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# THE HELMHOLTZ DECOMPOSITION IN ARBITRARY UNBOUNDED DOMAINS - A THEORY BEYOND $L^{2}$ 

REINHARD FARWIG*, HIDEO $\mathrm{KOZONO}^{\dagger}$, AND HERMANN SOHR ${ }^{\ddagger}$


#### Abstract

It is well known that the usual $L^{q}$-theory of the Stokes operator valid for bounded or exterior domains cannot be extended to arbitrary unbounded domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ when $q \neq 2$. One reason is given by the Helmholtz projection which fails to exist for certain unbounded smooth planar domains unless $q=2$. However, as recently shown [6], the Helmholtz projection does exist for general unbounded domains in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ if we replace the space $L^{q}, 1<q<\infty$, by $L^{2} \cap L^{q}$ for $q>2$ and by $L^{q}+L^{2}$ for $1<q<2$. In this paper, we generalize this new approach from the three-dimensional case to the $n$-dimensional case, $n \geq 2$.
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1. Introduction. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 2$, be a domain and let $1<q<\infty$. Then the classical Helmholtz projection $P_{q}$ on $L^{q}(\Omega)^{n}$ defines a topological and algebraic decomposition of $L^{q}(\Omega)^{n}$ into the direct sum of the solenoidal subspace

$$
L_{\sigma}^{q}(\Omega)=\overline{C_{0, \sigma}^{\infty}(\Omega)}\left\|^{\|}\right\|_{q}=\mathcal{R}\left(P_{q}\right)
$$

where $C_{0, \sigma}^{\infty}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\Omega)^{n}: \operatorname{div} u=0\right\}$, and the space of gradients

$$
G^{q}(\Omega)=\left\{\nabla p \in L^{q}(\Omega)^{n}: p \in L_{\mathrm{loc}}^{q}(\Omega)\right\}=\operatorname{Ker}\left(P_{q}\right)
$$

Hence every vector field $u \in L^{q}$ (here $L^{q}$ stands for $\left.L^{q}(\Omega)^{n}\right)$ has a unique decomposition $u=u_{0}+\nabla p$ where $u_{0}=P_{q} u \in L_{\sigma}^{q}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{q}+\|\nabla p\|_{q} \leq c\|u\|_{q} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a constant $c=c(q, \Omega)>0$. The existence of $P_{q}$ is well known for several classes of domains with boundary of class $C^{1}$, namely for bounded domains, for exterior domains, aperture domains, layers, tubes, half spaces and perturbations of them, see e.g. [3], [4], [5], [7], [8], [10]. However, the decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
L^{q}(\Omega)^{n}=L_{\sigma}^{q}(\Omega) \oplus G^{q}(\Omega), \quad 1<q<\infty \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

no longer holds for infinite cones in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with "smoothed vertex" at the origin and of opening angle larger than $\pi$ when $q \neq 2$, see [2], [9].

On the other hand, an $L^{2}$-theory works for every bounded and unbounded domain without any assumptions on the boundary. Actually, the decomposition $u=u_{0}+\nabla p$ can be found by solving the weak Neumann problem

$$
\Delta p=\operatorname{div} u \quad \text { in } \quad \Omega, \quad \frac{\partial p}{\partial N}=u \cdot N \quad \text { on } \quad \partial \Omega
$$

[^0]where $N$ denotes the exterior normal unit vector on $\partial \Omega$; i.e., $\nabla p$ is determined in $G^{2}(\Omega)$ via the variational problem
$$
(\nabla p, \nabla \psi)=(u, \nabla \psi) \quad \text { for all } \quad \nabla \psi \in G^{2}(\Omega)
$$
using the Lemma of Lax-Milgram. Obviously, $\|\nabla p\|_{2} \leq\|u\|_{2}$ and $u_{0}:=u-\nabla p \perp \nabla p$ leading to the a priori estimate
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{2}+\|\nabla p\|_{2} \leq 2\|u\|_{2} \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

Note that the constant $C=2$ in (1.3) is independent of the domain.
In a recent paper, the authors proved the existence of the Helmholtz projection for general unbounded domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{3}$ of uniform $C^{2}$-class (cf. Definition 1.1 below) by replacing the space $L^{q}$ by

$$
\tilde{L}^{q}(\Omega)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
L^{q}(\Omega) \cap L^{2}(\Omega), & 2 \leq q<\infty \\
L^{q}(\Omega)+L^{2}(\Omega), & 1<q<2
\end{array} .\right.
$$

We may extend this definition to general unbounded domains $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 2$, and equip $\tilde{L}^{q}(\Omega)$ with the norm $\|u\|_{\tilde{L}^{q}(\Omega)}=\max \left(\|u\|_{q},\|u\|_{2}\right)$ if $q \geq 2$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|u\|_{\tilde{L}^{q}(\Omega)} & =\inf \left\{\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{q}+\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{2}: u=u_{1}+u_{2}, u_{1} \in L^{q}, u_{2} \in L^{2}\right\} \\
& =\sup \left\{\frac{\left|\left\langle u_{1}+u_{2}, f\right\rangle\right|}{\|f\|_{L^{q^{\prime}} \cap L^{2}}}: 0 \neq f \in L^{q^{\prime}} \cap L^{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

if $1<q<2$ and where $q^{\prime}=q /(q-1)$. Note that

$$
\left(\tilde{L}^{q}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime} \cong \tilde{L}^{q^{\prime}}(\Omega)
$$

see [1]. By analogy, we define the spaces

$$
\tilde{L}_{\sigma}^{q}(\Omega)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
L_{\sigma}^{q}(\Omega) \cap L_{\sigma}^{2}(\Omega), & 2 \leq q<\infty \\
L_{\sigma}^{q}(\Omega)+L_{\sigma}^{2}(\Omega), & 1<q<2
\end{array}, \quad \tilde{G}^{q}(\Omega)= \begin{cases}G^{q}(\Omega) \cap G^{2}(\Omega), & 2 \leq q<\infty \\
G^{q}(\Omega)+G^{2}(\Omega), & 1<q<2\end{cases}\right.
$$

For more properties of the intersection and sum of such compatible pairs of Banach spaces we refer to [6].
Definition 1.1. A domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 2$, is called a uniform $C^{1}$-domain of type $(\alpha, \beta, K)$ (where $\alpha>0, \beta>0, K>0)$ if for each $x_{0} \in \partial \Omega$ we can choose a Cartesian coordinate system with origin at $x_{0}$ and coordinates $y=\left(y^{\prime}, y_{n}\right), y^{\prime}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n-1}\right)$, and a $C^{1}$-function $h\left(y^{\prime}\right),\left|y^{\prime}\right| \leq \alpha$, with $C^{1}$-norm $\|h\|_{C^{1}} \leq K$ such that the neighborhood

$$
U_{\alpha, \beta, h}\left(x_{0}\right):=\left\{\left(y^{\prime}, y_{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: h\left(y^{\prime}\right)-\beta<y_{n}<h\left(y^{\prime}\right)+\beta,\left|y^{\prime}\right|<\alpha\right\}
$$

of $x_{0}$ satisfies

$$
U_{\alpha, \beta, h}^{-}\left(x_{0}\right):=\left\{\left(y^{\prime}, y_{n}\right): h\left(y^{\prime}\right)-\beta<y_{n}<h\left(y^{\prime}\right),\left|y^{\prime}\right|<\alpha\right\}=\Omega \cap U_{\alpha, \beta, h}\left(x_{0}\right)
$$

and

$$
\partial \Omega \cap U_{\alpha, \beta, h}\left(x_{0}\right)=\left\{\left(y^{\prime}, h\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right):\left|y^{\prime}\right|<\alpha\right\} .
$$

Then our main theorem reads as follows:
Theorem 1.2. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}_{\tilde{\sim}}^{n}$, $n \geq 2$, be a uniform $C^{1}$-domain of type $(\alpha, \beta, K)$ and let $q \in(1, \infty)$. Then each $u \in \tilde{L}^{q}(\Omega)$ has a unique decomposition

$$
u=u_{0}+\nabla p, \quad u_{0} \in \tilde{L}_{\sigma}^{q}(\Omega), \nabla p \in \tilde{G}^{q}(\Omega)
$$

satisfying the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\tilde{L}^{q}}+\|\nabla p\|_{\tilde{L}^{q}} \leq c\|u\|_{\tilde{L}^{q}}, \quad c=c(\alpha, \beta, K, q)>0 \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, the Helmholtz projection $\tilde{P}_{q}$ defined by $\tilde{P}_{q} u=u_{0}$ is a bounded linear projection on $\tilde{L}^{q}(\Omega)$ with range $\tilde{L}_{\sigma}^{q}(\Omega)$ and kernel $\tilde{G}^{q}(\Omega)$ and satisfies $\left(\tilde{P}_{q}\right)^{\prime}=\tilde{P}_{q^{\prime}}$.
Corollary 1.3. Let $1<q<\infty$ and let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}, n \geq 2$, be a uniform $C^{1}$-domain of type $(\alpha, \beta, K)$.

1. $\tilde{L}_{\sigma}^{q}(\Omega)={\overline{C_{0, \sigma}^{\infty}(\Omega)}}^{\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{L} q} .}$
2. The following isomorphisms hold:

$$
\left(\tilde{L}_{\sigma}^{q}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime} \cong \tilde{L}_{\sigma}^{q^{\prime}}(\Omega), \quad\left(\tilde{G}^{q}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime} \cong \tilde{G}^{q^{\prime}}(\Omega)
$$

3. The annihilator identities

$$
\left(\tilde{L}_{\sigma}^{q}(\Omega)\right)^{\perp}=\tilde{G}^{q^{\prime}}(\Omega), \quad\left(\tilde{G}^{q}(\Omega)\right)^{\perp}=\tilde{L}_{\sigma}^{q^{\prime}}(\Omega)
$$

hold.
Besides the spaces $\tilde{L}_{\sigma}^{q}$ and $\tilde{G}^{q}$ we consider the spaces

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\sigma}^{q}(\Omega)=\left\{u \in \tilde{L}^{q}(\Omega)^{n}: \operatorname{div} u=0 \text { in } \Omega, u \cdot N=0 \text { on } \partial \Omega\right\}
$$

and

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{q}(\Omega)={\overline{\nabla C_{0}^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})}}^{\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{L}} q}
$$

the closure in $\tilde{G}^{q}(\Omega)$ of its subspace $\nabla C_{0}^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$; here $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\sigma}^{q}(\Omega)$ is defined in the sense of distributions, i.e., $\langle u, \nabla \varphi\rangle=0$ for all $\varphi \in C_{0}^{\infty}(\bar{\Omega})$. Hence by definition

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\sigma}^{q}(\Omega)=\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{q^{\prime}}(\Omega)^{\perp}
$$

and, due to reflexivity, $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{q}(\Omega)^{\perp}=\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\sigma}^{q^{\prime}}(\Omega)$.
As is well known, for bounded or exterior domains, see [10], $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\sigma}^{q}=\tilde{L}_{\sigma}^{q}$ and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{q}=$ $\tilde{G}^{q}$. However, for an aperture domain, see [3], [5], [8], $\tilde{L}_{\sigma}^{q}$ is a closed subspace of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\sigma}^{q}$ of codimension 1 if and only if $q>n^{\prime}$, and $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{q}$ is a closed subspace of $\tilde{G}^{q}$ of codimension 1 if and only if $1<q<n$. In an arbitrary unbounded domain of uniform $C^{1}$-type the same phenomena may occur; moreover, the codimensions could equal an arbitrary positive integer or even infinity.

Corollary 1.4. Let $1<q<\infty$ and let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$, $n \geq 2$, be a uniform $C^{1}$-domain of type $(\alpha, \beta, K)$.

1. The following isomorphisms hold:

$$
\left(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\sigma}^{q}(\Omega) / \tilde{L}_{\sigma}^{q}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime} \cong \tilde{G}^{q^{\prime}}(\Omega) / \tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{q^{\prime}}(\Omega), \quad\left(\tilde{G}^{q}(\Omega) / \tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{q}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime} \cong \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\sigma}^{q^{\prime}}(\Omega) / \tilde{L}_{\sigma}^{q^{\prime}}(\Omega)
$$

2. The space $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\sigma}^{q}(\Omega)$ admits the following direct algebraic and topological decomposition:

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\sigma}^{q}(\Omega)=\tilde{L}_{\sigma}^{q}(\Omega) \oplus\left(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\sigma}^{q}(\Omega) \cap \tilde{G}^{q}(\Omega)\right)
$$

By Corollary 1.4 (1) $\tilde{L}_{\sigma}^{q}$ has a finite codimension in $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\sigma}^{q}$ if and only if $\tilde{\mathcal{G}}^{q^{\prime}}$ has a finite codimension in $\tilde{G}^{q^{\prime}}$; in this case the codimensions coincide.

## 2. Proofs.

2.1. Preliminaries. Concerning Definition 1.1 we introduce further notation and discuss some properties. Obviously, the axes $e_{i}, i=1, \ldots, n$, of the new coordinate system $\left(y^{\prime}, y_{n}\right)$ may be chosen in such a way that $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n-1}$ are tangential to $\partial \Omega$ at $x_{0}$. Hence at $y^{\prime}=0$ we have $h\left(y^{\prime}\right)=0$ and $\nabla^{\prime} h\left(y^{\prime}\right)=0$. Since $h \in C^{1}$, for any given constant $M_{0}>0$, we may choose $\alpha>0$ sufficiently small such that $\|h\|_{C^{1}} \leq M_{0}$ is satisfied.

It is easily shown that there exists a covering of $\bar{\Omega}$ by open balls $B_{j}=B_{r}\left(x_{j}\right)$ of fixed radius $r>0$ with centers $x_{j} \in \bar{\Omega}$, such that with suitable functions $h_{j} \in C^{1}$ of type $(\alpha, \beta, K)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{B}_{j} \subset U_{\alpha, \beta, h_{j}}\left(x_{j}\right) \text { if } x_{j} \in \partial \Omega, \quad \bar{B}_{j} \subset \Omega \text { if } x_{j} \in \Omega \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $j$ runs from 1 to a finite number $N=N(\Omega) \in \mathbb{N}$ if $\Omega$ is bounded, and $j \in \mathbb{N}$ if $\Omega$ is unbounded. The covering $\left\{B_{j}\right\}$ of $\Omega$ may be constructed in such a way that not more than a fixed number $N_{0}=N_{0}(\alpha, \beta, K) \in \mathbb{N}$ of these balls can have a nonempty intersection. Moreover, there exists a partition of unity $\left\{\varphi_{j}\right\}, \varphi_{j} \in C_{0}^{\infty}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \varphi_{j} \leq 1, \quad \operatorname{supp} \varphi_{j} \subset B_{j}, \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{j=1}^{N} \varphi_{j}=1 \text { or } \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \varphi_{j}=1 \text { on } \Omega \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functions $\varphi_{j}$ may be chosen so that $\left|\nabla \varphi_{j}(x)\right| \leq C$ uniformly in $j$ and $x \in \Omega$ with $C=C(\alpha, \beta, K)$.

If $\Omega$ is unbounded, then $\Omega$ can be represented as the union of an increasing sequence of bounded domains $\Omega_{k} \subset \Omega, k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ldots \subset \Omega_{k} \subset \Omega_{k+1} \subset \ldots, \quad \Omega=\bigcup_{k=1}^{\infty} \Omega_{k} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

each $\Omega_{k}$ is of the same type $\left(\alpha^{\prime}, \beta^{\prime}, K^{\prime}\right)$. Without loss of generality we assume that $\alpha=\alpha^{\prime}, \beta=\beta^{\prime}, K=K^{\prime}$.

Using the partition of unity $\left\{\varphi_{j}\right\}$ the construction of the Helmholtz decomposition will be based on well known results for certain bounded and unbounded domains. For this reason, we introduce for $h \in C_{0}^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n-1}\right)$ satisfying $h(0)=0, \nabla^{\prime} h(0)=0$ and $\operatorname{supp} h \subset$ $B_{r}^{\prime}(0) \subset \mathbb{R}^{n-1}, 0<r=r(\alpha, \beta, K)<\alpha$, the bounded domain

$$
H=H_{\alpha, \beta, h ; r}=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: h\left(y^{\prime}\right)-\beta<y_{n}<h\left(y^{\prime}\right),\left|y^{\prime}\right|<\alpha\right\} \cap B_{r}(0) ;
$$

here we assume that $\overline{B_{r}(0)} \subset\left\{y:\left|y_{n}-h\left(y^{\prime}\right)\right|<\beta,\left|y^{\prime}\right|<\alpha\right\}$.
On $H$ we consider the classical Sobolev spaces $W^{1, q}(H)$ and $W_{0}^{1, q}(H)$, the dual space $W^{-1, q}(H)=\left(W_{0}^{1, q^{\prime}}(H)\right)^{\prime}$ and the space

$$
L_{0}^{q}(H)=\left\{u \in L^{q}(H): \int_{H} u \mathrm{~d} x=0\right\}
$$

of $L^{q}$-functions with vanishing mean on $H$.

Lemma 2.1. Let $1<q<\infty$ and $H=H_{\alpha, \beta, h ; r}$.

1. Assume that $\left\|\nabla^{\prime} h\right\|_{\infty} \leq M_{0}$ for a sufficiently small constant $M_{0}=M_{0}(q, n)>0$, and let $u \in L^{q}(H)^{n}$ admit the Helmholtz decomposition $u=u_{0}+\nabla p$ with $u_{0} \in L_{\sigma}^{q}(H), p \in$ $W^{1, q}(H)$ and $\operatorname{supp} u_{0}, \operatorname{supp} p \subset B_{r}(0)$. Then there exists a constant $C=C(\alpha, \beta, K, q)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{q}+\|\nabla p\|_{q} \leq C\|u\|_{q} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

2. There exists a bounded linear operator

$$
R: L_{0}^{q}(H) \rightarrow W_{0}^{1, q}(H)^{n}
$$

such that $\operatorname{div} \circ R=\mathrm{id}$ on $L_{0}^{q}(H)$ and a constant $C=C(\alpha, \beta, K, q)>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|R f\|_{W^{1, q}} \leq C\|f\|_{q} \quad \text { for all } \quad f \in L_{0}^{q}(H) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

3. There exists $C=C(\alpha, \beta, K, q)>0$ such that for every $p \in L_{0}^{q}(H)$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|p\|_{q} \leq C\|\nabla p\|_{W^{-1, q}}=C \sup \left\{\frac{|\langle p, \operatorname{div} v\rangle|}{\|\nabla v\|_{q^{\prime}}}: 0 \neq v \in W_{0}^{1, q^{\prime}}(H)\right\} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof.

1. Since $\operatorname{supp} u_{0}, \operatorname{supp} p \subset B_{r}(0)$ and since $h$ has compact support, the decomposition $u=u_{0}+\nabla p$ on $H$ may be considered as a Helmholtz decomposition in the bent half space

$$
H_{h}=\left\{y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}: y_{n}<h\left(y^{\prime}\right), y^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n-1}\right\}
$$

Then [10, Lemma 3.8 a)] yields (2.4) provided that $\left\|\nabla^{\prime} h\right\|_{\infty} \leq M_{0}$ is sufficiently small.
2. It is well known that there exists a bounded linear operator $R: L_{0}^{q}(H) \rightarrow$ $W_{0}^{1, q}(H)^{n}$ such that $u=R f$ solves the divergence problem $\operatorname{div} u=f$. Moreover, the estimate (2.5) holds with $C=C(\alpha, \beta, K, q)>0$, see [8, III, Theorem 3.1].
3. The dual map $R^{\prime}: W^{-1, q}(H)^{n} \rightarrow L_{0}^{q}(H)$ of the map $R$ in 2., replacing $q$ by $q^{\prime}$, is continuous with bound $C=C(\alpha, \beta, K, q)>0$. Given $p \in L_{0}^{q}(H)$, we get that $\nabla p \in W^{-1, q}(H)^{n}$ using the definition $\langle\nabla p, v\rangle=-(p, \operatorname{div})$ for $v \in W_{0}^{1, q^{\prime}}(H)$. Then for all $f \in L_{0}^{q^{\prime}}(H)$,

$$
\left(f, R^{\prime}(\nabla p)\right)=\langle R f, \nabla p\rangle=-(\operatorname{div} R f, p)=-(f, p)
$$

Hence $R^{\prime}(\nabla p)=-p$, yielding (2.6).
2.2. The case $\Omega$ bounded, $q \geq 2$. Assume that $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is a bounded uniform $C^{1}$-domain of type $(\alpha, \beta, K)$. Then each $u \in L^{q}(\Omega)^{n}, 2 \leq q<\infty$, has a unique decomposition $u=u_{0}+\nabla p, u_{0} \in L_{\sigma}^{q}(\Omega), \nabla p \in G^{q}(\Omega)$, satisfying (1.1) with constant $c=c(q, \Omega)>0$ depending somehow on $\Omega$, see [7], [10].

Given the partition of unity $\left\{\varphi_{j}\right\}_{j=1}^{N}$, the balls $B_{j}$ and the sets $U_{\alpha, \beta, h_{j}}\left(x_{j}\right), U_{\alpha, \beta, h_{j}}^{-}\left(x_{j}\right)$, see Definition 1.1 and Subsection 2.1, we define the sets

$$
U_{j}=U_{\alpha, \beta, h_{j}}^{-}\left(x_{j}\right) \cap B_{j} \text { if } x_{j} \in \partial \Omega \quad \text { and } \quad U_{j}=B_{j} \text { if } x_{j} \in \Omega
$$

$1 \leq j \leq N$. We may assume that in both cases Lemma 2.1 applies to the domain $H=U_{j}$ (in Lemma 2.1 1. the smallness assumption is satisfied if $x_{j} \in \partial \Omega$, whereas the case
$x_{j} \in \Omega$ is related to the Helmholtz decomposition in the whole space). Moreover, at most $N_{0}=N_{0}(\alpha, \beta, K) \in \mathbb{N}$ of these sets will have a nonempty intersection. Multiplying $u=u_{0}+\nabla p$ with $\varphi_{j}$ we get that

$$
\varphi_{j} u=\varphi_{j} u_{0}+\nabla\left(\varphi_{j}\left(p-M_{j}\right)\right)-\left(\nabla \varphi_{j}\right)\left(p-M_{j}\right)
$$

where $M_{j}=\frac{1}{\left|U_{j}\right|} \int_{U_{j}} p \mathrm{~d} x$ yielding $p-M_{j} \in L_{0}^{q}\left(U_{j}\right)$. Moreover, using the operator $R=R_{j}$ in $U_{j}$, see Lemma 2.1 (2), we find $w_{j}=R_{j}\left(u_{0} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{j}\right) \in W_{0}^{1, q}\left(U_{j}\right)$ such that $\operatorname{div} w_{j}=$ $u_{0} \cdot \nabla \varphi_{j}$ in $U_{j}$ and $\varphi_{j} u_{0}-w_{j} \in L_{\sigma}^{q}\left(U_{j}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{j} u+\left(\nabla \varphi_{j}\right)\left(p-M_{j}\right)-w_{j}=\left(\varphi_{j} u_{0}-w_{j}\right)+\nabla\left(\varphi_{j}\left(p-M_{j}\right)\right) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the Helmholtz decomposition of the left-hand side $\varphi_{j} u+\left(\nabla \varphi_{j}\right)\left(p-M_{j}\right)-w_{j}$ in $U_{j}$. To estimate $\varphi_{j} u$ and $\varphi_{j} \nabla p$ let $s:=\max \left(\frac{n q}{n+q}, 2\right) \in[2, q), s^{\prime}=s /(s-1)$. Then the Sobolev embeddings $W_{0}^{1, s}\left(U_{j}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{q}\left(U_{j}\right)$ and $W_{0}^{1, q^{\prime}}\left(U_{j}\right) \hookrightarrow L^{s^{\prime}}\left(U_{j}\right)$ hold with embedding constants depending on $\alpha, \beta, K$ and $q, s$ only. Hence, by Lemma 2.1 2. (with $q$ replaced by $s$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|w_{j}\right\|_{L^{q}\left(U_{j}\right)} \leq c\left\|w_{j}\right\|_{W^{1, s}\left(U_{j}\right)} \leq C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{s}\left(U_{j}\right)}, \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and by Lemma 2.13.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{W^{-1, q}\left(U_{j}\right)}=\sup \left\{\frac{\left|\left(u_{0}, v\right)\right|}{\|\nabla v\|_{L^{q^{\prime}}\left(U_{j}\right)}}: 0 \neq v \in W_{0}^{1, q^{\prime}}\left(U_{j}\right)\right\} \leq C\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{s}\left(U_{j}\right)}, \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c=c(\alpha, \beta, K)>0$ and $C=C(\alpha, \beta, K)>0$. By (2.9) we conclude that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|p-M_{j}\right\|_{L^{q}\left(U_{j}\right)} & \leq c\|\nabla p\|_{W^{-1, q}\left(U_{j}\right)} \leq c\left(\|u\|_{W^{-1, q}\left(U_{j}\right)}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{W^{-1, q}\left(U_{j}\right)}\right) \\
& \leq C\left(\|u\|_{L^{q}\left(U_{j}\right)}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{s}\left(U_{j}\right)}\right) \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

with constants $c, C>0$ depending only on $\alpha, \beta, K$.
Now Lemma 2.11 . and (2.7) imply the estimate

$$
\left\|\varphi_{j} u_{0}-w_{j}\right\|_{L^{q}\left(U_{j}\right)}+\left\|\nabla\left(\varphi_{j}\left(p-M_{j}\right)\right)\right\|_{L^{q}\left(U_{j}\right)} \leq c\left\|\varphi_{j} u+\left(\nabla \varphi_{j}\right)\left(p-M_{j}\right)\right\|_{L^{q}\left(U_{j}\right)},
$$

which may be simplified by virtue of (2.8), (2.10) to the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\varphi_{j} u_{0}\right\|_{L^{q}\left(U_{j}\right)}+\left\|\varphi_{j} \nabla p\right\|_{L^{q}\left(U_{j}\right)} \leq C\left(\|u\|_{L^{q}\left(U_{j}\right)}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{s}\left(U_{j}\right)}\right) \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

with constants $c, C>0$ depending only on $\alpha, \beta, K$. Taking the $q$ th power in (2.11), summing over $j=1, \ldots, N$ and exploiting the crucial property of the number $N_{0}$ we are led to the estimate

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)}^{q}+\|\nabla p\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)}^{q} & \leq \int_{\Omega}\left(\left(\sum_{j} \varphi_{j}\left|u_{0}\right|\right)^{q}+\left(\sum_{j} \varphi_{j}|\nabla p|\right)^{q}\right) \mathrm{d} x \\
& \leq \int_{\Omega} N_{0}^{\frac{q}{q^{q^{\prime}}}}\left(\sum_{j}\left|\varphi_{j} u_{0}\right|^{q}+\sum_{j}\left|\varphi_{j} \nabla p\right|^{q}\right) \mathrm{d} x  \tag{2.12}\\
& \leq C N_{0}^{\frac{q}{q^{\prime}}}\left(\sum_{j}\|u\|_{L^{q}\left(U_{j}\right)}^{q}+\sum_{j}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{s}\left(U_{j}\right)}^{q}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

The last sum on the right-hand side may be estimated by the reverse Hölder inequality $\sum_{j}\left|a_{j}\right|^{q} \leq\left(\sum_{j}\left|a_{j}\right|^{s}\right)^{q / s}$. Using again the property of the number $N_{0}$ and taking the $q$ th root, (2.12) may be simplified to the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)}+\|\nabla p\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)} \leq C\left(\|u\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{s}(\Omega)}\right) \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C=C(\alpha, \beta, K)>0$. To get rid of the term $\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{s}(\Omega)}$ in the case when $s>2$ we use the elementary interpolation inequality

$$
\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{s}(\Omega)} \leq \alpha\left(\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\right)^{1 / \alpha}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}+(1-\alpha) \varepsilon^{1 /(1-\alpha)}\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)}, \quad \varepsilon>0
$$

where $\alpha \in(0,1)$ is defined by $\frac{1}{s}=\frac{\alpha}{2}+\frac{1-\alpha}{q}$. Choosing $\varepsilon>0$ sufficiently small, the new term $\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)}$ on the right-hand side of (2.13) may be absorbed by the same term on the left-hand side so that (2.13) leads to the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)}+\|\nabla p\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)} \leq C\left(\|u\|_{L^{q}(\Omega)}+\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}\right) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $C=C(\alpha, \beta, K)>0$. Finally we use the $L^{2}$-estimate (1.3) for the term $\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)}$ and add (1.3) to (2.14). This proves the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{q} \cap L^{2}}+\|\nabla p\|_{L^{q} \cap L^{2}} \leq C\|u\|_{L^{q} \cap L^{2}} \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for every $q \geq 2$.
2.3. The case $\Omega$ bounded, $1<q<2$. For $u \in L^{q}+L^{2}$ there exist $u_{1} \in L^{q}, u_{2} \in L^{2}$ satisfying $u=u_{1}+u_{2}$ and $\|u\|_{L^{q}+L^{2}}=\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{L^{q}}+\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{L^{2}}$. Define $u_{0}$ and $\nabla p$ by

$$
u_{0}=P_{q} u_{1}+P_{2} u_{2} \in L_{\sigma}^{q}+L_{\sigma}^{2}, \quad \nabla p=\left(I-P_{q}\right) u_{1}+\left(I-P_{2}\right) u_{2} \in G^{q}+G^{2}
$$

yielding $u=u_{0}+\nabla p$. Then, using duality arguments and (2.15) for $q^{\prime}>2$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{q}+L^{2}} & =\sup \left\{\frac{\left|\left\langle P_{q} u_{1}+P_{2} u_{2}, v\right\rangle\right|}{\|v\|_{L^{q^{\prime}} \cap L^{2}}}: 0 \neq v \in L^{q^{\prime}} \cap L^{2}\right\} \\
& =\sup \left\{\frac{\|\left\langle u_{1}+u_{2}, P_{q^{\prime}} v\right\rangle \mid}{\|v\|_{L^{q^{\prime}} \cap L^{2}}}: 0 \neq v \in L^{q^{\prime}} \cap L^{2}\right\} \\
& \leq \sup \left\{\frac{\left(\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{q}+\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{2}\right) \max \left(\left\|P_{q^{\prime}} v\right\|_{q^{\prime}},\left\|P_{2} v\right\|_{2}\right)}{\|v\|_{L^{q^{\prime}} \cap L^{2}}}: 0 \neq v \in L^{q^{\prime}} \cap L^{2}\right\} \\
& \leq C\|u\|_{L^{q}+L^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

with the same constant $C=C(\alpha, \beta, K)$ as in (2.15) (with $q^{\prime}$ instead of $q$ ). It follows that $\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{L^{q}+L^{2}}+\|\nabla p\|_{L^{q}+L^{2}} \leq C\|u\|_{L^{q}+L^{2}}$, i.e., (1.4) for $q \in(1,2)$.

Summarizing both cases we proved the existence of a bounded linear projection $\tilde{P}_{q}$ on $\tilde{L}^{q}$ for a bounded domain $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ of uniform $C^{1}$-type $(\alpha, \beta, K)$ such that $\tilde{P}_{q} u=P_{q} u$ for all $u \in \tilde{L}^{q}=L^{q}$. Moreover, $\nabla p=\left(I-\tilde{P}_{q}\right) u=\left(I-P_{q}\right) u \in \tilde{G}^{q}=G^{q}$. The crucial property of $\tilde{P}_{q}$ is the fact that its operator norm on $\tilde{L}^{q}$ is bounded by a constant $C=C(\alpha, \beta, K)>0$. Finally, the assertion $\left(\tilde{P}_{q}\right)^{\prime}=\tilde{P}_{q^{\prime}}$ follows from standard duality arguments.
2.4. The case $\Omega$ unbounded. Let $\Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ be an unbounded domain of uniform $C^{1}$-type $(\alpha, \beta, K)$. Given $u \in \tilde{L}^{q}(\Omega)^{n}, 1<q<\infty$, define $u_{k}=\left.u\right|_{\Omega_{k}}, k \in \mathbb{N}$, where $\Omega_{k} \subset \Omega$ is the bounded domain introduced in $\S 2.1$; note that $\Omega_{k} \subset \Omega$ again is of uniform $C^{1}$-type $(\alpha, \beta, K)$. Since obviously $u_{k} \in \tilde{L}^{q}\left(\Omega_{k}\right)^{n}$, there exists a unique Helmholtz decomposition $u_{k}=u_{k, 0}+\nabla p_{k}$ with $u_{k, 0} \in \tilde{L}_{\sigma}^{q}\left(\Omega_{k}\right), \nabla p_{k} \in \tilde{G}^{q}\left(\Omega_{k}\right)$, satisfying the estimate

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|u_{k, 0}\right\|_{\tilde{L}^{q}\left(\Omega_{k}\right)}+\left\|\nabla p_{k}\right\|_{\tilde{L}^{q}\left(\Omega_{k}\right)} \leq C\left\|u_{k}\right\|_{\tilde{L}^{q}\left(\Omega_{k}\right)} \leq C\|u\|_{\tilde{L}^{q}(\Omega)} \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

with a constant $C=C(\alpha, \beta, K)$ independent of $k \in \mathbb{N}$. Extending $u_{k, 0}$ and $\nabla p_{k}$ by 0 from $\Omega_{k}$ to $\Omega$ we get bounded sequences in $\tilde{L}^{q}(\Omega)^{n}$. Since $\tilde{L}^{q}(\Omega)$ is reflexive, there exist - suppressing the notation of subsequences - weak limits

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{0}=(\mathrm{w}-) \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} u_{k, 0} \in \tilde{L}^{q}(\Omega)^{n}, \quad Q=(\mathrm{w}-) \lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \nabla p_{k} \in \tilde{L}^{q}(\Omega)^{n} \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfying $u=u_{0}+Q$ and the estimate $\left\|u_{0}\right\|_{\tilde{L}^{q}(\Omega)}+\|Q\|_{\tilde{L}^{q}(\Omega)} \leq C\|u\|_{\tilde{L}^{q}(\Omega)}$. Since $u_{k, 0} \in$ $\tilde{L}_{\sigma}^{q}\left(\Omega_{k}\right) \subset \tilde{L}_{\sigma}^{q}(\Omega)$ and since $\tilde{L}_{\sigma}^{q}(\Omega)$ is closed with respect to weak convergence, $u_{0} \in \tilde{L}_{\sigma}^{q}(\Omega)$. Moreover, de Rham's argument, see [11], [12], implies that there exists $p \in L_{\text {loc }}^{1}(\Omega)$ such that $Q=\nabla p \in \tilde{G}^{q}(\Omega)$. Hence the pair $\left(u_{0}, \nabla p\right)$ determines a Helmholtz decomposition of $u$ in $\tilde{L}^{q}(\Omega)^{n}$. The uniqueness of the Helmholtz decomposition is proved by a classical duality argument and the weak convergence properties (2.17). Now the existence of the Helmholtz projection $\tilde{P}_{q}$ on $\tilde{L}^{q}(\Omega)^{n}$ with range $\tilde{L}_{\sigma}^{q}(\Omega)$ and kernel $\tilde{G}^{q}(\Omega)$ is proved. Moreover, the assertion $\left(\tilde{P}_{q}\right)^{\prime}=\tilde{P}_{q^{\prime}}$ follows from standard duality arguments.

## Proof of Corollary 1.3.

1. Note that obviously $\overline{C_{0, \sigma}^{\infty}(\Omega)} \|^{\cdot \|_{\tilde{L}^{q}}} \subset \tilde{L}_{\sigma}^{q}(\Omega), 1<q<\infty$. Now let $u=u_{0} \in \tilde{L}_{\sigma}^{q}(\Omega)$. By the proof above, cf. (2.17), the sequence $\left(u_{k, 0}\right)$ converges weakly in $\tilde{L}^{q}(\Omega)^{n}$ towards $\tilde{P}_{q} u=u$. By Mazur's theorem there exists a sequence of convex combinations of the elements $\left(u_{k, 0}\right)$, say $\left(v_{m}\right)$, converging strongly in $\tilde{L}_{\sigma}^{q}(\Omega)$ to $u$. Each element $v_{m}$ has its support in some bounded domain $\Omega_{k(m)}$ yielding $v_{m} \in L_{\sigma}^{q}\left(\Omega_{k(m)}\right)$. Since $C_{0, \sigma}^{\infty}\left(\Omega_{k(m))}\right.$ is dense in $L_{\sigma}^{q}\left(\Omega_{k(m)}\right)$ and since for a bounded domain the norms in $L^{q}$ and $\tilde{L}^{q}$ are equivalent, we conclude that $\left(v_{m}\right)$ converges to $u$ in $\tilde{L}_{\sigma}^{q}(\Omega)$ as $m \rightarrow \infty$; hence $u \in \overline{C_{0, \sigma}^{\infty}(\Omega)}\|\cdot\|_{\tilde{L} q}$.
The assertions $\left(\tilde{L}_{q}(\Omega)\right)^{\prime}=\tilde{L}_{q^{\prime}}(\Omega)$ and $\left(\tilde{P}_{q}\right)^{\prime}=\tilde{P}_{q^{\prime}}$ follow from standard duality arguments.

2 ., 3. All claims are easily proved by duality arguments.

## Proof of Corollary 1.4.

1. By Corollary 1.3 2., 3. both assertions are special cases of the following general result and of the reflexivity of the space $\tilde{L}^{q}, 1<q<\infty$ :
Let $X_{0}$ be a Banach space with dual space $Y_{0}=\left(X_{0}\right)^{\prime}$ and let $X_{1}, X_{2}$ and $Y_{1}, Y_{2}$ be closed subspaces of $X_{0}$ and $Y_{0}$, respectively, such that

$$
X_{2} \subset X_{1} \subset X_{0}, \quad Y_{2} \subset Y_{1} \subset Y_{0}, \quad X_{2}^{\perp}=Y_{1}, \quad X_{1}^{\perp}=Y_{2}
$$

Then

$$
\left(X_{1} / X_{2}\right)^{\prime} \cong Y_{1} / Y_{2}
$$

For the proof of this abstract result first consider arbitrary equivalence classes $\bar{y}_{1}=$ $y_{1}+Y_{2} \in Y_{1} / Y_{2}$ and $\bar{x}_{1}=x_{1}+X_{2} \in X_{1} / X_{2}$. Then $\left\langle\left\langle\bar{y}_{1}, \bar{x}_{1}\right\rangle\right\rangle:=\left\langle y_{1}, x_{1}\right\rangle$ is welldefined and defines an injective map $J$ from $Y_{1} / Y_{2}$ into $\left(X_{1} / X_{2}\right)^{\prime}$. Next, given any
$f \in\left(X_{1} / X_{2}\right)^{\prime}$, define $f_{1} \in X_{1}^{\prime}$ by $\left\langle f_{1}, x_{1}\right\rangle:=\left\langle\left\langle f, \bar{x}_{1}\right\rangle\right\rangle$ and use Hahn-Banach's theorem to extend $f_{1} \in X_{1}^{\prime}$ to an element $f_{0} \in X_{0}^{\prime}$. Note that $f_{0} \in Y_{1}$, but that the map $f \mapsto f_{0}$ is not necessarily linear. Then define $\bar{f}:=f_{0}+Y_{2} \in Y_{1} / Y_{2}$. We note that the map $\left(X_{1} / X_{2}\right)^{\prime} \rightarrow Y_{1} / Y_{2}, f \mapsto \bar{f}$, is linear (!) and bounded. Since it is easily seen that this map is the inverse of the map $J$ constructed in the first part of the proof, the isomorphism is found.
2. By Theorem $1.2 \tilde{L}_{\sigma}^{q} \cap\left(\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\sigma}^{q} \cap \tilde{G}^{q}\right)=\{0\}$. Each $u \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\sigma}^{q}$ has a unique decomposition $u=u_{0}+\nabla p, u_{0} \in \tilde{L}_{\sigma}^{q}, \nabla p \in \tilde{G}^{q}$. Then $\nabla p=u-u_{0} \in \tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\sigma}^{q}$ proving the algebraic decomposition of $\tilde{\mathcal{L}}_{\sigma}^{q}$ as stated. Moreover, by Theorem 1.2, this decomposition is also a topological one.
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