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# ASYMPTOTIC EQUIVALENCE OF SYSTEMS OF DIFFERENCE EQUATIONS 

JAROMÍR KUBEN*


#### Abstract

The relation between sets of solutions of the first order linear system of difference equations and of its perturbation is studied. Asymptotic equivalence is proved using Tychonoff fixed point theorem.
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Consider the system of difference equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta x_{n}=A x_{n}+f\left(n, x_{n}\right), \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is a $k \times k$ matrix, $k \in \mathbb{N}, x_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{k}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $f: \mathbb{N}_{0} \times \mathbb{R}^{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{k}, f(n, x)$ is continuous in $x$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $\Delta$ denotes the forward difference operator, i.e., $\Delta x_{n}=x_{n+1}-x_{n}$. Along with (1) we also consider the corresponding linear system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta y_{n}=A y_{n} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mathbb{N}=\{1,2,3, \ldots\}$ and $\mathbb{N}_{0}=\mathbb{N} \cup\{0\}$.
In the sequel let us denote $\mathbb{N}(a)=\{a, a+1, \ldots\}$ for $a \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$ and $\boldsymbol{x}=\left\{x_{n}\right\}, \boldsymbol{y}=\left\{y_{n}\right\}$, $\boldsymbol{z}=\left\{z_{n}\right\}$. Further, let us denote $|$.$| a norm on \mathbb{R}^{k}$ or $\mathbb{R}^{k \times k}$ such that $|D x| \leq|D| \cdot|x|$ for any matrix $D$ and any colon $x$.

In this contribution asymptotic relationship between solutions of the systems (1) and (2) will be investigated. The approach is inspired by the result for systems of ordinary differential equations studied in [8]. A similar topic for difference systems can be found in $[4,5,7]$.

Definition 1. The systems (1) and (2) are said to be asymptotically equivalent if to each solution $\boldsymbol{x}, n \in \mathbb{N}(a)$, of (1) there exists a solution $\boldsymbol{y}, n \in \mathbb{N}(b)$, of (2) such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|x_{n}-y_{n}\right|=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and conversely to each solution $\boldsymbol{y}, n \in \mathbb{N}(a)$, of (2) there exists a solution $\boldsymbol{x}, n \in \mathbb{N}(b)$, of (1) such that (3) holds.

If (3) holds only for some subsets of all solutions of (1) and (2), we will speak about asymptotic equivalence between these sets.

First we will examine the special case of (1) - a non-homogeneous linear system

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta z_{n}=A z_{n}+b_{n}, \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{k}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.

[^0]We will suppose that the matrix $A+I=B$ is nonsingular, i.e., $\operatorname{det} B \neq 0$. This guarantees that each solution of (2) and (4) can be extended on $\mathbb{N}_{0}$. Denote $Y_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, $Y_{0}=I$, the fundamental matrix of (2). Thus $\Delta Y_{n}=A Y_{n}$ or equivalently $Y_{n+1}=$ $(A+I) Y_{n}$, from which we get $Y_{n}=(A+I)^{n}=B^{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$.

As every solution $z_{n}$ of (4) can be expressed like $z_{n}=y_{n}+\widehat{z}_{n}$, where $\widehat{z}_{n}$ is a fixed partial solution of (4) and $y_{n}$ is an appropriate solution of (2), the proof of the next theorem is evident.

ThEOREM 1. The systems (2) and (4) are asymptotically equivalent if and only if the system (4) possesses a solution $z_{n}$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} z_{n}=0$.

Let us remind the variation of constant formula for (4). We look for a solution of (4) in the form $z_{n}=Y_{n} c_{n}$, where $c_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{k}$ is an appropriate sequence. After substituting it to (4) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta Y_{n} c_{n}+Y_{n+1} \Delta c_{n} & =A Y_{n} c_{n}+b_{n} \\
c_{n+1} & =c_{n}+Y_{n+1}^{-1} b_{n}
\end{aligned}
$$

and therefore

$$
c_{n}=c_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}^{-1} b_{i-1}
$$

Choosing $c_{0}=0$ we obtain that the system (4) has the solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{n}=Y_{n} c_{n}=Y_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i}^{-1} b_{i-1}=\sum_{i=1}^{n} B^{n-i} b_{i-1} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Y_{i}^{-1} b_{i-1}$ converges, then it is possible to adjust $z_{n}$ :

$$
z_{n}=Y_{n}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Y_{i}^{-1} b_{i-1}-\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} Y_{i}^{-1} b_{i-1}\right)=Y_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Y_{i}^{-1} b_{i-1}-Y_{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} Y_{i}^{-1} b_{i-1}
$$

As $Y_{n} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} Y_{i}^{-1} b_{i-1}$ is a solution of (2), we obtain that (4) has also a solution

$$
z_{n}=-Y_{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} Y_{i}^{-1} b_{i-1}=-\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} B^{n-i} b_{i-1}
$$

Assumption. Without loss of generality we can suppose that $B$ has the Jordan canonical form.

Denote

$$
(0<) \mu_{1}<\mu_{2}<\cdots<\mu_{s}=\lambda
$$

different absolute values of eigenvalues $\lambda_{i}(B), i=1, \ldots, t$. Let $m_{i}$ be a maximal order of blocks that correspond to the eigenvalues with the absolute value $\mu_{i}$. Let $m=m_{s}$. Further let us put

$$
p=\left\{\begin{array}{cl}
m_{j} & \text { if } \mu_{j}=1 \\
1 & \text { if no } \mu_{j} \text { equals } 1
\end{array}\right.
$$

Assume $B=\operatorname{diag}\left(B_{1}, B_{2}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\lambda_{j}\left(B_{1}\right)\right| \leq \alpha=\max _{j}\left|\lambda_{j}\left(B_{1}\right)\right|<1, \quad m^{\star}=m_{i} \text { if } \mu_{i}=\alpha \\
& \left|\lambda_{j}\left(B_{2}\right)\right| \geq 1 \quad \text { for any } j
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $Y_{n}=(A+I)^{n}=B^{n}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{B_{1}^{n}, 0\right\}+\operatorname{diag}\left\{0, B_{2}^{n}\right\}$.
For $r \in \mathbb{N}$ consider a Jordan $r$-dimensional block

$$
J=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mu & 1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & \mu & 1 & \ldots & 0 \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & \mu
\end{array}\right)
$$

For a sufficiently smooth function $g$ defined at $\mu$ it can be defined (see [1])

$$
g(J)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
g(\mu) & g^{\prime}(\mu) & \frac{g^{\prime \prime}(\mu)}{2!} & \ldots & \frac{g^{(r-1)}(\mu)}{(r-1)!} \\
0 & g(\mu) & g^{\prime}(\mu) & \ldots & \frac{g^{(r-2)}(\mu)}{(r-2)!} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & g(\mu)
\end{array}\right)
$$

Assume now that $\mu \neq 0$. Then especially for $g(\mu)=\mu^{n}, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have

$$
J^{n}=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
\mu^{n} & n \mu^{n-1} & \ldots & n(n-1) \cdots(n-r+2) \frac{\mu^{n-r+1}}{(r-1)!}  \tag{6}\\
0 & \mu^{n} & \ldots & n(n-1) \cdots(n-r+1) \frac{\mu^{n-r+2}}{(r-2)!} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & \mu^{n}
\end{array}\right)
$$

From this it follows the existence of constants $K>0$ and $L>0$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left|B_{1}^{n}\right| \leq K \cdot n^{m^{\star}-1} \alpha^{n} & \text { for } n \geq 1 \\
\left|B_{2}^{-n}\right| \leq L \cdot(n+p-2)^{p-1} & \text { for } n \geq 1 \tag{8}
\end{array}
$$

Lemma 1. Let $0<q<1$ and $g_{n} \geq 0$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g_{i}<\infty
$$

then

$$
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} q^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} q^{-i} g_{i}=0
$$

Proof. Assume $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} g_{i}<\infty$. Denote $\lfloor x\rfloor$ an integer part of $x, x \in \mathbb{R}$. For any $\varepsilon>0$ we can find $n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $n \geq n_{0}$ there is

$$
q^{n-\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} g_{i}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2} \quad \text { and } \quad \sum_{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor+1}^{\infty} g_{i}<\frac{\varepsilon}{2} .
$$

Then

$$
q^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} q^{-i} g_{i}=q^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} q^{-i} g_{i}+q^{n} \sum_{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor+1}^{n} q^{-i} g_{i} \leq q^{n-\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} \sum_{i=1}^{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor} g_{i}+\sum_{\lfloor n / 2\rfloor+1}^{n} g_{i}<\varepsilon
$$

Theorem 2. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(i+p-2)^{p-1}\left|b_{i-1}\right|<\infty \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the equation (4) has a solution $z_{n}$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} z_{n}=0$.
Proof. Denote $\widehat{B}_{1}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{B_{1}, O_{2}\right\}, \widehat{B}_{2}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{O_{1}, B_{2}\right\}, \widehat{B}_{1}^{-1}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{B_{1}^{-1}, O_{2}\right\}$ and $\widehat{B}_{2}^{-1}=$ $\operatorname{diag}\left\{O_{1}, B_{2}^{-1}\right\}$, where $O_{i}$ is a zero matrix of the same dimension as $B_{i}, i=1,2$. Further $Y_{n}=\widehat{B}_{1}^{n}+\widehat{B}_{2}^{n}$ holds.

From (5) we see that any solution $z_{n}$ of (4) can be written in the form

$$
z_{n}=Y_{n} z_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} B^{n-i} b_{i-1}
$$

Therefore

$$
z_{n}=Y_{n} z_{0}+\widehat{B}_{1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \widehat{B}_{1}^{-i} b_{i-1}+\widehat{B}_{2}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \widehat{B}_{2}^{-i} b_{i-1}
$$

The inequalities (8) and (9) imply that the series $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \widehat{B}_{2}^{-i} b_{i-1}$ absolutely converges, so we can express $z_{n}$ as follows:

$$
z_{n}=\widehat{B}_{1}^{n} z_{0}+\widehat{B}_{2}^{n}\left[z_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \widehat{B}_{2}^{-i} b_{i-1}\right]+\widehat{B}_{1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \widehat{B}_{1}^{-i} b_{i-1}-\widehat{B}_{2}^{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \widehat{B}_{2}^{-i} b_{i-1}
$$

Choose $z_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \widehat{B}_{2}^{-i} b_{i-1}=0$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
z_{n}=\widehat{B}_{1}^{n} z_{0}+\widehat{B}_{1}^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \widehat{B}_{1}^{-i} b_{i-1}-\widehat{B}_{2}^{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \widehat{B}_{2}^{-i} b_{i-1}=I_{1}+I_{2}+I_{3} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will show that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{i}=0, i=1,2,3$.
From (7) evidently $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{1}=0$.
Further we estimate $I_{2}$. We have

$$
\left|I_{2}\right| \leq\left|\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} \widehat{B}_{1}^{n-i} b_{i-1}\right|+\left|\widehat{B}_{1}^{0} b_{n-1}\right|=\left|I_{2 a}\right|+\left|I_{2 b}\right|
$$

If $1 \leq i \leq n-1$, then $n-i \geq 1$ and from (7) we have

$$
\left|\widehat{B}_{1}^{n-i} b_{i-1}\right| \leq K(n-i)^{m^{\star}-1} \alpha^{n-i}\left|b_{i-1}\right|
$$

As $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} k^{m^{\star}-1}(\sqrt{\alpha})^{k}=0$, because $\sqrt{\alpha}<1$, a constant $M>0$ exists such that

$$
0 \leq(n-i)^{m^{\star}-1}(\sqrt{\alpha})^{n-i} \leq M
$$

for $n \geq i$. Therefore

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left|I_{2 a}\right| \leq \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} K(n-i)^{m^{\star}-1} \alpha^{n-i}\left|b_{i-1}\right| \leq K M \sum_{i=1}^{n-1}(\sqrt{\alpha})^{n-i}\left|b_{i-1}\right| \\
=K M(\sqrt{\alpha})^{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n}(\sqrt{\alpha})^{-i}\left|b_{i-1}\right| \rightarrow 0
\end{gathered}
$$

for $n \rightarrow \infty$ by Lemma 1 .
Due to (9) we have $b_{n-1} \rightarrow \infty$ for $n \rightarrow \infty$ and

$$
\left|I_{2 b}\right| \leq\left|\widehat{B}_{1}^{0}\right| \cdot\left|b_{n-1}\right| \rightarrow \infty
$$

for $n \rightarrow \infty$. Thus $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{2}=0$.
Now we estimate $I_{3}$. From (8) we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|I_{3}\right| & \leq \sum_{i=n \text { 好 }}^{\infty}\left|\widehat{B}_{2}^{-(i-n)}\right| \cdot\left|b_{i-1}\right| \leq \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} L(i-n+p-2)^{p-1}\left|b_{i-1}\right| \\
& \leq L \sum_{i=n+1}(i+p-2)^{p-1}\left|b_{i-1}\right| \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

for $n \rightarrow \infty$ by (9). Thus $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} I_{3}=0$.
Consider a linear space $\ell\left(n_{1}\right)$ of real sequences $\boldsymbol{x}=\left\{x_{n}\right\}, x_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{k}, n \geq n_{1}, n_{1} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, endowed with the topology $\tau$ induced by the set of seminorms $P_{m}(x)=\left|x_{m}\right|, m \geq n_{1}$. Then $\left(\ell\left(n_{1}\right), \tau\right)$ is a Fréchet space - see [6, p. 37]. If $\boldsymbol{x}^{r}=\left\{x_{n}^{r}\right\} \in \ell\left(n_{1}\right), r \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, then $\boldsymbol{x}^{r} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{x}^{0}$ in $\left(\ell\left(n_{1}\right), \tau\right)$ if and only if $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}^{r}=x_{n}^{0}$ for each $n \geq n_{1}$.
Lemma 2. $A$ subset $B \subset \ell\left(n_{1}\right)$ is relatively compact in $\left(\ell\left(n_{1}\right), \tau\right)$ if and only if $\sup _{\boldsymbol{x} \in B}\left\{\left|x_{n}\right|\right\}<\infty$ for each $n \geq n_{1}$.

Proof. Sufficiency: Consider a sequence $\left\{\boldsymbol{x}^{r}\right\} \subset B$. It is possible to choose its subsequence $\left\{\boldsymbol{y}^{r}\right\}$ such that $\left\{y_{1}^{r}\right\}$ is Cauchy. Then it is possible to choose a subsequence $\left\{\boldsymbol{z}^{r}\right\}$ of $\left\{\boldsymbol{y}^{r}\right\}$ such that $\left\{z_{2}^{r}\right\}$ is Cauchy etc. The diagonal sequence $\left\{\boldsymbol{y}^{1}, \boldsymbol{z}^{2}, \ldots\right\}$ is chosen from $\left\{\boldsymbol{x}^{r}\right\}$ and is Cauchy in $\left(\ell\left(n_{1}\right), \tau\right)$.

Necessity: If $\sup _{\boldsymbol{x} \in B}\left\{\left|x_{n}\right|\right\}=\infty$ for some $n \geq n_{1}$, it is possible to find a sequence $\left\{\boldsymbol{x}^{r}\right\} \subset B$ such that $\lim _{r \rightarrow \infty}\left|x_{n}^{r}\right|=\infty$. Evidently, for no subsequence $\left\{\boldsymbol{y}^{r}\right\}$ of $\left\{\boldsymbol{x}^{r}\right\}$ the sequence $\left\{\left|y_{n}^{r}\right|\right\}$ is Cauchy.

Theorem 3. Assume

$$
\begin{equation*}
|f(n, x)| \leq F(n,|x|) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F: \mathbb{N}_{0} \times \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}$and $F(n, u)$ is nondecreasing and continuous in $u$ for any $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(i+p-2)^{p-1} F(i-1, c)<\infty \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $c \in \mathbb{R}_{0}^{+}$.
Then the sets of bounded solutions of (1) and (2) are asymptotically equivalent.

Proof. Let $x_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}\left(n_{0}\right)$, be a bounded solution of (1). Then there exists $c \geq 0$ such that $\left|x_{n}\right| \leq c$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}\left(n_{0}\right)$. If $y_{n}$ is an arbitrary solution of (2), then $z_{n}=x_{n}-y_{n}$ is a solution of an equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta z_{n}=A z_{n}+f\left(n, x_{n}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, if $z_{n}$ is an arbitrary solution of (13), then $y_{n}=x_{n}-z_{n}$ is a solution of (2).
According to (11) and (12) we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(i+p-2)^{p-1}\left|f\left(i-1, x_{i-1}\right)\right| & \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(i+p-2)^{p-1} F\left(i-1,\left|x_{i-1}\right|\right) \\
& \leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty}(i+p-2)^{p-1} F(i-1, c)<\infty
\end{aligned}
$$

Then due to Theorem 2 the equation (13) has a solution $z_{n}$ such that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} z_{n}=0$. Thus $y_{n}=x_{n}-z_{n}$ is a solution of (2) for which $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|x_{n}-y_{n}\right|=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|z_{n}\right| \stackrel{n \rightarrow \infty}{=0}$.

Assume now that $y_{n}$ is a bounded solution of (2), $n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$. Consider an equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{n}=y_{n}+\widehat{B}_{1}^{n} \sum_{i=n_{0}+1}^{n} \widehat{B}_{1}^{-i} f\left(i-1, x_{i-1}\right)-\widehat{B}_{2}^{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \widehat{B}_{2}^{-i} f\left(i-1, x_{i-1}\right), \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$n_{0} \in \mathbb{N}_{0}, n \geq n_{0}$. It is easy to verify that any solution of (14) is a solution of (1), too. In fact, if we denote $I=\widehat{I}_{1}+\widehat{I}_{2}=\operatorname{diag}\left\{I_{1}, O_{2}\right\}+\operatorname{diag}\left\{O_{1}, I_{2}\right\}$, where $I_{i}$ is a unit matrix of the same dimension as $B_{i}, i=1,2$, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta x_{n}=\Delta y_{n}+\Delta \widehat{B}_{1}^{n} \sum_{i=n_{0}+1}^{n} \widehat{B}_{1}^{-i} f\left(i-1, x_{i-1}\right)+\widehat{B}_{1}^{n+1} \widehat{B}_{1}^{-(n+1)} f\left(n, x_{n}\right) \\
& -\Delta \widehat{B}_{2}^{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \widehat{B}_{2}^{-i} f\left(i-1, x_{i-1}\right)+\widehat{B}_{2}^{n+1} \widehat{B}_{2}^{-(n+1)} f\left(n, x_{n}\right) \\
& =A y_{n}+A \widehat{B}_{1}^{n} \sum_{i=n_{0}+1}^{n} \widehat{B}_{1}^{-i} f\left(i-1, x_{i-1}\right)+\widehat{I}_{1} f\left(n, x_{n}\right) \\
& -A \widehat{B}_{2}^{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \widehat{B}_{2}^{-i} f\left(i-1, x_{i-1}\right)+\widehat{I}_{2} f\left(n, x_{n}\right) \\
& =A x_{n}+f\left(n, x_{n}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $\rho>0$, let us denote $\mathscr{B}_{\rho}=\left\{\boldsymbol{x} \in \ell\left(n_{0}\right):\left|x_{n}\right| \leq \rho, n \in \mathbb{N}\left(n_{0}\right)\right\}$. Evidently $\mathscr{B}_{\rho}$ is a convex closed subset of $\ell\left(n_{0}\right)$, which is compact by LEMMA 2. We will show that (14) has a solution in $\mathscr{B}_{\rho}$, where $\rho$ and $n_{0}$ will be chosen later.

Define a mapping $T: \mathscr{B}_{\rho} \rightarrow \ell\left(n_{0}\right)$ as follows:

$$
T(\boldsymbol{x})_{n}=y_{n}+\widehat{B}_{1}^{n} \sum_{i=n_{0}+1}^{n} \widehat{B}_{1}^{-i} f\left(i-1, x_{i-1}\right)-\widehat{B}_{2}^{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \widehat{B}_{2}^{-i} f\left(i-1, x_{i-1}\right)
$$

for $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathscr{B}_{\rho}$. By (8) and (11) we have

$$
\left|\widehat{B}_{2}^{-i} f\left(i-1, x_{i-1}\right)\right| \leq L(i+p-2)^{p-1} F\left(i-1,\left|x_{i-1}\right|\right) \leq L(i+p-2)^{p-1} F(i-1, \rho)
$$

which shows (using (12)) that $\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \widehat{B}_{2}^{-i} f\left(i-1, x_{i-1}\right)$ converges and $T$ is correctly defined.
Let $\left|y_{n}\right| \leq c_{1}, n \in \mathbb{N}_{0}$, and choose $\rho \geq 2 c_{1}$. Then

$$
\left|T(\boldsymbol{x})_{n}\right| \leq\left|y_{n}\right|+\sum_{i=n_{0}+1}^{n}\left|\widehat{B}_{1}^{n-i}\right| F(i-1, \rho)+\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty}\left|\widehat{B}_{2}^{-(i-n)}\right| F(i-1, \rho)
$$

With respect to (7) there exists a constant $M>0$ such that $\left|\widehat{B}_{1}^{n-i}\right| \leq M$ for $n_{0}+1 \leq i \leq n$. Using (12) we get that $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} F(i-1, \rho)<\infty$, which implies that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|T(\boldsymbol{x})_{n}\right| & \leq c_{1}+M \sum_{i=n_{0}+1}^{n} F(i-1, \rho)+L \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty}(i-n+p-2)^{p-1} F(i-1, \rho) \\
& \leq c_{1}+M \sum_{i=n_{0}+1}^{\infty} F(i-1, \rho)+L \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty}(i+p-2)^{p-1} F(i-1, \rho)
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, it is possible to find $n_{0}$ large enough such that

$$
M \sum_{i=n_{0}+1}^{\infty} F(i-1, \rho)+L \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty}(i+p-2)^{p-1} F(i-1, \rho)<c_{1}
$$

Then $\left|T(\boldsymbol{x})_{n}\right| \leq 2 c_{1} \leq \rho$. i.e. $T: \mathscr{B}_{\rho} \rightarrow \mathscr{B}_{\rho}$.
Further we will verify that $T$ is a continuous operator. Let $\boldsymbol{x}^{k} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{x}$ for $k \rightarrow \infty$ in $\ell\left(n_{0}\right)$, which means that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n}^{k}=x_{n}, n \in \mathbb{N}\left(n_{0}\right)$. Choose a fixed $n \geq n_{0}$ and $n_{1}>n$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left|T\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{k}\right)_{n}-T(\boldsymbol{x})_{n}\right|= & \mid \widehat{B}_{1}^{n} \sum_{i=n_{0}+1}^{n} \widehat{B}_{1}^{-i}\left[f\left(i-1, x_{i-1}^{k}\right)-f\left(i-1, x_{i-1}\right)\right] \\
& -\widehat{B}_{2}^{n} \sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \widehat{B}_{2}^{-i}\left[f\left(i-1, x_{i-1}^{k}\right)-f\left(i-1, x_{i-1}\right)\right] \mid \\
\leq & \sum_{i=n_{0}+1}^{n}\left|\widehat{B}_{1}^{n-i}\right| \cdot\left|f\left(i-1, x_{i-1}^{k}\right)-f\left(i-1, x_{i-1}\right)\right| \\
& +\sum_{i=n+1}^{n_{1}}\left|\widehat{B}_{2}^{-(i-n)}\right| \cdot\left|f\left(i-1, x_{i-1}^{k}\right)-f\left(i-1, x_{i-1}\right)\right| \\
& +2 \sum_{i=n_{1}+1}^{\infty}\left|\widehat{B}_{2}^{-(i-n)}\right| F(i-1, \rho) \\
\leq & M \sum_{i=n_{0}+1}^{n_{1}}\left|f\left(i-1, x_{i-1}^{k}\right)-f\left(i-1, x_{i-1}\right)\right| \\
& +L \sum_{i=n+1}^{n_{1}}(i-n+p-2)^{p-1}\left|f\left(i-1, x_{i-1}^{k}\right)-f\left(i-1, x_{i-1}\right)\right| \\
& +2 \sum_{i=n_{1}+1}^{\infty}(i-n+p-2)^{p-1} F(i-1, \rho)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\leq M & \sum_{i=n_{0}+1}^{n_{1}}\left|f\left(i-1, x_{i-1}^{k}\right)-f\left(i-1, x_{i-1}\right)\right| \\
& +L\left(n_{1}+p-2\right)^{p-1} \sum_{i=n_{0}+1}^{n_{1}}\left|f\left(i-1, x_{i-1}^{k}\right)-f\left(i-1, x_{i-1}\right)\right| \\
& +2 \sum_{i=n_{1}+1}^{\infty}(i+p-2)^{p-1} F(i-1, \rho)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\varepsilon>0$ be an arbitrary number. From (12) there exists $n_{1}$ such that

$$
\sum_{i=n_{1}+1}^{\infty}(i+p-2)^{p-1} F(i-1, \rho)<\frac{\varepsilon}{4}
$$

Further, as $\boldsymbol{x}^{k} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{x}$ in $\ell\left(n_{0}\right)$ and $f$ is continuous at its second argument we can find $k_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for $k \geq k_{0}$ and $n_{0} \leq i \leq n_{1}-1$ the inequality

$$
\left|f\left(i, x_{i}^{k}\right)-f\left(i, x_{i}\right)\right|<\frac{\varepsilon}{2\left(n_{1}-n_{0}\right)\left(M+L\left(n_{1}+p-2\right)^{p-1}\right)}
$$

holds. Thus $\left|T\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{k}\right)_{n}-T(\boldsymbol{x})_{n}\right|<\varepsilon$ for $k \geq k_{0}$, i.e. $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} T\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{k}\right)_{n}=T(\boldsymbol{x})_{n}$. But this means that $T\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{k}\right) \rightarrow T(\boldsymbol{x})$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ in $\ell\left(n_{0}\right)$.

From Tychonoff fixed point theorem - see [2, p. 405] or [3, p. 45] - we conclude that $T$ has a fixed point in $\mathscr{B}_{\rho}$. Thus (14) and also (1) has a bounded solution.

We will show that $\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left|x_{n}-y_{n}\right|=0$. Analogously as in the proof of Theorem 2 for $I_{2}$ and $I_{3}$ (see (10)) it can be proved that

$$
\sum_{i=n_{0}+1}^{n} \widehat{B}_{1}^{n-i} f\left(i-1, x_{i-1}\right)-\sum_{i=n+1}^{\infty} \widehat{B}_{2}^{-(i-n)} f\left(i-1, x_{i-1}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty\left(b_{i-1}\right.$ is to be replaced by $\left.F(i-1, \rho)\right)$. This proves the theorem.
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