

Nima Rasekh

Cartesian Fibrations of Complete Segal Spaces

*Higher Structures*, Vol. 7 (2023), No. 1, 40–73

Persistent URL: <http://dml.cz/dmlcz/153458>

## Terms of use:

© Institute of Mathematics, Czech Academy of Sciences, 2023

Institute of Mathematics of the Czech Academy of Sciences provides access to digitized documents strictly for personal use. Each copy of any part of this document must contain these *Terms of use*.



This document has been digitized, optimized for electronic delivery and stamped with digital signature within the project *DML-CZ: The Czech Digital Mathematics Library*  
<http://dml.cz>

# Cartesian Fibrations of Complete Segal Spaces

Nima Rasekh<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup>École Polytechnique Fédérale de Lausanne, SV BMI UPHESS, Station 8, CH-1015 Lausanne, Switzerland

---

## Abstract

Cartesian fibrations were originally defined by Lurie in the context of quasi-categories and are commonly used in  $(\infty, 1)$ -category theory to study presheaves valued in  $(\infty, 1)$ -categories. In this work we define and study fibrations modeling presheaves valued in simplicial spaces and their localizations. This includes defining a model structure for these fibrations and giving effective tools to recognize its fibrations and weak equivalences. This in particular gives us a new method to construct Cartesian fibrations via complete Segal spaces. In addition to that, it allows us to define and study fibrations modeling presheaves of Segal spaces.

Communicated by: Rune Haugseng.

Received: 24th March, 2021. Accepted: 14th September, 2022.

MSC: 18N60, 18N40, 18N50, 18N55, 18F20.

Keywords: Higher category, complete Segal spaces, Cartesian fibrations, Grothendieck construction.

---

## 1. Introduction

**1.1 Cartesian Fibrations of Quasi-Categories** The *theory of  $(\infty, 1)$ -categories* helped formalize the notion of *homotopies* that first arose in classical algebraic topology. This helped overcome many early challenges in algebraic topology. For example it helped develop a homotopy invariant notion of colimit, making sense of *homotopy colimits* [10], or helped properly define a *smash product of spectra* [17], an important problem in the early days of stable homotopy theory [1, 13]. More generally, it created a foundation for properly developing “*homotopy coherent mathematics*”, which has now found applications in many branches of mathematics, such as algebraic geometry [29], differential geometry [32, 33] and even mathematical physics [28]. As one might expect such benefits also come with a price. For example, it greatly complicates the notion of functoriality, which now needs to be homotopy coherent and hence requires checking an infinite number of conditions.

Fortunately, certain important classes of functors can be defined in alternative ways, that are often easier to construct in practice. For example functors out of an  $(\infty, 1)$ -category into

---

Email address: [nima.rasekh@epfl.ch](mailto:nima.rasekh@epfl.ch) (Nima Rasekh)

© Nima Rasekh, 2023, under a Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International License.

DOI: [10.21136/HS.2023.03](https://doi.org/10.21136/HS.2023.03)

the  $(\infty, 1)$ -category of spaces are equivalent to *left fibrations* over that  $(\infty, 1)$ -category. This was first observed by Joyal who was developing the category theory of *quasi-categories*, a popular model of  $(\infty, 1)$ -categories [22, 23]. It was then further studied by Lurie, who also presented one of the first proofs of the equivalence between functors and fibrations in the context of quasi-categories [26]. In the subsequent years many authors have reviewed the theory of left fibrations and its relation with functors from many different perspectives: There are alternative methods for defining the model structure for left fibrations, the *covariant model structure*, in the context of quasi-categories [31]. Moreover, there are now many alternative proofs of the equivalence between left fibrations and functors again in the quasi-categorical context [18, 19, 48, 12]. There are also studies of left fibrations using *complete Segal spaces* [41], another model of  $(\infty, 1)$ -categories [9, 35, 25]. Moreover, there is an analysis of left fibrations in the context of an  $\infty$ -cosmos, which is a model-independent approach to  $(\infty, 1)$ -category theory using various ideas from 2-category theory [46]. Finally, left fibrations have also been studied in a homotopy type theoretical context [43].

Another class of functors that can be studied via fibrations are functors valued in  $(\infty, 1)$ -categories themselves. Here the corresponding fibrations are known as *coCartesian fibrations*. These were first defined by Lurie [26], who proved an equivalence between fibrations and functors by constructing a Quillen equivalence between appropriately defined model categories. However, coCartesian fibrations have not received the same attention that left fibrations have. There has been interesting work on the model-independent aspects of coCartesian fibrations, both from a quasi-categorical perspective [30, 3] as well as from an  $\infty$ -cosmos perspective [46]. However, the *coCartesian model structure* and its equivalence with functors in [26] have not been tackled again in the quasi-categorical setting, let alone other models of  $(\infty, 1)$ -categories.

There are several complicating factors that have contributed to our current predicament. One very mysterious issue that arises when studying coCartesian fibrations is that although quasi-categories are simplicial sets, the model structure for coCartesian fibrations has only been defined for *marked simplicial sets* and it is widely believed that it is not possible to define an appropriate model structure on simplicial sets that can help us study coCartesian fibrations. This technicality adds a layer, in particular as the category of marked simplicial sets is not a presheaf category hence depriving us of many techniques to study fibrations (that for example play an important role in [12, 31]). Another complicating factor comes from the fact that functors into  $(\infty, 1)$ -categories have an inherent  $(\infty, 2)$ -categorical character (as we can talk about natural transformations of such functors) and while there are several models of  $(\infty, 2)$ -categories in the literature [42, 4, 51, 2], the study of its category theory and in particular fibrations is still in its early stages [27, 14, 34, 38].

**1.2 Cartesian Fibrations via Complete Segal Objects** Up to this point we discussed the importance of coCartesian fibrations and the need to find alternative perspectives. The goal of this paper is to offer one such alternative perspective using *complete Segal objects* (also called *Rezk objects* [44]). Before going into further details it is instructive to review the construction of complete Segal spaces, due to Rezk [41], which goes as follows:

- (1) He starts with the category of simplicial sets with the Kan model structure, giving us a model for spaces.
- (2) He then takes simplicial diagrams  $X$  in spaces, defining *simplicial spaces*, and gives that the Reedy model structure.
- (3) He adds two restrictions by using left Bousfield localization on the Reedy model structure:

(I) *Segal Condition*: A Reedy fibrant simplicial space  $X$  is a *Segal space* if the map

$$X_n \rightarrow X_1 \times_{X_0} \dots \times_{X_0} X_1$$

for  $n \geq 2$  is a Kan equivalence.

(II) *Completeness Condition*: A Segal space  $X$  is a *complete Segal space* if the map

$$X_{hoequiv} \rightarrow X_1$$

is a Kan equivalence, where  $X_{hoequiv}$  can be described as a finite limit [42, 10].

For a review of complete Segal spaces see [Subsection 2.5](#).

Later complete Segal spaces model structure was proven to be equivalent to the model structure for quasi-categories [24] and other models of  $(\infty, 1)$ -categories [49, 5, 6].

The beauty of the complete Segal space approach to  $(\infty, 1)$ -categories is that the process we outlined above can be generalized from spaces to any  $(\infty, 1)$ -category with finite limits, giving us a notion of complete Segal objects. In particular we can apply the process to the  $(\infty, 1)$ -category of functors valued in spaces, or, equivalently, to left fibrations. Applying the process to the  $(\infty, 1)$ -category of space-valued functors evidently results in the  $(\infty, 1)$ -category of  $(\infty, 1)$ -category-valued functors. This naturally motivates studying complete Segal objects of left fibrations as a fibrational analogue to functors valued in  $(\infty, 1)$ -categories hence suggest following approach to coCartesian fibrations:

**coCartesian fibrations are complete Segal objects in left fibrations.**

**1.3 Cartesian Fibration of Complete Segal Spaces** In order to start the process from left fibrations to coCartesian fibrations we first need to choose a model for our left fibrations. Here we will use left fibrations of simplicial spaces as studied in [35], however, it should be noted that using left fibrations as studied by Lurie [26] would give us the same results. In fact the equivalence of the two resulting coCartesian model structures has been proven in the follow-up work [36].

Having decided which model of left fibrations to use we define coCartesian fibrations and study their properties simply by following the same three steps that Rezk used:

- (1) Start with the category of simplicial spaces over a fixed simplicial space and give it a model structure such that the fibrant objects are the left fibrations. This model structure is known as the *covariant model structure* [35] and is reviewed in [Subsection 2.6](#).
- (2) Take simplicial diagrams in left fibrations. Then give the resulting category a Reedy model structure, calling it the *Reedy covariant model structure on bisimplicial spaces*. Then observe how the properties of the covariant model structure transfers to the Reedy covariant model structure. This is the content of [Section 3](#).
- (2.5) Next we will do a general analysis how the Reedy covariant model structure behaves when we use Bousfield localizations, in particular analyzing the fibrant objects ([Corollary 4.16](#)) and weak equivalences ([Theorem 4.20](#)) and studying its invariance ([Theorem 4.21](#)). This is the goal of [Section 4](#).
- (3) Finally, we apply the results of the previous section and focus on the particular conditions associated with Segal spaces and complete Segal spaces to define Segal coCartesian fibrations and coCartesian fibrations. We will cover that in [Section 5](#).

Note we can prove that the resulting model structure is Quillen equivalent to the Cartesian model structure on marked simplicial sets defined by Lurie. That is the main result of the follow up work [36].

**1.4 Summary of Important Results in the Case of Cartesian Fibrations** Before proceeding to the impact and future applications of this work let us give a summary of important results with a focus on Cartesian fibrations. For every simplicial space  $X$ , we construct a model structure on bisimplicial spaces over  $X$ ,  $ss\mathcal{S}/_X$ , which satisfies following valuable results:

1. The model structure is given explicitly as a Bousfield localization of a Reedy model structure ([Theorem 5.4](#)), giving us immediate access to the local objects and their equivalences, unlike the Cartesian model structure on marked simplicial sets, which was defined directly [[26](#), Proposition 3.1.3.7], making it much harder to access weak equivalences and fibrations.
2. We give a very simple formula for the unstraightening construction [[26](#), Theorem 3.2.0.1] over nerves of categories ([Theorem 5.6](#)), which has many computational benefits.
3. Similar to the case of marked simplicial set, we give a characterization of weak equivalences between fibrant objects ([Theorem 5.8](#)), however, we also give a characterization of the weak equivalences between arbitrary objects ([Theorem 5.11](#)), which in the context of quasi-categories can only be found for right fibrations [[18](#), Proposition G].
4. We directly prove the Cartesian model structure is invariant under categorical equivalences ([Theorem 5.12](#)), which was only proven for Cartesian model structure on marked simplicial sets by translating to presheaves [[26](#), Theorem 3.2.0.1].
5. We prove Cartesian fibrations are *exponentiable* by generalizing the argument from right fibration ([Theorem 5.13](#)). This is a very valuable result, which has been proven in a variety of settings [[26](#), [3](#), [45](#)].

**1.5 Why Complete Segal Space Approach?** Given that we already had a Cartesian model structure, why present an alternative way? Beside a theoretical satisfaction of approaching an interesting topic from a new angle, there are also concrete benefits:

1. **Exposition:** Cartesian fibrations are notoriously difficult to understand. The main source for many results is still [[26](#), Chapter 3] and is quite technical, requiring a lot of background knowledge. In particular, constructing a model structure for Cartesian fibrations requires directly constructing a model structure on *marked simplicial sets*. This makes it difficult for most, except for a small number of experts, to use Cartesian fibrations to prove new results.

The complete Segal object approach to Cartesian fibrations requires far less theoretical background. It primarily relies on understanding right fibrations, which are in fact easier and have been studied by many different people (such as [[18](#), [19](#), [48](#), [12](#), [31](#), [9](#), [35](#), [25](#)]) meaning there are now excellent resources for mathematicians interested in fibrations. In particular, the model structure for these Cartesian fibrations is given via left Bousfield localization, which is a convenient way to construct new model structures.

As a result one can now understand model categorical aspects of the Cartesian model structure on marked simplicial sets, using the more easily constructed Cartesian model structure via complete Segal objects and the fact that they are equivalent, as proven in [[36](#)].

2. **Direct Proofs:** One important implication of the equivalence between left fibrations and space-valued functors is the fact that the covariant model structure is invariant under  $(\infty, 1)$ -categorical equivalences, meaning that an equivalence in the model structure for quasi-categories gives us a Quillen equivalence of covariant model structures [[26](#), Remark 2.1.4.11]. However, there are now also direct proofs of this fact that only use structural

properties of the covariant model structure, both in the setting of quasi-categories [18] as well as complete Segal spaces [35].

Generalizing to coCartesian fibrations, we can still use the equivalence with functors to deduce it is invariant under  $(\infty, 1)$ -categorical equivalences, as has been done in [26, Proposition 3.3.1.1]. However, we do not have a direct proof only using the coCartesian model structure on marked simplicial sets. On the other hand, using the complete Segal approach to coCartesian fibrations allows us to generalize the invariant proof for left fibrations to coCartesian fibrations in a reasonably straightforward manner (as shown in Theorem 5.12).

3. **Segal coCartesian Fibrations:** *Homotopy type theory* is a new approach to the foundations that is inherently homotopy invariant [50]. It has opened the possibility of finding a model independent approach to  $(\infty, 1)$ -category theory. As one would expect of an axiomatic system, one important question is their expressiveness, meaning which axioms are necessary to prove which result. An important example is the *univalence axiom* which is simply the type theoretic articulation of the completeness condition we use to define complete Segal spaces. For example, in their paper [43] Riehl and Shulman introduce a notion of  $(\infty, 1)$ -category, a *Rezk type*, inside their type theory. They then prove that the Yoneda lemma holds without the univalence axiom, whereas equality of various notions of adjunctions does require univalence.

If we translate those observations from homotopy type theory to a more classical foundations, determining the necessity of the univalence axiom corresponds to proving the result for a general Segal vs. observing that the completeness condition is in fact necessary. For example, the independence of univalence from the Yoneda lemma corresponds to proving the Yoneda lemma for Segal spaces, which in fact has been done (independently) in [35], using left fibrations for Segal spaces. Motivated by the result of Riehl and Shulman in homotopy type theory, we would analogously like to show that adjunctions do in fact require the completeness condition, however, as witnessed in [26], studying adjunctions requires fibrations of  $(\infty, 1)$ -categories. Hence, to even make sense of such questions requires a notion of fibration for Segal spaces. Defining such fibrations does not seem possible using marked simplicial sets, whereas we can do so using the complete Segal approach (as we do in Section 5). Hence, using the complete Segal approach to coCartesian fibration allows us to tackle more general question of interest related to foundations and the necessity of completeness. A first example of the power of this method can be found in [37], where Segal coCartesian fibrations are used to study univalence in the higher category categorical setting.

4. **Representable Cartesian Fibrations:** One important class of left fibrations are *representable left fibrations*, which are precisely the fibrations that correspond to corepresentable functors. These left fibrations play an extraordinary role in  $(\infty, 1)$ -category theory and many important results (such as limits, adjunctions, ...) can be reduced to determining the representability of certain left fibrations.

We can similarly try to determine when a coCartesian fibration is representable by a simplicial object. While it is theoretically possible to study such coCartesian fibrations using the marked simplicial approach (as has been done in [47]), the complete Segal approach is perfectly tailored to tackle such questions. The study of such representable coCartesian fibrations deserves its own attention and hence is part of a follow up to this paper [39].

5. **Fibrations of  $(\infty, n)$ -Categories:** The same way that  $(\infty, 1)$ -category theory has led to a precise notion of “weak 1-categories”, the development of  $(\infty, n)$ -categories is helping us

conceptualize *weak  $n$ -categories*. Though in its early stages it has already contributed to the advancement of topological field theories [28, 11], derived algebraic geometry [15, 16] and  $(\infty, 1)$ -category theory itself [27]. Further applications and studies require a good theory of fibrations.

Some common models of  $(\infty, n)$ -categories, such as  $\Theta_n$ -spaces [42] and  $n$ -fold complete Segal spaces [4] are in fact direct (and equivalent [7, 8]) generalizations of complete Segal spaces. As the notion of complete Segal object to fibrations is inherently inductive, it suggests the possibility of defining fibrations for  $(\infty, n)$ -categories by simply choosing appropriate  $\Theta_n$ -diagrams or  $n$ -fold simplicial diagrams in left fibrations, which for the case of  $n$ -fold complete Segal spaces has been pursued in [34, 38].

**1.6 Relation to Other Work** This paper is the first part of a three-paper series which introduces the bisimplicial approach to Cartesian fibrations:

1. **Cartesian Fibrations of Complete Segal Spaces**
2. **Quasi-Categories vs. Segal Spaces: Cartesian Edition** [36]
3. **Cartesian Fibrations and Representability** [39]

In particular, the second paper proves that the approach here coincides with the approach via marked simplicial sets. The third paper gives an application of the bisimplicial approach to the study of representable Cartesian fibrations. Moreover, this

Moreover, since this work first appeared, there has been subsequent work by Nuiten [34], which studies fibrations of  $n$ -fold complete Segal spaces, constructing, as the title suggests, a straightening result for  $n$ -fold complete Segal spaces, which in particular gives us a straightening construction for complete Segal spaces. The key difference to the work here is that the construction of fibrations there is internal to complete Segal spaces, which, while having many benefits, has the drawback that it does not come with a model structure and cannot be used to study fibrations of Segal spaces, which is in fact one of the key motivations of this work.

## 2. Reviewing Concepts

In this section we review some basic concepts regarding model categories, simplicial spaces, complete Segal spaces, left fibrations and bisimplicial spaces that we will need in the coming sections.

**2.1 Model Categories** We will use the language of model categories throughout and so use results from [21, 20, 26, 24]. Here we will only state few results explicitly. For a given category  $\mathcal{C}$  with model structure  $\mathcal{M}$  we use the notation  $\mathcal{C}^{\mathcal{M}}$  for the resulting model category.

*Remark 2.1.* Recall a model structure  $\mathcal{M}$  on a category  $\mathcal{C}$  is called *compatible with Cartesian closure* if for cofibrations  $i, j$  and fibration  $p$ , the *pushout-product*  $i \square j$  is a cofibration and the *pullback-exponential*  $\exp(i, p)$  is a fibration, which is trivial if either maps involved are trivial.

For more details pushout products and pullback exponentials and their interaction (also known as *Joyal-Tierney calculus*) see the original source [24, Section 7] or [35, Subsection 2.1]. We also need a result guaranteeing that left Bousfield localizations preserve Quillen equivalences.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be two categories and  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  two simplicial, combinatorial model structures such that the cofibrations are monomorphisms in  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  respectively. Moreover,*

$$\mathcal{C}\mathcal{M} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{F} \\ \xleftarrow{G} \\ \perp \end{array} \mathcal{D}\mathcal{N}$$

be a simplicial Quillen adjunction (equivalence) of model structures and  $S$  a set of cofibrations in  $\mathcal{M}$ . Then we get a Quillen adjunction (equivalence)

$$\mathcal{C}\mathcal{M}_S \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{F} \\ \xleftarrow{G} \\ \perp \end{array} \mathcal{D}\mathcal{N}_{F(S)}$$

where the left hand side is the localized model structure with respect to  $S$  and the right hand side has been localized with respect to  $F(S)$ .

*Proof.* First we assume  $(F, G)$  is a Quillen adjunction between the  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  model structure and prove it is a Quillen adjunction between the  $\mathcal{M}_S$  and  $\mathcal{N}_{F(S)}$  model structure. We know that  $F$  preserves cofibrations, hence, by [26, Corollary A.3.7.2] it suffices to check that the right adjoint  $G$  preserves fibrant objects. Let  $Y$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  be  $\mathcal{N}_{F(S)}$ -fibrant. Then  $GY$  is  $\mathcal{M}$ -fibrant and so we only need to prove that for all maps  $f : A \rightarrow B$  in  $S$

$$f^* : \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(B, GY) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(A, GY) \quad (2.3)$$

is a Kan equivalence. By adjunction this is equivalent to

$$F(f)^* : \text{Map}_{\mathcal{D}}(FB, Y) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{\mathcal{D}}(FA, Y) \quad (2.4)$$

being an equivalence, which holds by assumption. Notice, we can use the same argument to deduce that if an object  $Y$  in  $\mathcal{D}$  is  $\mathcal{N}$ -fibrant, such that  $G(Y)$  is  $\mathcal{M}_S$ -fibrant, then  $Y$  is in fact  $\mathcal{N}_{F(S)}$ -fibrant. Indeed,  $\mathcal{N}_{F(S)}$ -fibrancy implies the map 2.3 is an equivalence which implies that 2.4 is an equivalence giving us the desired result.

Next we want to prove that if  $(F, G)$  is a Quillen equivalence between the  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$ -model structures then it is also a Quillen equivalence between the  $\mathcal{M}_S$  and  $\mathcal{N}_{F(S)}$  model structure. First, observe the derived counit map is an equivalence. Indeed, all objects are cofibrant, which means the derived counit map is just the counit map, which by assumption is an equivalence in  $\mathcal{N}$  and hence in  $\mathcal{N}_{F(S)}$ .

Next we show the derived unit map is an equivalence. Let  $X$  be an  $\mathcal{M}_{F(S)}$ -bifibrant object in  $\mathcal{C}$ . Let  $R(F(X))$  be an  $\mathcal{N}$ -fibrant replacement of  $F(X)$ . Then  $R(F(X))$  is in fact  $\mathcal{N}_{F(S)}$ -fibrant and hence an  $\mathcal{N}_{F(S)}$ -fibrant replacement. Indeed, by the previous paragraph it suffices to prove that  $G(R(F(X)))$  is  $\mathcal{M}_S$ -fibrant. However, as  $(F, G)$  is a Quillen equivalence between the  $\mathcal{M}$  and  $\mathcal{N}$  model structure, it is equivalence to  $X$  (via the derived unit map) and hence is  $\mathcal{M}_S$ -fibrant by assumption. Hence  $X \rightarrow G(R(F(X)))$  is in fact the derived unit map in the  $\mathcal{M}_S$  model structure. By assumption it is an  $\mathcal{M}$ -equivalence and so it is also an  $\mathcal{M}_S$ -equivalence, finishing the proof.  $\square$

**2.2 Simplicial Sets**  $\mathcal{S}$  will denote the category of simplicial sets, which we will call *spaces*. We will use the following notation with regard to spaces:

1.  $\Delta$  is the indexing category with objects posets  $[n] = \{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  and mappings maps of posets.
2. We will denote a morphism  $[n] \rightarrow [m]$  by a sequence of numbers  $\langle a_0, \dots, a_n \rangle$ , where  $a_i$  is the image of  $i \in [n]$ .
3.  $\Delta[n]$  denotes the simplicial set representing  $[n]$  i.e.  $\Delta[n]_k = \text{Hom}_{\Delta}([k], [n])$ .

4.  $\partial\Delta[n]$  denotes the boundary of  $\Delta[n]$  i.e. the largest sub-simplicial set which does not include  $id_{[n]} : [n] \rightarrow [n]$ .
5. Let  $I[l]$  be the category with  $l$  objects and one unique isomorphisms between any two objects. Then we denote the nerve of  $I[l]$  as  $J[l]$ . It is a Kan fibrant replacement of  $\Delta[l]$  and comes with an inclusion  $\Delta[l] \hookrightarrow J[l]$ , which is a Kan equivalence.

**2.3 Simplicial Spaces**  $s\mathcal{S} = \text{Fun}(\Delta^{op}, \mathcal{S})$  denotes the category of simplicial spaces (bisimplicial sets). We have the following basic notations with regard to simplicial spaces:

1. We embed the category of spaces inside the category of simplicial spaces as constant simplicial spaces (i.e. the simplicial spaces  $S$  such that  $S_n = S_0$  for all  $n$  and all simplicial operator maps are identities).
2. More generally we say a simplicial space is *homotopically constant* if all simplicial operator maps  $X_n \rightarrow X_m$  are equivalences (and in particular  $X_n$  are all equivalent to  $X_0$ ).
3. Denote  $F(n)$  to be the simplicial space defined as  $F(n)_{kl} = \Delta[n]_k = \text{Hom}_\Delta([k], [n])$ . Moreover  $\partial F[n]$  denotes the boundary of  $F(n)$ .
4. Denote  $E(n)$  to be the simplicial space defined as  $E(n)_{kl} = J[n]_k$ , as defined in [Subsection 2.2\(5\)](#).
5. The category  $s\mathcal{S}$  is enriched over spaces

$$\text{Map}_{s\mathcal{S}}(X, Y)_n = \text{Hom}_{s\mathcal{S}}(X \times \Delta[n], Y).$$

Here  $\Delta[n]$  is the simplicial space given via the embedding defined in [Subsection 2.3\(1\)](#).

6. The category  $s\mathcal{S}$  is also enriched over itself

$$(Y^X)_{kn} = \text{Hom}_{s\mathcal{S}}(X \times F(n) \times \Delta[l], Y).$$

7. By the Yoneda lemma, for a simplicial space  $X$  we have a bijection of spaces

$$X_n \cong \text{Map}_{s\mathcal{S}}(F(n), X).$$

**2.4 Reedy Model Structure** The category of simplicial spaces has a Reedy model structure [\[40\]](#), which is defined as follows:

- (F) A map  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  is a (trivial) Reedy fibration if for each  $n \geq 0$  the following map of spaces is a (trivial) Kan fibration

$$\text{Map}_{s\mathcal{S}}(F(n), Y) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{s\mathcal{S}}(\partial F(n), Y) \times_{\text{Map}_{s\mathcal{S}}(\partial F(n), X)} \text{Map}_{s\mathcal{S}}(F(n), X).$$

(W) A map  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  is a Reedy equivalence if it is a level-wise Kan equivalence.

(C) A map  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  is a Reedy cofibration if it is a monomorphism.

The Reedy model structure is very helpful as it enjoys many features that can help us while doing computations. In particular, it is *combinatorial*, *simplicial* and *proper*. Moreover, it is also *compatible with Cartesian closure* ([Remark 2.1](#)). These properties in particular imply that we can apply left Bousfield localizations to the Reedy model structure. See [\[20\]](#) for more details.

**2.5 Complete Segal Spaces** The Reedy model structure can be localized such that it models  $(\infty, 1)$ -categories [\[41\]](#). This first requires use to define *Segal spaces*. For  $n \geq 2$  let  $G(n) = F(1) \amalg_{F(0)} \dots \amalg_{F(0)} F(1) \hookrightarrow F(n)$  be the *spine inclusion* induced by the maps  $\langle i, i+1 \rangle$ :

$F(1) \rightarrow F(n)$  for  $0 \leq i < n$ . Now, a Reedy fibrant simplicial space  $X$  is called a *Segal space* if the map

$$\text{Map}(F(n), X) \xrightarrow{\cong} \text{Map}(G(n), X) \cong X_1 \times_{X_0} \dots \times_{X_0} X_1$$

induced by the spine inclusion  $G(n) \hookrightarrow F(n)$  is a Kan equivalence for  $n \geq 2$  [41, Section 5]. Segal spaces come with a model structure.

**Theorem 2.5.** [41, Theorem 7.1] *There is a unique combinatorial left proper simplicial model structure on the category  $s\mathcal{S}$  of simplicial spaces called the Segal space model category structure, and denoted  $s\mathcal{S}^{Seg}$ , with the following properties.*

1. *The cofibrations are the monomorphisms.*
2. *The fibrant objects are the Segal spaces.*
3. *The weak equivalences are the maps  $f$  such that  $\text{Map}_{s\mathcal{S}}(f, W)$  is a weak equivalence of spaces for every Segal space  $W$ .*

Segal spaces do not give us a model of  $(\infty, 1)$ -categories. For that we need *complete Segal spaces*. A Segal space is called a *complete Segal space* if the map

$$\text{Map}(E(1), W) \rightarrow \text{Map}(F(0), W)$$

induced by the inclusion  $F(0) \rightarrow E(1)$  (Subsection 2.3(4)) is a Kan equivalence. Complete Segal spaces come with their own model structure, the *complete Segal space model structure*.

**Theorem 2.6.** [41, Theorem 7.2] *There is a unique combinatorial left proper simplicial model structure on the category  $s\mathcal{S}$  of simplicial spaces, called the complete Segal space model category structure, and denoted  $s\mathcal{S}^{CSS}$ , with the following properties.*

1. *The cofibrations are the monomorphisms.*
2. *The fibrant objects are the complete Segal spaces.*
3. *The weak equivalences are the maps  $f$  such that  $\text{Map}_{s\mathcal{S}}(f, W)$  is a weak equivalence of spaces for every complete Segal space  $W$ .*

A complete Segal space is a model for an  $(\infty, 1)$ -category. For a better understanding of complete Segal spaces see [41, Section 6] and for a comparison with other models see [24, 5, 6].

**2.6 A Reminder on the Covariant Model Structure** This section will serve as a short reminder on the covariant model structure and all of its relevant definitions and theorems. For more details see [35], where all these definitions and theorems are discussed in more detail.

Let  $X$  be an arbitrary simplicial space. A Reedy fibration  $p : L \rightarrow X$  ( $q : R \rightarrow X$ ) is called a *left fibration* (*right fibration*) if the following is a homotopy pullback square (using the notation Subsection 2.2(2))

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_n & \xrightarrow{p_n} & X_n \\ \langle 0 \rangle^* \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \langle 0 \rangle^* \\ L_0 & \xrightarrow{p_0} & X_0 \end{array} \quad , \quad \begin{array}{ccc} R_n & \xrightarrow{q_n} & X_n \\ \langle n \rangle^* \downarrow \lrcorner & & \downarrow \langle n \rangle^* \\ R_0 & \xrightarrow{q_0} & X_0 \end{array} .$$

Left fibrations (right fibrations) come with a model structure that has many desirable properties: There is unique left proper combinatorial simplicial model structure on the over category  $s\mathcal{S}/X$ , called the *covariant model structure* (*contravariant model structure*). Here we will only state the relevant properties of the covariant model structure:

1. [35, Theorem 3.12] The fibrant objects are left fibrations.
2. [35, Lemma 3.10] For a Reedy fibration  $p : Y \rightarrow X$ , the following are equivalent
  - (I)  $p$  is a left fibration.
  - (II) For every map  $\sigma : F(n) \times \Delta[l] \rightarrow X$  the induced map  $\sigma^*Y \rightarrow F(n) \times \Delta[l]$  is a left fibration.
  - (III) For every map  $\sigma : F(n) \rightarrow X$  the induced map  $\sigma^*Y \rightarrow F(n)$  is a left fibration.
3. [35, Theorem 4.34] For a map of left fibrations  $g : L \rightarrow M$  the following are equivalent:
  - (I)  $g : L \rightarrow M$  is a Reedy equivalence.
  - (II)  $g_0 : L_0 \rightarrow M_0$  is a Kan equivalence.
  - (III) For every  $x : F(0) \rightarrow X$ ,  $F(0) \times_X Y \rightarrow F(0) \times_X Z$  is a diagonal equivalence of simplicial spaces.
4. [35, Theorem 4.39] A map  $f$  is a covariant equivalence if and only if for every map  $x : F(0) \rightarrow X$ , if the diagonal of the induced map

$$Y \times_X R_x \rightarrow Z \times_X R_x$$

is a Kan equivalence. Here  $R_x$  is the right fibrant replacement of the map  $x$  over  $X$ .

5. [35, Theorem 3.17] The following adjunction

$$(s\mathcal{S}/X)^{Cov} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{id} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{id} \end{array} (s\mathcal{S}/X)^{Diag}$$

is a Quillen adjunction, which is a Quillen equivalence if  $X$  is homotopically constant. Here the left hand side has the covariant model structure and the right hand side has the induced diagonal model structure.

6. [35, Theorem 4.28] Let  $p : R \rightarrow X$  be a right fibration. The following is a Quillen adjunction:

$$(s\mathcal{S}/X)^{Cov} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{p_!p^*} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{p_*p^*} \end{array} (s\mathcal{S}/X)^{Cov} .$$

7. [35, Lemma 3.25] Let  $i : A \rightarrow B$  and  $j : C \rightarrow D$  be cofibrations of simplicial spaces over  $X$ . If  $i$  or  $j$  are trivial cofibrations in the covariant model structure, then  $i \square j$  is a trivial cofibration as well.
8. [35, Theorem 5.1] Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a map of simplicial spaces. Then the adjunction

$$(s\mathcal{S}/X)^{Cov} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f_!} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{f^*} \end{array} (s\mathcal{S}/Y)^{Cov}$$

is a Quillen adjunction, which is a Quillen equivalence whenever  $f$  is a CSS equivalence.

9. [35, Theorem 5.11] The following is a Quillen adjunction

$$(s\mathcal{S}/X)^{CSS} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{id} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{id} \end{array} (s\mathcal{S}/X)^{Cov}$$

where the left hand side has the induced CSS model structure and the right hand side has the covariant model structure.

10. [35, Theorem 4.18] For a small category  $\mathcal{C}$  there are Quillen equivalences

$$\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S}^{Kan})^{proj} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{s\mathcal{F}_{\mathcal{C}}} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{s\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}} \end{array} (s\mathcal{S}/N\mathcal{C})^{Cov} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{s\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{C}}} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{s\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{C}}} \end{array} \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{S}^{Kan})^{proj}$$

where the middle one has the covariant model structure the other ones have the projective model structure. The Quillen equivalence in particular implies that for every object  $c$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , there are Kan equivalences  $s\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}(L \rightarrow N\mathcal{C})(c) \simeq \mathcal{Fib}_c(L)_0$  and  $\mathcal{Fib}_c(s\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{C}}(F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}))_0 \simeq F(c)$ , where  $L \rightarrow N\mathcal{C}$  and  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$  are fibrant.

11. [35, Corollary 5.18] Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be a CSS equivalence and  $p : L \rightarrow Y$  a left fibration over  $Y$ . Then the map  $f^*L \rightarrow L$  is also a CSS equivalence.

Left fibrations model maps into spaces. Our overall goal in this paper is it to generalize all aforementioned results to the level of presheaves into higher categories. However, before we can do so we have to expand our playing field, which leads us to the next section.

**2.7 Bisimplicial Spaces**  $ss\mathcal{S} = \text{Fun}(\Delta^{op}, s\mathcal{S})$  denotes the category of bisimplicial spaces (trisimplicial sets). We have the following basic notations with regard to bisimplicial spaces:

1. Denote by  $F(k, n)$  the bisimplicial space defined as

$$F(k, n)_{abc} = \text{Hom}_{\Delta}([a], [k]) \times \text{Hom}_{\Delta}([b], [n]).$$

Note in particular we have bijection  $F(k, n) \cong F(k, 0) \times F(0, n)$ .

2. Let  $\partial F(k, n) \rightarrow F(k, n)$  denote the map  $(\partial F(k, 0) \rightarrow F(k, 0)) \square (\partial F(0, n) \rightarrow F(0, n))$ , which we consider the boundary of  $F(k, n)$ .
3. The category  $ss\mathcal{S}$  is enriched over spaces

$$\text{Map}_{ss\mathcal{S}}(X, Y)_n = \text{Hom}_{ss\mathcal{S}}(X \times \Delta[n], Y).$$

4. The category  $ss\mathcal{S}$  is also enriched over itself

$$(Y^X)_{knl} = \text{Hom}_{ss\mathcal{S}}(X \times F(k, n) \times \Delta[l], Y).$$

5. By the Yoneda lemma, for a simplicial space  $X$  we have a bijection of spaces

$$X_{kn} \cong \text{Map}_{s\mathcal{S}}(F(k, n), X).$$

**2.8 Reedy Model Structure on Bisimplicial Spaces** The category of bisimplicial spaces has a Reedy model structure [40], which is defined as follows:

- (F) A map  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  is a (trivial) Reedy fibration if for each  $k, n \geq 0$  the following map of spaces

$$\text{Map}_{ss\mathcal{S}}(F(k, n), Y) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{ss\mathcal{S}}(\partial F(k, n), Y) \times_{\text{Map}_{ss\mathcal{S}}(\partial F(k, n), X)} \text{Map}_{ss\mathcal{S}}(F(k, n), X)$$

is a (trivial) Kan fibration

- (W) A map  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  is a Reedy equivalence if it is a level-wise Kan equivalence.

- (C) A map  $f : Y \rightarrow X$  is a Reedy cofibration if it is a level-wise monomorphism.

The Reedy model structure is *combinatorial*, *simplicial* and *proper*. Moreover, it is also *compatible with Cartesian closure* (Remark 2.1). These properties in particular imply that we can apply left Bousfield localizations to the Reedy model structure. See [20] for more details. In order to avoid confusion we will call the Reedy model structure on bisimplicial spaces, the biReedy model structure.

**2.9 Diagonal Reedy Model Structures** In [35, Subsection 2.5] we studied important localizations of the Reedy model structure on simplicial spaces that are Quillen equivalent to the Kan model structure. In a similar manner, we need localizations of the biReedy model structure that are Quillen equivalent to the Reedy model structure, so we will introduce them right here. We will only state the relevant notation and leave the theorems without proofs.

*Notation 2.7.* Let

$$\text{Diag}_1 : \Delta \times \Delta \rightarrow \Delta \times \Delta \times \Delta$$

be the functor given by  $\text{Diag}_1([n], [l]) = ([n], [l], [l])$ . Similarly, for  $j = 1, 2$  let

$$p_1, p_2 : \Delta \times \Delta \times \Delta \rightarrow \Delta \times \Delta$$

be given by  $p_1([k], [n], [l]) = ([n], [l])$  and  $p_2([k], [n], [l]) = ([k], [l])$ .

We want show that  $ss\mathcal{S}$  has a model structure such that  $((\text{Diag}_1)^*, (\text{Diag}_1)_*)$  becomes a Quillen equivalence.

**Theorem 2.8.** *There is a unique, cofibrantly generated, simplicial model structure on  $ss\mathcal{S}$ , called the diagonal Reedy Model Structure and denoted by  $ss\mathcal{S}^{\text{DiagRee}}$ , with the following specifications.*

*C* A map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a cofibration if it is a level-wise monomorphism.

*W* A map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a weak equivalence if  $(\text{Diag}_1)^*(f) : (\text{Diag}_1)^*(X) \rightarrow (\text{Diag}_1)^*(Y)$  is a Reedy equivalence.

*F* A map  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  is a fibration if it satisfies the right lifting condition for trivial cofibrations.

*In particular, an object  $W$  is fibrant if it is biReedy fibrant and the map  $(p_1)_*(p_1)^*W \rightarrow W$  is a biReedy equivalence.*

These model structures all give us following long chain of Quillen equivalences.

**Theorem 2.9.** *The following is a simplicially enriched Quillen equivalence*

$$ss\mathcal{S}^{\text{DiagRee}} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(\text{Diag}_1)^*} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{(\text{Diag}_1)_*} \end{array} s\mathcal{S}^{\text{Ree}} .$$

The proof is analogous to the proof of [35, Theorem 2.13].

**2.10 Notational Convention for Bisimplicial Functors** Some functors we have defined until now will be particularly important and hence we will give them more descriptive names. We use the following notation for three functors  $ss\mathcal{S} \rightarrow s\mathcal{S}$ :

- $\mathcal{LFib}_n = (p_1^{F(0,n)})_*$ : The underlying  $n$ -level left fibration. In particular, if  $n = 0$  we denote it by  $\mathcal{LFib}$  and call it the underlying left fibration.
- $\mathcal{Val}_k = (p_2^{F(k,0)})_*$ : The  $k$ -level value. In particular, if  $k = 0$  we denote it by  $\mathcal{Val}$  and call it the value.
- $\text{Diag} = \text{Diag}_1$ : The diagonal.

On the other hand, we use the following notation for two functors  $s\mathcal{S} \rightarrow ss\mathcal{S}$ :

- $\mathcal{LEmb} = (p_1)^*$ : The left fibration embedding.
- $\mathcal{VEmb} = (p_2)^*$ : The value embedding.

The terminology above is motivated by the fact that in the next section we will define a new notion of fibration  $p : Y \rightarrow X$  such that  $\mathcal{LFib}(p) : \mathcal{LFib}(Y) \rightarrow X$  is a left fibration and  $\mathcal{Val}(Y)$  will give us the fibers, representing the values.

### 3. The Reedy Covariant Model Structure

In this section we generalize the covariant model structure to the category of bisimplicial spaces over a simplicial space. This gives us a good model for maps valued in simplicial spaces and the room we need to further define new model structures.

*Notation 3.1.* For the remaining sections we will fix a simplicial space  $X$  and denote the bisimplicial space  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{E}\text{mb}(X)$  simply by  $X$  to simplify notation.

**Definition 3.2.** Let  $X$  be a simplicial space. We say a map of bisimplicial spaces  $p : Y \rightarrow X$  is a *Reedy left fibration* if it is a biReedy fibration and for all  $k, n \geq 0$  the following is a homotopy pullback square (using the notation [Subsection 2.2\(2\)](#))

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Y_{kn} & \xrightarrow{\langle 0 \rangle^*} & Y_{k0} \\ p_{kn} \downarrow & \lrcorner & \downarrow p_{k0} \\ X_n & \xrightarrow{\langle 0 \rangle^*} & X_0 \end{array} \cdot$$

Notice this definition is equivalent to saying that the map is a biReedy fibration and for any  $k \geq 0$ ,  $Y_k \rightarrow X$  is a left fibration. As in the case of left fibrations this construction comes with a model structure, the *Reedy covariant model structure*.

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $X$  be a simplicial space considered a bisimplicial space via [Notation 3.1](#). There is a unique simplicial combinatorial left proper model structure on the category  $ss\mathcal{S}/X$ , called the Reedy covariant model structure and denoted by  $(ss\mathcal{S}/X)^{ReeCov}$ , which satisfies following conditions:*

1. *An object  $L \rightarrow X$  is fibrant if it is a Reedy left fibration.*
2. *A map is a cofibration if it is a monomorphism.*
3. *A map is a weak equivalence if it is a level-wise covariant equivalence over  $X$ .*
4. *A weak equivalence (fibration) between Reedy left fibrations is precisely level-wise Reedy equivalence (biReedy fibration).*

*Proof.* Starting with the Reedy model structure on  $(ss\mathcal{S}/X)^{Ree}$ , we can construct two model structures on the category  $ss\mathcal{S}/X$ .

1. First, we can localize the Reedy model structure with respect to map  $F(0) \rightarrow F(n) \rightarrow X$  to get the covariant model structure  $(ss\mathcal{S}/X)^{Cov}$ . Then we can take simplicial objects in this model structure, which gives us the category  $ss\mathcal{S}/X$ , and give it the Reedy model structure. It immediately satisfies following conditions:
  - It is simplicial combinatorial left proper.
  - Cofibrations are monomorphisms.
  - Weak equivalences are level-wise covariant equivalences over  $X$ .
2. Alternatively, we can first take the Reedy model structure on  $ss\mathcal{S}/X$ . Then we can localize the model structure with respect to maps  $F(k, 0) \rightarrow F(k, n) \rightarrow X$  to get a model structure on  $ss\mathcal{S}/X$  which immediately satisfies following conditions:
  - It is simplicial combinatorial left proper.
  - Cofibrations are monomorphisms
  - The fibrant objects are Reedy left fibrations.
  - A weak equivalence (fibration) between Reedy left fibrations is precisely level-wise Reedy equivalence (biReedy fibration).

Thus, the theorem follows if we can prove that these two model structures coincide. As we already have the same cofibrations, it suffices to prove both model structures have the same fibrant objects. In order to do that we need to better understand the fibrant objects in the two model structures.

Let  $p : L \rightarrow X$  be a map of bisimplicial spaces. Let  $M_k L$  be the corresponding matching object. An object, is fibrant in the first model structure if the maps  $L_k \rightarrow M_k L$  are left fibrations of simplicial spaces for all  $k \geq 0$ , where  $M_k L$  is the *matching object* [21, Section 5.2]. On the other side,  $p$  is fibrant in the second model structure if the maps  $L_k \rightarrow X$  are left fibrations of simplicial spaces for all  $k \geq 0$ . We need to prove these two conditions coincide.

In order to prove it we use following commutative triangle

$$\begin{array}{ccc} L_k & \longrightarrow & M_k L \\ & \searrow & \swarrow \\ & X & \end{array} .$$

Let  $p$  be fibrant in the first model structure. We want to prove that  $L_k \rightarrow X$  is a left fibration. We proceed by induction. The case  $k = 0$  follows from the fact that  $M_0 L = X$ . Assume that  $L_0, \dots, L_k$  are left fibrations over  $X$ . We want to prove that  $L_{k+1} \rightarrow X$  is a left fibration over  $X$ . By construction the map  $M_{k+1} L \rightarrow X$  is a limit of a diagram in  $s\mathcal{S}/X$  with value objects  $L_m \rightarrow X$  (where  $m \leq k$ ). By induction assumption these are all left fibrations and left fibrations are closed under limits and so  $M_{k+1} L \rightarrow X$  is a left fibration. The result now follows from the fact that left fibrations are closed under composition.

On the other side assume  $p$  is fibrant in the second model structure. We want to prove that  $L_k \rightarrow M_k \rightarrow L$  is a left fibration. By assumption  $L_k \rightarrow X$  are left fibrations for all  $k$  and so  $M_k L \rightarrow X$  is also a left fibration, as it is a limit with value  $L_k$ . The result now follows from the fact that in the commutative triangle above the two legs are left fibrations.  $\square$

The key input of the proof is that the following two different ways of constructing model structures on  $ss\mathcal{S}/X$  coincide:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (s\mathcal{S}/X)^{Ree} & \rightsquigarrow_{Ree} & (ss\mathcal{S}/X)^{Ree} \\ \downarrow \text{cov} & & \downarrow \text{cov} \\ (s\mathcal{S}/X)^{Cov} & \rightsquigarrow_{Ree} & (ss\mathcal{S}/X)^{ReeCov} \end{array} .$$

So, the Reedy covariant model structure on bisimplicial spaces over  $X$  has two perspectives:

- It is a Reedy model structure, which allows us to easily characterize the weak equivalences.
- It is a localization model structure, which allows us to easily characterize the fibrant objects.

That is the reason why we were able to give such an elegant characterization of the Reedy covariant model structure. We can now use this characterization to directly generalize results about left fibrations to Reedy left fibrations, using the fact that many results about a model category generalize to its Reedy model category.

*Remark 3.4.* In analogy with the duality between left and right fibrations, we also have a notion of *Reedy right fibrations* and similarly, a *Reedy contravariant model structure*, which can be defined and constructed similar to [Theorem 3.3](#). Hence we will refrain from making those similar definitions explicit.

We can now use the local description of left fibrations given in [Subsection 2.6\(2\)](#) level-wise to immediately obtain the following local characterization of Reedy left fibrations.

**Lemma 3.5.** *Let  $p : Y \rightarrow X$  be a biReedy fibration over  $X$ . The following are equivalent.*

1.  $p$  is a Reedy left fibration.
2. For every map  $\sigma : F(n) \times \Delta[l] \rightarrow X$  the induced map  $\sigma^*Y \rightarrow F(n) \times \Delta[l]$  is a Reedy left fibration.
3. For every map  $\sigma : F(n) \rightarrow X$  the induced map  $\sigma^*Y \rightarrow F(n)$  is a Reedy left fibration.

We now move on to generalize [Subsection 2.6\(8\)](#) to Reedy left fibrations.

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  be map of simplicial spaces. Then the following adjunction*

$$(\mathit{ssS}_{/X})^{\mathit{ReeCov}} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{f_!} \\ \xleftarrow{f^*} \\ \perp \end{array} (\mathit{ssS}_{/Y})^{\mathit{ReeCov}}$$

*is a Quillen adjunction, which is a Quillen equivalence if  $f$  is a CSS equivalence.*

*Proof.* As established in [Theorem 3.3](#), the Reedy covariant model structure can in particular be characterized as the Reedy model structure on the category  $\mathit{Fun}(\Delta^{op}, \mathit{sS}_{/X})$ , where  $\mathit{sS}_{/X}$  has the covariant model structure. Now, [Subsection 2.6\(8\)](#) states that every morphism  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  induces a left Quillen functor  $f^* : \mathit{sS}_{/X} \rightarrow \mathit{sS}_{/Y}$ , which is a Quillen equivalence if  $f$  is a CSS equivalence. Hence, by [[20](#), Proposition 15.4.1], the induced functor  $\mathit{Fun}(\Delta^{op}, f^*) : \mathit{Fun}(\Delta^{op}, \mathit{sS}_{/X}) \rightarrow \mathit{Fun}(\Delta^{op}, \mathit{sS}_{/Y})$  is also a Quillen adjunction of Reedy model structures, which is a Quillen equivalence if  $f$  is a CSS equivalence. Now, by definition  $\mathit{Fun}(\Delta^{op}, f^*)$  is given by pulling back along  $f$  level-wise, which, following [Notation 3.1](#), we simply denote by  $f^*$ , giving us the desired result.  $\square$

We can use the same argument as in the proof above this time with [[20](#), Proposition 15.4.1] applied to [Subsection 2.6\(5\)](#) to obtain the following direct generalization.

**Theorem 3.7.** *The following adjunction*

$$(\mathit{ssS}_{/X})^{\mathit{ReeCov}} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{id} \\ \xleftarrow{id} \\ \perp \end{array} (\mathit{ssS}_{/X})^{\mathit{DiagRee}}$$

*is a Quillen adjunction, which is a Quillen equivalence if  $X$  is homotopically constant. Here the left hand side has the Reedy covariant model structure and the right hand side has the induced diagonal Reedy model structure. In particular, the diagonal Reedy model structure is a localization of the Reedy covariant model structure.*

We now move on to generalize [Subsection 2.6\(7\)](#) in the next lemma, which follows directly from the fact that cofibrations and trivial cofibrations in the Reedy covariant model structure are determined level-wise (as proven in [Theorem 3.3](#)).

**Lemma 3.8.** *Let  $i : A \rightarrow B$  and  $j : C \rightarrow D$  be cofibrations of bisimplicial spaces over  $X$ . If  $i$  or  $j$  are trivial cofibrations in the Reedy covariant model structure, then  $i \square j$  is a trivial cofibration as well.*

We move on to generalize [Subsection 2.6\(6\)](#).

**Theorem 3.9.** *Let  $p : R \rightarrow X$  be a Reedy right fibration. The following is a Quillen adjunction*

$$(\mathit{ssS}_{/X})^{\mathit{ReeCov}} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{p_! p^*} \\ \xleftarrow{p_* p^*} \\ \perp \end{array} (\mathit{ssS}_{/X})^{\mathit{ReeCov}} .$$

*Proof.* By [Theorem 3.3](#), for every  $n \geq 0$ ,  $p_n : R_n \rightarrow X$  is a right fibration and so, [Subsection 2.6\(6\)](#),  $(p_n)!(p_n)^*$  is left Quillen, meaning it preserves cofibrations and weak equivalences in the covariant model structure. Moreover, by [Theorem 3.3](#), cofibrations and weak equivalences in the Reedy covariant model structure are determined level-wise and so  $p_!p^*$  preserves those as well, meaning it is left Quillen.  $\square$

Using the same argument as in the previous proof we can generalize [Subsection 2.6\(4\)](#) based on the fact that weak equivalences are determined level-wise.

**Theorem 3.10.** *Let  $X$  be a simplicial space considered a bisimplicial space ([Notation 3.1](#)). Then a map of bisimplicial spaces  $Y \rightarrow Z$  over  $X$  is a Reedy covariant equivalence if and only if for each map  $x : F(0) \rightarrow X$  the induced map*

$$Y \times_X \mathcal{L}\mathcal{Fib}(R_x) \rightarrow Z \times_X \mathcal{L}\mathcal{Fib}(R_x)$$

*is a diagonal Reedy equivalence. Here  $R_x$  is a choice of contravariant fibrant replacement of  $x$  in  $s\mathcal{S}/X$ .*

*Remark 3.11.* It is interesting to compare this result to the one for simplicial spaces. Despite the fact that we generalized everything to the bisimplicial setting, the contravariant fibrant replacements have remained simplicial spaces.

The underlying reason is that for a map  $x : F(0) \rightarrow X$ , contravariant fibrant replacements and Reedy contravariant fibrant replacements are the same. Indeed for an arbitrary Reedy right fibration  $R \rightarrow X$  we have

$$\mathrm{Map}_{ss\mathcal{S}/X}(F(0,0), R) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Map}_{s\mathcal{S}/X}(F(0), R_0) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Map}_{s\mathcal{S}/X}(R_x, R_0) \xrightarrow{\simeq} \mathrm{Map}_{ss\mathcal{S}/X}(\mathcal{L}\mathcal{E}mb(R_x), R)$$

where we used the fact that  $R_0 \rightarrow X$  is a right fibration.

Similar to the case of covariant model structure, weak equivalences between fibrant objects can be characterized in much easier ways applying [Subsection 2.6\(3\)](#) level-wise.

**Theorem 3.12.** *Let  $L$  and  $M$  be two Reedy left fibrations over  $X$ . Let  $g : L \rightarrow M$  be a map over  $X$ . Then the following are equivalent.*

1.  $g : L \rightarrow M$  is a *biReedy equivalence*.
2.  $\mathrm{Val}(g) : \mathrm{Val}(Y) \rightarrow \mathrm{Val}(Z)$  is a *Reedy equivalence*.
3. For every  $x : F(0) \rightarrow X$ ,  $F(0) \times_X Y \rightarrow F(0) \times_X Z$  is a *diagonal Reedy equivalence of bisimplicial spaces*.

Finally, we can also recover the *Grothendieck construction*. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a small category. Following the notation in [Subsection 2.6\(10\)](#) we define  $ss\mathcal{f}_{\mathcal{C}} = \mathrm{Fun}(\Delta^{op}, s\mathcal{f}_{\mathcal{C}})$ ,  $ss\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}} = \mathrm{Fun}(\Delta^{op}, s\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}})$ ,  $ss\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}} = \mathrm{Fun}(\Delta^{op}, s\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}})$  and  $ss\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}} = \mathrm{Fun}(\Delta^{op}, s\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}})$ , meaning just the functors  $s\mathcal{f}_{\mathcal{C}}$ ,  $s\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}$ ,  $s\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}$  and  $s\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}}$  defined level-wise. We now have the following generalization.

**Theorem 3.13.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a small category. The two simplicially enriched adjunctions*

$$\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, s\mathcal{S}^{Ree})^{proj} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{ss\mathcal{f}_{\mathcal{C}}} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{ss\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}} \end{array} (ss\mathcal{S}/N\mathcal{C})^{ReeCov} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{ss\mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{C}}} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{ss\mathcal{I}_{\mathcal{C}}} \end{array} \mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, s\mathcal{S}^{Ree})^{proj}$$

*are Quillen equivalences. Here  $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, s\mathcal{S})$  has the projective model structure and  $ss\mathcal{S}/N\mathcal{C}$  has the Reedy covariant model structure over  $N\mathcal{C}$ .*

*Proof.* We apply the functor  $\text{Fun}(\Delta^{op}, -)$  to the diagram of Quillen equivalences given in [Subsection 2.6\(10\)](#) and, by [20, Proposition 15.4.1], obtain a diagram of Quillen equivalences of Reedy model structures, which gives us Reedy covariant model structures, as proven in [Theorem 3.3](#).  $\square$

*Remark 3.14.* From [Subsection 2.6\(10\)](#) and the level-wise definition of  $ss\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}, ss\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{C}}$  it follows that for every object  $c$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ , there are Reedy equivalences  $ss\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}(L \rightarrow N\mathcal{C})(c) \simeq \text{Fib}_c(\text{Val}(L))$  and  $\text{Fib}_c(\text{Val}(ss\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{C}}(F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}))) \simeq F(c)$ , where  $L \rightarrow N\mathcal{C}$  is a Reedy left fibration and  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow s\mathcal{S}$  is projectively fibrant.

*Remark 3.15.* Here we only mentioned the Grothendieck construction over nerves of categories. However, we also have a Grothendieck construction over arbitrary simplicial spaces. Indeed, this follows from the Quillen equivalence between the covariant model structure over simplicial spaces and simplicial sets ([35, Appendix B]) and the straightening construction for the covariant model structure [26, Chapter 2].

## 4. Localizations of Reedy Left Fibrations

In [Section 3](#) we defined fibrations which we should think of as modeling functors valued in simplicial spaces (as has been illustrated in [Theorem 3.13](#)). In this section we want to study functors valued in localizations of simplicial spaces. In the next section we will then apply these results to functors valued in Segal spaces, complete Segal spaces and homotopically constant simplicial spaces.

*Notation 4.1.* As this whole section is focused on the study of left Bousfield localizations we will establish following terminology with regard to localizations.

- Throughout  $S$  will be a set of monomorphisms in the category simplicial spaces  $s\mathcal{S}$ .
- A simplicial space  $X$  is called *local with respect to  $S$*  if for every every map  $f : A \rightarrow B$  in  $S$ ,

$$\text{Map}_{s\mathcal{S}}(B, X) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{s\mathcal{S}}(A, X)$$

is a Kan equivalence.

- A bisimplicial space  $X$  is called *local with respect to  $S$*  if  $\text{Val}(X)$  is local with respect to  $S$ . This is equivalent to

$$\text{Map}_{s\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}\text{mb}(B), X) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{s\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}\text{mb}(A), X)$$

being a Kan equivalence for every  $A \rightarrow B$  in  $S$ .

- A map of bisimplicial spaces  $p : Y \rightarrow X$  is called *local with respect to  $S$*  if the map

$$\text{Map}_{s\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}\text{mb}(B), Y) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{s\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}\text{mb}(A), Y) \times_{\text{Map}_{s\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}\text{mb}(A), X)} \text{Map}_{s\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}\text{mb}(B), X)$$

is a weak equivalence for every map  $f : A \rightarrow B$  in  $S$ . Note this is equivalent to the condition that for every map  $f : A \rightarrow B$  in  $S$  and every map  $\mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}\text{mb}(B) \rightarrow X$ , the induced map

$$\text{Map}_{/X}(\mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}\text{mb}(B), Y) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{/X}(\mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}\text{mb}(A), Y)$$

is a Kan equivalence.

We can now use the intuition outlined above to give following definition. Here, recall, for a given simplicial space  $X$ , we denote the bisimplicial space  $\mathcal{L}\mathcal{E}\text{mb}(X)$  again by  $X$ , to simplify notation ([Notation 3.1](#)).

**Definition 4.2.** Let  $X$  be a simplicial space and  $S$  a set of monomorphisms of simplicial spaces (not over  $X$ ). Then a Reedy left fibration  $p : L \rightarrow X$  is an  $S$ -localized Reedy left fibration if it is local with respect to  $S$ .

The goal of this section is to study this fibration. In particular, we want to show:

1. It comes as the fibrant objects of a model structure on  $ss\mathcal{S}/_X$  (Theorem 4.5).
2. We can give various alternative characterizations of the fibrant objects (Theorem 4.15/Corollary 4.16).
3. We can give a detailed characterization of the weak equivalences (Theorem 4.20).

**4.1 A Tale of Three Localization Model Structures** In this subsection we want prove that  $S$ -localized Reedy left fibrations are fibrant objects in a model structure, the  $S$ -localized Reedy covariant model structure. Moreover, in order to study its fibrant objects and weak equivalences (in Subsection 4.2), we introduce several related model structures, the  $S$ -localized Reedy model structure and diagonal  $S$ -localized Reedy model structure. Finally, we end this subsection by proving a Grothendieck construction for  $S$ -localized Reedy left fibrations over nerves of categories.

**Theorem 4.3.** *Let  $S$  be a set of monomorphisms of simplicial spaces. There is a unique, combinatorial left proper simplicial model structure on  $s\mathcal{S}$ , denoted by  $s\mathcal{S}^{Rees}$  and called the  $S$ -localized Reedy model structure, defined as follows.*

- C* A map  $Y \rightarrow Z$  is a cofibration if and only if it is a level-wise monomorphism.
- F* An object  $W$  is fibrant if it is Reedy fibrant and local with respect to  $S$ .
- W* A map  $Y \rightarrow Z$  is a weak equivalence if for every fibrant object  $W$  the map

$$\text{Map}_{s\mathcal{S}}(Z, W) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{s\mathcal{S}}(Y, W)$$

*is a Kan equivalence.*

*Proof.* This is a direct application of a left Bousfield localization to the Reedy model structure on simplicial spaces [20, Theorem 4.1.1].  $\square$

**Theorem 4.4.** *Let  $S$  be a set of monomorphisms of simplicial spaces. There is a unique simplicial combinatorial left proper model structure on  $ss\mathcal{S}$ , denoted by  $ss\mathcal{S}^{DiagRees}$  and called the diagonal  $S$ -localized Reedy model structure, defined as follows.*

1. A map  $Y \rightarrow Z$  is a cofibration if it is a level-wise monomorphism.
2. A map  $g : Y \rightarrow Z$  is a weak equivalence if the diagonal map

$$\text{Diag}(g) : \text{Diag}(Y) \rightarrow \text{Diag}(Z)$$

*is an  $S$ -localized Reedy equivalence.*

3. An object  $W$  is fibrant if and only if it is fibrant in the diagonal Reedy model structure and local with respect to  $S$ .
4. The following adjunction

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 & \text{Diag}=(\text{Diag}_1)^* & \\
 (ss\mathcal{S})^{DiagRees} & \xrightarrow{\perp} & s\mathcal{S}^{Rees} \\
 & \xleftarrow{(\text{Diag}_1)_*} & 
 \end{array}$$

*is a Quillen equivalence. Here the left hand side has the diagonal localized Reedy model structure and the right hand side has the localized Reedy model structure.*

*Proof.* By [Theorem 2.9](#) we have a simplicial Quillen equivalence

$$(ss\mathcal{S})^{DiagRee} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{Diag}=(\text{Diag}_1)^*} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{(\text{Diag}_1)_*} \end{array} s\mathcal{S}^{Ree}$$

which gives us a simplicial Quillen adjunction with fully faithful derived right adjoint

$$(ss\mathcal{S})^{DiagRee} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{Diag}=(\text{Diag}_1)^*} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{(\text{Diag}_1)_*} \end{array} s\mathcal{S}^{Ree_S} .$$

Hence, by [26, Corollary A.3.7.10] there exists a new unique simplicial, combinatorial, left proper model structure on  $ss\mathcal{S}$ , the *diagonal  $S$ -localized model structure*, which satisfies following conditions:

1. Cofibrations are monomorphisms.
2. Weak equivalences are precisely the maps that are taken to  $S$ -localized equivalences via  $\text{Diag}$ .
3. The adjunction  $(\text{Diag} = (\text{Diag}_1)^*, (\text{Diag}_1)_*)$  is a simplicial Quillen equivalence between this model structure and the  $S$ -localized Reedy model structure on  $s\mathcal{S}$ .
4. An object  $X$  is fibrant if it is biReedy fibrant and biReedy equivalent to  $(\text{Diag}_1)_*(Y)$  where  $Y$  is  $S$ -local, meaning that  $X$  is fibrant in the diagonal Reedy model structure and  $S$ -local. □

**Theorem 4.5.** *Let  $S$  be a set of monomorphisms of simplicial spaces. There is a unique simplicial combinatorial left proper model structure on  $ss\mathcal{S}/_X$ , denoted by  $(ss\mathcal{S}/_X)^{ReeCov_S}$  and called the  $S$ -localized Reedy covariant model structure, defined as follows.*

*C* A map  $Y \rightarrow Z$  over  $X$  is a cofibration if it is a level-wise monomorphism.

*F* An object  $Y \rightarrow X$  is fibrant if it is a Reedy left fibration and local with respect to  $S$ .

*W* A map  $Y \rightarrow Z$  over  $X$  is a weak equivalence if for every fibrant object  $W \rightarrow X$  the map

$$\text{Map}/_X(Z, W) \rightarrow \text{Map}/_X(Y, W)$$

is a Kan equivalence.

*Proof.* Notice the model structure on the category  $ss\mathcal{S}/_X$  is still proper and cellular [20, Proposition 12.1.6] and so we can apply left Bousfield localization [20, Theorem 4.1.1] with respect to the set of morphisms  $\mathcal{L} = \{\mathcal{V}\text{Emb}(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\text{Emb}(B) \rightarrow X : A \rightarrow B \in S\}$ . □

Combining [Theorem 3.7](#) with [Theorem 2.2](#) gives us following similar result.

**Proposition 4.6.** *The following adjunction*

$$(ss\mathcal{S}/_X)^{ReeCov_S} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{id} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{id} \end{array} (ss\mathcal{S}/_X)^{DiagRee_S}$$

is a Quillen adjunction, which is a Quillen equivalence whenever  $X$  is homotopically constant. Here the left hand side has the localized Reedy covariant model structure and the right hand side has the induced diagonal localized Reedy model structure over the base  $X$ .

One very important instance is the case  $X = F(0)$ . The theorem shows that  $ss\mathcal{S}^{ReeCov_S}$  is the same as  $ss\mathcal{S}^{DiagRee_S}$ .

We move on to prove the Grothendieck construction for localized Reedy left fibrations over nerves of categories. Before that let us recall that an object in the projective model structure

on  $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, s\mathcal{S}^{Rees})^{proj}$  (where  $s\mathcal{S}$  has the  $S$ -localized Reedy model structure) is fibrant if it is fibrant in the projective model structure on  $\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, s\mathcal{S}^{Ree})^{proj}$  (where now  $s\mathcal{S}$  has the Reedy model structure) and is local with respect to natural transformations

$$\text{id} \times f : \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, -) \times A \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{C}}(c, -) \times B$$

for all objects  $c$  and maps  $f : A \rightarrow B$  in  $S$ .

**Theorem 4.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a small category. Then the adjunctions defined in [Theorem 3.13](#)*

$$\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, s\mathcal{S}^{Rees})^{proj} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{ss\int_{\mathcal{C}}} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{ss\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}} \end{array} (ss\mathcal{S}_{/N\mathcal{C}})^{ReeCov_S} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{ss\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{C}}} \\ \perp \\ \xrightarrow{ss\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{C}}} \end{array} \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, s\mathcal{S}^{Rees})^{proj}$$

are simplicial Quillen equivalences. Here the middle has the  $S$ -localized Reedy covariant model structure and the two sides have the projective model structure on the  $S$ -localized Reedy model structure.

*Proof.* First we show both are Quillen adjunctions. As both left adjoints still preserve cofibrations by [26, Corollary A.3.7.2] it suffices to prove that the right adjoints preserve fibrant objects. By [Theorem 3.13](#), the right adjoints preserve fibrant objects in the unlocalized model structures, so we only need to confirm that they preserve local objects.

Before we do so notice  $\text{Val}(N\mathcal{C})$  is simply the set of objects of  $\mathcal{C}$  taken as a constant simplicial space and so every morphism  $\mathcal{V}\text{Emb}(B) \rightarrow N\mathcal{C}$ , which by the adjunction (as explained in [Subsection 2.10](#)) corresponds to a map of simplicial spaces  $B \rightarrow \text{Val}(N\mathcal{C})$ , is necessarily constant and so factors as  $\mathcal{V}\text{Emb}(B) \rightarrow F(0) \rightarrow N\mathcal{C}$ . This in particular means that a Reedy left fibration  $L \rightarrow N\mathcal{C}$  is  $S$ -local if and only if for all objects  $c$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ ,  $\text{Fib}_c(\text{Val}(L))$  is  $S$ -local.

Now, let  $L \rightarrow N\mathcal{C}$  be an  $S$ -localized Reedy left fibration. Then, as mentioned in [Remark 3.14](#),  $ss\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}(L \rightarrow N\mathcal{C})(c)$  is Reedy equivalent to  $\text{Fib}_c \text{Val}(L)$ , which is  $S$ -local by assumption, proving that  $ss\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}(L \rightarrow N\mathcal{C})$  is projectively fibrant. Next, let  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow s\mathcal{S}$  be fibrant in the projective model structure. Then, again by [Remark 3.14](#),  $\text{Fib}_c \text{Val}(ss\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{C}}(F))$  is Reedy equivalent to  $F(c)$ , which is  $S$ -local by assumption. By the previous paragraph, it follows that  $ss\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{C}}(F)$  is an  $S$ -localized Reedy left fibration.

We now move on to prove they are Quillen equivalences. The composition map  $ss\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{C}} \circ ss\int_{\mathcal{C}}$  is naturally equivalent to the identity functor and so is a Quillen equivalence. Hence it suffices to prove that the adjunction  $(s\int_{\mathcal{C}}, ss\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}})$  is a Quillen equivalence.

By [Theorem 3.13](#), the counit map is an equivalence. So, we move on to the derived unit map. Again, by [Theorem 3.13](#), for a fibrant object  $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow s\mathcal{S}$ , the map  $ss\int_{\mathcal{C}} F \rightarrow ss\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{C}} F$  is a biReedy equivalence and hence a localized Reedy covariant equivalence. Hence  $ss\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{C}} F$  is a fibrant replacement of  $ss\int_{\mathcal{C}} F$ . Thus, the derived unit map is given by

$$F \rightarrow ss\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}} ss\mathbb{I}_{\mathcal{C}} F,$$

which is indeed an equivalence as it is naturally equivalent to the identity as explained above.  $\square$

The result has several important corollaries that we will use in the next subsection.

**Corollary 4.8.** *Let  $p : L \rightarrow N\mathcal{C}$  be a Reedy left fibration. Then  $p$  is an  $S$ -localized Reedy left fibration if and only if it is fiberwise diagonal  $S$ -localized Reedy fibrant.*

**Corollary 4.9.** *A map of bisimplicial spaces  $Y \rightarrow Z$  over  $N\mathcal{C}$  is an  $S$ -localized Reedy covariant equivalence if and only if the map*

$$Y \times_{N\mathcal{C}} N\mathcal{C}_{/c} \rightarrow Z \times_{N\mathcal{C}} N\mathcal{C}_{/c}$$

*is a diagonal  $S$ -localized Reedy equivalence for every object  $c$  in  $\mathcal{C}$ .*

If we let  $\mathcal{C} = [n]$ , then  $N[n] = F(n)$  and so we can use the results above immediately to understand the  $S$ -localized Reedy covariant model structure over  $F(n)$ . For many applications, however, this is not good enough. We want to understand  $f$ -localized Reedy left fibrations over  $F(n) \times \Delta[l]$ . For that we have following result:

**Corollary 4.10.** *The Reedy equivalence  $\pi_1 : F(n) \times \Delta[l] \rightarrow F(n)$  induces a Quillen equivalence*

$$(\text{ss}\mathcal{S}_{/F(n) \times \Delta[l]})^{\text{ReeCov}_S} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{(\pi_1)!} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{(\pi_1)^*} \end{array} (\text{ss}\mathcal{S}_{/F(n)})^{\text{ReeCov}_S}$$

*and so, in particular, every  $S$ -localized Reedy left fibration is biReedy equivalent to a map of the form  $p \times \Delta[l] : \text{ss}\int_{\mathcal{C}} G \times \Delta[l] \rightarrow F(n) \times \Delta[l]$ , where  $G : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{ss}\mathcal{S}$  is a fibrant object in the projective model structure.*

We will use the local results in the next subsection to study  $S$ -localized Reedy left fibrations and their equivalences over arbitrary simplicial spaces.

**4.2 Understanding the Localized Reedy Covariant Model Structure** In this subsection we want to study the fibrant objects and weak equivalences in the  $S$ -localized Reedy covariant model structure over an arbitrary simplicial space  $X$ .

Some results will require some conditions on the set of maps  $S$ , which we will fix now.

*Notation 4.11.* Let  $S$  be a set of monomorphisms of simplicial spaces.

- **(S)**: A map  $f$  in  $S$  satisfies condition **(S)** if every homotopically constant simplicial space is local with respect to  $f$ , which is equivalent to  $f$  being an equivalence in the diagonal model structure. The set of maps  $S$  satisfies condition **(S)** if every map in  $S$  satisfies condition **(S)**.
- **(D)**: A map  $f : A \rightarrow B$  in  $S$  satisfies condition **(D)** if  $B$  is diagonally contractible. The set of maps  $S$  satisfies condition **(D)** if every map in  $S$  satisfies condition **(D)**.
- **(C)**: A map  $f : A \rightarrow B$  satisfies condition **(C)** if it satisfies condition **(S)** and **(D)**. This can be stated directly as  $A$  and  $B$  being diagonally contractible. The set of maps  $S$  satisfies condition **(C)** if every map in  $S$  satisfies condition **(C)**.
- **(P)**: The set of monomorphisms  $S$  satisfies condition **(P)** if  $W$  being local with respect to  $S$  implies that  $W^X$  is local with respect to  $S$  for all simplicial spaces  $X$ .

**Example 4.12.** Let us see some examples of maps that satisfy these conditions:

1. The simplicial space  $F(n)$  is a diagonally contractible. Hence any map  $A \rightarrow F(n)$  satisfies condition **(D)**.
2. The simplicial space  $G(n)$  [41, Section 5] is also diagonally contractible. Hence the inclusions  $G(n) \rightarrow F(n)$  satisfy condition **(C)**.
3. The map also satisfies condition **(P)** [41, Lemma 10.3].
4. Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a contractible category. Then any map  $F(0) \rightarrow N\mathcal{C}$  satisfies condition **(C)**.

5. In particular, the map  $F(0) \rightarrow E(1)$  (Subsection 2.3(4)) satisfies condition **(C)**, but also condition **(P)** [41, Proposition 12.1].

We will start with characterizations of  $S$ -localized Reedy left fibrations. First two lemmas.

**Lemma 4.13.** *Let  $p : L \rightarrow X$  be a biReedy fibration. Then the following are equivalent:*

1. *For every map  $\sigma : F(n) \times \Delta[l] \rightarrow X$ , the pullback map  $\sigma^*p : \sigma^*L \rightarrow F(n) \times \Delta[l]$  is an  $S$ -localized Reedy left fibration.*
2. *For every map  $\sigma : F(n) \rightarrow X$ , the pullback map  $\sigma^*p : \sigma^*L \rightarrow F(n)$  is an  $S$ -localized Reedy left fibration.*
3.  *$p$  is a Reedy left fibration and for every point  $\{x\} : F(0) \rightarrow X$  the fiber  $\mathcal{Fib}_x L$  is fibrant in the diagonal  $S$ -localized Reedy model structure.*
4.  *$p$  is a Reedy left fibration and for every point  $\{x\} : F(0) \rightarrow X$  the fiber  $\mathcal{Val}(\mathcal{Fib}_x L)$  is fibrant in the  $S$ -localized Reedy model structure.*

*Proof.* All four statements break down into two parts: proving  $p$  is a Reedy left fibration and proving it is local with respect to  $S$ . The first always follows either by definition or from Lemma 3.5. Hence we will only focus on proving it is local with respect to  $S$ .

(1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) This follows from the fact that  $\pi_2 : F(n) \times \Delta[l] \rightarrow F(n)$  is a Reedy equivalence and being local with respect to  $S$  is invariant under Reedy equivalences.

(2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3) One side is immediate, for the other we will use Theorem 4.7. Fix a map  $\sigma : F(n) \rightarrow X$ . We want to prove  $\sigma^*p : \sigma^*L \rightarrow F(n)$  is an  $S$ -localized Reedy left fibration. By assumption  $p : L \rightarrow X$  is already a Reedy left fibration, which, by Lemma 3.5, implies that  $\sigma^*p$  is also a Reedy left fibration.

Hence, by Theorem 3.13,  $\sigma^*p : \sigma^*L \rightarrow F(n)$  is Reedy equivalent to a map  $ss\int_{[n]} G \rightarrow F(n)$ , where  $G : [n] \rightarrow s\mathcal{S}$  and as the property of being local with respect to  $S$  is invariant under Reedy equivalences,  $\sigma^*p$  is an  $S$ -localized Reedy left fibration if and only if  $ss\int_{[n]} G$  is local with respect to  $S$ . By Theorem 4.7, this itself is equivalent to  $G$  being fibrant in the projective model structure, which by definition means that for all  $0 \leq i \leq n$ ,  $G(i)$  is fibrant in the  $S$ -localized Reedy model structure. This is directly equivalent to  $\sigma^*p$  being fiber-wise fibrant in the diagonal  $S$ -localized Reedy model structure.

(3)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4) This follows from the definition of fibrant objects in the diagonal  $S$ -localized model structure on  $ss\mathcal{S}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 4.14.** *Assume that  $S$  satisfies condition **(S)** and  $p : L \rightarrow X$  is a biReedy fibration. Then the following are equivalent.*

1.  *$p$  is an  $S$ -localized Reedy left fibration.*
2.  *$p$  is a Reedy left fibration and the simplicial space  $\mathcal{Val}(L)$  is local with respect to  $S$ .*
3.  *$p$  is a Reedy left fibration and the simplicial spaces  $\mathcal{Val}_k(L)$  are local with respect to  $S$  for all  $k \geq 0$ .*

*Proof.* (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) Let  $p$  be an  $S$ -localized Reedy left fibration. We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Map}_{/X}(\mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}\mathrm{mb}(B), L) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Map}_{/X}(\mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}\mathrm{mb}(A), L) \\ \cong \downarrow & & \downarrow \cong \\ \mathrm{Map}_{/\mathcal{V}\mathrm{al}(X)}(B, \mathcal{V}\mathrm{al}(L)) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Map}_{/\mathcal{V}\mathrm{al}(X)}(A, \mathcal{V}\mathrm{al}(L)) \end{array} .$$

The vertical maps are bijections using the enriched adjunction  $(\mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}mb, \mathcal{V}al)$ . So the top map is an equivalence (which is the definition of a localized Reedy left fibration) if and only if the bottom map is an equivalence (which is equivalent to  $\mathcal{V}al(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}al(X)$  being fibrant in the localized model structure on  $s\mathcal{S}_{/\mathcal{V}al(X)}$ ).

As  $S$  satisfies condition **(S)**,  $\mathcal{V}al(X) = X_0$  is local with respect to  $S$  and so the bottom map being an equivalence is equivalent to  $\mathcal{V}al(L)$  being local with respect to  $S$ , finishing the proof.

(2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3) One side side is a special case. For the other side, notice we have a Reedy equivalence of simplicial spaces

$$\mathcal{V}al_n(L) \simeq \mathcal{V}al(L) \times_{X_n} X_0.$$

The right hand side is local with respect to  $S$  ( $\mathcal{V}al(L)$  by assumption and  $X_n, X_0$  by condition **(S)**), hence the right hand is local as well.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.15.** *If  $p$  is an  $S$ -localized Reedy left fibration, then it satisfies the conditions of Lemma 4.13. The opposite holds if  $S$  satisfies condition **(D)**.*

*Proof.* If  $p$  is an  $S$ -localized Reedy left fibration, then it satisfies Condition (1) of Lemma 4.13, as fibrations are closed under pullback. On the other side, assume  $S$  satisfies condition **(D)** and assume  $p$  is a biReedy fibration. We will prove that Condition (2) of Lemma 4.13 implies  $p$  is an  $S$ -localized Reedy left fibration.

By Lemma 3.5, Condition (2) implies that  $p$  is a Reedy left fibration, so we only need to show it is local with respect to  $S$ . It suffices to prove that  $p$  satisfies the right lifting property with respect to the cofibration  $j$  defined as the pushout product

$$j = (\mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}mb(f) : \mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}mb(A) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}mb(B)) \square (\partial\Delta[n] \rightarrow \Delta[n]),$$

where  $f$  is in  $S$ . The codomain of  $j$  is  $\mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}mb(B) \times \Delta[n]$  and every map  $\sigma : \mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}mb(B) \times \Delta[n] \rightarrow X$  factors through a map  $\delta : \Delta[n] \rightarrow X$  (as  $S$  satisfies condition **(D)**). Hence we get following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}mb(A) \times \Delta[n] & \xrightarrow{\coprod_{\mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}mb(A) \times \partial\Delta[n]} \mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}mb(B) \times \partial\Delta[n]} & \delta^*L & \longrightarrow & L \\ \downarrow j & \nearrow & \downarrow \delta^*p & & \downarrow p \\ \mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}mb(B) \times \Delta[n] & \xrightarrow{\hspace{10em}} & \Delta[n] & \xrightarrow{\delta} & X \\ & \searrow \sigma & & & \end{array}$$

By assumption  $\delta^*L \rightarrow \Delta[n]$  is an  $S$ -localized Reedy left fibration and so has a lift, which implies that our original lifting problem has a solution proving that  $p$  is an  $S$ -localized Reedy left fibration.  $\square$

Combining Theorem 4.15 with Lemma 4.14 immediately gives us following result.

**Corollary 4.16.** *Let  $S$  satisfy condition **(C)**. Then all conditions in Lemma 4.14 and Lemma 4.13 coincide.*

We move on to characterize weak equivalences in the  $S$ -localized Reedy covariant model structure. First, observe that we have a very immediate result for weak equivalences between fibrant objects generalizing Theorem 3.12.

**Theorem 4.17.** *Let  $L$  and  $M$  be two  $S$ -localized Reedy left fibrations over  $X$ . Let  $g : L \rightarrow M$  be a map over  $X$ . Then the following are equivalent.*

1.  $g : L \rightarrow M$  is a biReedy equivalence.
2.  $\mathcal{V}al(g) : \mathcal{V}al(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}al(M)$  is a Reedy equivalence.
3. For every  $\{x\} : F(0) \rightarrow X$ , the map  $\mathcal{F}ib_x \mathcal{V}al(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}ib_x \mathcal{V}al(M)$  is a Reedy equivalence of bisimplicial spaces.
4. For every  $\{x\} : F(0) \rightarrow X$ , the map  $\mathcal{F}ib_x(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}ib_x(M)$  is a diagonal Reedy equivalence of bisimplicial spaces.

Before moving to the general case, we prove a *recognition principle for  $S$ -localized Reedy covariant equivalences between Reedy left fibrations*.

For the next proposition we need following construction. Let  $p : L \rightarrow X$  be a Reedy left fibration. Then we have following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 L \bullet & \xleftarrow[\simeq]{i} & \tilde{L} \bullet & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{j} & \hat{L} \\
 & \searrow p & \downarrow \hat{p} & \swarrow \hat{p} & \\
 & & X & & 
 \end{array} . \tag{4.18}$$

Here the first map is the level-wise functorial factorization of the simplicial object in  $(s\mathcal{S}/X)^{Rees}$  in the  $S$ -localized Reedy model structure. Moreover, let  $\hat{p} : \hat{L} \rightarrow X$  be the biReedy fibrant replacement over  $X$ .

**Proposition 4.19.** *Let  $S$  satisfy condition (C). Let  $p : L \rightarrow X$ ,  $q : M \rightarrow X$  be Reedy left fibrations (not necessarily localized) and let  $f : L \rightarrow M$  be a map over  $X$ . Then the following are equivalent:*

1.  $f$  is an  $S$ -localized Reedy covariant equivalence.
2. The map  $\hat{f} : \hat{L} \rightarrow \hat{M}$  constructed in 4.18 is a biReedy equivalence.
3. The map

$$\mathcal{V}al(\hat{f}) : \mathcal{V}al(\hat{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}al(\hat{M})$$

constructed in 4.18 is a Reedy equivalence.

4. The map

$$\mathcal{V}al(f) : \mathcal{V}al(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}al(M)$$

is an  $S$ -localized Reedy equivalence.

5. For every object  $\{x\} : F(0) \rightarrow X$ , the induced map on fibers

$$\mathcal{V}al(\mathcal{F}ib_x L) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}al(\mathcal{F}ib_x M)$$

is an  $S$ -localized Reedy equivalence.

6. For every object  $\{x\} : F(0) \rightarrow X$ , the induced map on fibers

$$\mathcal{F}ib_x L \rightarrow \mathcal{F}ib_x M$$

is a diagonal  $S$ -localized Reedy equivalence.

*Proof.* (1)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (2) It suffices to prove that the map  $\hat{p} : \hat{L} \rightarrow X$  from 4.18 is a fibrant replacement of  $L \rightarrow X$  in the  $S$ -localized Reedy covariant model structure.

For that we need to prove two statements:

- $\hat{p} : \hat{L} \rightarrow X$  is an  $S$ -localized Reedy left fibration: Indeed it is biReedy fibrant by definition. Moreover,  $\mathcal{V}al(\hat{L})$  is Reedy equivalent to  $\mathcal{V}al(\tilde{L})$ , which is by definition fibrant in the  $S$ -localized Reedy model structure, and so is itself fibrant in the  $S$ -localized Reedy model structure. Finally,  $\hat{L} \rightarrow X$  is Reedy left fibration, as it is biReedy equivalent to  $\tilde{L} \rightarrow X$  and for every  $n \geq 0$  we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{V}al_n(L) & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\mathcal{V}al_n(i)} & \mathcal{V}al_n(\hat{L}) \\ \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \\ \mathcal{V}al(L) \times_{X_0} X_n & \xrightarrow[\simeq]{\mathcal{V}al(i) \times_{X_0} X_n} & \mathcal{V}al(\hat{L}) \times_{X_0} X_n \end{array} .$$

- $j \circ i$  is an  $S$ -localized Reedy covariant equivalence. Indeed  $i$  is a level-wise  $S$ -localized Reedy equivalence and so an equivalence in the  $S$ -localized Reedy covariant model structure and  $j$  is a biReedy equivalence.

Now that we have established that  $\hat{L}$  is the  $S$ -localized Reedy covariant fibrant replacement of  $L$  over  $X$ , it follows by definition of left Bousfield localizations that  $f$  is an  $S$ -localized Reedy covariant equivalence if and only if  $\hat{f}$  is a biReedy equivalence.

(2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3)  $\hat{L}$  and  $\hat{M}$  are Reedy left fibrations and so, by [Theorem 3.12](#), a map  $\hat{f} : \hat{L} \rightarrow \hat{M}$  is a biReedy equivalence if and only if  $\mathcal{V}al(\hat{f}) : \mathcal{V}al(\hat{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}al(\hat{M})$  is a Reedy equivalence.

(3)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (4) We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{V}al(L) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{V}al(\hat{L}) \\ \mathcal{V}al(f) \downarrow & & \downarrow \mathcal{V}al(\hat{f}) \\ \mathcal{V}al(M) & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{V}al(\hat{M}) \end{array} .$$

By construction, the horizontal maps are fibrant replacements in the  $S$ -localized Reedy model structure. Hence,  $\mathcal{V}al(f)$  is an  $S$ -localized Reedy weak equivalence if and only if  $\mathcal{V}al(\hat{f})$  is a Reedy equivalence.

(3)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (5) First, observe that  $\mathcal{V}al(\hat{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}al(\hat{M})$  is a Reedy equivalence if and only if for every  $\{x\} : \Delta[0] \rightarrow X_0$ , the induced map

$$\mathcal{F}ib_x(\mathcal{V}al(\hat{L})) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}ib_x(\mathcal{V}al(\hat{M}))$$

is a Reedy equivalence.

Now, for a given point  $\{x\} : \Delta[0] \rightarrow X_0$ . The induced map on fibers

$$\mathcal{F}ib_x(\mathcal{V}al(L)) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}ib_x(\mathcal{V}al(\hat{L}))$$

is still the fibrant replacement in the  $S$ -localized Reedy model structure. Hence this is equivalent to

$$\mathcal{F}ib_x(\mathcal{V}al(L)) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}ib_x(\mathcal{V}al(M))$$

being a  $S$ -localized Reedy equivalence.

(5)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (6) This follows from the fact that  $L \rightarrow X$  is a Reedy left fibration and so

$$\mathcal{V}Emb\mathcal{V}al\mathcal{F}ib_x(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}ib_x(L)$$

is a biReedy equivalence. □

**Theorem 4.20.** *Let  $S$  satisfy condition (C). A map  $g : Y \rightarrow Z$  of bisimplicial spaces over  $X$  is an equivalence in the localized Reedy covariant model structure if and only if for each map  $\{x\} : F(0) \rightarrow X$ , the induced map*

$$Y \times_X \mathcal{L}\mathcal{F}\text{ib}(R_x) \rightarrow Z \times_X \mathcal{L}\mathcal{F}\text{ib}(R_x)$$

*is an equivalence in the diagonal localized Reedy model structure. Here  $R_x$  is a choice of right fibrant replacement of the map  $\{x\}$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\hat{g} : \hat{Y} \rightarrow \hat{Z}$  be a fibrant replacement of  $g$  in the Reedy covariant model structure (note: not localized). Moreover, let  $\{x\} : F(0) \rightarrow X$  be a vertex in  $X$ . This gives us following zig-zag of maps:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{Y} \times_X F(0) & \longrightarrow & \hat{Z} \times_X F(0) \\ \text{ReeContra} \simeq \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{ReeContra} \simeq \\ \hat{Y} \times_X R_x & \longrightarrow & \hat{Z} \times_X R_x \\ \text{ReeCov} \simeq \uparrow & & \uparrow \text{ReeCov} \simeq \\ Y \times_X R_x & \longrightarrow & Z \times_X R_x \end{array} .$$

According to [Theorem 3.9](#) the top vertical maps are Reedy contravariant equivalences and the bottom vertical maps are Reedy covariant equivalences. By [Theorem 3.7](#) both of these are diagonal Reedy equivalences, which are always diagonal localized Reedy equivalences ([Theorem 4.4](#)). Thus the top map is a diagonal localized Reedy equivalence if and only if the bottom map is one, but by [Proposition 4.19](#) this is equivalent to  $Y \rightarrow Z$  being a localized Reedy contravariant equivalence over  $X$ .  $\square$

**Theorem 4.21.** *Let  $g : X \rightarrow Y$  be a map of simplicial spaces. Then the adjunction*

$$(ss\mathcal{S}/X)^{\text{ReeCov}_S} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{g!} \\ \xleftarrow[\perp]{g^*} \end{array} (ss\mathcal{S}/Y)^{\text{ReeCov}_S}$$

*is a Quillen adjunction, which is a Quillen equivalence whenever  $g$  is a CSS equivalence. Here both sides have the  $S$ -localized Reedy covariant model structure.*

*Proof.* Clearly it is a Quillen adjunction as fibrations are stable under pullback.

Let us now assume that  $g$  is a CSS equivalence. We want to prove that  $(g!, g^*)$  is a Quillen equivalence of  $S$ -localized Reedy covariant model structures. By [Theorem 3.6](#) it is a Quillen equivalence of Reedy covariant model structures and we want to use [Theorem 2.2](#) to finish the proof. Unfortunately we cannot apply it directly as we have not characterized the  $S$ -localized Reedy covariant model structure on  $ss\mathcal{S}/Y$  via  $g!$ . Hence, we will prove that in this case they coincide.

We need to prove the following fact: Let  $p : L \rightarrow Y$  be a Reedy left fibration. Then  $p$  is  $S$ -localized if and only if  $g^*p : g^*L \rightarrow X$  is an  $S$ -localized Reedy left fibration. By [Subsection 2.6\(11\)](#),  $g^*L \rightarrow L$  is a level-wise CSS equivalence, which means it is a level-wise covariant equivalence ([Subsection 2.6\(9\)](#)). Hence, if  $g^*p$  is  $S$ -localized then  $p$  is  $S$ -localized as well.  $\square$

**Theorem 4.22.** *Let  $S$  satisfy conditions **(P)** and **(C)**. Let  $p : R \rightarrow X$  be a Reedy right fibration over  $X$ . The induced adjunction*

$$({}_{ss}\mathcal{S}_{/X})^{ReeCov_S} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{p_!p^*} \\ \leftarrow \frac{\perp}{p_*p^*} \end{array} ({}_{ss}\mathcal{S}_{/X})^{ReeCov_S}$$

*is a simplicial Quillen adjunction. Here both sides have the  $S$ -localized Reedy covariant model structure.*

*Proof.* Clearly the left adjoint preserves cofibrations and so by [26, Corollary A.3.7.2] it suffices to show that the right adjoint preserves fibrant objects. So, let  $L \rightarrow X$  be a localized Reedy left fibration over  $X$ . Then we have to show that  $p_*p^*L \rightarrow X$  is also a localized Reedy left fibration over  $X$ . By Theorem 3.9, we already know that it is a Reedy left fibration, so all that is left is to show that it is local with respect to  $S$ . By Definition 4.2, it suffices to show that for any map  $q : \mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}mb(B) \rightarrow X$  the induced map

$$\text{Map}_{/X}(\mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}mb(B), p_*p^*L) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{/X}(\mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}mb(A), p_*p^*L)$$

is a Kan equivalence. Using the adjunction, this is equivalent to

$$\text{Map}_{/X}(p_!p^*\mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}mb(B), L) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{/X}(p_!p^*\mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}mb(A), L)$$

being a Kan equivalence. For that it suffices to show that

$$p_!p^*\mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}mb(A) \rightarrow p_!p^*\mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}mb(B)$$

is a localized Reedy covariant equivalence over  $X$ .

As  $S$  satisfies condition **(C)**,  $\text{Diag}(B)$  is contractible and so the map  $\mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}mb(B) \rightarrow X$  is Reedy equivalent to a map of the form  $\mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}mb(B) \rightarrow F(0) \rightarrow X$ . Thus

$$p^*(\mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}mb(B)) = \mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}mb(B) \times_X R \simeq \mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}mb(B) \times (F(0) \times_X R)$$

similarly  $p^*(\mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}mb(A)) \simeq \mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}mb(A) \times (F(0) \times_X R)$ . However,

$$\mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}mb(A) \times (F(0) \times_X R) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\mathcal{E}mb(B) \times (F(0) \times_X R)$$

is a localized Reedy covariant equivalence over  $X$ . Indeed, this immediately follows from the fact that  $S$  satisfies condition **(P)**.  $\square$

Using condition **(P)** we can recover other interesting results about  $S$ -localized Reedy left fibrations.

**Proposition 4.23.** *Let  $S$  be a set of cofibrations that satisfy condition **(P)**. Let  $g : C \rightarrow D$  be a cofibration of bisimplicial spaces and  $p : L \rightarrow X$  a  $S$ -localized Reedy left fibration. Then  $\exp(g, p)$  is also a localized Reedy left fibration.*

*Proof.* By Subsection 2.6(6)  $\exp(g, p)$  is a Reedy left fibration and so it suffices to prove that it is local with respect to  $S$ . It suffices to observe that  $\exp(f, \exp(g, p))$  is a trivial biReedy fibration for every  $f$  in  $S$ . By direct computation we have

$$\exp(f, \exp(g, p)) \cong \exp(f \square g, p) \cong \exp(g, \exp(f, p)).$$

The result now follows from the fact that  $\exp(f, p)$  is a trivial biReedy fibration (as  $f$  satisfies **(P)**) and the biReedy model structure is compatible with Cartesian closure (Subsection 2.8).  $\square$

**Corollary 4.24.** *Let  $S$  be a set of cofibrations that satisfy condition **(P)**. Let  $L \rightarrow X$  be an  $S$ -localized Reedy left fibration. Then for any bisimplicial space  $Y$ ,  $L^Y \rightarrow X^Y$  is also a  $S$ -localized Reedy left fibration.*

## 5. (Segal) Cartesian Fibrations

In the previous section we defined and studied fibrations with fiber localizations of Reedy fibrant simplicial spaces. In this section we want to apply these results to three very important cases: Segal spaces, complete Segal spaces and homotopically constant simplicial spaces. Similar to the previous sections  $X$  is a fixed simplicial space considered a bisimplicial space (Notation 3.1). Also recall the notion of being local as described in Notation 4.1.

**Definition 5.1.** We say a Reedy left (right) fibration  $Y \rightarrow X$  over  $X$  is a *Segal coCartesian fibration* (*Segal Cartesian fibration*) if it is local with respect to the set of maps

$$S = \{G(n) \rightarrow F(n) : n \geq 2\}.$$

**Definition 5.2.** We say a Reedy left (right) fibration  $Y \rightarrow X$  is a *coCartesian fibration* (*Cartesian fibration*) if it is local with respect to the set of maps

$$S = \{G(n) \rightarrow F(n) : n \geq 2\} \cup \{F(0) \rightarrow E(1)\}.$$

**Definition 5.3.** We say a Reedy left (right) fibration  $Y \rightarrow X$  is a *left fibration* (*right fibration*) if it is local with respect to the set of maps

$$S = \{F(0) \rightarrow F(n) : n \geq 0\}.$$

By Example 4.12 all maps in the set

$$\{G(n) \rightarrow F(n) : n \geq 2\} \cup \{F(0) \rightarrow E(1)\} \cup \{F(0) \rightarrow F(n) : n \geq 0\}$$

satisfy conditions (C) and (P) and so all results in Section 4 hold for their corresponding fibrations. In order to summarize the results about the various localizations using the following table.

Variance ( $\mathcal{R}$ )	Value ( $\mathcal{V}$ )	Fibration ( $\mathcal{F}$ )	Model Structure ( $\mathcal{M}$ )	Denoted ( $\mathcal{D}$ )
Reedy left	<i>Seg</i>	Segal coCartesian	Segal coCartesian	<i>SegcoCart</i>
Reedy right	<i>Seg</i>	Segal Cartesian	Segal Cartesian	<i>SegCart</i>
Reedy left	<i>CSS</i>	coCartesian	coCartesian	<i>coCart</i>
Reedy right	<i>CSS</i>	Cartesian	Cartesian	<i>Cart</i>
Reedy left	<i>Kan</i>	left	covariant	<i>cov</i>
Reedy right	<i>Kan</i>	right	contravariant	<i>contra</i>

We now have following results using the table above.

**Theorem 5.4.** (*Theorem 4.5*) *There is a unique simplicial combinatorial left proper model structure on bisimplicial spaces over  $X$ , called the ( $\mathcal{M}$ )-model structure and denoted by  $(ss\mathcal{S}_X)^{(\mathcal{D})}$  satisfying following conditions.*

1. *The fibrant objects are the ( $\mathcal{F}$ )-fibrations over  $X$ .*
2. *Cofibrations are monomorphisms.*
3. *A map of bisimplicial spaces  $Y \rightarrow Z$  over  $X$  is a weak equivalence if*

$$\text{Map}_{/X}(Z, W) \rightarrow \text{Map}_{/X}(Y, W)$$

*is a Kan equivalence for every ( $\mathcal{F}$ )-fibration  $W \rightarrow X$ .*

4. A weak equivalence ( $(\mathcal{F})$ -fibration) between fibrant objects is a level-wise equivalence (biReedy fibration).

**Proposition 5.5.** (*Proposition 4.6*) The following adjunction

$$(\text{ss}\mathcal{S}/X)^{(\mathcal{D})} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{id} \\ \xleftarrow{\perp} \\ \xleftarrow{id} \end{array} (\text{ss}\mathcal{S}/X)^{\text{Diag}-(\mathcal{V})}$$

is a Quillen adjunction, which is a Quillen equivalence whenever  $X$  is homotopically constant. Here the left hand side has the  $(\mathcal{M})$ -model structure and the right hand side has the induced diagonal  $(\mathcal{V})$ -model structure over the base  $X$ .

**Theorem 5.6.** (*Theorem 4.7*) Let  $\mathcal{C}$  be a small category. Then the adjunctions defined in *Theorem 3.13*

$$\text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \text{s}\mathcal{S}^{(\mathcal{V})})^{\text{proj}} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{\text{ss}\mathcal{J}_{\mathcal{C}}} \\ \xleftarrow{\perp} \\ \xleftarrow{\text{ss}\mathcal{H}_{\mathcal{C}}} \end{array} (\text{ss}\mathcal{S}/\text{Nc})^{(\mathcal{D})} \begin{array}{c} \xleftarrow{\text{ss}\mathbb{T}_{\mathcal{C}}} \\ \xleftarrow{\perp} \\ \xleftarrow{\text{ss}\mathbb{L}_{\mathcal{C}}} \end{array} \text{Fun}(\mathcal{C}, \text{s}\mathcal{S}^{(\mathcal{V})})^{\text{proj}}$$

are simplicial Quillen equivalences. Here the middle has the  $(\mathcal{M})$ -model structure and the two sides have the projective model structure on the  $(\mathcal{V})$ -model structure.

**Theorem 5.7.** (*Corollary 4.16*) Let  $p : L \rightarrow X$  be a biReedy fibration. Then the following are equivalent:

1.  $p$  is an  $(\mathcal{F})$ -fibration.
2.  $p$  is an  $(\mathcal{R})$ -fibration and the simplicial space  $\text{Val}(L)$  is local with respect to  $(\mathcal{V})$ .
3.  $p$  is an  $(\mathcal{R})$ -fibration and the simplicial spaces  $\text{Val}_k(L)$  are local with respect to  $(\mathcal{V})$  for all  $k \geq 0$ .
4. For every map  $\sigma : F(n) \times \Delta[l] \rightarrow X$ , the pullback map  $\sigma^*p : \sigma^*L \rightarrow F(n) \times \Delta[l]$  is an  $(\mathcal{F})$ -fibration.
5. For every map  $\sigma : F(n) \rightarrow X$ , the pullback map  $\sigma^*p : \sigma^*L \rightarrow F(n)$  is an  $(\mathcal{F})$ -fibration.
6.  $p$  is an  $(\mathcal{R})$ -fibration and for every point  $\{x\} : F(0) \rightarrow X$  the fiber  $\text{Fib}_x L$  is fibrant in the diagonal  $(\mathcal{V})$ -model structure.
7.  $p$  is an  $(\mathcal{R})$ -fibration and for every point  $\{x\} : F(0) \rightarrow X$  the fiber  $\text{Val}(\text{Fib}_x L)$  is fibrant in the  $(\mathcal{V})$ -model structure.

**Theorem 5.8.** (*Theorem 4.17*) Let  $L$  and  $M$  be two  $(\mathcal{F})$ -fibrations over  $X$ . Let  $g : L \rightarrow M$  be a map over  $X$ . Then the following are equivalent.

1.  $g : L \rightarrow M$  is a biReedy equivalence.
2.  $\text{Val}(g) : \text{Val}(L) \rightarrow \text{Val}(M)$  is a Reedy equivalence.
3. For every  $\{x\} : F(0) \rightarrow X$ , the map  $\text{Fib}_x \text{Val}(L) \rightarrow \text{Fib}_x \text{Val}(M)$  is a Reedy equivalence of bisimplicial spaces.
4. For every  $\{x\} : F(0) \rightarrow X$ , the map  $\text{Fib}_x(L) \rightarrow \text{Fib}_x(M)$  is a diagonal Reedy equivalence of bisimplicial spaces.

For the next proposition we need following construction. Let  $p : W \rightarrow X$  be an  $(\mathcal{R})$ -fibration. Then we can construct following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} W_{\bullet} & \xleftarrow{i} & \tilde{W}_{\bullet} & \xrightarrow{j} & \hat{W} \\ & \searrow p & \downarrow \hat{p} & \swarrow \hat{p} & \\ & & X & & \end{array} \quad (5.9)$$

Here the first map is the level-wise functorial factorization of the simplicial object in  $(s\mathcal{S}/X)^{(\mathcal{V})}$ , the  $(\mathcal{V})$ -model structure. Moreover, let  $\hat{p} : \hat{L} \rightarrow X$  be a biReedy fibrant replacement over  $X$ .

**Proposition 5.10.** (*Proposition 4.19*) *Let  $p : L \rightarrow X$ ,  $q : M \rightarrow X$  be an  $(\mathcal{R})$ -fibrations and let  $f : L \rightarrow M$  be a map over  $X$ . Then the following are equivalent.*

1.  $f$  is an  $(\mathcal{M})$ -equivalence.
2. The map  $\hat{f} : \hat{L} \rightarrow \hat{M}$  constructed in 5.9 is a biReedy equivalence.
3. The map

$$\mathcal{V}\text{al}(\hat{f}) : \mathcal{V}\text{al}(\hat{L}) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\text{al}(\hat{M})$$

constructed in 5.9 is a Reedy equivalence.

4. The map

$$\mathcal{V}\text{al}(f) : \mathcal{V}\text{al}(L) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\text{al}(M)$$

is a  $(\mathcal{V})$ -equivalence.

5. For every object  $\{x\} : F(0) \rightarrow X$ , the induced map on fibers

$$\mathcal{V}\text{al}(\text{Fib}_x L) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}\text{al}(\text{Fib}_x M)$$

is a  $(\mathcal{V})$ -equivalence.

6. For every object  $\{x\} : F(0) \rightarrow X$ , the induced map on fibers

$$\text{Fib}_x L \rightarrow \text{Fib}_x M$$

is a diagonal  $(\mathcal{V})$ -equivalence.

**Theorem 5.11.** (*Theorem 4.20*) *A map  $g : Y \rightarrow Z$  of bisimplicial spaces over  $X$  is an  $(\mathcal{M})$ -equivalence if and only if for each map  $\{x\} : F(0) \rightarrow X$ , the induced map*

$$Y \times_X \mathcal{L}\text{Fib}(R_x) \rightarrow Z \times_X \mathcal{L}\text{Fib}(R_x)$$

is an equivalence in the diagonal  $(\mathcal{V})$ -model structure. Here  $R_x$  is a choice of right fibrant replacement of the map  $\{x\}$ .

**Theorem 5.12.** (*Theorem 4.21*) *Let  $g : X \rightarrow Y$  be a map of simplicial spaces. Then the adjunction*

$$(ss\mathcal{S}/X)^{(\mathcal{D})} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{g_!} \\ \leftarrow \frac{\perp}{g^*} \end{array} (ss\mathcal{S}/Y)^{(\mathcal{D})}$$

is a Quillen adjunction, which is a Quillen equivalence whenever  $g$  is a CSS equivalence. Here both sides have the  $(\mathcal{M})$ -model structure.

**Theorem 5.13.** (*Theorem 4.22*) *Let  $p : V \rightarrow X$  be a dual of an  $(\mathcal{R})$ -fibration over  $X$ . The induced adjunction*

$$(ss\mathcal{S}/X)^{(\mathcal{D})} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{p_! p^*} \\ \leftarrow \frac{\perp}{p_* p^*} \end{array} (ss\mathcal{S}/X)^{(\mathcal{D})}$$

is a Quillen adjunction. Here both sides have the  $(\mathcal{M})$ -model structure.

## Acknowledgments

I want to thank my advisor Charles Rezk who suggested this topic to me. I also want to thank the referee of the paper [35] for suggestions that have also resulted in many improvements of this work. I also want to thank the referee of this paper for many helpful suggestions and corrections.

## References

- [1] J. F. Adams. *Stable homotopy and generalised homology*. Chicago Lectures in Mathematics. University of Chicago Press, Chicago, IL, 1995. Reprint of the 1974 original.
- [2] Dimitri Ara. Higher quasi-categories vs higher Rezk spaces. *J. K-Theory*, 14(3):701–749, 2014.
- [3] David Ayala and John Francis. Fibrations of  $\infty$ -categories. *High. Struct.*, 4(1):168–265, 2020.
- [4] Clark Barwick. *(infinity, n)-Cat as a closed model category*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2005. Thesis (Ph.D.)–University of Pennsylvania.
- [5] Julia E. Bergner. Three models for the homotopy theory of homotopy theories. *Topology*, 46(4):397–436, 2007.
- [6] Julia E. Bergner. A survey of  $(\infty, 1)$ -categories. In *Towards higher categories*, volume 152 of *IMA Vol. Math. Appl.*, pages 69–83. Springer, New York, 2010.
- [7] Julia E. Bergner and Charles Rezk. Comparison of models for  $(\infty, n)$ -categories, I. *Geom. Topol.*, 17(4):2163–2202, 2013.
- [8] Julia E. Bergner and Charles Rezk. Comparison of models for  $(\infty, n)$ -categories, II. *J. Topol.*, 13(4):1554–1581, 2020.
- [9] Pedro Boavida de Brito. Segal objects and the Grothendieck construction. In *An alpine bouquet of algebraic topology*, volume 708 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 19–44. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2018.
- [10] A. K. Bousfield and D. M. Kan. *Homotopy limits, completions and localizations*. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 304. Springer-Verlag, Berlin-New York, 1972.
- [11] Damien Calaque and Claudia Scheimbauer. A note on the  $(\infty, n)$ -category of cobordisms. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 19(2):533–655, 2019.
- [12] Denis-Charles Cisinski. *Higher categories and homotopical algebra*, volume 180 of *Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2019.
- [13] A. D. Elmendorf, I. Kříž, M. A. Mandell, and J. P. May. Modern foundations for stable homotopy theory. In *Handbook of algebraic topology*, pages 213–253. North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1995.
- [14] Andrea Gagna, Yonatan Harpaz, and Edoardo Lanari. Fibrations and lax limits of  $(\infty, 2)$ -categories. Available as [arXiv:2012.04537v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/2012.04537v1), 2020.

- [15] Dennis Gaiitsgory and Nick Rozenblyum. *A study in derived algebraic geometry. Vol. I. Correspondences and duality*, volume 221 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2017.
- [16] Dennis Gaiitsgory and Nick Rozenblyum. *A study in derived algebraic geometry. Vol. II. Deformations, Lie theory and formal geometry*, volume 221 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2017.
- [17] David Gepner, Moritz Groth, and Thomas Nikolaus. Universality of multiplicative infinite loop space machines. *Algebr. Geom. Topol.*, 15(6):3107–3153, 2015.
- [18] Gijs Heuts and Ieke Moerdijk. Left fibrations and homotopy colimits. *Math. Z.*, 279(3-4):723–744, 2015.
- [19] Gijs Heuts and Ieke Moerdijk. Left fibrations and homotopy colimits II. Available as [arXiv:1602.01274v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/1602.01274v1), 2016.
- [20] Philip S. Hirschhorn. *Model categories and their localizations*, volume 99 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [21] Mark Hovey. *Model categories*, volume 63 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1999.
- [22] André Joyal. Notes on quasi-categories. *preprint*, 2008. [Unpublished notes](#) (accessed 08.02.2021).
- [23] André Joyal. The theory of quasi-categories and its applications. 2008. [Unpublished notes](#) (accessed 08.02.2021).
- [24] André Joyal and Myles Tierney. Quasi-categories vs Segal spaces. In *Categories in algebra, geometry and mathematical physics*, volume 431 of *Contemp. Math.*, pages 277–326. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2007.
- [25] D. Kazhdan and Ya. Varshavskii. The Yoneda lemma for complete Segal spaces. *Funktsional. Anal. i Prilozhen.*, 48(2):3–38, 2014.
- [26] Jacob Lurie. *Higher topos theory*, volume 170 of *Annals of Mathematics Studies*. Princeton University Press, Princeton, NJ, 2009.
- [27] Jacob Lurie. (infinity, 2)-categories and the goodwillie calculus I. Available as [arXiv:0905.0462v2](https://arxiv.org/abs/0905.0462v2), 2009.
- [28] Jacob Lurie. On the classification of topological field theories. In *Current developments in mathematics, 2008*, pages 129–280. Int. Press, Somerville, MA, 2009.
- [29] Jacob Lurie. Spectral algebraic geometry. [Unpublished notes](#) (accessed 08.02.2021), February 2018.
- [30] Aaron Mazel-Gee. A user’s guide to co/cartesian fibrations. *Grad. J. Math.*, 4(1):42–53, 2019.
- [31] Hoang Kim Nguyen. Covariant & contravariant homotopy theories. Available as [arXiv:1908.06879v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/1908.06879v1), 2019.

- [32] Thomas Nikolaus, Urs Schreiber, and Danny Stevenson. Principal  $\infty$ -bundles: general theory. *J. Homotopy Relat. Struct.*, 10(4):749–801, 2015.
- [33] Thomas Nikolaus, Urs Schreiber, and Danny Stevenson. Principal  $\infty$ -bundles: presentations. *J. Homotopy Relat. Struct.*, 10(3):565–622, 2015.
- [34] Joost Nuiten. On straightening for Segal spaces. Available as [arXiv:2108.11431](https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.11431), 2021.
- [35] Nima Rasekh. Yoneda lemma for simplicial spaces. Available as [arXiv:1711.03160v3](https://arxiv.org/abs/1711.03160v3), 2017.
- [36] Nima Rasekh. Quasi-categories vs. Segal spaces: Cartesian edition. *J. Homotopy Relat. Struct.*, 2021.
- [37] Nima Rasekh. Univalence in higher category theory. Available as [arXiv:2103.12762](https://arxiv.org/abs/2103.12762), 2021.
- [38] Nima Rasekh. Yoneda lemma for  $\mathcal{D}$ -simplicial spaces. Available as [arXiv:2108.06168](https://arxiv.org/abs/2108.06168), 2021.
- [39] Nima Rasekh. Cartesian fibrations and representability. *Homology, Homotopy and Applications*, 24(2):135–161, 2022.
- [40] Christopher Leonard Reedy. *Homology of Algebraic Theories*. ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 1974. Thesis (Ph.D.)–University of California, San Diego.
- [41] Charles Rezk. A model for the homotopy theory of homotopy theory. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 353(3):973–1007, 2001.
- [42] Charles Rezk. A Cartesian presentation of weak  $n$ -categories. *Geom. Topol.*, 14(1):521–571, 2010.
- [43] Emily Riehl and Michael Shulman. A type theory for synthetic  $\infty$ -categories. *High. Struct.*, 1(1):147–224, 2017.
- [44] Emily Riehl and Dominic Verity. Fibrations and Yoneda’s lemma in an  $\infty$ -cosmos. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 221(3):499–564, 2017.
- [45] Emily Riehl and Dominic Verity. Cartesian exponentiation and monadicity. Available as [arXiv:2101.09853](https://arxiv.org/abs/2101.09853), 2021.
- [46] Emily Riehl and Dominic Verity. *Elements of  $\infty$ -Category Theory*. Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics. Cambridge University Press, 2022.
- [47] Raffael Stenzel.  $(\infty, 1)$ -categorical comprehension schemes. Available as [arXiv:2010.09663v1](https://arxiv.org/abs/2010.09663v1), 2020.
- [48] Danny Stevenson. Covariant model structures and simplicial localization. *North-West. Eur. J. Math.*, 3:141–203, 2017.
- [49] Bertrand Toën. Vers une axiomatisation de la théorie des catégories supérieures. *K-Theory*, 34(3):233–263, 2005.
- [50] The Univalent Foundations Program. *Homotopy Type Theory: Univalent Foundations of Mathematics*. <https://homotopytypetheory.org/book>, Institute for Advanced Study, 2013.

- [51] D. R. B. Verity. Weak complicial sets. I. Basic homotopy theory. *Adv. Math.*, 219(4):1081–1149, 2008.