M, \text{coev}_M)$ is the left dual of U and hence $(\mathcal{BM}^H)_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}}$ is a left rigid category. Similarly, it is also a rigid category.

□

Definition 3.18. $(H, \alpha, \beta, \varphi, \psi)$ is a BiHom-bialgebra, if there is a bilinear map $\sigma: H \otimes H \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$, such that σ is invertible, under the convolution invertible, and the following formulae are satisfied:

$$(CQ1) \quad \sigma(\alpha(a), \alpha(b)) = \sigma(\beta(a), \beta(b)) = \sigma(\varphi(a), \varphi(b)) = \sigma(\psi(a), \psi(b)) = \sigma(a, b);$$

$$(CQ2) \quad \sigma(a_1, b_1)\varphi\psi^{-1}(a_2)\varphi\psi^{-1}(b_2) = \alpha^{-1}\beta(b_1)\alpha\beta^{-1}(a_1)\sigma(a_2, b_2);$$

$$(CQ3) \quad \sigma(\alpha\beta(a), bc) = \sigma(\alpha(a_1), \varphi(c))\sigma(\beta(a_2), \psi(b));$$

$$(CQ4) \quad \sigma(ab, \varphi\psi(c)) = \sigma(\alpha(a), \psi(c_1))\sigma(\beta(b), \varphi(c_2)),$$

then σ is called a *coquasitriangular form* of H , (H, σ) is called a *coquasitriangular BiHom-bialgebra*.

Remark 3.19. Obviously (CQ3) is equivalent to the one of the next identities:

$$\sigma(\alpha\varphi(a), bc) = \sigma(\alpha(a_1), \beta(c))\sigma(\alpha(a_2), \psi(b)),$$

$$\sigma(\varphi\psi(a), bc) = \sigma(\psi(a_1), \beta(c))\sigma(\varphi(a_2), \alpha(b)),$$

$$\sigma(\beta\psi(a), bc) = \sigma(\psi(a_1), \varphi(c))\sigma(\beta(a_2), \alpha(b)).$$

Equation (CQ4) is equivalent to one of the following identities:

$$\sigma(ab, \alpha\psi(c)) = \sigma(\varphi(a), \psi(c_1))\sigma(\beta(b), \alpha(c_2)),$$

$$\sigma(ab, \alpha\beta(c)) = \sigma(\varphi(a), \beta(c_1))\sigma(\psi(b), \alpha(c_2)),$$

$$\sigma(ab, \beta\varphi(c)) = \sigma(\alpha(a), \beta(c_1))\sigma(\psi(b), \varphi(c_2)).$$

For any bilinear form $\sigma \in \text{hom}(H \otimes H, \mathbb{k})$, $U, V \in (\mathcal{BM}^H)_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}}$, define the families of maps $\mathbf{C}_{U, V}: U \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes U$ by

$$\begin{aligned} u \otimes v &\mapsto \alpha_V^{-\mathfrak{k}+\mathfrak{p}-1} \beta_V^{-\mathfrak{l}+\mathfrak{q}+1} \varphi_V^{-\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{r}-1} \psi_V^{-\mathfrak{n}+\mathfrak{s}}(v_0) \\ &\quad \otimes \alpha_U^{\mathfrak{k}-\mathfrak{p}+1} \beta_U^{\mathfrak{l}-\mathfrak{q}-1} \varphi_U^{\mathfrak{m}-\mathfrak{r}-1} \psi_U^{-\mathfrak{n}-\mathfrak{s}}(u_0) \sigma(\alpha^{\mathfrak{k}} \beta^{\mathfrak{l}} \varphi^{\mathfrak{m}} \psi^{\mathfrak{n}}(u_{(1)}) \alpha^{\mathfrak{p}} \beta^{\mathfrak{q}} \varphi^{\mathfrak{r}} \psi^{\mathfrak{s}}(v_{(1)})). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.20. Under the condition above, σ is a coquasitriangular form of H if and only if $(\mathcal{BM}^H)_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}}$ is a braided category with the braiding \mathbf{C} .

Proof. Dual to Theorem 3.13. □

Proposition 3.21. If H is a BiHom-bialgebra, then for any $\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{k}', \mathfrak{l}', \mathfrak{m}', \mathfrak{n}', \mathfrak{p}', \mathfrak{q}', \mathfrak{r}', \mathfrak{s}' \in \mathbb{Z}$, $(\mathcal{BM}^H)_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}}$ is monoidal isomorphic to $(\mathcal{BM}^H)_{\mathfrak{p}', \mathfrak{q}', \mathfrak{r}', \mathfrak{s}'}^{\mathfrak{k}', \mathfrak{l}', \mathfrak{m}', \mathfrak{n}'}$. Furthermore, if H is a coquasitriangular BiHom-bialgebra, then $(\mathcal{BM}^H)_{\mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{s}}^{\mathfrak{k}, \mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}}$ is braided isomorphic to $(\mathcal{BM}^H)_{\mathfrak{p}', \mathfrak{q}', \mathfrak{r}', \mathfrak{s}'}^{\mathfrak{k}', \mathfrak{l}', \mathfrak{m}', \mathfrak{n}'}$.

Proof. Dual to Theorem 3.5 and Proposition 3.14. □

Theorem 3.22. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. If H is a commutative BiHom-bialgebra, then \mathcal{BM}^H forms an n -monoidal category.

Proof. Dual to Theorem 3.6. □

4. THE YETTER-DRINFELD CATEGORY OF BIHOM-HOPF ALGEBRAS

4.1. The parametric BiHom-Yetter-Drinfeld modules. From now on we always assume that (H, S) is a BiHom-Hopf algebra and S is a bijective map.

Definition 4.1. For any $m, n, p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$, a \mathbb{k} -space U is called an (m, n, p, q) th *BiHom-Yetter-Drinfeld module* of H , if there exist morphisms $\alpha_U, \beta_U, \varphi_U, \psi_U \in \text{Aut}(U)$ such that $(U, \alpha_U, \beta_U, \varphi_U, \psi_U)$ is both a left H -BiHom-module and a right H -BiHom-comodule, and the compatibility condition

$$(4.1) \quad \underline{h_2 \cdot u_0} \otimes \underline{h_2 \cdot u_1} \alpha^m \beta^n \varphi^p \psi^q(h_1) = \psi(h_1) \cdot u_0 \otimes \alpha^{m-1} \beta^{n+1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^{q-1}(h_2) \alpha(u_1)$$

is satisfied for all $u \in U$ and $h \in H$. We denote by ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(m, n, p, q)$ the category of (m, n, p, q) th BiHom-Yetter-Drinfeld modules, morphisms being H -linear and H -colinear.

Proposition 4.2. *Equation (4.1) is equivalent to the equation*

$$(4.2) \quad \varrho(h \cdot u) = \psi^{-1}(h_{(21)}) \cdot u_0 \otimes (\alpha^{m-3} \beta^{n+1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^{q-3}(h_{22}) \alpha^{-1}(u_1)) \\ \times S^{-1} \alpha^m \beta^{n-1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^{q-2}(h_1),$$

where $u \in U$, $h \in H$.

Proof. For any $u \in U$, $h \in H$, in order to prove (4.2), we have

$$\underline{h_{22} \cdot u_0} \otimes \underline{h_{22} \cdot u_1} \alpha^m \beta^n \varphi^p \psi^q(h_{21}) S^{-1} \alpha^{m+2} \beta^{n-1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^q(h_1) \\ = \underline{\psi(h_2) \cdot u_0} \otimes \underline{\alpha(\psi(h_2) \cdot u_1)} (\alpha^m \beta^n \varphi^p \psi^q(h_{12}) S^{-1} \alpha^{m+2} \beta^{n-2} \varphi^p \psi^q(h_{11})) \\ \stackrel{(2.7)}{=} \underline{\psi^2(h) \cdot u_0} \otimes \alpha^2 \underline{\psi^2(h) \cdot u_1}$$

and then

$$\varrho(h \cdot u) = \underline{\psi^{-2}(h_{22}) \cdot u_0} \otimes \alpha^{-2} \underline{\psi^{-2}(h_{22}) \cdot u_1} \alpha^m \beta^n \varphi^p \psi^{q-2}(h_{21}) \\ \times S^{-1} \alpha^m \beta^{n-1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^{q-2}(h_1) \\ \stackrel{(4.1)}{=} \psi^{-1}(h_{(21)}) \cdot u_0 \otimes \alpha^{-2} (\alpha^{m-1} \beta^{n+1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^{q-3}(h_{22}) \alpha(u_1)) \\ \times S^{-1} \alpha^m \beta^{n-1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^{q-2}(h_1),$$

hence, the conclusion holds. Conversely, if (4.2) holds, we have

$$(h_2 \cdot u)_0 \otimes (h_2 \cdot u)_1 \alpha^m \beta^n \varphi^p \psi^q(h_1) \\ \stackrel{(4.2)}{=} h_{(21)} \cdot u_0 \otimes (\alpha^{m-2} \beta^{n+1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^{q-2}(h_{22}) u_1) \\ \times (S^{-1} \alpha^m \beta^{n-1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^{q-2}(h_{12}) \alpha^m \beta^{n-1} \varphi^{p-1} \psi^q(h_{11})) \\ \stackrel{(2.8)}{=} \psi(h_1) \cdot u_0 \otimes \alpha^{m-1} \beta^{n+1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^{q-1}(h_2) \alpha(u_1),$$

thus, we get (4.1). □

Example 4.3.

(1) For any integers m, n, p, q , define the right H -coaction on $H \otimes H$ by

$$\varrho(a \otimes b) = a_1 \otimes \varphi(b) \otimes a_2 \quad \text{for any } a, b \in H$$

and define the left H -action $\xrightarrow{m, n, p, q}$ on $H \otimes H$ by

$$\begin{aligned} h \xrightarrow{m, n, p, q} (a \otimes b) &= (\alpha^{m-3} \beta^{n+1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^{q-4}(h_{22}) \alpha^{-1}(a)) \\ &\quad \times S^{-1} \alpha^m \beta^{n-1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^{q-3}(h_1) \otimes h_{21} b, \end{aligned}$$

then $(H \otimes H, \xrightarrow{m, n, p, q}, \varrho, \alpha \otimes \alpha, \beta \otimes \beta, \varphi \otimes \varphi, \psi \otimes \psi)$ is an (m, n, p, q) th BiHom-Yetter-Drinfeld module.

Proof. We only check the Hom-associative law of the H -action and (4.2).

For any $h, g, a, b \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha(h) \xrightarrow{m, n, p, q} (g \xrightarrow{m, n, p, q} (a \otimes b)) &= (\alpha^{m-2} \beta^{n+1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^{q-4}(h_{22}) ((\alpha^{m-4} \beta^{n+1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^{q-4}(g_{22}) \alpha^{-2}(a)) \\ &\quad \times S^{-1} \alpha^{m-1} \beta^{n-1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^{q-3}(g_1))) \\ &\quad \times S^{-1} \alpha^{m+1} \beta^{n-1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^{q-3}(h_1) \otimes \alpha(h_{21})(g_{21} b) \\ &\stackrel{(2.5)}{=} (\alpha^{m-3} \beta^{n+1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^{q-4}(h_{22} g_{22}) \alpha^{-1} \beta(a)) \\ &\quad \times S^{-1} \alpha^m \beta^{n-1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^{q-3}(h_1 g_1) \otimes (h_{21} g_{21}) \beta(b) \\ &= (hg) \xrightarrow{m, n, p, q} \beta(a \otimes b), \end{aligned}$$

thus, the Hom-associative law holds. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho(h \xrightarrow{m, n, p, q} (a \otimes b)) &\stackrel{(2.6)}{=} (\alpha^{m-3} \beta^{n+1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^{q-4}(h_{221}) \alpha^{-1}(a_1)) S^{-1} \alpha^m \beta^{n-1} \varphi^{p+2} \psi^{q-4}(h_{12}) \\ &\quad \otimes \varphi(h_{21}) \varphi(b) \otimes (\alpha^{m-3} \beta^{n+1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^{q-4}(h_{222}) \alpha^{-1}(a_2)) \\ &\quad \times S^{-1} \alpha^m \beta^{n-1} \varphi^p \psi^{q-2}(h_{11}) \\ &= (\alpha^{m-3} \beta^{n+1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^{q-5}(h_{2122}) \alpha^{-1}(a_1)) S^{-1} \alpha^m \beta^{n-1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^{q-4}(h_{211}) \\ &\quad \otimes \psi^{-1}(h_{2121}) \varphi(b) \otimes (\alpha^{m-3} \beta^{n+1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^{q-3}(h_{22}) \alpha^{-1}(a_2)) \\ &\quad \times S^{-1} \alpha^m \beta^{n-1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^{q-2}(h_1) \\ &= \psi^{-1}(h_{21}) \xrightarrow{m, n, p, q} \underline{a} \otimes \underline{b}_0 \otimes (\alpha^{m-3} \beta^{n+1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^{q-3}(h_{22}) \alpha^{-1}(\underline{a} \otimes \underline{b}_1)) \\ &\quad \times S^{-1} \alpha^m \beta^{n-1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^{q-2}(h_1), \end{aligned}$$

thus, (4.2) is satisfied. □

(2) Similarly, define the H -coaction on $H \otimes H$ by

$$\varrho'(a \otimes b) = \psi^{-1}(a_{21}) \otimes b_1 \otimes (\alpha^{-3}\beta^2\psi^{-1}(a_{22})b_2)S^{-1}(a_1) \quad \text{for any } a, b \in H$$

and define the left H -action on $H \otimes H$ by

$$h \rightharpoonup (a \otimes b) = \alpha^{m-1}\beta^{n-1}\varphi^{p+1}\psi^{q-2}(h)a \otimes \psi(b),$$

then $(H \otimes H, \rightharpoonup, \varrho', \alpha \otimes \alpha, \beta \otimes \beta, \varphi \otimes \varphi, \psi \otimes \psi)$ is an (m, n, p, q) th BiHom-Yetter-Drinfeld module.

- (3) If $\alpha = \beta = \varphi = \psi$, i.e., H is a Hom-Hopf algebra, then the above (m, n, p, q) th BiHom-Yetter-Drinfeld modules become the usual Yetter-Drinfeld modules of H . For example, take $\alpha = \beta = \varphi = \psi$ and $m = 2, n = p = q$, then it becomes the left-right Hom-Yetter-Drinfeld modules defined in [21], Definition 5.2.
- (4) Similarly, if $\alpha^{-1} = \beta^{-1} = \varphi = \psi$, i.e., H is a monoidal Hom-Hopf algebra, then the above (m, n, p, q) th BiHom-Yetter-Drinfeld modules become the usual Yetter-Drinfeld modules over H . For example, take $\alpha^{-1} = \beta^{-1} = \varphi = \psi$ and $m = n = p = q = 0$, then they become the Hom-Yetter-Drinfeld modules defined in [9], Definition 3.2.

For any $a, b, c, d, g, h, i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$, we are able to define the monoidal structures in ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(m, n, p, q)$ as follows:

▷ the monoidal product of $(U, \alpha_U, \beta_U, \varphi_U, \psi_U)$ and $(V, \alpha_V, \beta_V, \varphi_V, \psi_V)$ is $(U \otimes V, \alpha_U \otimes \alpha_V, \beta_U \otimes \beta_V, \varphi_U \otimes \varphi_V, \psi_U \otimes \psi_V)$, where the BiHom-module and BiHom-comodule structures are given by

$$\begin{aligned} h \cdot (u \otimes v) &= \alpha^a \beta^b \varphi^c \psi^d(h_1) \cdot u \otimes \alpha^g \beta^h \varphi^i \psi^j(h_2) \cdot v, \\ \varrho^{U \otimes V}(u \otimes v) &= u_0 \otimes v_0 \otimes \alpha^{-g-1} \beta^{-h} \varphi^{-i} \psi^{-j-1}(v_1) \alpha^{-a} \beta^{-b-1} \varphi^{-c-1} \psi^{-d}(u_1); \end{aligned}$$

▷ the unit object is $(k, \text{id}, \text{id}, \text{id}, \text{id})$ with the trivial action and trivial coaction.

Theorem 4.4. ${}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(m, n, p, q)$ is a rigid braided category.

Proof. Firstly, for any $u \in U, v \in V$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varrho(h \cdot (u \otimes v)) &= \frac{\alpha^a \beta^b \varphi^c \psi^d(h_1) \cdot u_0 \otimes \alpha^g \beta^h \varphi^i \psi^j(h_2) \cdot v_0}{\times (\alpha^g \beta^h \varphi^i \psi^j(h_2) \cdot v_1)} \otimes \alpha^{-g-1} \beta^{-h} \varphi^{-i} \psi^{-j-1} \\ &\quad \frac{\alpha^a \beta^b \varphi^c \psi^d(h_1) \cdot u_1}{\times (\alpha^a \beta^b \varphi^c \psi^d(h_1) \cdot u_1)} \\ &= \alpha^a \beta^b \varphi^c \psi^{d-1}(h_{121}) \cdot u_0 \otimes \alpha^g \beta^h \varphi^i \psi^{j-1}(h_{221}) \cdot v_0 \\ &\quad \otimes ((\alpha^{m-4} \beta^{n+1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^{q-4}(h_{222}) \alpha^{-g-2} \beta^{-h} \varphi^{-i} \psi^{-j-1}(v_1)) \\ &\quad \times S^{-1} \alpha^{m-1} \beta^{n-1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^{q-3}(h_{21})) \\ &\quad \times ((\alpha^{m-3} \beta^n \varphi^p \psi^{q-3}(h_{122}) \alpha^{-a-1} \beta^{-b-1} \varphi^{-c-1} \psi^{-d}(u_1)) \\ &\quad \times S^{-1} \alpha^m \beta^{n-2} \varphi^p \psi^{q-2}(h_{11})) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \alpha^a \beta^b \varphi^c \psi^{\mathfrak{d}-1}(h_{121}) \cdot u_0 \otimes \alpha^g \beta^h \varphi^i \psi^j(h_{21}) \cdot v_0 \\
&\quad \otimes (\alpha^{m-3} \beta^{n+1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^{q-3}(h_{22}) \alpha^{-g-1} \beta^{-h} \varphi^{-i} \psi^{-j-1}(v_1)) \\
&\quad \times (((S^{-1} \alpha^{m-3} \beta^{n-1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^{q-5}(h_{1222}) \alpha^{m-3} \beta^{n-1} \varphi^{p-1} \psi^{q-3}(h_{1221})) \\
&\quad \times \alpha^{-a-1} \beta^{-b-1} \varphi^{-c-1} \psi^{-\mathfrak{d}}(u_1)) S^{-1} \alpha^m \beta^{n-2} \varphi^p \psi^{q-2}(h_{11})) \\
&\stackrel{(2.8)}{=} \alpha^a \beta^b \varphi^{c+1} \psi^{\mathfrak{d}-1}(h_{12}) \cdot u_0 \otimes \alpha^g \beta^h \varphi^i \psi^j(h_{21}) \cdot v_0 \\
&\quad \otimes (\alpha^{m-3} \beta^{n+1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^{q-3}(h_{22}) \alpha^{-g-1} \beta^{-h} \varphi^{-i} \psi^{-j-1}(v_1)) \\
&\quad \times (\alpha^{-a-1} \beta^{-b} \varphi^{-c-1} \psi^{-\mathfrak{d}}(u_1) S^{-1} \alpha^m \beta^{n-2} \varphi^p \psi^{q-2}(h_{11})) \\
&= \alpha^a \beta^b \varphi^c \psi^{\mathfrak{d}-1}(h_{211}) \cdot u_0 \otimes \alpha^g \beta^h \varphi^i \psi^{j-1}(h_{212}) \cdot v_0 \\
&\quad \otimes (\alpha^{m-3} \beta^{n+1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^{q-3}(h_{22}) \\
&\quad \times (\alpha^{-g-2} \beta^{-h} \varphi^{-i} \psi^{-j-1}(v_1) \alpha^{-a-1} \beta^{-b-1} \varphi^{-c-1} \psi^{-\mathfrak{d}}(u_1))) \\
&\quad \times S^{-1} \alpha^m \beta^{n-1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^{q-2}(h_1) \\
&= \psi^{-1}(h_{21}) \cdot \underline{u \otimes v_0} \otimes (\alpha^{m-3} \beta^{n+1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^{q-3}(h_{22}) \alpha^{-1} \underline{(u \otimes v_1)}) \\
&\quad \times S^{-1} \alpha^m \beta^{n-1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^{q-2}(h_1),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies (4.2). Hence, $U \otimes V \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q})$.

Secondly, define the the the associativity \mathbf{a} and the unit constraints \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{r} by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{a}_{U,V,W}((u \otimes v) \otimes w) &= \alpha_U^{-a} \beta_U^{-b} \varphi_U^{-c-1} \psi_U^{-\mathfrak{d}}(u) \otimes (v \otimes \alpha_W^g \beta_W^h \varphi_W^i \psi_W^{j+1}(w)), \\
\mathbf{l}_U(1_{\mathfrak{k}} \otimes u) &= \alpha_U^{-g} \beta_U^{-h} \varphi_U^{-i} \psi_U^{-j-1}(u), \quad \mathbf{r}_U(u \otimes 1_{\mathfrak{k}}) = \alpha_U^{-a} \beta_U^{-b} \varphi_U^{-c-1} \psi_U^{-\mathfrak{d}}(u),
\end{aligned}$$

where $U, V, W \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q})$, then it is not hard to check that

$$({}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}), \otimes, \mathfrak{k}, \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{r})$$

is a monoidal category.

Thirdly, define $\mathbf{t}: \otimes \Rightarrow \otimes^{\circ\mathfrak{p}}$ by

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{t}_{U,V}(u \otimes v) &= \alpha_V^{-a-g} \beta_V^{b-h} \varphi_V^{c-i} \psi_V^{\mathfrak{d}-j-1}(v_0) \otimes \alpha^{-m+1} \beta^{-n-1} \varphi^{-p-1} \psi^{-q+1}(v_1) \\
&\quad \times \alpha_U^{-a+g} \beta_U^{-b+h-1} \varphi_U^{-c+i-1} \psi_U^{-\mathfrak{d}+j+1}(u).
\end{aligned}$$

Obviously \mathbf{t} is compatible with the Hom-structure maps. Since we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{t}_{U,V}(h \cdot (u \otimes v)) &= \alpha_V^{-a-g} \beta_V^{b-h} \varphi_V^{c-i} \psi_V^{\mathfrak{d}-j-1}(\underline{(\alpha^g \beta^h \varphi^i \psi^j(h_2) \cdot v_0)}) \\
&\quad \otimes \alpha^{-m+1} \beta^{-n-1} \varphi^{-p-1} \psi^{-q+1}(\underline{(\alpha^g \beta^h \varphi^i \psi^j(h_2) \cdot v_1)}) \\
&\quad \times \alpha_U^{-a+g} \beta_U^{-b+h-1} \varphi_U^{-c+i-1} \psi_U^{-\mathfrak{d}+j+1}(\alpha^a \beta^b \varphi^c \psi^{\mathfrak{d}}(h_1) \cdot u)
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \alpha_V^{\mathfrak{a}-\mathfrak{g}} \beta_V^{\mathfrak{b}-\mathfrak{h}} \varphi_V^{\mathfrak{c}-\mathfrak{i}} \psi_V^{\mathfrak{d}-\mathfrak{j}-1} (\underline{\alpha_V^{\mathfrak{g}} \beta_V^{\mathfrak{h}} \varphi_V^{\mathfrak{i}} \psi_V^{\mathfrak{j}}(h_2)} \cdot v_0) \\
&\quad \otimes \alpha^{-\mathfrak{m}} \beta^{-\mathfrak{n}-1} \varphi^{-\mathfrak{p}-1} \psi^{-\mathfrak{q}+1} \\
&\quad \times (\underline{\alpha_V^{\mathfrak{g}} \beta_V^{\mathfrak{h}} \varphi_V^{\mathfrak{i}} \psi_V^{\mathfrak{j}}(h_2)} v_1 \alpha^{\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{g}} \beta^{\mathfrak{n}+\mathfrak{h}} \varphi^{\mathfrak{p}+\mathfrak{i}} \psi^{\mathfrak{q}+\mathfrak{j}}(h_1)) \\
&\quad \times \alpha_U^{-\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{g}} \beta_U^{-\mathfrak{b}+\mathfrak{h}} \varphi_U^{-\mathfrak{c}+\mathfrak{i}-1} \psi_U^{-\mathfrak{d}+\mathfrak{j}+1}(u) \\
&\stackrel{(4.1)}{=} \alpha^{\mathfrak{a}} \beta^{\mathfrak{b}} \varphi^{\mathfrak{c}} \psi^{\mathfrak{d}}(h_1) \cdot \alpha_V^{-\mathfrak{g}} \beta_V^{\mathfrak{b}-\mathfrak{h}} \varphi_V^{\mathfrak{c}-\mathfrak{i}} \psi_V^{\mathfrak{d}-\mathfrak{j}-1}(v_0) \\
&\quad \otimes (\alpha^{\mathfrak{g}-1} \beta^{\mathfrak{h}} \varphi^{\mathfrak{i}} \psi^{\mathfrak{j}}(h_2) \alpha^{-\mathfrak{m}+1} \beta^{-\mathfrak{n}-1} \varphi^{-\mathfrak{p}-1} \psi^{-\mathfrak{q}+1}(v_1)) \\
&\quad \times \alpha_U^{-\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{g}} \beta_U^{-\mathfrak{b}+\mathfrak{h}} \varphi_U^{-\mathfrak{c}+\mathfrak{i}-1} \psi_U^{-\mathfrak{d}+\mathfrak{j}+1}(u) \\
&= h \cdot \mathbf{t}_{U,V}((u \otimes v)),
\end{aligned}$$

where \mathbf{t} is H -linear. Similarly we have

$$(\mathbf{t}_{U,V} \otimes \text{id}_H) \circ \varrho^{U \otimes V} = \varrho^{V \otimes U} \circ \mathbf{t}_{U,V},$$

which implies that \mathbf{t} is H -colinear. Moreover, we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
&((\text{id}_V \otimes \mathbf{t}_{U,W}) \circ \mathbf{a}_{V,U,W} \circ (\mathbf{t}_{U,V} \otimes \text{id}_W))((u \otimes v) \otimes w) \\
&= (\text{id}_V \otimes \mathbf{t}_{U,W})(\alpha_V^{-\mathfrak{g}} \beta_V^{-\mathfrak{h}} \varphi_V^{-\mathfrak{i}-1} \psi_V^{-\mathfrak{j}-1}(v_0) \\
&\quad \otimes (\alpha^{-\mathfrak{m}+1} \beta^{-\mathfrak{n}-1} \varphi^{-\mathfrak{p}-1} \psi^{-\mathfrak{q}+1}(n_1) \alpha_U^{-\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{g}} \beta_U^{-\mathfrak{b}+\mathfrak{h}-1} \\
&\quad \times \varphi_U^{-\mathfrak{c}+\mathfrak{i}-1} \psi_U^{-\mathfrak{d}+\mathfrak{j}+1}(u) \otimes \alpha_W^{\mathfrak{g}} \beta_W^{\mathfrak{h}} \varphi_W^{\mathfrak{i}} \psi_W^{\mathfrak{j}+1}(w))) \\
&= \alpha_V^{-\mathfrak{g}} \beta_V^{-\mathfrak{h}} \varphi_V^{-\mathfrak{i}-1} \psi_V^{-\mathfrak{j}-1}(v_0) \\
&\quad \otimes (\alpha_W^{\mathfrak{a}} \beta_W^{\mathfrak{b}} \varphi_W^{\mathfrak{c}} \psi_W^{\mathfrak{d}}(w_0) \otimes (\alpha^{-\mathfrak{m}+\mathfrak{g}} \beta^{-\mathfrak{n}+\mathfrak{h}-1} \varphi^{-\mathfrak{p}+\mathfrak{i}-1} \psi^{-\mathfrak{q}+\mathfrak{j}+2}(w_1) \\
&\quad \times \alpha^{-\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{g}-\mathfrak{m}+1} \beta^{-\mathfrak{b}+\mathfrak{h}-\mathfrak{n}-2} \varphi^{-\mathfrak{c}+\mathfrak{i}-\mathfrak{p}-2} \psi^{-\mathfrak{d}+\mathfrak{j}-\mathfrak{q}+2}(v_1)) \\
&\quad \times \alpha_U^{-2\mathfrak{a}+2\mathfrak{g}} \beta_U^{-2\mathfrak{b}+2\mathfrak{h}-1} \varphi_U^{-2\mathfrak{c}+2\mathfrak{i}-2} \psi_U^{-2\mathfrak{d}+2\mathfrak{j}+2}(u)) \\
&= \mathbf{a}_{V,W,U}(\alpha_V^{-\mathfrak{g}} \beta_V^{-\mathfrak{h}} \varphi_V^{\mathfrak{c}-\mathfrak{i}} \psi_V^{\mathfrak{d}-\mathfrak{j}-1}(v_0) \otimes \alpha_W^{\mathfrak{a}} \beta_W^{\mathfrak{b}} \varphi_W^{\mathfrak{c}} \psi_W^{\mathfrak{d}}(w_0)) \\
&\quad \otimes \alpha^{-\mathfrak{m}+1} \beta^{-\mathfrak{n}-1} \varphi^{-\mathfrak{p}-1} \psi^{-\mathfrak{q}+1} (\alpha^{-1}(w_1) \alpha^{-\mathfrak{a}} \beta^{-\mathfrak{b}-1} \varphi^{-\mathfrak{c}-1} \psi^{-\mathfrak{d}}(v_1)) \\
&\quad \times \alpha_U^{-\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{g}} \beta_U^{-\mathfrak{b}+\mathfrak{h}-1} \varphi_U^{-\mathfrak{c}+\mathfrak{i}-1} \psi_U^{-\mathfrak{d}+\mathfrak{j}+1} (\alpha_U^{-\mathfrak{a}} \beta_U^{-\mathfrak{b}} \varphi_U^{-\mathfrak{c}-1} \psi_U^{-\mathfrak{d}}(u)) \\
&= (\mathbf{a}_{V,W,U} \circ \mathbf{t}_{U,V \otimes W} \circ \mathbf{a}_{U,V,W})((u \otimes v) \otimes w),
\end{aligned}$$

and similarly we can get

$$\mathbf{a}_{W,U,V}^{-1} \circ \mathbf{t}_{U \otimes V,W} \circ \mathbf{a}_{U,V,W}^{-1} = (\mathbf{t}_{U,W} \otimes \text{id}_V) \circ \mathbf{a}_{U,W,V}^{-1} \circ (\text{id}_U \otimes \mathbf{t}_{V,W}).$$

Note that the inverse of \mathbf{t} is $\mathbf{t}' : \otimes^{\text{op}} \Rightarrow \otimes$, where

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{t}'_{U,V}(v \otimes u) &= S \alpha^{-\mathfrak{m}+2} \beta^{-\mathfrak{n}-2} \varphi^{-\mathfrak{p}} \psi^{-\mathfrak{q}}(v_1) \cdot \alpha_U^{-\mathfrak{g}} \beta_U^{\mathfrak{b}-\mathfrak{h}-1} \varphi_U^{\mathfrak{c}+\mathfrak{i}+1} \psi_U^{\mathfrak{d}-\mathfrak{j}-1}(u) \\
&\quad \otimes \alpha_V^{-\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{g}} \beta_V^{-\mathfrak{b}+\mathfrak{h}} \varphi_V^{-\mathfrak{c}+\mathfrak{i}-2} \psi_V^{-\mathfrak{d}+\mathfrak{j}+1}(v_0).
\end{aligned}$$

This means that $({}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}), \otimes, \mathbb{k}, a, \mathbf{l}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{t})$ is a braided category.

Finally, set $U^* = \text{hom}_{\mathbb{k}}(U, \mathbb{k})$ with the BiHom-module and BiHom-comodule structure

$$\begin{aligned}\theta_{U^*} : U^* \otimes H &\rightarrow U^*, & (f \cdot h)(u) &:= f(S(h) \cdot \beta_U^{-2}(u)), \\ \varrho^{U^*} : U^* &\rightarrow U^* \otimes H, & f_{(0)}(u) \otimes f_{(1)} &:= f(\varphi_U^{-2}(u_0)) \otimes S^{-1}(\beta^{-1}\varphi^{-1}(u_1))\end{aligned}$$

and the Hom-structure maps

$$\alpha_{U^*} = (\alpha_U^{-1})^*, \quad \beta_{U^*} = (\beta_U^{-1})^*, \quad \varphi_{U^*} = (\varphi_U^{-1})^*, \quad \psi_{U^*} = (\psi_U^{-1})^*,$$

then U^* is an object in ${}_{H}\mathcal{YD}^H(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q})$. Furthermore, we define the evaluation $\text{ev}_U : U^* \otimes U \rightarrow \mathbb{k}$ and coevaluation $\text{coev}_U : \mathbb{k} \rightarrow U \otimes U^*$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\text{ev}_U(f \otimes u) &= f(\alpha_U^{\mathfrak{a}-\mathfrak{g}-1} \beta_U^{\mathfrak{b}-\mathfrak{h}+2} \varphi_U^{\mathfrak{c}-\mathfrak{i}} \psi_U^{\mathfrak{d}-\mathfrak{j}}(u)), \\ \text{coev}_U(1_{\mathbb{k}}) &= \sum \alpha_U^{\mathfrak{a}-\mathfrak{g}-1} \beta_U^{\mathfrak{b}-\mathfrak{h}} \varphi_U^{\mathfrak{c}-\mathfrak{i}} \psi_U^{\mathfrak{d}-\mathfrak{j}}(e_i) \otimes e^i,\end{aligned}$$

where e_i and e^i are dual bases of U and U^* , respectively. It is easy to check that $({}_{H}\mathcal{YD}^H(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}), \otimes, \mathbb{k}, \mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{l}, \mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{t})$ is a left rigid category. Similarly, it is also a right rigid category. \square

Remark 4.5. We denote $(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q})$ th BiHom-Yetter-Drinfeld category (under the monoidal structures given above) by $({}_{H}\mathcal{YD}^H(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}))_{\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j}}^{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{d}}$.

Proposition 4.6. For any $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j}, \mathfrak{a}', \mathfrak{b}', \mathfrak{c}', \mathfrak{d}', \mathfrak{g}', \mathfrak{h}', \mathfrak{i}', \mathfrak{j}' \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have that $({}_{H}\mathcal{YD}^H(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}))_{\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j}}^{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{d}}$ is braided isomorphic to $({}_{H}\mathcal{YD}^H(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}))_{\mathfrak{g}', \mathfrak{h}', \mathfrak{i}', \mathfrak{j}'}^{\mathfrak{a}', \mathfrak{b}', \mathfrak{c}', \mathfrak{d}'}$.

Proof. Define the functor $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}_2, \mathcal{S}_0) : ({}_{H}\mathcal{YD}^H(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}))_{\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j}}^{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{d}} \rightarrow ({}_{H}\mathcal{YD}^H(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}))_{\mathfrak{g}', \mathfrak{h}', \mathfrak{i}', \mathfrak{j}'}^{\mathfrak{a}', \mathfrak{b}', \mathfrak{c}', \mathfrak{d}'}$ by

$$\mathcal{F}(U) = U \quad \text{as a BiHom-Yetter-Drinfeld-module,} \quad \mathcal{F}(f) = f,$$

where $(U, \alpha_U, \beta_U, \varphi_U, \psi_U) \in {}_{H}\mathcal{YD}^H(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q})$, $f \in \text{Mor}({}_{H}\mathcal{YD}^H(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}))$, and $\mathcal{S}_{2U, U}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{S}_{2U, V}(u \otimes v) = \alpha_U^{\mathfrak{a}-\mathfrak{a}'} \beta_U^{\mathfrak{b}-\mathfrak{b}'} \varphi_U^{\mathfrak{c}-\mathfrak{c}'} \psi_U^{\mathfrak{d}-\mathfrak{d}'}(u) \otimes \alpha_V^{\mathfrak{g}-\mathfrak{g}'} \beta_V^{\mathfrak{h}-\mathfrak{h}'} \varphi_V^{\mathfrak{i}-\mathfrak{i}'} \psi_V^{\mathfrak{j}-\mathfrak{j}'}(v)$$

for any $U, V \in {}_{H}\mathcal{YD}^H(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q})$, $u \in U$, $v \in V$. Obviously $\mathcal{S} = (\mathcal{S}, \mathcal{S}_2, \mathcal{S}_0)$ is a braided isomorphic functor. \square

Theorem 4.7. Let $n \in \mathbb{Z}^+$. If H is both commutative and cocommutative, then ${}_{H}\mathcal{YD}^H(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q})$ forms an n -monoidal category.

P r o o f. It is similar to that of Theorem 3.6. Indeed, for $\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{d}_i, \mathbf{g}_i, \mathbf{h}_i, \mathbf{i}_i, \mathbf{j}_i \in \mathbb{Z}$, define the following morphisms in ${}^H\mathcal{YD}^H(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}) = (({}^H\mathcal{YD}^H(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}))_{\mathbf{g}_i, \mathbf{h}_i, \mathbf{i}_i, \mathbf{j}_i}^{\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{d}_i}, \otimes_i, \mathbb{k}, \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{l}_i, \mathbf{r}_i)$:

▷ the interchange law is

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_{i,j} A, B, C, D: (A \otimes_i B) \otimes_j (C \otimes_i D) &\rightarrow (A \otimes_j C) \otimes_i (B \otimes_j D), \\ (a \otimes_i b) \otimes_j (c \otimes_i d) &\mapsto (a \otimes_j c) \otimes_i (b \otimes_j d), \end{aligned}$$

where $A, B, B, C, D \in {}^H\mathcal{YD}^H(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$;

▷ ω, ϖ and τ are given by

$$\omega_{i,j} = \varpi_{i,j} = \tau_{i,j} = \text{id}_{\mathbb{k}}.$$

It is easy to check that $(({}^H\mathcal{YD}^H(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}))_{\mathbf{g}_i, \mathbf{h}_i, \mathbf{i}_i, \mathbf{j}_i}^{\mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{b}_i, \mathbf{c}_i, \mathbf{d}_i}, \otimes_i, \mathbb{k}, \mathbf{a}_i, \mathbf{l}_i, \mathbf{r}_i)$ forms an n -monoidal category. \square

4.2. The center of the category of BiHom-modules. In this section, we discuss the relations between BiHom-type Yetter-Dinfeld categories and the center of the category of BiHom-modules.

Theorem 4.8. *For any $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q} \in \mathbb{Z}$, $({}^H\mathcal{YD}^H(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}))_{\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}}$ is a full braided subcategory of $\mathcal{Z}({}^H\mathcal{BM}_{\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}})$.*

P r o o f. For any $V \in ({}^H\mathcal{YD}^H(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}))_{\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}}$, $X \in {}^H\mathcal{BM}_{\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}}$, define $T_{X,V}: X \otimes V \rightarrow V \otimes X$ by

$$\begin{aligned} T_{X,V}(x \otimes v) &= \alpha_V^{\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{g}} \beta_V^{\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{h}} \varphi_V^{\mathbf{c}-\mathbf{i}} \psi_V^{\mathbf{d}-\mathbf{j}-1}(v_0) \otimes \alpha^{-\mathbf{m}+1} \beta^{-\mathbf{n}-1} \varphi^{-\mathbf{p}-1} \psi^{-\mathbf{q}+1}(v_1) \\ &\quad \times \alpha_X^{-\mathbf{a}+\mathbf{g}} \beta_X^{-\mathbf{b}+\mathbf{h}-1} \varphi_X^{-\mathbf{c}+\mathbf{i}-1} \psi_X^{-\mathbf{d}+\mathbf{j}+1}(x). \end{aligned}$$

Note that $T_{X,V}$ is a morphism in ${}^H\mathcal{BM}$, and $T_{-,V}$ is natural. Obviously the diagrams (C1) and (C2) hold. Moreover, if $f: V \rightarrow W$ is a morphism in ${}^H\mathcal{YD}_H(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$, then the diagram (C3) holds since f is H -linear. Thus, $({}^H\mathcal{YD}^H(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}))_{\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}}$ is a braided subcategory of $\mathcal{Z}({}^H\mathcal{BM}_{\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}})$.

Next we show that $({}^H\mathcal{YD}^H(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}))_{\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}}$ is a full subcategory. Actually, if $V, W \in {}^H\mathcal{YD}^H(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q})$ and $f: V \rightarrow W$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{Z}({}^H\mathcal{BM}_{\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}})$, then for any $v \in V$, $1_H \in H$, we have $(T_{H,W} \circ (\text{id}_H \otimes f))(1_H \otimes v) = ((f \otimes \text{id}_H) \circ T_{H,V}) \times (1_H \otimes v)$, i.e.,

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha_W^{\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{g}} \beta_W^{\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{h}} \varphi_W^{\mathbf{c}-\mathbf{i}} \psi_W^{\mathbf{d}-\mathbf{j}}(f(v_0)) \otimes \alpha^{-\mathbf{m}+2} \beta^{-\mathbf{n}-1} \varphi^{-\mathbf{p}-1} \psi^{-\mathbf{q}+1}(f(v_0)) \\ = f(\alpha_V^{\mathbf{a}-\mathbf{g}} \beta_V^{\mathbf{b}-\mathbf{h}} \varphi_V^{\mathbf{c}-\mathbf{i}} \psi_V^{\mathbf{d}-\mathbf{j}}(v_0)) \otimes \alpha^{-\mathbf{m}+2} \beta^{-\mathbf{n}-1} \varphi^{-\mathbf{p}-1} \psi^{-\mathbf{q}+1}(v_0), \end{aligned}$$

and hence, f is H -colinear, which implies $f \in \text{Mor}({}^H\mathcal{YD}_H(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}))$. \square

Let $\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j} \in \mathbb{Z}$. For any $U \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{HBM}_{\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j}}^{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{d}})$, if we define the H -coaction $\varrho^U: U \rightarrow U \otimes H$ (with the notation $\varrho^U(u) = u_{(0)} \otimes u_{(1)}$) as

$$(4.3) \quad u_{(0)} \otimes u_{(1)} = \sum \alpha_U^{-\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{g}} \beta_U^{-\mathfrak{b}+\mathfrak{h}} \varphi_U^{-\mathfrak{c}+\mathfrak{i}} \psi_U^{-\mathfrak{d}+\mathfrak{j}+1}(u_T) \otimes 1_H^T \in U \otimes H \quad \forall u \in U,$$

where $\sum u_T \otimes 1_H^T = T_{H,U}(1_H \otimes u)$, then it is easy to check that ϱ is compatible with the Hom-structure maps. Furthermore, we have the following results.

Lemma 4.9. *For any $u \in U$, $u_{(0)}\varepsilon(u_{(1)}) = \varphi_U(u)$.*

Proof. Obviously ε is H -linear. Thus, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} H \otimes U & \xrightarrow{T_{H,U}} & U \otimes H \\ \text{id} \otimes \varepsilon \downarrow & \curvearrowright T_{k,U} & \downarrow \text{id} \otimes \varepsilon \\ \mathbb{k} \otimes U & \xrightarrow{1_U} U \xrightarrow{\mathbf{r}_U^{-1}} & U \otimes \mathbb{k}, \end{array}$$

which implies $\sum u_T \otimes \varepsilon(1_H^T) = \mathbf{r}_U^{-1} 1_U(1_{\mathbb{k}} \otimes u)$, and hence the conclusion holds. \square

Lemma 4.10. *For any $u \in U$, we have*

$$(4.4) \quad u_{(0)} \otimes \alpha(u_{(1)}) = u_{(0)} \otimes \beta(u_{(1)}) = u_{(0)} \otimes \varphi(u_{(1)}) = u_{(0)} \otimes \psi(u_{(1)}) = u_{(0)} \otimes u_{(1)}.$$

Proof. For any $\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q} \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & ((\text{id}_U \otimes \alpha^{\mathfrak{m}} \beta^{\mathfrak{n}} \varphi^{\mathfrak{p}} \psi^{\mathfrak{q}}) \circ \varrho)(u) \\ &= (\alpha_U^{-\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{g}} \beta_U^{-\mathfrak{b}+\mathfrak{h}} \varphi_U^{-\mathfrak{c}+\mathfrak{i}} \psi_U^{-\mathfrak{d}+\mathfrak{j}+1} \otimes \alpha^{\mathfrak{m}} \beta^{\mathfrak{n}} \varphi^{\mathfrak{p}} \psi^{\mathfrak{q}}) T_{H,U}(1_H \otimes u) \\ &= T_{H,U}(\alpha^{\mathfrak{m}} \beta^{\mathfrak{n}} \varphi^{\mathfrak{p}} \psi^{\mathfrak{q}}(1_H) \otimes \alpha_U^{-\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{g}} \beta_U^{-\mathfrak{b}+\mathfrak{h}} \varphi_U^{-\mathfrak{c}+\mathfrak{i}} \psi_U^{-\mathfrak{d}+\mathfrak{j}+1}(u)) \\ &= (\alpha_U^{-\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{g}} \beta_U^{-\mathfrak{b}+\mathfrak{h}} \varphi_U^{-\mathfrak{c}+\mathfrak{i}} \psi_U^{-\mathfrak{d}+\mathfrak{j}+1} \otimes \text{id}_H) T_{H,U}(1_H \otimes u) = \varrho(u). \end{aligned}$$

That completes the proof. \square

Corollary 4.11. *For any $(U, T_{-,U}) \in \mathcal{Z}(\mathcal{HBM}_{\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j}}^{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{d}})$, (U, ϱ) is an H -BiHom-comodule, where ϱ is defined in (4.3).*

Proof. We only need to prove the Hom-coassociative law. Define $\widehat{\Delta}_H: H \rightarrow H \otimes H$ by

$$\widehat{\Delta}_H(h) = \alpha^{\mathfrak{a}} \beta^{\mathfrak{b}} \varphi^{\mathfrak{c}} \psi^{\mathfrak{d}}(h_1) \otimes \alpha^{\mathfrak{g}} \beta^{\mathfrak{h}} \varphi^{\mathfrak{i}} \psi^{\mathfrak{j}}(h_2),$$

where $h \in H$. Obviously $\widehat{\Delta}_H \in \text{Mor}({}_H\mathcal{BM}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{i},\mathfrak{j}}^{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{c},\mathfrak{d}})$. Hence, the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathbb{k} \otimes U & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes 1_U^{-1}} & \mathbb{k} \otimes (\mathbb{k} \otimes U) & \xrightarrow{\eta_H \otimes \eta_H \otimes \text{id}} & H \otimes (H \otimes U) & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes T_{H,U}} & H \otimes (U \otimes H) \\
\eta_H \otimes \text{id} \downarrow & \searrow \mathfrak{r}_k^{-1} \otimes \text{id} & & & \downarrow \mathfrak{a}^{-1} & & \downarrow \mathfrak{a}^{-1} \\
H \otimes U & & (\mathbb{k} \otimes \mathbb{k}) \otimes U & \xrightarrow{\eta_H \otimes \eta_H \otimes \text{id}} & (H \otimes H) \otimes U & & (H \otimes U) \otimes H \\
T_{H,U} \downarrow & \searrow \widehat{\Delta}_H \otimes \text{id} & & & \downarrow T_{H \otimes H, U} & & \downarrow T_{H,U} \otimes \text{id} \\
U \otimes H & \xrightarrow{\text{id} \otimes \widehat{\Delta}_H} & U \otimes (H \otimes H) & \xrightarrow{\mathfrak{a}^{-1}} & (U \otimes H) \otimes H & &
\end{array}$$

is commutative. From Lemma 4.10, for any $u \in U$, we have

$$\sum \alpha_U^{\mathfrak{a}} \beta_U^{\mathfrak{b}} \varphi_U^{\mathfrak{c}+1} \psi_U^{\mathfrak{d}}(u_T) \otimes \underline{1}_{H^T}{}_{-1} \otimes \underline{1}_{H^T}{}_{-2} = \sum \underline{\alpha_U^{\mathfrak{a}} \beta_U^{\mathfrak{b}} \varphi_U^{\mathfrak{c}+1} \psi_U^{\mathfrak{d}}(u)}_{TT'} \otimes \underline{1}_{H^T}{}_{-1} \otimes \underline{1}_{H^T}{}_{-2},$$

which implies the conclusion. \square

Remark 4.12. Note that for any $\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q} \in \mathbb{Z}$, $U \in {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q})$, (4.4) is not always satisfied. That implies that the objects in $\mathcal{Z}({}_H\mathcal{BM}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{i},\mathfrak{j}}^{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{c},\mathfrak{d}})$ are not objects in $({}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}))_{\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{i},\mathfrak{j}}^{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{c},\mathfrak{d}}$ in general, and consequently, $({}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}))_{\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{i},\mathfrak{j}}^{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{c},\mathfrak{d}}$ is a real subcategory of $\mathcal{Z}({}_H\mathcal{BM}_{\mathfrak{g},\mathfrak{h},\mathfrak{i},\mathfrak{j}}^{\mathfrak{a},\mathfrak{b},\mathfrak{c},\mathfrak{d}})$.

5. THE DRINFELD DOUBLES OF BIHOM-HOPF ALGEBRAS

Let H be a BiHom-Hopf algebra with bijective antipode S . In this section, we always assume that $\sum e_i \otimes e^i$ and $\sum o_i \otimes o^i$ are dual bases of H and H^* , respectively.

5.1. The BiHom-type Drinfeld doubles with parameters. Recall from Example 2.6 (3) and Example 2.12 (5) that

$$H^* = (H^*, \star, \varepsilon, \Delta_{H^*}, \varepsilon_{H^*}, (\alpha^{-1})^*, (\beta^{-1})^*, (\psi^{-1})^*, (\varphi^{-1})^*)$$

is a BiHom-bialgebra,

$$H^{*\text{cop}} = (H^*, \star, \varepsilon, \Delta_{H^*}^{\text{cop}}, \varepsilon_{H^*}, (S^{-1})^*, (\beta^{-1})^*, (\alpha^{-1})^*, (\varphi^{-1})^*, (\psi^{-1})^*)$$

is a BiHom-Hopf algebra.

Theorem 5.1. For any $\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{u} \in \mathbb{Z}$, the $(\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{u})$ th Drinfeld double

$$D(H)^{\{\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{u}\}} = H \otimes H^{*\text{cop}}$$

of H , in a form containing H and $H^{*\text{cop}}$, is a BiHom-Hopf algebra with the following structures:

▷ the multiplication is given by

$$(a \otimes p)(b \otimes q) = a\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}(b_{21}) \\ \otimes p((\alpha^{\tau-3}\beta^{s+2}\varphi^t\psi^{u-1}(b_{22})\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}(-))S^{-1}\alpha^{\tau}\beta^s\varphi^t\psi^u(b_1)) \star q,$$

where $p, q \in H^{\text{cop}}$, $a, b \in H$;

▷ the comultiplication is given by

$$\overline{\Delta}(a \otimes p) = (a_1 \otimes p_2) \otimes (a_2 \otimes p_1);$$

▷ the unit element is $1_H \otimes \varepsilon$ and the counit is given by

$$\overline{\varepsilon}(a \otimes p) = \varepsilon(a)p(1_H);$$

▷ the Hom-structure maps are given by

$$\overline{\alpha} = \alpha \otimes (\alpha^{-1})^*, \quad \overline{\beta} = \beta \otimes (\beta^{-1})^*, \quad \overline{\varphi} = \varphi \otimes (\varphi^{-1})^*, \quad \overline{\psi} = \psi \otimes (\psi^{-1})^*;$$

▷ the antipode S is given by

$$\overline{S}(a \otimes p) = S\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}(a_{21}) \\ \otimes p((S^{-1}\alpha^{\tau-1}\beta^s\varphi^{t+1}\psi^{u-2}(a_{22})S^{-1}\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}(-))\alpha^{\tau}\beta^s\varphi^{t-1}\psi^{u+1}(a_1)).$$

Furthermore, $D(H)^{\{\tau, s, t, u\}}$ has a quasitriangular structure

$$\overline{R} = \sum (\alpha^{-\tau}\beta^{-s-1}\varphi^{-t-1}\psi^{-u}(e_i) \otimes \varepsilon) \otimes (1_H \otimes e^i) \in D(H)^{\{\tau, s, t, u\}} \otimes D(H)^{\{\tau, s, t, u\}}.$$

Proof. It is straightforward to check that Hom-structure maps of $D(H)^{\{\tau, s, t, u\}}$ commute with each others and are compatible with the multiplication, the unit and $\overline{\Delta}$, $\overline{\varepsilon}$.

Obviously $1_H \otimes \varepsilon$ is the unit object. For any $x \in H$, we have

$$(((a \otimes p)(b \otimes q))(\beta(c) \otimes \overline{\beta}(f)))(x) \\ = (a\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}(b_{21}))\beta\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}(c_{21}) \\ \otimes ((p((\alpha^{\tau-3}\beta^{s+2}\varphi^t\psi^{u-1}(b_{22})\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}(-))S^{-1}\alpha^{\tau}\beta^s\varphi^t\psi^u(b_1)) \star q) \\ \times ((\alpha^{\tau-3}\beta^{s+3}\varphi^t\psi^{u-1}(c_{22})\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}(-))S^{-1}\alpha^{\tau}\beta^{s+1}\varphi^t\psi^u(c_1)) \star \overline{\alpha}(f))(x) \\ \stackrel{(2.6)}{=} (a\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}(b_{21}))\beta\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}(c_{21}) \otimes p((\alpha^{\tau-3}\beta^{s+2}\varphi^t\psi^{u-1}(b_{22}) \\ \times ((\alpha^{\tau-5}\beta^{s+2}\varphi^{t-1}\psi^{u-1}(c_{221})\alpha^{-4}\beta^{-2}\varphi^{-2}(x_{11}))S^{-1}\alpha^{\tau-2}\beta^s\varphi^t\psi^{u-1}(c_{12}))) \\ \times S^{-1}\alpha^{\tau}\beta^s\varphi^t\psi^u(b_1))q((\alpha^{\tau-3}\beta^{s+2}\varphi^t\psi^{u-2}(c_{222})\alpha^{-2}\beta^{-2}\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}(x_{12})) \\ \times S^{-1}\alpha^{\tau}\beta^s\varphi^{t-1}\psi^u(c_{11}))f(\beta^{-1}\psi^{-1}(x_2)),$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& ((\alpha(a) \otimes \bar{\alpha}(p))((b \otimes q)(c \otimes f)))(x) \\
&= \alpha(a)(\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}(b_{21})\varphi^{-2}\psi^{-2}(c_{2121})) \otimes \bar{\alpha}(p)((\alpha^{\tau-3}\beta^{s+2}\varphi^t\psi^{u-1}(b_{22})\alpha^{\tau-3}\beta^{s+2} \\
&\quad \times \varphi^{t-1}\psi^{u-2}(c_{2122}))\alpha^{-2}\beta^{-1}\varphi^{-1}(x_1))(S^{-1}\alpha^{\tau-1}\beta^{s+1}\varphi^{t-1}\psi^{u-1}(c_{211}) \\
&\quad \times S^{-1}\alpha^{\tau+1}\beta^{s-1}\varphi^t\psi^u(b_1))q((\alpha^{\tau-3}\beta^{s+2}\varphi^t\psi^{u-1}(c_{22})\alpha^{-2}\beta^{-2}\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}(x_{21})) \\
&\quad \times S^{-1}\alpha^{\tau}\beta^s\varphi^t\psi^u(c_1))f(\beta^{-2}\psi^{-2}(x_{22})) \\
&= \alpha(a)(\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}(b_{21})\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}(c_{21})) \otimes \bar{\alpha}(p)((\alpha^{\tau-2}\beta^{s+2}\varphi^t\psi^{u-1}(b_{22}) \\
&\quad \times ((\alpha^{\tau-4}\beta^{s+2}\varphi^{t-1}\psi^{u-1}(c_{221})\alpha^{-3}\beta^{-2}\varphi^{-2}(x_{11}))S^{-1}\alpha^{\tau-1}\beta^s\varphi^t\psi^{u-1}(c_{12}))) \\
&\quad \times S^{-1}\alpha^{\tau+1}\beta^s\varphi^t\psi^u(b_1))q((\alpha^{\tau-3}\beta^{s+2}\varphi^t\psi^{u-2}(c_{222})\alpha^{-2}\beta^{-2}\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}(x_{12})) \\
&\quad \times S^{-1}\alpha^{\tau}\beta^s\varphi^{t-1}\psi^u(c_{11}))f(\beta^{-1}\psi^{-1}(x_2)),
\end{aligned}$$

thus, $D(H)^{\{\tau, s, t, u\}}$ is a BiHom-algebra.

Clearly $(D(H)^{\{\tau, s, t, u\}}, \bar{\Delta}, \bar{\varepsilon}, \bar{\varphi}, \bar{\psi})$ is a BiHom-coalgebra. Now we show that $\bar{\Delta}$ and $\bar{\varepsilon}$ are all BiHom-algebra maps. For any $x, y \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& a_1\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}(b_{211}) \otimes \underline{p((\alpha^{\tau-3}\beta^{s+2}\varphi^t\psi^{u-1}(b_{22})\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}(-))S^{-1}\alpha^{\tau}\beta^s\varphi^t\psi^u(b_1))} \star q_2(x) \\
&\quad \otimes a_2\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}(b_{212}) \\
&\quad \otimes \underline{p((\alpha^{\tau-3}\beta^{s+2}\varphi^t\psi^{u-1}(b_{22})\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}(-))S^{-1}\alpha^{\tau}\beta^s\varphi^t\psi^u(b_1))} \star q_1(y) \\
&= a_1\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}(b_{211}) \otimes p((\alpha^{\tau-3}\beta^{s+2}\varphi^t\psi^{u-1}(b_{22})\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1} \\
&\quad \times (\alpha^{-2}\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}(y_1)\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}\varphi^{-2}(x_1)))S^{-1}\alpha^{\tau}\beta^s\varphi^t\psi^u(b_1)) \\
&\quad \times q(\alpha^{-1}\alpha^{-1}\psi^{-2}(y_2)\beta^{-2}\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}(x_2)),
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& a_1\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}(b_{121}) \\
&\quad \otimes \underline{p_2((\alpha^{\tau-3}\beta^{s+2}\varphi^t\psi^{u-1}(b_{122})\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}(-))S^{-1}\alpha^{\tau}\beta^s\varphi^t\psi^u(b_{11}))} \star q_2(x) \\
&\quad \otimes a_2\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}(b_{221}) \\
&\quad \otimes \underline{p_1((\alpha^{\tau-3}\beta^{s+2}\varphi^t\psi^{u-1}(b_{222})\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}(-))S^{-1}\alpha^{\tau}\beta^s\varphi^t\psi^u(b_{21}))} \star q_1(y) \\
&= a_1\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}(b_{121}) \otimes p(((\alpha^{\tau-4}\beta^{s+2}\varphi^t\psi^{u-2}(b_{222})\alpha^{-3}\beta^{-1}\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}(y_1))S^{-1}\alpha^{\tau-1} \\
&\quad \times \beta^s\varphi^t\psi^{u-1}(b_{21}))((\alpha^{\tau-3}\beta^{s+1}\varphi^{t-1}\psi^{u-1}(b_{122})\alpha^{-2}\beta^{-2}\varphi^{-2}(y_1))S^{-1}\alpha^{\tau}\beta^{s-1} \\
&\quad \times \varphi^{t-1}\psi^u(b_{11})))q(\alpha^{-1}\alpha^{-1}\psi^{-2}(y_2)\beta^{-2}\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}(x_2)) \otimes a_2\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}(b_{221}) \\
&= a_1\psi^{-1}(b_{21}) \otimes p((\alpha^{\tau-3}\beta^{s+2}\varphi^t\psi^{u-3}(b_{2222})(\alpha^{-3}\beta^{-1}\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}(y_1) \\
&\quad \times ((S^{-1}\alpha^{\tau-3}\beta^{s-1}\varphi^t\psi^{u-3}(b_{2212})\alpha^{\tau-3}\beta^{s-1}\varphi^{t-2}\psi^{u-1}(b_{2211})) \\
&\quad \times \alpha^{-2}\beta^{-3}\varphi^{-2}(x_1))))S^{-1}\alpha^{\tau}\beta^s\varphi^t\psi^{u-1}(b_1)) \\
&\quad \times q(\alpha^{-1}\alpha^{-1}\psi^{-2}(y_2)\beta^{-2}\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}(x_2)) \otimes a_2\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-2}(b_{2221})
\end{aligned}$$

$$\stackrel{(2.8)}{=} a_1 \varphi^{-1} \psi^{-1}(b_{211}) \otimes p((\alpha^{\tau-3} \beta^{s+2} \varphi^t \psi^{u-1}(b_{22}))(\alpha^{-3} \beta^{-1} \varphi^{-1} \psi^{-1}(y_1) \alpha^{-2} \\ \times \beta^{-2} \varphi^{-2}(x_1))) S^{-1} \alpha^{\tau} \beta^s \varphi^t \psi^u(b_1) q(\alpha^{-1} \alpha^{-1} \psi^{-2}(y_2) \beta^{-2} \varphi^{-1} \psi^{-1}(x_2)).$$

That means that $\overline{\Delta}$ preserves the multiplication. We also have $\overline{\varepsilon}((a \otimes p)(b \otimes q)) = \overline{\varepsilon}(a \otimes p) \overline{\varepsilon}(b \otimes q)$, hence, $D(H)^{\{\tau, s, t, u\}}$ is a BiHom-bialgebra.

To prove that S is the antipode, we compute as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \overline{S}(a_1 \otimes p_2)(a_2 \otimes p_1) \\ &= S \varphi^{-1} \psi^{-1}(a_{121}) \varphi^{-1} \psi^{-1}(a_{221}) \\ & \quad \otimes p_2((S^{-1} \alpha^{\tau-1} \beta^s \varphi^{t+1} \psi^{u-2}(a_{122}) S^{-1} \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1}(-)) \alpha^{\tau} \beta^s \varphi^{t-1} \psi^{u+1}(a_{11})) \\ & \quad \times ((\alpha^{\tau-3} \beta^{s+2} \varphi^t \psi^{u-1}(a_{222}) \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1}(-)) S^{-1} \alpha^{\tau} \beta^s \varphi^t \psi^u(a_{21})) \star p_1 \\ &= S \varphi^{-1} \psi^{-1}(a_{121}) \varphi^{-1} \psi^{-1}(a_{221}) \\ & \quad \otimes p_2(((S^{-1} \alpha^{\tau-2} \beta^s \varphi^{t+1} \psi^{u-2}(a_{122}) S^{-2} \alpha^{\tau-2} \beta^s \varphi^t \psi^u(a_{21})) S^{-1} \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1}(-)) \\ & \quad \times (S^{-1} \alpha^{\tau-2} \beta^{s+1} \varphi^t \psi^{u-1}(a_{222}) \alpha^{\tau} \beta^{s-1} \varphi^{t-1} \psi^{u+1}(a_{11}))) \star p_1 \\ & \stackrel{(2.5)}{=} S \psi^{-1}(a_{21}) \varphi^{-1} \psi^{-2}(a_{2221}) \\ & \quad \otimes p_2((S^{-1} (S^{-1} \alpha^{\tau-3} \beta^{s+1} \varphi^t \psi^{u-2}(a_{2212}) \alpha^{\tau-1} \beta^{s-1} \varphi^t \psi^{u-2}(a_{2211})) S^{-1} \alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1}(-)) \\ & \quad \times (S^{-1} \alpha^{\tau-2} \beta^{s+1} \varphi^t \psi^{u-2}(a_{2222}) \alpha^{\tau} \beta^{s-1} \varphi^t \psi^{u+1}(a_1))) \star p_1 \\ & \stackrel{(2.8)}{=} S \varphi^{-1} \psi^{-1}(a_{211}) \varphi^{-1} \psi^{-1}(a_{212}) \\ & \quad \otimes p_2(S^{-1} \alpha^{-1}(-) (S^{-1} \alpha^{\tau-2} \beta^{s+1} \varphi^t \psi^u(a_{22}) \alpha^{\tau} \beta^{s-1} \varphi^t \psi^{u+1}(a_1))) \star p_1 \\ & \stackrel{(2.7)}{=} 1_H \varepsilon(a) \otimes p_2(S^{-1}(-)) \star p_1 \stackrel{(2.8)}{=} 1_H \varepsilon(a) \otimes p \end{aligned}$$

and similarly we have $(a_1 \otimes p_2) \overline{S}(a_2 \otimes p_1) = 1_H \varepsilon(a) \otimes p$. Note that \overline{S} commutes with the Hom-structure maps, then we get that \overline{S} is the antipode of $D(H)^{\{\tau, s, t, u\}}$.

At last, we show that $\overline{R} = \sum (\alpha^{-\tau} \beta^{-s-1} \varphi^{-t-1} \psi^{-u}(e_i) \otimes \varepsilon) \otimes (1_H \otimes e^i)$ is a quasi-triangular structure in $D(H)^{\{\tau, s, t, u\}}$.

For one thing, it is clear that \overline{R} is compatible with the Hom-structure maps of $D(H)^{\{\tau, s, t, u\}}$. For another, for any $x, y \in H$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum ((\alpha^{-\tau} \beta^{-s-1} \varphi^{-t-1} \psi^{-u}(e_i) \otimes \varepsilon)(\varphi^{-1} \psi(a_1) \otimes p_2(\varphi^{-1} \psi(-))))(x) \\ & \quad \otimes ((1_H \otimes e^i)(\varphi \psi^{-1}(a_2) \otimes p_1(\varphi \psi^{-1}(-))))(y) \\ &= \sum \alpha^{-\tau} \beta^{-s-1} \varphi^{-t-1} \psi^{-u}(e_i) \varphi^{-1} \psi(a_1) \otimes p_2(\beta^{-1} \varphi^{-1} \psi(x)) \otimes \beta \psi^{-2}(a_{221}) \\ & \quad \times e^i((\alpha^{\tau-3} \beta^{s+2} \varphi^{t+1} \psi^{u-1}(a_{222}) \alpha^{-2} \beta^{-1} \varphi^{-1}(y_1)) S^{-1} \alpha^{\tau} \beta^s \varphi^{t+1} \psi^{u-1}(a_{21})) \\ & \quad \otimes p_1(\beta^{-1} \varphi \psi^{-2}(y_2)) \\ & \stackrel{(2.8)}{=} \sum \alpha^{-1} \beta(a_2) \alpha^{-\tau} \beta^{-s-2} \varphi^{-t-2} \psi^{-u}(y_1) \otimes p(\alpha^{-1} \beta^{-1} \varphi \psi^{-3}(y_2) \beta^{-2} \varphi^{-2} \psi(x)) \\ & \quad \otimes \beta(a_1) \otimes 1_{\mathbf{k}} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum((\alpha^{-1}\beta(a_2) \otimes p_1(\alpha^{-1}\beta(-)))(\alpha^{-\tau}\beta^{-s-1}\varphi^{-t-1}\psi^{-u}(e_i) \otimes \varepsilon))(x) \\
& \quad \otimes ((\alpha^{-1}\beta(a_1) \otimes p_2(\alpha^{-1}\beta(-)))(1_H \otimes e^i))(y) \\
& = \sum \alpha^{-1}\beta(a_2)\alpha^{-\tau}\beta^{-s-1}\varphi^{-t-2}\psi^{-u-1}(e_{i21}) \\
& \quad \otimes p_1((\alpha^{-4}\beta^2\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-1}(e_{i22})\alpha^{-3}(x))S^{-1}\alpha^{-1}\varphi^{-1}(e_{i1})) \otimes \beta(a_1) \otimes e^i(\beta^{-1}\psi^{-1}(y_2)) \\
& = \sum \alpha^{-1}\beta(a_2)\alpha^{-\tau}\beta^{-s-2}\varphi^{-t-2}\psi^{-u-1}(y_{21}) \\
& \quad \otimes p(((\alpha^{-5}\beta\varphi^{-1}\psi^{-2}(y_{22})\alpha^{-4}\psi^{-1}(x))S^{-1}\alpha^{-2}\beta^{-1}\varphi^{-2}(y_{12}))\alpha^{-2}\beta^{-3}(y_{11})) \\
& \quad \otimes \beta(a_1) \otimes 1_k \\
& \stackrel{(2.8)}{=} \sum \alpha^{-1}\beta(a_2)\alpha^{-\tau}\beta^{-s-2}\varphi^{-t-2}\psi^{-u}(y_1) \otimes p(\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}\varphi\psi^{-3}(y_2)\beta^{-2}\varphi^{-2}\psi(x)) \\
& \quad \otimes \beta(a_1) \otimes 1_k,
\end{aligned}$$

hence, (Q2) holds. Further, we compute

$$\begin{aligned}
& \sum \alpha^{-1}\psi^{-1}(\alpha^{-\tau}\beta^{-s-1}\varphi^{-t-1}\psi^{-u}(e_i) \otimes \varepsilon)\beta^{-1}\varphi^{-1}(\alpha^{-\tau}\beta^{-s-1}\varphi^{-t-1}\psi^{-u}(o_i) \otimes \varepsilon) \\
& \quad \otimes (1_H \otimes o^i) \otimes (1_H \otimes e^i) \\
& = \sum \alpha^{-\tau-1}\beta^{-s-1}\varphi^{-t-1}\psi^{-u-1}(e_i)\alpha^{-\tau}\beta^{-s-2}\varphi^{-t-2}\psi^{-u}(o_i) \otimes (1_H \otimes o^i) \otimes (1_H \otimes e^i) \\
& = \sum (\alpha^{-\tau}\beta^{-s-1}\varphi^{-t-1}\psi^{-u}(e_i) \otimes \varepsilon) \otimes (1_H \otimes e^i_2) \otimes (1_H \otimes e^i_1),
\end{aligned}$$

thus, (Q4) holds. Similarly one can prove (Q3). That completes the proof. \square

5.2. The representations of BiHom-type Drinfeld doubles. For any $m, n, p, q, r, s, t, u \in \mathbb{Z}$, we have the following results.

Theorem 5.2. ${}_{D(H)\{r,s,t,u\}}\mathcal{BM}$ is identified to ${}_{H}\mathcal{YD}^H(m, n, p, q)$.

Proof. Define the functor $\mathcal{F}: {}_{H}\mathcal{YD}^H(m, n, p, q) \rightarrow {}_{D(H)\{r,s,t,u\}}\mathcal{BM}$ by

$$\mathcal{F}(U) = U \quad \text{as } \mathbb{k}\text{-space}, \quad \mathcal{F}(f) = f$$

for any $(U, \alpha_U, \beta_U, \varphi_U, \psi_U) \in {}_{H}\mathcal{YD}^H(m, n, p, q)$, $f \in \text{Mor}({}_{H}\mathcal{YD}^H(m, n, p, q))$, and the $D(H)\{r,s,t,u\}$ -action on M is given by

$$(a \otimes p) \rightarrow u = p(\alpha^{-m}\beta^{-n+1}\varphi^{-p-1}\psi^{-q}(u_1))\alpha^{r+1}\beta^s\varphi^t\psi^{u+2}(a) \cdot \varphi_U^{-1}(u_0)$$

for any $u \in U$, $a \in H$, $p \in H^*$.

Now we check that $(U, \rightarrow, \alpha_U, \beta_U, \varphi_U, \psi_U)$ is a $D(H)^{\{r,s,t,u\}}$ -BiHom-module. We only prove the BiHom-associative law. Indeed, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& ((a \otimes p)(b \otimes q)) \rightarrow u \\
&= (p((\alpha^{r-3}\beta^{s+2}\varphi^t\psi^{u-1}(b_{22})\alpha^{-1}\beta^{-1}(-))S^{-1}\alpha^r\beta^s\varphi^t\psi^u(b_1)) \star q) \\
&\quad \times (\alpha^{-m}\beta^{-n+1}\varphi^{-p-1}\psi^{-q}(u_1)) \\
&\quad \times (\alpha^{r+1}\beta^s\varphi^t\psi^{u+2}(a)\alpha^{r+1}\beta^s\varphi^{t-1}\psi^{u+1}(b_{21})) \cdot \varphi_U^{-1}(u_0) \\
&= p(\alpha^{-1}(-)) \\
&\quad \times ((\alpha^{r-3}\beta^{s+2}\varphi^t\psi^{u-1}(b_{22})\alpha^{-m-2}\beta^{-n}\varphi^{-p-2}\psi^{-q}(u_{01}))S^{-1}\alpha^r\beta^s\varphi^t\psi^u(b_1)) \\
&\quad \times \alpha^{r+2}\beta^s\varphi^t\psi^{u+2}(a)(\alpha^{r+1}\beta^s\varphi^{t-1}\psi^{u+1}(b_{21})) \cdot \beta_M^{-1}\varphi_M^{-1}(u_{00}) \\
&\quad \times q(\alpha^{-m}\beta^{-n}\varphi^{-p-1}\psi^{-q}(u_1)) \\
&= (\alpha(a) \otimes p(\alpha^{-1}(-))) \\
&\quad \rightarrow (q(\alpha^{-m}\beta^{-n}\varphi^{-p-1}\psi^{-q}(u_1))\alpha^{r+1}\beta^s\varphi^t\psi^{u+2}(a) \cdot \beta_M^{-1}\varphi_M^{-1}(m_0)) \\
&= (\alpha(a) \otimes p(\alpha^{-1}(-))) \rightarrow ((b \otimes q) \rightarrow \beta_U^{-1}(u)),
\end{aligned}$$

as needed. Moreover, it is easy to obtain that $\mathcal{F}(f) \in \text{Mor}_{(D(H)^{\{r,s,t,u\}}\mathcal{BM})}$. Hence, \mathcal{F} is well-defined.

Conversely, define the functor $\mathcal{G}: D(H)^{\{r,s,t,u\}}\mathcal{BM} \rightarrow {}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q})$ by

$$\mathcal{G}(M) = M \quad \text{as } \mathbb{k}\text{-space}, \quad \mathcal{G}(f) = f$$

for any $(M, \rightarrow, \alpha_M, \beta_M, \varphi_M, \psi_M) \in D(H)^{\{r,s,t,u\}}\mathcal{BM}$, $f \in \text{Mor}_{(D(H)^{\{r,s,t,u\}}\mathcal{BM})}$, and the H -action, H -coaction on M are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
h \cdot m &= (\alpha^{-r-1}\beta^{-s}\varphi^{-t}\psi^{-u-2}(h) \otimes \varepsilon) \rightarrow m, \\
\varrho(m) &= \sum (1_H \otimes e^i) \rightarrow \beta_M^{-1}\varphi_M(m) \otimes \alpha^m\beta^n\varphi^p\psi^q(e_i)
\end{aligned}$$

for any $m \in M$, $a \in H$. Next we show that \mathcal{G} is well defined.

Firstly, $(M, \cdot, \alpha_M, \beta_M, \varphi_M, \psi_M)$ is an H -BiHom-module. Obviously we have $1_H \cdot m = \beta_M(m)$, and we also have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \alpha(h) \cdot (g \cdot \beta_M^{-1}(m)) \\
&= (\alpha^{-r}\beta^{-s}\varphi^{-t}\psi^{-u-2}(h) \otimes \varepsilon) \rightarrow ((\alpha^{-r-1}\beta^{-s}\varphi^{-t}\psi^{-u-2}(g) \otimes \varepsilon) \rightarrow \beta_M^{-1}(m)) \\
&= (\alpha^{-r-1}\beta^{-s}\varphi^{-t}\psi^{-u-2}(h)\alpha^{-r-1}\beta^{-s}\varphi^{-t}\psi^{-u-2}(g) \otimes \varepsilon) \rightarrow m = (hg) \cdot m,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies M is an H -BiHom-module.

Secondly, it is easy to get $m_0\varepsilon(m_1) = \alpha_M(m)$. Moreover, for any $x \in H$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_M(m_0) \otimes \Delta(m_1) \\
&= \sum (1_H \otimes e^i(\varphi^{-1}(-))) \rightarrow \beta_M^{-1} \varphi_M^2(m) \otimes \alpha^m \beta^n \varphi^p \psi^q(e_{i1}) \otimes \alpha^m \beta^n \varphi^p \psi^q(e_{i2}) \\
&= \sum (1_H \otimes o^i(\alpha(-)) \star e^i(\beta \varphi^{-1}(-))) \rightarrow \beta_M^{-1} \varphi_M^2(m) \otimes \alpha^m \beta^n \varphi^p \psi^q(o_i) \\
&\quad \otimes \alpha^m \beta^n \varphi^p \psi^{q+1}(e_i) \\
&= \sum (1_H \otimes o^i) \rightarrow \beta_M^{-1} \varphi_M((1_H \otimes e^i) \rightarrow \beta_M^{-1} \varphi_M(m)) \otimes \alpha^m \beta^n \varphi^p \psi^q(o_i) \\
&\quad \otimes \alpha^m \beta^n \varphi^p \psi^{q+1}(e_i) \\
&= m_{00} \otimes m_{01} \otimes \psi(m_1),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies M is an H -BiHom-comodule.

Finally, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \varrho(h \cdot m) \\
&= \sum ((1_H \otimes e^i(\alpha(-))) (\alpha^{-r-1} \beta^{-s-1} \varphi^{-t+1} \psi^{-u-2}(h) \otimes \varepsilon)) \\
&\quad \rightarrow \varphi_M(m) \otimes \alpha^m \beta^n \varphi^p \psi^q(e_i) \\
&= \sum (\alpha^{-r-1} \beta^{-s} \varphi^{-t} \psi^{-u-3}(h_{21}) \otimes e^i((\alpha^{-3} \beta \varphi \psi^{-3}(h_{22}) \beta^{-1}(-)) S^{-1} \beta^{-1} \varphi \psi^{-2}(h_1)) \star \varepsilon) \\
&\quad \rightarrow \varphi_M(m) \otimes \alpha^m \beta^n \varphi^p \psi^q(e_i) \\
&= \sum (\alpha^{-r-1} \beta^{-s} \varphi^{-t} \psi^{-u-3}(h_{21}) \otimes e^i(\beta^{-1}(-))) \rightarrow \varphi_M(m) \\
&\quad \otimes (\alpha^{m-3} \beta^{n+1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^{q-3}(h_{22}) \alpha^{m-1} \beta^n \varphi^p \psi^q(e_i)) S^{-1} \alpha^m \beta^{n-1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^{q-2}(h_1) \\
&= \sum (\alpha^{-r-1} \beta^{-s} \varphi^{-t} \psi^{-u-3}(h_{21}) \otimes \varepsilon) \rightarrow ((1_H \otimes e^i) \rightarrow \beta_M^{-1} \varphi_M(m_0)) \\
&\quad \otimes (\alpha^{m-3} \beta^{n+1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^{q-3}(h_{22}) \alpha^{m-1} \beta^n \varphi^p \psi^q(e_i)) S^{-1} \alpha^m \beta^{n-1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^{q-2}(h_1) \\
&= \psi^{-1}(h_{21}) \cdot m_0 \otimes (\alpha^{m-3} \beta^{n+1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^{q-3}(h_{22}) \alpha^{-1}(m_1)) S^{-1} \alpha^m \beta^{n-1} \varphi^{p+1} \psi^{q+2}(h_1),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies (4.2), and hence \mathcal{G} is well defined.

Notice that \mathcal{F} and \mathcal{G} are inverse with each other, the conclusion holds. \square

Corollary 5.3. *For any $\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}, \mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}, \mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}, \mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{u} \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have that ${}_{D(H)\{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{u}\}} \mathcal{BM}_{\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}}$ is braided isomorphic to $({}_H \mathcal{YD}^H(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}))_{\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}}$.*

Proof. Clearly \mathcal{F} is a strict monoidal functor. Note that ${}_{D(H)\{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{u}\}} \mathcal{BM}_{\mathbf{g}, \mathbf{h}, \mathbf{i}, \mathbf{j}}^{\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}, \mathbf{c}, \mathbf{d}}$ is a braided category with the braiding \mathbf{C} since

$$\bar{\mathbf{R}} = \sum (\alpha^{-r} \beta^{-s-1} \varphi^{-t-1} \psi^{-u}(e_i) \otimes \varepsilon) \otimes (1_H \otimes e^i)$$

is a quasitriangular structure in $D(H)\{\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{s}, \mathbf{t}, \mathbf{u}\}$, where \mathbf{C} is derived by $\bar{\mathbf{R}}$ in the way of (3.1).

For any $U, V \in ({}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}))_{\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j}}^{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{d}}$, $u \in U$, $v \in V$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{C}_{\mathcal{F}(U), \mathcal{F}(V)}(u \otimes v) \\
&= \sum (1_H \otimes e^i(\alpha^{-\mathfrak{a}}\beta^{-\mathfrak{b}}\varphi^{-\mathfrak{c}}\psi^{-\mathfrak{d}}(-))) \rightarrow \alpha_V^{\mathfrak{a}-\mathfrak{g}}\beta_V^{\mathfrak{b}-\mathfrak{h}-1}\varphi_V^{\mathfrak{c}-\mathfrak{i}+1}\psi_V^{\mathfrak{d}-\mathfrak{j}-1}(v) \\
&\quad \otimes (\alpha^{\mathfrak{g}-\mathfrak{r}}\beta^{\mathfrak{h}-\mathfrak{s}-1}\varphi^{\mathfrak{i}-\mathfrak{t}-1}\psi^{\mathfrak{j}-\mathfrak{u}}(e_i) \otimes \varepsilon) \\
&\quad \rightarrow \alpha_U^{-\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{g}}\beta_U^{-\mathfrak{b}+\mathfrak{h}-1}\varphi_U^{-\mathfrak{c}+\mathfrak{i}-1}\psi_U^{-\mathfrak{d}+\mathfrak{j}+1}(u) \\
&= \sum e^i(\alpha^{-\mathfrak{m}-\mathfrak{g}}\beta^{-\mathfrak{n}-\mathfrak{h}}\varphi^{-\mathfrak{p}-\mathfrak{i}}\psi^{-\mathfrak{q}-\mathfrak{j}-1}(v_1))1_H \cdot \alpha_V^{\mathfrak{a}-\mathfrak{g}}\beta_V^{\mathfrak{b}-\mathfrak{h}-1}\varphi_V^{\mathfrak{c}-\mathfrak{i}}\psi_V^{\mathfrak{d}-\mathfrak{j}-1}(v_0) \\
&\quad \otimes \varepsilon(u_1)\alpha^{\mathfrak{g}+1}\beta^{\mathfrak{h}-1}\varphi^{\mathfrak{i}-1}\psi^{\mathfrak{j}+2}(e_i) \\
&= \alpha_V^{\mathfrak{a}-\mathfrak{g}}\beta_V^{\mathfrak{b}-\mathfrak{h}}\varphi_V^{\mathfrak{c}-\mathfrak{i}}\psi_V^{\mathfrak{d}-\mathfrak{j}-1}(v_0) \\
&\quad \otimes \alpha^{-\mathfrak{m}+1}\beta^{-\mathfrak{n}-1}\varphi^{-\mathfrak{p}-1}\psi^{-\mathfrak{q}+1}(v_1) \cdot \alpha_U^{-\mathfrak{a}+\mathfrak{g}}\beta_U^{-\mathfrak{b}+\mathfrak{h}-1}\varphi_U^{-\mathfrak{c}+\mathfrak{i}-1}\psi_U^{-\mathfrak{d}+\mathfrak{j}+1}(u) \\
&= \mathbf{t}_{U,V}(u \otimes v),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies the conclusion. \square

Corollary 5.4. *The following statement holds: ${}_{D(H)\{\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{u}\}}\mathcal{BM}_{\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j}}^{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{d}}$ is a full braided subcategory of $\mathcal{Z}({}_H\mathcal{BM}_{\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j}}^{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{d}})$.*

Proof. Directly from Theorem 4.8 and Corollary 5.3. \square

Corollary 5.5. *For any*

$$\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{d}, \mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j}, \mathfrak{a}', \mathfrak{b}', \mathfrak{c}', \mathfrak{d}', \mathfrak{g}', \mathfrak{h}', \mathfrak{i}', \mathfrak{j}', \mathfrak{m}, \mathfrak{n}, \mathfrak{p}, \mathfrak{q}, \mathfrak{m}', \mathfrak{n}', \mathfrak{p}', \mathfrak{q}' \in \mathbb{Z},$$

we have that $({}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}))_{\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j}}^{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{d}}$ is isomorphic to $({}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\mathbf{m}', \mathbf{n}', \mathbf{p}', \mathbf{q}'))_{\mathfrak{g}', \mathfrak{h}', \mathfrak{i}', \mathfrak{j}'}^{\mathfrak{a}', \mathfrak{b}', \mathfrak{c}', \mathfrak{d}'}$ as braided categories.

Proof. We have the following braided isomorphic

$$\begin{aligned}
({}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\mathbf{m}, \mathbf{n}, \mathbf{p}, \mathbf{q}))_{\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j}}^{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{d}} &\cong {}_{D(H)\{\mathfrak{r}, \mathfrak{s}, \mathfrak{t}, \mathfrak{u}\}}\mathcal{BM}_{\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j}}^{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{d}}, \quad \text{see Corollary 5.3,} \\
&\cong ({}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\mathbf{m}', \mathbf{n}', \mathbf{p}', \mathbf{q}'))_{\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{i}, \mathfrak{j}}^{\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{b}, \mathfrak{c}, \mathfrak{d}}, \quad \text{see Corollary 5.3,} \\
&\cong ({}_H\mathcal{YD}^H(\mathbf{m}', \mathbf{n}', \mathbf{p}', \mathbf{q}'))_{\mathfrak{g}', \mathfrak{h}', \mathfrak{i}', \mathfrak{j}'}^{\mathfrak{a}', \mathfrak{b}', \mathfrak{c}', \mathfrak{d}'}, \quad \text{see Proposition 4.6}
\end{aligned}$$

as needed. \square

References

- [1] *M. Aguiar, S. Mahajan*: Monoidal Functors, Species and Hopf Algebras. CRM Monograph Series 29. AMS, Providence, 2010. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [2] *C. Balteanu, Z. Fiedorowicz, R. Schwänzl, R. Vogt*: Iterated monoidal categories. *Adv. Math.* **176** (2003), 277–349. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [3] *S. Caenepeel, I. Goyvaerts*: Monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras. *Commun. Algebra* **39** (2011), 2216–2240. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [4] *S. Caenepeel, D. Wang, Y. Yin*: Yetter-Drinfeld modules over weak bialgebras. *Ann. Univ. Ferrara, Nuova Ser., Sez. VII* **51** (2005), 69–98. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [5] *Y. Chen, L. Zhang*: The category of Yetter-Drinfel’d Hom-modules and the quantum Hom-Yang-Baxter equation. *J. Math. Phys.* **55** (2014), Article ID 031702, 18 pages. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [6] *X.-L. Fang, W. Liu*: Solutions of the BiHom-Yang-Baxter equations. *Sb. Math.* **209** (2018), 901–918; translation from *Mat. Sb.* **209** (2018), 128–145. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [7] *S. Forcey, J. Siehler, E. S. Sowers*: Operads in iterated monoidal categories. *J. Homotopy Relat. Struct.* **2** (2007), 1–43. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [8] *G. Graziani, A. Makhlouf, C. Menini, F. Panaite*: BiHom-associative algebras, BiHom-Lie algebras and BiHom-bialgebras. *SIGMA, Symmetry Integrability Geom. Methods Appl.* **11** (2015), Article ID 086, 34 pages. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [9] *S. Guo, X. Zhang, S. Wang*: Braided monoidal categories and Doi-Hopf modules for monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras. *Colloq. Math.* **143** (2016), 79–103. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [10] *S. Guo, X. Zhang, S. Wang*: The construction and deformation of BiHom-Novikov algebras. *J. Geom. Phys.* **132** (2018), 460–472. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [11] *J. T. Hartwig, D. Larsson, S. D. Silvestrov*: Deformations of Lie algebras using σ -derivations. *J. Algebra* **295** (2006), 314–361. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [12] *N. Hu*: q -Witt algebras, q -Lie algebras, q -holomorph structure and representations. *Algebra Colloq.* **6** (1999), 51–70. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [13] *A. Joyal, S. Street*: Tortile Yang-Baxter operators in tensor categories. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **71** (1991), 43–51. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [14] *C. Kassel*: Quantum Groups. Graduate Texts in Mathematics 155. Springer, New York, 1995. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [15] *J. Li, L. Chen, B. Sun*: Bihom-Nijenhuis operators and T^* -extensions of Bihom-Lie superalgebras. *Hacet. J. Math. Stat.* **48** (2019), 785–799. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [16] *L. Liu, A. Makhlouf, C. Menini, F. Panaite*: $\{\sigma, \tau\}$ -Rota-Baxter operators, infinitesimal Hom-bialgebras and the associative (bi)Hom-Yang-Baxter equation. *Can. Math. Bull.* **62** (2019), 355–372. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [17] *D. Lu, X. Zhang*: Hom-L-R-smash biproduct and the category of Hom-Yetter-Drinfel’d-Long bimodules. *J. Algebra Appl.* **17** (2018), Article ID 1850133, 19 pages. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [18] *S. Majid*: Representations, duals and quantum doubles of monoidal categories. *Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo, II. Ser., Suppl.* **26** (1991), 197–206. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [19] *S. Majid*: Quantum double for quasi-Hopf algebras. *Lett. Math. Phys.* **45** (1998), 1–9. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [20] *A. Makhlouf, F. Panaite*: Yetter-Drinfeld modules for Hom-bialgebras. *J. Math. Phys.* **55** (2014), Article ID 013501, 17 pages. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [21] *A. Makhlouf, F. Panaite*: Hom-L-R-smash products, Hom-diagonal crossed products and the Drinfeld double of a Hom-Hopf algebra. *J. Algebra* **441** (2015), 314–343. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [22] *A. Makhlouf, S. D. Silvestrov*: Hom-algebra structures. *J. Gen. Lie Theory Appl.* **2** (2008), 51–64. [zbl](#) [MR](#)
- [23] *A. Makhlouf, S. Silvestrov*: Hom-Lie admissible Hom-coalgebras and Hom-Hopf algebras. *Generalized Lie Theory in Mathematics, Physics and Beyond*. Springer, Berlin, 2009, pp. 189–206. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)

- [24] *A. Makhlouf, S. Silvestrov*: Hom-algebras and Hom-coalgebras. *J. Algebra Appl.* *9* (2010), 553–589. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [25] *S. Montgomery*: Hopf algebras and their actions on rings. Regional Conference Series in Mathematics 82. AMS, Providence, 1993. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [26] *A. Nenciu*: The center construction for weak Hopf algebras. *Tsukuba J. Math.* *26* (2002), 189–204. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [27] *D. Yau*: The Hom-Yang-Baxter equation, Hom-Lie algebras, and quasi-triangular bialgebras. *J. Phys. A, Math. Theor.* *42* (2009), Article ID 165202, 12 pages. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [28] *D. Yau*: Hom-quantum groups. I. Quasi-triangular Hom-bialgebras. *J. Phys. A, Math. Theor.* *45* (2012), Article ID 065203, 23 pages. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [29] *X. Zhang, L. Dong*: Braided mixed datums and their applications on Hom-quantum groups. *Glasg. Math. J.* *60* (2018), 231–251. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [30] *X. Zhang, S. Guo, S. Wang*: Drinfeld codoubles of Hom-Hopf algebras. *Adv. Appl. Clifford Algebr.* *29* (2019), Article ID 36, 26 pages. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [31] *X. Zhang, D. Wang*: Cotwists of bicomonads and BiHom-bialgebras. *Algebr. Represent. Theor.* *23* (2020), 1355–1385. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [32] *X. Zhang, W. Wang, X. Zhao*: Drinfeld twists for monoidal Hom-bialgebras. *Colloq. Math.* *156* (2019), 199–228. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [33] *X. Zhao, X. Zhang*: Lazy 2-cocycles over monoidal Hom-Hopf algebras. *Colloq. Math.* *142* (2016), 61–81. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)
- [34] *H. Zhu, G. Liu, T. Yang*: Characterization of quasi-Yetter-Drinfeld modules. *J. Algebra Appl.* *19* (2020), Article ID 2050058, 16 pages. [zbl](#) [MR](#) [doi](#)

Authors' addresses: Xiaohui Zhang (corresponding author), School of Mathematical Sciences, Qufu Normal University, 57 Jingxuan West Road, Qufu, Shandong 273165, P. R. China, e-mail: zxhhhhh@hotmail.com; Wei Wang, Nanjing Research Institute of Electronic Engineering, 163 Xianlin Ave., Qixia District, Nanjing Jiangsu 210007, P. R. China, e-mail: weiwang2012spring@yahoo.com; Juzhen Chen, College of Science, Nanjing Forestry University, Nanjing Jiangsu 210037, P. R. China, e-mail: juzhenchen@139.com.