

Meselem Karras; Mihoub Bouderbala

Hyperbolic summation involving the function $\Omega(n)$ and LCM

Archivum Mathematicum, Vol. 61 (2025), No. 5, 167–173

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/153280>

Terms of use:

© Masaryk University, 2025

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library* <http://dml.cz>

HYPERBOLIC SUMMATION INVOLVING THE FUNCTION $\Omega(n)$ AND LCM

MESELEM KARRAS AND MIHOUB BOUDERBALA

ABSTRACT. We study the sum $\sum_{abc \leq x} \Omega([a, b, c])$, where $\Omega(n)$ denotes the number of distinct prime divisors of $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}$ counted with multiplicity, and $[a, b, c] = \text{lcm}(a, b, c)$. An asymptotic formula is derived for this sum over the hyperbolic region $\{(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}^3, abc \leq x\}$.

INTRODUCTION

Let f be an arithmetic function, and for $r \geq 2$, let

$$[n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r] = \text{lcm}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r).$$

Let $\omega(n)$ denote the number of distinct prime divisors of a positive integer $n \geq 1$, and let $\Omega(n)$ the number of prime divisors of n , counted with multiplicity. The problem of finding an asymptotic formula for sums such as

$$\sum_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_r \leq x} f(\text{gcd}(n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r)) \quad \text{or} \quad \sum_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_r \leq x} f([n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r])$$

where f is a suitable arithmetic function, to be speced below, has been widely studied in number theory. Previous works by researchers such as Heyman and Tóth [1] include sharp results in the two-variable case. Results for the general case are often restricted to multiplicative arithmetic functions under certain conditions, or to additive arithmetic functions for the first type of sum [2]. For the second type of sum, we first restrict our attention to the case $f = \omega^m$ with $m \geq 1$. This is, however, not our main topic in the present paper. Indeed, the case $f = \Omega^m$ where $m \geq 1$, poses substantial challenges and requires different techniques. In the present paper we are able to make a new and hopefully interesting contribution for the case $f = \Omega$, with $r = 3$.

We begin with two important results which are direct applications of two of Ivic's theorems [4].

2020 *Mathematics Subject Classification*: primary 11A05; secondary 11A25, 11N37.

Key words and phrases: prime divisors, hyperbolic summation, integer part.

Received March 21, 2025, revised November 2025. Editor C. Greither.

DOI: 10.5817/AM2025-5-167

Theorem 1. *Let $r \geq 2$ be fixed integer and N be an arbitrary fixed integer, but for which $N > r$. Then there exist computable constants $a_{r,j}, b_{r,j}, c_{r,j}$ ($a_{r,j} \neq 0$) such that*

$$(1) \quad \sum_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_r \leq x} \omega([n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r]) = x \sum_{j=1}^N (a_{r,j} \log \log x + b_{r,j}) (\log x)^{r-j} + x \sum_{j=r+1}^N c_{r,j} (\log x)^{r-j} + O(x (\log x)^{r-N-1}).$$

Theorem 2. *Let $m, N \geq 1$ and $r \geq 2$ be fixed integers. Then there exist polynomials $P_{r,m,j}(t)$ ($j = 1, 2, \dots, N$) of degree m in t with computable coefficients such that*

$$(2) \quad \sum_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_r \leq x} \omega^m([n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r]) = x \sum_{j=1}^N P_{r,m,j}(\log \log x) (\log x)^{r-j} + O(x (\log x)^{r-N-1} (\log \log x)^m).$$

The authors in [1, Theorem 2.9] show the first result in the case $r = 2$ and the same result for the function $\Omega(n)$ (see Theorem 2.10).

Proof. The distinct prime divisors of the integer $[n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r]$ are the same of the integer $n_1 n_2 \dots n_r$. Then we obtain

$$\omega([n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r]) = \omega(n_1 n_2 \dots n_r).$$

Therefore, for any integer $m \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_r = n} \omega^m([n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r]) &= \sum_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_r = n} \omega^m(n) \\ &= \omega^m(n) \sum_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_r = n} 1 \\ &= \omega^m(n) \tau_r(n). \end{aligned}$$

Note that, for an integer $r \geq 2$,

$$\tau_r(n) = \sum_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_r = n} 1$$

is the Piltz divisor function. Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_r \leq x} \omega^m([n_1, n_2, \dots, n_r]) &= \sum_{n \leq x} \sum_{n_1 n_2 \dots n_r = n} \omega^m(n) \\ &= \sum_{n \leq x} \omega^m(n) \tau_r(n). \end{aligned}$$

So, our results are Theorems 1 and 2 in [4]. □

Theorem 3. *We have*

$$\sum_{abc \leq x} \Omega([a, b, c]) = \frac{3}{2}x(\log x)^2 \log \log x + 3(b-1)x^2 \log x + \frac{(C_2 - 3b)}{2}x(\log x)^2 + O(x \log x \log \log x),$$

where $b = A + \sum_p \frac{1}{p(p-1)}$ such that $A = \gamma + \sum_p \left(\log \left(1 - \frac{1}{p} \right) + \frac{1}{p} \right)$ and

$$C_2 = \sum_p \frac{1}{p^3 - 1} \approx 0.1941.$$

The proof of the theorem is based on the following lemmas:

Lemma 1. *Let f be an arithmetic function. Then*

$$(3) \quad \sum_{abc \leq x} f([a, b, c]) = 3 \sum_{an \leq x} f(a) \tau(n) - 3x \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{ab=n} f(\gcd(a, b)) + \sum_{abc \leq x} f(\gcd(a, b, c)) + O\left(\sum_{ab \leq x} f(\gcd(a, b)) \right),$$

where $\tau(n)$ is the number of positive divisors of n .

Proof. Using the inclusion-exclusion principle, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{abc=n} f([a, b, c]) &= \sum_{abc=n} f(a) + \sum_{abc=n} f(b) + \sum_{abc=n} f(c) - \sum_{abc=n} f(\gcd(a, b)) \\ &\quad - \sum_{abc=n} f(\gcd(a, c)) - \sum_{abc=n} f(\gcd(b, c)) + \sum_{abc=n} f(\gcd(a, b, c)) \\ &= 3 \sum_{abc=n} f(a) - 3 \sum_{abc=n} f(\gcd(a, b)) \\ &\quad + \sum_{abc=n} f(\gcd(a, b, c)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{abc \leq x} f([a, b, c]) &= 3 \sum_{abc \leq x} f(a) - 3 \sum_{abc \leq x} f(\gcd(a, b)) + \sum_{abc \leq x} f(\gcd(a, b, c)) \\ &= 3 \sum_{an \leq x} f(a) \tau(n) - 3 \sum_{ab \leq x} f(\gcd(a, b)) \sum_{c \leq \frac{x}{ab}} 1 \\ &\quad + \sum_{abc \leq x} f(\gcd(a, b, c)). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, since:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{ab \leq x} f(\gcd(a, b)) \sum_{c \leq \frac{x}{ab}} 1 &= x \sum_{ab \leq x} \frac{f(\gcd(a, b))}{ab} + O\left(\sum_{ab \leq x} f(\gcd(a, b))\right) \\ &= x \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{ab=n} f(\gcd(a, b)) + O\left(\sum_{ab \leq x} f(\gcd(a, b))\right). \end{aligned}$$

So, considering this last formula, we obtain the desired result. □

Lemma 2. *For $x \geq 2$, we have*

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{an \leq x} \Omega(a) \tau(n) &= \frac{x}{2} (\log x)^2 \log \log x + (b-1)x^2 \log x - \frac{b}{2}x (\log x)^2 \\ (4) \qquad \qquad \qquad &+ O(x \log x \log \log x), \end{aligned}$$

where $b = A + \sum_p \frac{1}{p(p-1)}$ such that $A = \gamma + \sum_p \left(\log\left(1 - \frac{1}{p}\right) + \frac{1}{p}\right) \approx 0.2614972 \dots$

Proof. By the well-known estimate formula,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \tau(n) = x (\log x + C) + O(x^{\theta+\varepsilon}),$$

where $C = 2\gamma - 1$ and $\frac{1}{4} < \theta < \frac{1}{2}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{an \leq x} \Omega(a) \tau(n) &= \sum_{a \leq x} \Omega(a) \sum_{n \leq \frac{x}{a}} \tau(n) \\ &= \sum_{a \leq x} \Omega(a) \left(\frac{x}{a} \left(\log \frac{x}{a} + C \right) + O\left(\left(\frac{x}{a}\right)^{\theta+\varepsilon}\right) \right) \\ &= x (\log x + C) \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Omega(n)}{n} - x \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Omega(n) \log n}{n} + O\left(x^{\theta+\varepsilon} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Omega(n)}{n^{\theta+\varepsilon}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Estimate of the following sums $\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Omega(n)}{n}$, $\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Omega(n) \log n}{n}$ and $\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Omega(n)}{n^{\theta+\varepsilon}}$.

We know that,

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \Omega(n) = x \log \log x + bx + O\left(\frac{x}{\log x}\right),$$

where b is given by (4). We use a partial summation, we get

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Omega(n)}{n} = (\log x) \log \log x + (b-1)x + O(\log \log x).$$

Again by partial summation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Omega(n) \log n}{n} &= \log x \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Omega(n)}{n} - \int_2^x \frac{1}{t} \left(\sum_{n \leq t} \frac{\Omega(n)}{n} \right) dt \\ &= \frac{1}{2} (\log x)^2 \log \log x + \frac{b}{2} (\log x)^2 + O((\log x) \log \log x), \end{aligned}$$

and we have

$$\sum_{n \leq x} \frac{\Omega(n)}{n^{\theta+\varepsilon}} = O(x^{1-\theta-\varepsilon} \log \log x) .$$

□

Remark 4. We can use the Theorem 3 from [4] with parameters $k = 3, m = 0$ and $r = 1$.

Lemma 3. *We have*

$$(5) \quad \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{ab=n} \Omega(\gcd(a, b)) = \frac{C_\Omega}{2} \log^2(x) + (C_\Omega + 1) \log x + D_\Omega + O(x^{-1/2}) ,$$

where C_Ω and D_Ω are two positive constants.

Proof. We apply a partial summation to the estimate (2.16) in [1], we get (5). □

Lemma 4. *We have*

$$(6) \quad \sum_{abc \leq x} \Omega(\gcd(a, b, c)) = \frac{C_2}{2} x \log^2(x) + O(x \log x) ,$$

where $C_2 = \sum_p \frac{1}{p^3 - 1} \approx 0.1941$.

We note that formula (6) has been proved in the general case in [2, Theorem 2.3]. Here we give the explicit form of the polynomial $P_{\Omega,2}(x)$ of degree 2. First, by Proposition 5.1 in [5], we get

$$\sum_{abc=n} \Omega(\gcd(a, b, c)) = \sum_{d^3 m=n} (\mu * \Omega)(d) \tau_3(m) ,$$

then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{abc \leq x} \Omega(\gcd(a, b, c)) &= \sum_{d^3 m \leq x} (\mu * \Omega)(d) \tau_3(m) \\ &= \sum_{d \leq x^{1/3}} (\mu * \Omega)(d) \sum_{m \leq (\frac{x}{d})^{1/3}} \tau_3(m) . \end{aligned}$$

We use the estimate

$$\sum_{m \leq x} \tau_3(m) = \frac{x \log^2 x}{2} + O(x \log x) ,$$

see, e.g., Nathanson [6, Th 7.6]. According to this estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{abc \leq x} \Omega(\gcd(a, b, c)) &= \sum_{d \leq x^{1/3}} (\mu * \Omega)(d) \left(\frac{x}{2d^3} \log^2 \left(\frac{x}{d^3} \right) + O \left(\frac{x}{d^3} \log \left(\frac{x}{d^3} \right) \right) \right) \\
 &= \frac{x \log^2 x}{2} \sum_{d \leq x^{1/3}} \frac{(\mu * \Omega)(d)}{d^3} + \left(-3x \log x + \frac{9}{2}x \right) \\
 &\quad \times \sum_{d \leq x^{1/3}} \frac{(\mu * \Omega)(d) \log d}{d^3} \\
 (7) \quad &+ O \left(x \log x \sum_{d \leq x^{1/3}} \frac{(\mu * \Omega)(d)}{d^3} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu * \Omega)(n)}{n^s} &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\mu(n)}{n^s} \times \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Omega(n)}{n^s} \\
 &= \frac{1}{\zeta(s)} \times \zeta(s) \sum_p \frac{1}{p^s - 1} \\
 &= \sum_p \frac{1}{p^s - 1}, \quad \text{Re}(s) > 1.
 \end{aligned}$$

then

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{d \leq x^{1/3}} \frac{(\mu * \Omega)(d)}{d^3} &= \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\mu * \Omega)(d)}{d^3} + O \left(\frac{1}{x^{2/3}} \right) \\
 (8) \quad &= \sum_p \frac{1}{p^3 - 1} + O \left(\frac{1}{x^{2/3}} \right) = C_2 + O \left(\frac{1}{x^{2/3}} \right).
 \end{aligned}$$

Using this last estimate with a partial sum, we find

$$(9) \quad \sum_{d \leq x^{1/3}} \frac{(\mu * \Omega)(d) \log d}{d^3} = O(1)$$

According (8) and (9) in (7), therefore we obtain (6).

Proof of Theorem 3. By Lemma 1, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{abc \leq x} \Omega([a, b, c]) &= 3 \sum_{an \leq x} \Omega(a) \tau(n) - 3x \sum_{n \leq x} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{ab=n} \Omega(\gcd(a, b)) \\
 &\quad + \sum_{abc \leq x} \Omega(\gcd(a, b, c)) + O \left(\sum_{ab \leq x} \Omega(\gcd(a, b)) \right),
 \end{aligned}$$

and by Lemmas 2, 3 and 4 we get

$$\sum_{abc \leq x} \Omega([a, b, c]) = \frac{3}{2}x(\log x)^2 \log \log x + 3(b-1)x^2 \log x + \frac{(C_2 - 3b)}{2}x(\log x)^2 + O(x \log x \log \log x),$$

which concludes the proof. □

REFERENCES

[1] Heyman, R., Tóth, L., *On Certain Sums of Arithmetic Functions Involving the GCD and LCM of Two Positive Integers*, Results Math. **76** (1) (2021), 22 pp., Paper No. 49.
 [2] Heyman, R., Tóth, L., *Hyperbolic summation for functions of the GCD and LCM of several integers*, Ramanujan J. **62** (1) (2022), 1–18.
 [3] Iksanov, A., Marynych, A., Raschel, K., *Asymptotics of arithmetic functions of GCD and LCM of random integers in hyperbolic regions*, preprint, 2021, [arXiv:2112.11892v1\[math.NT\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2112.11892v1).
 [4] Ivic, A., *Sums of products of certain arithmetical functions*, Publ. Inst. Math. (Beograd) (N.S.) **41** (55) (1987), 31–41.
 [5] Krätzel, E., Nowak, W.G., Tóth, L., *On certain arithmetic functions involving the greatest common divisor*, Cent. Eur. J. Math. **10** (2012), 761–774.
 [6] Nathanson, M.B., *Elementary Methods in Number Theory*, Grad. Texts in Math., vol. 195, Springer, 2000.

UNIVERSITY OF TISSEMSILT, FACULTY OF SCIENCE AND TECHNOLOGY,
 ALGERIA FIMA LABORATORY,
 KHEMIS MILIANA, ALGERIA
E-mail: m.karras@univ-tissemsilt.dz

KHEMIS MILIANA UNIVERSITY (UDBKM), FIMA LABORATORY,
 FACULTY OF MATTER SCIENCES AND COMPUTER SCIENCES,
 RUE THNIET EL HAD, KHEMIS MILIANA,
 44225, AIN DEFLA PROVINCE, ALGERIA
E-mail: mihoub75bouder@gmail.com